

We well knowing the great Learning,
Ability and Judgment of the Author, do,
for the publick Good, Allow and Ap-
prove of the Printing and Publishing
of the REPORTS of *WILLIAM*
SALKELD, late Serjeant at Law.

Cowper, C.

Parker.

P. King.

Tho. Bury.

Littleton Powys.

Jo. Blencowe.

R. Tracy.

Ro. Price.

R. Eyre.

Robert Dormer.

John Pratt.

Ja. Mountagu.

J. Fortescue Aland.

REPORTS OF CASES

Adjudg'd in the
Court of King's Bench;

WITH

Some Special CASES in the Courts of *Chancery*, *Common Pleas* and *Exchequer*, from the First Year of K. *WILLIAM* and Q. *MARY*, to the Tenth Year of Queen *ANNE*.

By *WILLIAM SALKELD*,
Late Serjeant at LAW.

With Two Tables; the one of the Names of the Cases, the other of the Principal Matters therein contained.

VOL. II.

Allow'd and approv'd by the Lord High Chancellor
of Great Britain, and all the Judges.

THE THIRD EDITION, carefully corrected: To which are now added proper References, more than in any former Edition, to the Reports of the LAW.

In the *SAVOY*:

Printed by E. and R. NUTT and R. GOSLING, (Assigns of *E. Sayer*, Esq;) for *J. Walthoe* in the *Middle-Temple-Cloysters*. 1732.

NAMES of the CASES in the Second Volume.

A.		Billings v Eades	Page 612	
A	Bbot <i>versus</i> Burton	Page 590	Birch v. Wood	506
	Acebery v. Barton	693	Blackmore v. Tiddersley	423
	Aſton v Eels	662	Blanchard v. Galdy	411
	Adams v. <i>Tertenants of Savage</i>		Bond v. Gonſales	445
		601, 679	Bofon v. Sanford	440
Adlame v. Colebatch	491	Bragg v. Digby	658	
Allen v. Brookbank	625	Branthwayt v. Blackerby & al	544	
Alleyne & Ux' v. Grey	437	Bredon v. Gill	555	
Allington v. Vavaſor	455	Brewſter v. Kitchell	615	
<i>The Caſe of the Pariſh of All-Saints and</i>		<i>Inter the Pariſhes of St. Bride's and</i>		
<i>St. Gile's in Northampton</i>	530	<i>St. Saviour's</i>	533	
Aloff v. Scrimshaw	573	<i>Inter the Inhabitants of the Precinct of</i>		
<i>The Caſe of the Pariſh of Amner</i>	475	<i>Bridewell and Clarkenwell</i>	525	
<i>The Caſe of Andover</i>	433	Briggs v. Adams & al	674	
<i>The Caſe of St. Andrew Holbourn, and</i>		<i>The Caſe of the Mayor and Aldermen of</i>		
<i>St. Clement Danes</i>	494	<i>Bristol</i>	650	
<i>Lord Angleſey v. Lord Altham</i>	676	Brook v. Biſhop	639	
<i>Argent v. Sir Marmaduke Darrell</i>	648	Broughton v. Langley	679	
<i>Armitt v. Breame</i>	498	Brown v. Corniſh	516	
<i>Arnold v. Jeffreyſon</i>	654	Browne's Caſe	544	
<i>Arnott v. Browne</i>	425	Buckley v. Palmer	430	
<i>Arthur's Caſe</i>	495	Budd v. Berkenhead	420	
<i>Aſcomb. v. the Hundred of Spelholm</i>	613	Bullock v. Parſons	454	
<i>Elizabeth Aſhley's Caſe</i>	479, 480	<i>Sir James Butler's Caſe</i>	596	
<i>Aſhmead v. Banger</i>	638	<i>Buxendin v. Sharp</i>	662	
<i>Aſhmole v. Serjeant Goodwin</i>	624	<i>Byron v. Elmer</i>	693	
<i>Sir Samuel Aſtry's Caſe</i>	651			
<i>Aſhwin v. Corill</i>	650	C.		
<i>The Attorney General againſt Baden</i>	495	C	Adbery Pariſh v. Bradon Pariſh	607
<i>Attwood v. Bur</i>	603	Carmarthen (<i>Marqueſs his Caſe</i>)	451	
<i>Baker v. Pierce</i>	695	Carter v. Sheppard	507	
B.		Cary & Ux' v. Stephenſon	421	
B	ALL v. Manucaptors of Ruſſel	<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Chalbury and</i>		
	<i>Lord Banbury's Caſe</i>	<i>Chipping Farringdon</i>	488	
Bates v. Grabham	444	Chambers v. Sir John Jennings	553	
<i>Inter the Pariſhes of Beckenham and</i>		Chance v. Weedon	628	
<i>Camberwell</i>	525	Charnock's Caſe	31	
<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Bedenham and</i>		Chetly v. Wood	659	
<i>Kingſton, and Bouſey</i>	489	<i>The Caſe of Cheſterfield</i>	479	
<i>Lord Bellamont's Caſe</i>	625	<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Chittinſton and</i>		
Bennet v. Talbot	609	<i>Penhurſt</i>	473, 475	
Berkly v. Hanſard	559	<i>Chriſt's Hoſpital's Caſe</i>	485	
<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Berry and A-</i>		b	Clay-	
<i>rundel</i>	479			

A TABLE of the Names of the CASES.

Clayton v. Kynaſton	Page 573	Dunkley v. Wade	Page 658
Clerk v. Udall	649	Dunn <i>qui tam</i> v. Hinchdy	612
Clithero v. Franklin	568	The Inhabitants of Dunsford and Ridgwick	535
Inter the Inhabitants of Cockfield and Boxſtead	477	E.	
Coke v. Evans	453	Earle v. Payne	550
Combs v. the Hundred of Bradley	613	Inter Inhabitant' Paroch' Eaton-Bridge & Inhabitant' Paroch' Weſtram in Canc'	487
Cooke v. Foſter	455	Edgeberry v. Stephens	447
Cooke v. Remmington	498	Elliott v. Callow	597
Cooke's Caſe	634	Inter the Pariſhes of Evelyn in Oxfordſhire and Rentcomb in Glouceſterſhire	536
Cookeroft v. Smith	642	Ewer v. Jones	415
Cope contra Cope in Canc'	449	F.	
Corbet v. Tichborn	576	Fanſhaw v. Morriſon	520
Cotundel v. John	504	Inter the Pariſhes of Farrington in Berks and Witney in Oxfordſhire	527
Coventry v. Apſley	420	Between the Pariſhes of Farrington and Wilcot	529
The Mayor of Coventry's Caſe	429	Fenwick v. Groſvenor	650
Cowper v. the Hundred of Baſingſtoke	614	Sir Baſil Firebraſs's Caſe	550
		Fitzhugh v. Dennington	585
Coxeter v. Parſons	692	Ford v. Tilly	653
Cranburn's Caſe	633	The Caſe of Foxham Tithing in Com' Wilts	607
Cranmer's Caſe in Canc'	508	Foxworthy's Caſe	500
Inter the Inhabitants of St. Giles's Crip-plegate and Hackney	478	Foy v. Liſter	554
Crocket's Caſe	669	G.	
Cromwell v. Grunſden	462	GAlizard v. Rigault	552
Crowther v. Oldfield	662	G Gardiner v. Booth	548
Inter the Inhabitants of Cummer and Milton in Com' Berks	528	Inter the Pariſhes of Gatton and Milwich	536
Culliford v. De Cardonnel	466	Inter the Inhabitants of St. George's and St. Olave's Southwark	493
D.		Gerard (Sir Samuel) his Caſe	670
DArby v. Anely	660	Gibbon v. Pepper	637
Biſhop of St. David's Caſe	549	Gilbert v. Parker	629
Davies v. Salter	626	Giles v. Hart	622
Davies v. Speed	675	Glover v. Rogers	557
Davies and Carter's Caſe	461	Goddard v. Smith	456
Day v. Muſket	640	Godfrey v. Llewellyn	549
The Inhabitants of the Foreſt of Dean and Pariſh of Lintot	487	Godolphin v. Tudor	468
Deerley v. The Dutcheſs of Mazarine	646	Goodwin v. Peek	549
		Gould v. Johnſon	422
Dent v. The Hundred of Hertford	645	Gray v. Hart	517
Dillon v. Harper	545	Graves	
Inter Inhabitant' of Dimchurch and Eaſt-Church	480		
Ditton's Caſe	490		
Dixon v. Willoughs	646		
Dunkly v. Wade	653		
Inter the Inhabitants of Dumbleton and Beckford	470		

A TABLE of the Names of the CASES.

Graves v. Blanchett	Page 606	Horn v. Lewin	Page 583
Green v. Goddard	641	How v. Prinn	694
Green v. Rivert	421	Sir Robert Howard's Case	625
Green v. Young	444	Hunt v. Burn	422
Greeves v. Roles	456		
Gregg's Case	596	J.	
Inter the Inhabitants of St. Nicholas		Jacob v. Dallow	551
Guildford in Surrey, and Killington		Idle v. Coke	620
in Suffex	485	Jeffreys v. Legandra	443
	H.	Jenkin's Case	534
Hales (Sir Christopher) v. Owen	625	Jenkyns v. Turner	662
Hadley v. Stiles	664	Jesson v. Collins	437
Halet v. Burt	580	Incedon v. Burgefs	636
Hall v. Wyburn	420	Incedon v. Crips	658
Hall v. Englestone	515	The Case of Sylvanus Johnson	485
Hall v. Biggs	674	Jones v. Hart	441
Hammond v. Wood	563	Jones v. Pugh	465
Hardisty v. Barney	598	Jones v. Stones	550
Hard's Case	427	Jones v. Mills	640
Hare v. Chapman	636	Jones v. Morley	677
Harris v. Hicks	548	K.	
Inter the Inhabitants of the Parishes of		Kempstet v. Deacon	665
Harrow and Ryflip	524	Lord Kilmurry v. Geery	338
Hartford v. Jones	654	King v. Burdet	645
Harvy v. Broad	626	The Case of the Parish of King-Lang-	
Hatchill v. Griffiths	645	ley	605
Haths v. Ash	413	Inter Inhabitant' Kings-Norton in Wi-	
Hatton's Case	477	gorn and Swolhill in Warwick	481
Hawkin's Case	548	Kirkham v. Wheely	543
Haywood v. Davies & al'	629	Knight v. Farnaby & al'	670
Heathcoat's Case	670	L.	
Hele v. The Bishop of Exeter	539	Langley Parish	605
Helvis v. Lamb	453	Lacy v. Williams	568
Helliot v. Selby	701	Ladd v. Widows	541
Henderson v. Foster	462	Lancaster v. Killingworth	628
Henly v. Walsh	686	Lane v. Saltmarsh	544
Hern contra Merick in Canc'	416	Lacy v. Kynaston	575
Heylin v. Hoskins	422	Layton contra Manlove in Canc'	469
Hick v. Woodson	655	Layton v. Grindall	643
Hide v. Partridge	424	Lazier v. Dyer	457, 650
Highmore v. Walker	653	Lee v. Drake	468
Hill v. Vaux	656	Lee v. Millard	498
Hinton v. Hern	450, 671	Lee v. Strudwick	414
Hitchins v. Basslet	598	Leighton v. Theed	413
Hobbs qui tam v. Young	610	Lenthal (Sir John) v. Cooke	438
Holman v. Borough	658	Lethullier's Case	443
Hore v. Chapman	636	Linch v. Coote	469
Inter the Inhabitants of the Parishes of		The Parish of Linfield and Battle	605
Horton and St. Mary Ax	535	Inter Inhabitants of the Parishes of Lit-	
		tle Kire and Woolfall	530
			Lo-

A TABLE of the Names of the CASES.

Lodie v. Arnold	Page 458	Parker v. Sir William Moor	Page 626
<i>The Mayor and Commonalty of London</i>		Pasmore v. Serjeant Goodwin	517
v. Wilks	445	Patridge's Case	552
Longuevill v. <i>The Hundred of Isle-</i>		Paul v. Shaw	617
worth	498	Pierce v. Paxton	519
Lover v. Salkeld	455	Pierce v. Blake	515
Lloyd v. Evelyn	568	Pitman v. Maddox	690
Lugg v. Lugg	592	<i>The President and College of Physicians in</i>	
Lugg v. Goodwin	599	London v. Salmon	451
M.		Prideaux v. Morris	502
M Achil v. Clark	619	Prince v. Molt	663
Machin v. Maultin	549	Proctor v. Johnson	600
<i>Inter Inhabitants of Malden and Flet-</i>		Pullen v. Benson	628
wick	530	Purnal's Case	476
Marle v. Make	508	Putten v. Purbeck	563
Martin v. Crump	444	Pye v. George	680
Mason v. Williams in Canc'	507	Prigg v. Adams	674
Mathew v. Phillips	424	R.	
Mathews v. Burdett	412, 672, 553	<i>The Inhabitants of Audley</i>	526
Sir Thomas Mears contra Lord Stourton		<i>The Mayor of Abingdon</i>	431
in Canc'	512	Albertson	483
Morris's Case	497	Arthur	497
Mills v. Wilkins	609	Barebaker	478
Minchamp's Case	491	Barnard	502
<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Minton and Sto-</i>		Barlow	609
ny Stratford	527	Bear	417
Monkton v. Pashley	638	Beard	478
<i>Monopoly (Case of)</i>	447	Bolt	586
Mood v. the Mayor of London	682	Bear	646
Moverly v. Ley	558	Brown	480
Moor v. Manucaptor Garret	566	<i>The Mayor of Coventry</i>	430
Moor v. Watts	581	<i>The Inhabitants of Long Crit-</i>	
<i>Inter Inhabitant' of Much Waltham and</i>		chel	489
Penhurst	473	Rex v. Crosby	689
N.		<i>Bishop of Chester</i>	560
N ewman v. Smith & al'	242	Daws	608
<i>Inter the Inhabitants of St. Nicho-</i>		Dobbyn	474
las and St. Helen	472	Flynt	687
Norfolk (Duke) v. Alderton	668	Ford	690
O.		Gately	471
O ldham v. Pickering	464, 642	Gregory	484
<i>Lord Ossulston contra Lord Yar-</i>		Greepe	513
mouth in Cancellaria	449	Geary	630
Oxenden v. Penrice	691	Harding	477
P.		Hartford, Mayor, &c.	699
P AGE v. Hayward	570	Heaps	593
Palmer v. Price	589	Jones	461
Palmer contra Poultney in Canc'	458	Johnson	491
Panton v. Hall	598	Ingram & al'	593
I		Joslin	

A TABLE of the Names of the CASES.

	Joslin	Page 587		Mason	Page 447
	Kemp	465		Nash	542
	Knollys	509		Norwich Mayor, &c.	436
	Leaver	587		Paty & al'	503
	Bishop of London and Dr.			Peach	572
	Birch	540		Rogers	425
	Bishop of London and Dr.			Smith	680
	Lancaster	559	Regina v.	Savin	605
	The Bailiffs and Burgeses of			Soley & al'	594
	Malden	431		Twitty and Maddicott	433
	Matthews	475		Whistler	542
	The Mayor of Norwich	432, 436		Wigg	460
	North	565		Winter	587
Rex v.	The Mayor of Oxon	669		Wooton Rivers Parish	402
	Parsons	499		Wrightson	699
	Paris Slaughter	611	Reading v.	Roylton	423
	The Mayor of Rippon	433		Richard v. Cornforth	580
	Roswell	459		Roberts v. Arthur	497
	Randall	470		Roberts v. Harnage	659
	Shaw	482		Robinson v. Stephens	616
	Toslin	587		Lord Rockingham & al' contra Oxen-	
	Turnock	474		den & al'	574
	Tippin	494		Roe v. Gatehouse	663
	The Mayor and Burgeses of			Roswel v. Pryor	460
	Wilton	428		Rudd v. Morton	501
	Walcott	632		Russel v. Comb	640
	Wilcocks	458		Inter the Parishes of Ryflip and Har-	
	Banks (Sir Jacob)	652		row	524
	Baynes	680		S.	
	The Inhabitants of Barking,		S I R	John St. Alban's Case	567
	Needham Market, and			Salisbury v. Williams	497
	Darmesden Hamlets	452		v. Proctor	646
	Sir Jacob Banks	652		Salloway v. Whorewood	461
	Inhabitants of Buckingham			Lord Sandwich's Case	648
		534		Savage v. Robery	694
	The Mayor of Derby	436		Saunders v. Owen	467
	Dr. Drake	666		Scawen v. Garret	545
Regina v.	Ewer	564		Scilly v. Garret	562
	Ellis	595		Seaman v. Ling	668
	Gouche	441		Shires v. Glascock	668
	Hedges	533		Shirley v. VVright	700
	Harper	611		The Case of the Parish of St. Leonard	
	Hereford, Mayor, &c.	701		Shoreditch	483, 524
	Bailiffs of Ipswich	434		Shorter v. Friend	547
	Langley	697		Shortridge v. Lamplugh	678
	Layton	450		Shuttle v. Wood	564, 600, 659
	London	442		Smell contra Dee in Canc'	416
	Maddox	613		Smith v. Goff	457
				c	Smith

A TABLE of the Names of the CASES.

Smith v. Bramston	Page 644	Tracy v. Talbot	Page 532
— v. Frampton	<i>ibid.</i>	Tregame v. Fletcher	676
— v. Kemp	637	<i>Inter Paroch'</i> Trobridge & Weston	473
— v. Page	644	Trowell v. Ellford	616
— v. Browne and Cooper	666	Tucker's Case	630
— v. Gould	<i>ibid.</i>	Tully's Case	598
— v. Tindall	685	Turbervill v. Stamp	647
Smith v. Wood	692	Turner v. Beale	521
Snow v. Firebrass	439, 457	Turner v. Barnaby	566, 649
<i>Inter the Inhabitants of the Parishes of</i>		Turner v. Ogden	696
South-Cadbury and Braddon in Com.		V.	
Somerset	607	Vaughan's Case	634
Sparks v. Spicer	648	W.	
Speed v. Perry	697	W Almsley v. Russel	696
Star v. Rookesby	538	Waltham and Penhurst Pa-	
Starky v. Churchwardens of Watlington	547	<i>rishes</i>	473
Star v. Wade	647	Waites v. Briggs	565
Starrup v. Doderidge	657	Ward v. Evans	442
Stephenson v. Arthur	544	<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Ware and Stan-</i>	
Stephens & 'Ux' v. Snow	578	<i>stead-Mount-Flichet</i>	488
Stokes v. Berry	421	Warton v. Pitts	548
Stomfill v. Hicks	413	<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Watford and</i>	
<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Suddlescomb</i>		<i>Wendover</i>	490
<i>and Burwash</i>	491	<i>Inter the Churchwarden of Watlington</i>	
Sutton v. Moody	556	<i>in Suffex and Starky</i>	547
<i>Inter the Parishes of Swanscomb and</i>		Way v. Yalley	651
<i>Shensfield</i>	492	Weeks v. Peach	577
Sweatland v. Squire	623	Wells v. Tregusan	463
Symmonds v. Byngoe and Cook	496	<i>Inter the Parishes of Westbury and Co-</i>	
— v. Cadmore	919	<i>ston</i>	532
T.		<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Weston-Rivers</i>	
THE Parish of Talborn and Boston	523	<i>and St. Peter's Marlborough</i>	492
<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Talbury and</i>		White v. Bodynam	629
<i>the Hamlet of Foston in Scropton</i>	476	Wigmore's Case	438
Taffan v. Rogers	693	Wildgoose v. Kellaway	636
Tawny's Case	531	Wilbraham v. Foley	500
Taylor contra Wheeler in Canc'	449	Wilson v. Laws	589
<i>Inter the Inhabitants of Thackham and</i>		Winter v. Lovedore	537
<i>Findon in Suffex</i>	489	Withers v. Harris	600
Thompson v. Leach	427, 565, 576,	Wits v. Polehampton	647
	618, 675	Wood v. Cleveland	518
Thermolin v. Cole	646	Woodrington v. Deverill	<i>ibid.</i>
Tomkyns v. Crocker	604	Woodward v. Cliff	575
<i>The Case of the Churchwardens of Top-</i>		Y.	
<i>sham</i>	484	YORK (Archbishop) v. the Duke	656
Topsham v. Tollier	575	<i>of Newcastle</i>	627
		Young v. Ruddle	THE

THE General TITLES OF THE Second Volume.

L.		<i>Nisi Prius</i>	Page 454
		Nonfuit	455
		Notice	457
		Nuſances	458
		O.	
L	A W Common, Canon, Ci- vil, &c.	Page 411	
	Leaſes	413	
	Legacy	415	
	<i>Libellus Famoſus</i>	417	
	Limitations	420	
	London and the Cuſtoms thereof	425	
		Lunatick, Ideot	427
M.			
M	<i>Andamus</i>	428	
	Marriages	437	
	Marſhal and Marſhalſea	438	
	Maſter and Servant	440	
	Merchants and Merchandize	442	
	Money	446	
	Monopoly	447	
	<i>Monſtrans de Droit</i>	ibid.	
		Mortgages	449
		Motion	450
N.			
N	Names of Purchase and Dignity	451	
	Novel Affignment	453	
		Oaths and Affidavits	461
		Obligation	462
		Occupant and Occupancy	464
		Offices and Officers	465
		Office for the King	469
		Orders of Juſtices of the Peace	470
		Outlawry	494
		Oyer and ſhewing of Deeds	497
		P.	
		Pardon General and Special	499
		Palatine Counties of Cheſter, Dur- ham, &c.	500
		Parish, Town, Vill, &c.	501
		Parliament	502
		Parſon, Vicar, and Curate	506
		<i>Pauper</i>	ibid.
		Payment and Satisfaction	507
		Peers of the Realm	509
		Perjury	513
		Pleas and Pleadings	514
		Pledge and Bailment	522
		Poor,	

The General TITLES.

Poor, Poor's Rates, Vagrants, &c.	Page 523
Powers	537
Prescription	538
Presentation, Admission, Institution	
Induction	539
Principal and Accessary	542
Privilege of Persons	543
Privilege of Place	546
Prohibition and Consultation	547
Proof	555
Property	556

Q.

Q uantum Meruit	557
Quare Impedit	559
Que Estate	562

R.

R ecognizances, Statutes, Elegit, Ex- tent, &c.	563
Records	565
Common Recoveries	567
Recusants	572
Release and Defeasance	573
Remainder	576
Rents	578
Repleader	579
Replevin and <i>Homine Replegiando</i>	580
Request	585
Rescue	586
Restitution	587
Return of Writs	589
Reversion	591
Revocation	592
Riots, Routs, and Unlawful Assem- blies	593
Rules of Courts	596

I

S.

S cire Facias	Page 598
Service and Suit	604
Sessions General and Quarter	605
Sheriffs	608
Statutes in General, and the Exposi- tion thereof	609
Statutes of Hue and Cry	613
Subsidies, Taxes and Customs	615
Surrender	618

T.

T AIL	619
Tender and Refusal, Amends	622
Term-Time and Computation	625
Traverse	627
Treason	630
Trespafs	637
Trial	644
Trover	654
Tithes	655

U.

V ariance	658
Verdicts	662
View	665
Villeins and Villenage	667
<i>Visne</i>	668
Universities and Schools	671
Void and Voidable	674
Uses and Trusts	675
Usury and Extortion	680

W.

W ager of Law	682
Warranty	685
Waifs, Estrays	687
Weights and Measures	687
Wills and Testaments	688
Witnesses	689
Words	692
Writs	699

LAW

Law Common, Canon, Civil, &c.

Blankard *versus* Galdy. Trin. 5 W. & M. B. R. Intr. Pas. 3 W. & M. Rot. 35.

S. C. 4 Mod. 215, 222, 223, &c.
See the Pleadings. Ibid.

IN Debt on a Bond, the Defendant prayed Oyer of the Condition, and pleaded the Statute E. 6. against buying Offices concerning the Administration of Justice; and averred, That this Bond was given for the Purchase of the Office of Probost-Marshal in Jamaica, and that it concern'd the Administration of Justice, and that Jamaica is Part of the Revenue and Possessions of the Crown of England: The Plaintiff replied, That Jamaica is an Island beyond the Seas, which was conquered from the Indians and Spaniards in Q. Elizabeth's Time, and the Inhabitants are governed by their own Laws, and not by the Laws of England: The Defendant rejoined, That before such Conquest they were governed by their own Laws; but since that, by the Laws of England: Shower argued for the Plaintiff, That on a Judgment in Jamaica, no Writ of Error lies here, but only an Appeal to the Council; and as they are not represented in our Parliament, so they are not bound by our Statutes, unless specially named. Vide And. 115. Pemberton contra argued, That by the Conquest of a Nation, its Liberties, Rights and Properties are quite lost; that by Consequence their Laws are lost too, for the Law is but the Rule and Guard of the other; those that conquer, cannot by their Victory lose their Laws, and become subject to others. Vide Vaugh. 405. That Error lies here upon a Judgment in Jamaica, which could not be if they were not under the same Law. Et per Holt C. J. & Cur.

(1.) Where an uninhabited Country is found out and planted by English Subjects, all Laws in force here are immediately in force there; but in the Case of an inhabited Country conquered, not till declared so by the Conqueror. Vide 3 Keb. 401, &c. 2 And. 116. 4 Leon. 33. 7 Co. Calvin, 23. Vaugh. 279, &c. 2 Vent. 4. Post 666. Comber. 228, 229.

1st, In Case of an uninhabited Country newly found out by English Subjects, all Laws in Force in England, are in Force there; so it seemed to be agreed.

2dly, Jamaica being conquered, and not pleaded to be Parcel of the Kingdom of England, but Part of the Possessions and Revenue of the Crown of England, the Laws of England did not take Place there, until declared so by the Conqueror or his Successors. The Isle of Man and Ireland are Part of the Possessions of the Crown of England; yet retain their ancient Laws:

Vol. II.

B

That

Post 510.
5 Mod. 187.

That in Davis 36. it is not pretended, That the Custom of Tannistry was determined by the Conquest of Ireland, but by the new Settlement made there after the Conquest: That it was impossible the Laws of this Nation, by mere Conquest, without more, should take Place in a conquered Country; because, for a Time, there must want Officers, without which our Laws can have no Force: That if our Law did take Place, yet they in Jamaica having Power to make new Laws, our general Laws may be altered by theirs in Particulars; also they held, That in the Case of an infidel Country, their Laws by Conquest do not intirely cease, but only such as are against the Law of God; and that in such Cases, where the Laws are rejected or silent, the conquered Country shall be governed according to the Rule of natural Equity. Judgment pro quer. X

Vide post
553, 672.

Matthew *versus* Burdett. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(2.)
Canons oblige not the Laity without the Consent of the Civil legislative Power. Vide Hale's Hist. of the Law, pag. 28 to 33.
12 Co. 72.
2 Inst. 57, 647, 653, 657. 2 Rol. Abr. 454. Mo. 782. Br. Ordinary 1. 2 Rol. Abr. 226. 2 Cro. 670.
2 Brownl. 38. Cro. Car. 588. Palm. 379.

IN the primitive Church, the Laity were present at all Synods: When the Empire became Christian, no Canon was made without the Emperor's Consent: The Emperor's Consent included that of the People, he having in himself the whole legislative Power, which our Kings have not: Therefore, if the King and Clergy make a Canon, it binds the Clergy in re Ecclesiastica, but it does not bind Laymen; they are not represented in Convocation; their Consent is neither asked nor given.

LEASES.

L E A S E S.

2 Show. 31.

Haths *versus* Ash. Trin. 8 Will. III. C. B.

A Lease to commence a datu, includes the Day of the Date. (1.)
 Adjudg'd by three Judges against Treby, C. J. But note, Commence-
 a die datu excludes the Day. Vide 5 Co. 1. 94. b. 2 Co. 55. 2 Vid. post 625.
 Bulst. 83, 305. 3 Bulst. 203. Aleyn 77. Ray. 84. 627.
 2 Mod. 215. 6 Mod. 260. 3 Lev. 438. 5 Co. 21, 100. Cro. Jac. 258, 18, call'd Harter ver. Ashe. 1 Salk. 44.

Stomfil *versus* Hicks. Mich. 9 Will. III. C. B.

A Leases to B. for a Year, and from Year to Year as long as (2.)
 it shall please both Parties. Adjudg'd that this is a Lease for a Year, and so
 Lease for two Years, and afterwards at Will; and so it was from Year to
 ruled inter Bellasis and Burbrich, Hill. 8 W. 3. C. B. Vide in- Year, quam-
 fra, pl. 4. contra, and pl. 6. diu, &c.
 Vide post
 pl. 6.

A. possess'd of a Term for 100 Years, grants the Land, Ha- (3.)
 bendum for 40 Years, to commence after his Death. This is a Term for
 good new Lease; and if H. possess'd of a Term for 20 Years, Years grants
 grant the Tenements for nineteen Years, to commence after his for a less
 Death, this will be good for so much of the twenty Years as Term, to
 shall be unexpired at the Time of his Death. Ruled by Holt C. J. commence
 at Lent-Assizes at Dorchester, 10 W. 3. Gree *versus* Studley. after his
 Death. Good.
 1 Sid. 359.
 1 Mod. 4.

1 Lutw. 213, 214. Aleyn 4. Cro. El. 775.

If A. demise Lands to B. for a Year, and so from Year to (4.)
 Year, this is not a Lease for two Years, and afterwards at Lease for a
 Will; but it is a Lease for every particular Year, and so from Year to
 Year is begun, the Defendant cannot determine the Lease before Year, quam-
 the Year is ended. But in a Lease at Will, the Defendant may diu, &c. what
 determine his Will after Payment of his Rent, at the End of a it is, and
 Quarter, but not the Beginning, lest the Lessor should lose his when it may
 Rent. The Lessor cannot determine his Will in the Middle of be deter-
 a Quarter, without permitting the Tenant to have the Emble- mined.
 ments. Ruled by Holt C. J. at Summer-Assizes at Lin- Vide prox. p.
 coln, 1699. When Lessor
 or Lessee at
 Will may de-
 termine his
 Will.
 Vide infra.

Leighton *versus* Theed. Hill. 13 Will. III. B. R.

If H. holds Land at Will, rendering Rent quarterly, the (5.)
 Lessor may determine his Will when he pleases; but if he de- When Lessor
 termines it within a Quarter, he shall lose the Rent which should or Lessee at
 Will may de-
 termine his
 Will.
 Vide supra
 & prox. p.

Vide Co.
Lit. 55. b.

have been paid for that Quarter in which he determines it. So the Lessee may determine it when he pleases, but then he must pay the Quarter's Rent. Per Holt C. J.

Legg versus Strudwick. Hill. 7 Ann. B. R.

(6.)
Parol Demise
to hold from
Year to Year,
& sic ultra
quamdiu,
&c. is a
Lease for
two Years,
and after eve-
ry subsequent
Year begun,
not deter-
minable till
that be ended.
See p. 413.
1 Mod. 4.
1 Lutw. 213,
214.

And not void
by the Sta-
tute of
Frauds.

IN Replevin the Defendant avowed, for that he being seised in fee of the Locus in quo, demised the same to A. Habendum de anno in annum & sic ultra quamdiu ambabus partibus placeret, to commence from Lady-Day 1703, rendering an annual Rent, payable quarterly. The Lessee entered, and died the 17th of December, 1706. And the Rent for a Year and a half ending at Christmas before was arrear, for which the Lessor entered and distrained. To this the Plaintiff demurred. Et per Curiam it was held first, That after the two Years, the Lessor or Lessee might determine; but if the Lessee held on, he was not then Tenant at Will, but for a Year certain; for his holding on must be taken to be an Agreement to the original Contract, and in Execution of it; and the first Contract was from Year to Year. 2dly, The third Year is not in the Nature of a distinct Interest, because it arises from the same executory Contract, and therefore the Lessor may distrain the third Year for the Rent of the second; and such an executory Contract as this, is not void by the Statute of Frauds, tho' it be for more than three Years, because there is hereby no Term for above two Years ever subsisting at the same Time; and there can be no Fraud to a Purchaser, for the utmost Interest that can be to bind him, can be only one Year. And Holt C. J. cited this Case, coram Hale C. J. A Composition was agreed upon between the Parson and his Parishioners for Tithes quamdiu ambabus partibus placuerit. If the Parishioner plows and sows, the Parson shall not that Year recede, and demand Tithes in Kind, but must make his Election at the Beginning of the next Year; for the Parishioner would not perhaps have sowed his Land, but that he relied upon his Contract. Cro. El. 775. Keilw. 65. Aleyn 4. 2 Jon. 5. 1 Sid. 359. 14 H. 8. 10.

L E.

L E G A C Y.

Vide post
464, 508, 547.Ewer *versus* Jones. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

IT was held by Holt C. J. clearly, That a Devisee may maintain an Action at Common Law against a Tenant for a Legacy devised out of Land; for where a Statute, as the Statute of Wills, gives a Right, the Party by Consequence shall have an Action at Law to recover it.

(1.)
Action lies
for a Legacy
devised out
of Land.
See 2 Show.
36, 37.
1 Chan. Cases
Mod. Cases 20.

57, 257, 258. 1 Chan. Rep. 134, 218, & prox. pag.

Smell *contra* Dee. Mich. 6 Ann. In Canc.

H. Bequeathed by his Will, in these Words, viz. I give 100 l. apiece to the two Children of J. S. at the End of ten Years after my Decease: The Children died within the ten Years. Et per Cowper Lord Chancellor, This is a lapsed Legacy, and shall not go to the Executors of the Children; for the Diversity is where the Bequest is to take Effect at a future Time, and where the Payment is to be made at a future Time. And tho' it was objected by Sir Thomas Powys, That this differ'd from the Case where a Man devised 100 l. to J. S. at his Age of twenty-one, because it is a Contingency whether he attain to that Age; but the Expiration of the ten Years is inevitable; yet the Lord Chancellor answered, That where-ever the Time is annexed to the Legacy it self, and not to the Payment of it, if the Legatee dies before the Time of Payment, it is a lapsed Legacy in that Case. Vide Dy. 59. b. 2 Vent. 342. Off. Ex. 347. Swinb. 311, 313.

(2.)
Where a
Time is an-
nex'd to the
Legacy, and
not to the
Payment,
and Legatee
dies before
that Time,
'tis lapsed.

2 Vent. 346,
366.
2 Chan.
Cases 155.

See 1 Chan.
Cases 60,
196.
1 Chan. Rep.
183, 188.
2 Chan. Rep.
98.

If a Legacy be devised generally, and no Time ascertained for the Payment, and the Legatee be an Infant, he shall be paid Interest from the Expiration of the first Year after the Testator's Death; but it seems a Year shall be allowed, for so long the Statute of Distribution allows before the Distribution be compellable, and so long the Executor shall have, that it may appear whether there be any Debts; but if the Legatee be of full Age, he shall only have Interest from the Time of his Demand after the Year; for no Time of Payment being set, it is not payable, but upon Demand, and he shall not have Interest, but from the Time of his Demand; otherwise it is in Case of an Infant, because no Laches is imputed to him. But where a certain Legacy is left payable at a Day certain, it must be paid with

In.

Interest from that Day. Et nota; The Interest allowed is 5 l. per Cent. Per Cowper Lord Chancellor.

Hern *contra* Merick. Coram Harcourt Lord Chancellor. In Canc.

(3.)
Where real
Estate shall
be charged
with Legacies
in Equity.
Vid. ante 415.
1 Chan. Rep.
134, 288.
2 Chan. Rep.
200.
1 Chan. Caf.
57, 257, 258.

H. Seised in fee, and indebted by Bonds, by Will gives Legacies to Children (whom he had otherwise provided for before) and devises his Land to his eldest Son in Tail. The eldest Son being also Executor, pays the Bonds with the personal Estate; and now the Legatees brought a Bill to come against the real Estate in the Place of the Bond Creditors, and be paid out of the Land. The Court seem'd to admit, That if the Lands had descended, the Legatees might have been relieved in this Manner; but since the Testator had devised them, it was resolved, That they ought to be exempted; for it was as much the Testator's Intention that the Devisee should have this Land as the others should have the Legacies, and a specifick Legacy is never broke into, in order to make good a pecuniary one. Also this Case is out of the Statute against fraudulent Devises, because the Debts are paid, and the Children being otherwise provided for, are not in the Nature of Creditors. Nota; This Case was upon an Appeal from the Decree of the Master of the Rolls, who held, That the real and personal Estate should be so charged, that both the Debts and Legacies should be paid.

Libellus Famofus.

Dominus Rex *versus* Bear. Hill. 10 W. III. B. R.

See 4 Co. 14,
15.
5 Co. 125, &c.
9 Co. 53, 59.
3 Inst. 174.
Mo. 813, 627.
1 Sid. 242.
2 Show. 468,
471, 488.
S.C. post 446.

INDICTMENT for Composing, Writing, Making and Col-
lecting several Libels, in uno quorum continetur inter alia
juxta tenorem & ad effectum sequent', and then sets out the
Words. Upon Not guilty pleaded, the Jury found the De-
fendant guilty as to the Writing and the Collecting prout in in-
dictamento supponit' & quoad omnia alia præter scriptionem & col-
lectionem Not guilty: An Exception was taken in Arrest of
Judgment, That inter alia shew'd there was something else,
which perhaps might, if it appeared, qualify the rest.

The whole
Libel need
not be set
forth in In-
dictment, but
if any Part
qualifies the
rest, it may be
given in Evi-
dence.

Et per Cur. Non allocatur; for if that had been the Case, the
Defendant could not have been found guilty; and regularly,
where a Man speaks Treason, God save the King will not excuse
him. Vide 2 Ro. Rep. 89. And it is not necessary to set forth all
the Libels; but if any Thing qualify that which is set forth, it
must be given in Evidence.

See 5 Mod.
163, 164, &c.
1 Sid. 270,
271.
5 Co. 125.
1 Mod. 58.
Hard. 470.
Faresly 91.
1 Danv. 156.
1 Vent. 25.
2 Sid. 163.
4 Co. 14. b.
2 Saund. 369.

Hard. 223. Yelv. 117. 1 Lev. 240. 1 Bulst. 151. 2 Brownl. 100. 1 Saund. 131.

2dly, It was agreed, ad effectum sequentem of it self had been
naught; for the Court must be Judge of the Words themselves,
and not of the Construction the Prosecutor puts upon them; but
juxta tenorem sequent' imports the very Words themselves. Vide
Co. Ent. 116. Reg. 169. For the Tenor of a Thing is the Tran-
script: And Rokeby said, the Words ad effectum were loose and
useless Words; and the Words, juxta tenorem, being of a cer-
tain and more strict Signification, the Force of the latter was not
hurt by the former, for Utile per inutile non vitiatur; quod
Holt C. J. concessit; and the Case of Saltashe. H. 33 & 34 Car. 2.
B. R. Rot. 1154. was remembred and agreed, and all were of Opi-
nion, That the Words, ad effectum, were corrected by the Words,
juxta tenorem.

3dly, It was held, That the finding guilty of bare Writing and
Collecting was criminal, not but that Collecting had been better out
of the Case; for, per Holt C. J. Bare Copying out of a Libel,
by one that is neither Contriver nor Composer, is highly criminal;
and as to this the Chief Justice said, the Essence of a Libel con-
sists not in the infamous Matter, for if a Man speaks such
Words, unless the Words be put in Writing, he is not guilty of
a Libel; but the Nature of a Libel consists in putting this infa-
mous

Copying a
Libel is cri-
minal.
5 Mod. 165.

Essence of a
Libel consists
in the Wri-
ting.

Interest from that Day. Et nota; The Interest allowed is 5 l. per Cent. Per Cowper Lord Chancellor.

Hern *contra* Merick. Coram Harcourt Lord Chancellor. In Canc.

(3.)
Where real
Estate shall
be charged
with Legacies
in Equity.
Vid. ante 415.
1 Chan. Rep.
134, 288.
2 Chan. Rep.
200.
1 Chan. Caf.
57, 257, 258.

H. Seised in Fee, and indebted by Bonds, by Will gives Legacies to Children (whom he had otherwise provided for before) and devises his Land to his eldest Son in Tail. The eldest Son being also Executor, pays the Bonds with the personal Estate; and now the Legatees brought a Bill to come against the real Estate in the Place of the Bond Creditors, and be paid out of the Land. The Court seem'd to admit, That if the Lands had descended, the Legatees might have been relieved in this Manner; but since the Testator had devised them, it was resolved, That they ought to be exempted; for it was as much the Testator's Intention that the Devisee should have this Land as the others should have the Legacies, and a specifick Legacy is never broke into, in order to make good a pecuniary one. Also this Case is out of the Statute against fraudulent Devises, because the Debts are paid, and the Children being otherwise provided for, are not in the Nature of Creditors. Nota; This Case was upon an Appeal from the Decree of the Master of the Rolls, who held, That the real and personal Estate should be so charged, that both the Debts and Legacies should be paid.

Libellus Famofus.

Dominus Rex *versus* Bear. Hill. 10 W. III. B. R.

INDICTMENT for Composing, Writing, Making and Collecting several Libels, in uno quorum continetur inter alia juxta tenorem & ad effectum sequent', and then sets out the Words. Upon Not guilty pleaded, the Jury found the Defendant guilty as to the Writing and the Collecting prout in indictment supponit' & quoad omnia alia præter scriptionem & collectionem Not guilty: An Exception was taken in Arrest of Judgment, That inter alia shew'd there was something else, which perhaps might, if it appeared, qualify the rest.

Et per Cur. Non allocatur; for if that had been the Case, the Defendant could not have been found guilty; and regularly, where a Man speaks Treason, God save the King will not excuse him. Vide 2 Ro. Rep. 89. And it is not necessary to set forth all the Libels; but if any Thing qualify that which is set forth, it must be given in Evidence.

Hard. 223. Yelv. 117. 1 Lev. 240. 1 Bulst. 151. 2 Brownl. 100. 1 Saund. 131.

2dly, It was agreed, ad effectum sequentem of it self had been naught; for the Court must be Judge of the Words themselves, and not of the Construction the Prosecutor puts upon them; but juxta tenorem sequent' imports the very Words themselves. Vide Co. Ent. 116. Reg. 169. For the Tenor of a Thing is the Transcript: And Rokeby said, the Words ad effectum were loose and useless Words; and the Words, juxta tenorem, being of a certain and more strict Signification, the Force of the latter was not hurt by the former, for Utile per inutile non vitiatur; quod Holt C. J. concessit; and the Case of Saltashe. H. 33 & 34 Car. 2. B. R. Rot. 1154. was remembered and agreed, and all were of Opinion, That the Words, ad effectum, were corrected by the Words, juxta tenorem.

3dly, It was held, That the finding guilty of bare Writing and Collecting was criminal, not but that Collecting had been better out of the Case; for, per Holt C. J. Bare Copying out of a Libel, by one that is neither Contriver nor Composer, is highly criminal; and as to this the Chief Justice said, the Essence of a Libel consists not in the infamous Matter, for if a Man speaks such Words, unless the Words be put in Writing, he is not guilty of a Libel; but the Nature of a Libel consists in putting this infamous

See 4 Co. 14, 15.
5 Co. 125, &c.
9 Co. 53. 59.
3 Inst. 174.
Mo. 813. 627.
1 Sid. 242.
2 Show. 468, 471, 488.
S.C. post 46.

The whole Libel need not be set forth in Indictment, but if any Part qualifies the rest, it may be given in Evidence.

See 5 Mod. 163, 164, &c.
1 Sid. 270, 271.
5 Co. 125.
1 Mod. 58.
Hard. 470.
Faresly 91.
1 Danv. 156.
1 Vent. 25.
2 Sid. 163.
4 Co. 14. b.
2 Saund. 369.

Copying a Libel is criminal.
5 Mod. 165.

Essence of a Libel consists in the Writing.

mous Matter into Writing; and therefore if Bear writ such Matter, he is a Libeller; for it was not a Libel till it was written; and in all Cases where a Man does that Act, which makes a Thing to be what it is, he is and must be construed to be the Doer of that Thing. This is seen in all Offences, from the highest to the lowest.

If H. contrives any treasonable Matter, and another writes down the Contrivance, the Writer is as guilty as the Inventor. Where an Act of Parliament makes Sodomy Felony, and says nothing of the Abettors, if B. should stand by and hold the Door while A. committed Sodomy, B. would be as guilty of Felony as A. So in 3 Inst. 59. where an Act of Parliament makes any Thing Felony, tho' nothing be said of the Accessories in the Statute: So in the lowest Offences, where there are no Accessories, but all are Principals, as if H. should hold A. while B. beats him, he is guilty of the Battery.

So in the principal Case, he that does that without which the Thing could not be what it is, viz. a Libel, cannot be construed to be innocent.

He that
writes a Li-
bel is the
Contriver.
3 Mod. 68.

It is objected, That it is held in 9 Co. 59. Lamb's Case, That a Libeller must be either the Contriver, Procurer, or the Publisher. But this ought to be expounded by Moor 813. where the Writer is held to be in Law, a Contriver; and then that Ground of my Lord Coke's may be admitted to be Law; otherwise it will be doubtful; for if that Case be looked into, the Question there was about the Publication of a Libel; and it was held, That writing the Copy of a Libel was not a Publication, but only Evidence of a Publication; but there was no Question made how far he was guilty of Libelling; and for the Matter of Publication, the bare having a Libel is not a Publication. If a Libel be publickly known, having a written Copy of it, is an Evidence of a Publication; but otherwise where it is not known to be published.

Having a
written Copy
of a known
Libel, is Evi-
dence of a
Publication.
See 5 Mod.
165.
3 Mod. 68.

It is objected, That writing a Libel may be a lawful Act, as by the Clerk that draws the Indictment, or by a Student who takes Notes of it; and so the Defendant's might be a lawful Writing.

Where a Mat-
ter is unlaw-
ful in gene-
ral, a general
Allegation
shall be so
taken.

To this the Chief Justice answered, That the Matter abstractedly considered is unlawful, therefore the general Finding shall be taken to be criminal; and that if the Writing was innocent, as in the Case objected, there ought to be a special Finding of these Particulars, which distinguish and excuse it. If an Action be brought on the Statute of Maintenance, 'tis sufficient to say, quod manutenuit; yet in some Circumstances, a Man may lawfully maintain a Suit, as an Attorney or a near Relation; yet because it is unlawful in Abstracto, that general Allegation is enough, and shall be understood of an unlawful Maintenance; and farther, It cannot be understood of such a Writing; for if an Officer

Officer or Student does it, 'tis no Libel because it is not done ad infamiam of the Party, but to bring the Offender to Punishment, and it is only tenor Libelli, and upon such Evidence the Defendant could not be found guilty. The Case in 3 Inst. 174. is a strong Case: In that Case J. de Northampton is charged with writing only, and there is no Mention made of a Publication.

I am not under any Necessity of giving my Opinion, whether writing a Copy of a Libel be writing of a Libel? for if it be not, then the Jury having found the Defendant guilty of writing a Libel, he must be taken to be guilty of writing the Original, and a Copy could not be given in Evidence. On the other Side, if the Copy of a Libel be a Libel, then the writing of it is a great Offence: But that People may not go away with a Notion, that writing of a Copy, tho' by one that has no warrantable Authority, is not Libelling, the Chief Justice said, That such a Copy contained all Things necessary to the Constitution of a Libel, viz. The scandalous Matter, and the Writing; and it has the same pernicious Consequence; for it perpetuates the Memory of the Thing, and some Time or other comes to be published; therefore he held, That writing a Copy of a Libel was writing a Libel; and if the Law were otherwise, Men might write Copies and print them with Impunity.

Writing a Copy of a Libel without Authority, is writing a Libel.

5 Mod. 164,

165.

Pop. 139.

12 Rep.

Dr. Wootton's

Case.

3 Inst. 134.

1 Vent. 31.

Farther, the Chief Justice said, That the Defendant had great Favour in the Verdict, for when a Libel appears under a Man's own Hand-writing, and no other Author is known, he is taken in the Manner, and it turns the Proof upon him; and if he cannot produce the Composer, it is hard to find that he is not the very Man. A Man could have no Temptations to write such Libels, but Rancour against the Government.

Lastly, It was objected, That the Defendant being found guilty of Collecting and Writing, and not of Making and Composing, the Verdict is repugnant, or an Acquittal; sed non allocatur; for making is the Genus, and composing and Contriving is one Species; Writing a second Species, and procuring to be written a third Species; so that not finding him guilty of all, but writing only, is finding him Not guilty of any Species of Making, but Writing. Justin. Inst. lib. 4. cap. 4. par. i. de injuriis, and Bract. lib. 3. Fitz. tit. Coron. 135. Bare Writing was punishable in the Star-Chamber. Hob. 62, 215. 12 Co. 35. Hell. 4. Moor 421. Dy. 372. Judgment pro Rege.

Cro. Car.

125.

LIMITATIONS.

See 1 Saund.

37.

2 Saund. 66,

120, 125.

1 Sid. 305.

Hurr. 109.

Cro. Car. 163,

513, 535.

5 Mod. 426.

2 Mod. 71, &c.

S. C. 3 Mod.

311.

1 Show 98.

Vid. 1 Lev.

149, 143.

(1.)

Defendant's
being beyond
Sea does not
avoid the Sta-
tute of Limi-
tations.

Comber. 190.

2 Mod. 311.

Note, the

Law is now

alter'd by Stat. 4, 5. Ann. c. 16.

6 Mod. 240.

S. C. Carth. 136.

1 Sid. 465.

2 Vent. 256.

3 Lev. 21, 283, 367.

2 Mod. 240.

(2.)

In Replica-

tion to avoid

the Statute of

Limitations,

the Continu-

ances must

be shewn.

See 2 Show.

79.

3 Mod. 111,

112.

Hall *versus* Wybourn. Trin. 1 W. & M. Rot. 130. B.R.

IN Bar of the Statute of Limitations, the Plaintiff re-
plied, that the Defendant was beyond Sea, and it was held
no Plea, for the Plaintiff might either file his Original, or
outlaw him; and in one Bynion's Case, it was held by
Bridgman C. J. That tho' the Courts of Justice were shut up
so as no Original could be filed, yet this Statute would bar the
Action; because the Statute is general, and must work upon all
Cases which are not exempted by the Exception.

Budd *versus* Berkenhead. Trin. 2 W. & M. B.R.

DEFENDANT having pleaded the Statute of Limita-
tions, the Plaintiff replied in Avoidance, That he sued out
an Attachment returnable Mich. 34. Car. 2. Et quod superinde
taliter processum fuit, that the Defendant in Michaelmas Term,
2 Jac. 2. appeared, &c. Et per Cur'; This Pleading is not good,
it must be shewn that there were Continuances till the Time of
declaring, and a taliter processum is not sufficient to shew a Mat-
ter before Declaration, tho' it has been held so for Matters after.

Coventry *ver.* Apsey. Mich. 3 W. & M. Rot. 411. B.R.

(3.)
Imprison-
ment, Statute
of Limitati-
ons pleaded
to part. Plain-
tiff ought to
reply, it was
one conti-
nued Dureff.
Vide post pl.
11.
Comber. 26.

TRESPASS for imprisoning him, and detaining him in Pris-
on from 32 Car. 2. till the 2d of April 4 Jac. 2. The De-
fendant pleaded as to all, till 34 Car. 2. such a Day, non cul. in-
fra quatuor Annos; and as to the rest, a Pleint and a Capias issu-
ed. The Plaintiff demurred: Et per Cur'. Tho' the Imprison-
ment be complained of as one continued Imprisonment, yet the
Defendant may divide the Time, and plead the Statute as to
Part, and the Plaintiff may reply the Continuance; therefore as
to this, Judgment was given against the Plaintiff upon his De-
murrer, but for him as to the rest; because the Capias was a-
warded by the Court ex Officio, and it did not appear that the
Defendant meddled in it.

Cary & Ux' *versus* Stephenson. Pas. 6 W. & M. B. R.
Intr. Hill. 5 W. & M. Rot. 37.

Comber. 311.
S. C. call'd
Curry & Ux.
ver. Stephens.

H Was indebted to A. who died, B. received the Money, and afterwards the Plaintiff's Wife took out Letters of Administration to A. and within six Years after the Letters of Administration, but not within six Years after the Receipt of the Money, brought an Indebitatus Assumpsit against B. as for Money had and received to the Use of him and his Wife; the Defendant pleaded Non Assumpsit infra sex Annos; the Plaintiff replied the Special Matter; and upon Demurrer, the Court were of Opinion, That the Statute could be no Bar, because the Plaintiff's Title commenced by taking out Letters of Administration, and this was not a Cause of Action in the Intestate; but they thought it hard to make this so much Money received to the Plaintiff's Use, when at the Time of this Receipt, he was not Administrator. Note; There was a faulty Replication, and the Court advised the Plaintiff to bring a new Action, and so the Matter went off.

(4.)
A. receiv'd
the Intestate's
Money, and
afterwards
Administration
was
granted to B.
The Cause of
Action ac-
crued by the
Administra-
tion.
See 1 Chan.
Cases 152.
2 Chan. Cas.
217. & post
pl. 9.
4 Mod. 376.
Carth. 335.
S. C. 2 Saund.
150. call'd
Curry vers.
Stephenson. 2 Cro. 60, 61.

Stokes *versus* Berry. At the Summer-Assizes at Lincoln, 1699. Coram Holt C. J.

If A. has had Possession of Lands for twenty Years without Interruption, and then B. gets Possession, upon which A. is put to his Ejectment, tho' A. is Plaintiff, yet the Possession of twenty Years, shall be a good Title in him, as if he had still been in Possession. Ruled per Holt C. J. The same Point was ruled by Holt C. J. at Lent-Assizes for Bucks, 12 W. 3. because a Possession for twenty Years, is like a Discent, which tolls Entry, and gives a Right of Possession, which is sufficient to maintain an Ejectment.

(5.)
Twenty
Years Posses-
sion is a good
Title in
Ejectment
for the Plain-
tiff, as well
as Defendant.

Green *versus* Rivett. Pas. 1 Ann. B. R. Intr. Mich.
13 W. III. Rot. 316.

S. C. Far. 12.
vide ibid. 5.

INDEBITATUS ASSUMPSIT laid several Ways; the Defendant pleaded Action non, quia dicit quod billa prædicta exhibit. fuit 20 die Junii & non antea, & quod ipse ad aliquod tempus infra sex Annos ante exhibitionem billæ prædictæ. Non Assumpsit, &c. The Plaintiff replied, a Bill of Middlesex, tested die Lunæ prox. post tres septimanas, &c. returnable the same Day, whereupon was returned non est inventus, and continued down, by Vic. non misit breve & præcept. sicut alias; to this it was demur-

(6.)
Plea of the
Statute of Li-
mitations to
be favoured.
Vide 1 Mod.
31, 268.
2 Mod. 71,
311.
1 Vent. 90.
2 Saund. 125,
127.
1 Mod. 89.
1 Saund. 36,
red, 37, 120, 127.

2 Saund. 120,
121, 125, 162.
2 Mod. 72.
Cumberb. 70.
1 Show. 354.
2 Show. 79,
126. 3 Salk. 227.

red, and Judgment given for the Defendant; for there cannot be such a Bill of Middlesex as this, which is returnable the very Day of the Terme, and the Statute of Limitations, on which the Security of all Men depends, is to be favoured.

S. C. 1 Salk.
339.

Hunt *versus* Burn. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

Vide the State of this Case, Tit. Fines, pl. 5. Vol. 1. p. 339.

(7.)
H. barred of
his Forme-
don, may take
Advantage of
a Right of
Entry.
1 Lutw. 779.
1 Salk. 341.

1st, UPON the Question in this Case, Whether it appeared by the Verdict, that the Issue in Case was barred of his Formedon by 21 Jac. 1? the Court held, That the Verdict was insufficient; and that it should have found that no Formedon was brought, else the Court could not intend but that it was brought; for this is Matter of Bar, which should come on the Defendant's Part to shew; and this Act is not penn'd as the Statute de finibus: It is enough in that Case to find a Fine, and you need not find that the Party claimed or entered not, for that comes in by Way of Proviso; but here it is Part of the Body of the Act.

2dly, They held, That supposing him barred of his Formedon, yet he is not thereby hindered to pursue his Right of Entry, which accrued to him by the Death of Tenant for Life; for this is a new Right which he had not before: That where a Man releases his Right, he cannot pursue his Action or Remedy; but if a Man has a Right and several Remedies, the Discharge of one is not a Discharge of the other, and that the Statute of 4 H. 7. enures and operates by Way of Bar to the Right, which answers Saul and Clerk's Case, Jones 210, 211. But the 21 H. 8. and the 21 Jac. 1. operate by Way of Bar to the Remedy, and the Word Right there is Right of Entry.

(8.)

Heyling *versus* Hoskins. Vide this Case, Title Action sur le Case sur Assumpsit, pl. 19. Vol. 1. p. 29.

Gould *versus* Johnson. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(9.)
Where the
Duty arises
on Consider-
ation execu-
tory, the De-
fendant must
plead, Quod
actio non ac-
crevit, &c.
Vi. ante pl. 4.
2 Show. 79,
126.
1 Mod. 71,
268.
2 Saund. 66,
125. 1 Saund. 36, 37. 1 Vent. 89. 2 Keb. 674. 1 Lev. 298.

ASSUMPSIT, That in Consideration that the Plaintiff at the Defendant's Request, would receive A. and B. into his House, ut Hospites, and diet them, the Defendant promised, &c. Non Assumpsit infra sex Annos was pleaded; the Plaintiff demurred; and held no Plea; for the Defendant cannot in such Case plead Non Assumpsit infra sex Annos, but Actio non accrevit infra sex Annos; for 'tis not material when the Promise was made, if the Cause of Action be within the six Years; and the Dieting might be long afterwards; and tho' it appears upon the Face of the Declaration, that the Cause of Action did not arise within six Years;

Years; yet the Defendant shall not take Advantage of that, without pleading; because there might be an Original sued out, which the Plaintiff cannot otherwise shew; than by Way of Replication, upon the Defendant's putting him upon it.

See 1 Mod. 71, 268, 269.
2 Mod. 311.
1 Lev. 48, 111.
2 Saund. 127.
1 Mod. 89.
1 Sid. 465.

Reading *versus* Royston. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

See Faresl. 5. & 12.

ONE seized in Fee, having Issue two Daughters, devised his Land to his Grandson by his eldest Daughter in Fee; the eldest Daughter being dead at the Time of the Devise: The Grandson died without Issue, and the Heir of the Grandson being the Heir on the Part of the Father, and the Heir of the other Coparcener entered into the Land, and took the Profit by Moieties for twenty Years together, thinking according to the Opinion of Sir Matthew Hale in his younger Years, (who was their Counsel) that the Devise was void for one Moiety. Now the Mistake being discovered, the Heir of the Grandson brought an Ejectment against the Heir of the other Coparcener; and upon a Special Verdict found, it was objected in his Behalf, That the Devise was void as to one Moiety; but this being overruled, (quod vide Title Descend. pl. 3. Vol. 1. p. 242.) it was then objected, That the bringing of this Ejectment against the Heir of the other Coparcener, for this Moiety, admitted the Plaintiff to be out of Possession for twenty Years, and then he was barred by the Statute of Limitations. Sed per Cur,

(10.)
Statute of Limitations runs not against Heirs unless actually ousted or disseised.

Co. Lit. 163. b.
1 Co. 93, 94. b.
Co. Lit. 347. b.
1 And. 69.
Owen 65.

The Statute of Limitations never runs against a Man, but where he is actually ousted or disseised; and true it is, one Tenant in Common may disseise another; but then it must be done by actual Disseisin, and not by bare Perception of Profits only; but here the Difficulty is not so great; there is no Tenancy in Common in this Case, for the Heir of the Grandson had the whole by Devise, and the other is a meer Stranger; and where two Men are in Possession, the Law will adjudge it in him that hath the Right. A Man may be Tenant in Common by Prescription, yet he may not be Tenant in Common by Wrong; nor can a Man be disseised of an undivided Moiety; therefore the bringing the Ejectment admits nothing; for if a Man be seized of the whole, and makes a Lease to another of a Moiety undivided, and a Stranger ousts the Lessee, he must bring his Ejectment of a Moiety, and so if they be both ousted, they must bring several Ejectments.

Tenants in Common may be by Prescription, not by Wrong.
2 Saund. 116.
1 Inst. 195, 196, 197, 198.
2 Lev. 27.
1 Lev. 109. 5 Mod. 25, 26, 27.

Blackmore *versus* Tidderly. Hill. 3 Ann. B. R.

S. C. 6 Mod. 240.

IN Trespass for Assault and Battery, the Defendant pleaded, Non culp. infra sex Annos, by Mistake, and not according to the

(11.)
Not guilty within six Years, is ill in Trespass. Vide ante Pl. 3.

6 Mod. 5,
12, 99.
Farell. 99.

the Statute, which is but four Years: The Plaintiff demurred, and after Argument it was adjudged an ill Plea; for if it be considered as at Common Law, there was no such Plea; if on the Statute, the Act is not pursued, and the Plaintiff could not take Issue on it; for quod est culp. infra sex Annos, is an Issue immaterial; because it may be, the Jury might find him Not guilty infra quatuor Annos, but guilty infra sex Annos. Judgment for the Plaintiff.

Hide *versus* Partridge.

(12.)
Statute of
Limitations
pleadable to
a Suit in the
Admiralty
for Mariners
Wages.

Quere.
Suits for Ma-
riners Wages.
Vide St. 4 &
5 Annæ c. 16.
1 Salk. 31
to 35.
6 Mod. 11,
12, 25, 79.
Post 548.
Mod. Cases
424.

1 Chan. Cases

152.

2 Chan. Cases

217.

2 Saund.

124, 126.

3 Mod. 244.

1 Lev. 298.

LIBEL was for Mariners Wages in the Admiralty Court, and the Defendant there pleaded the Statute of Limitations, viz. That no Cause of Action accrued within six Years, prox. ante tempus mentionat. in Libello; and it was over-ruled there. And now upon a Motion for a Prohibition it was urged, That Mariners Wages were suable in the Admiralty by Indulgence only, and not of Right; That at Common Law, the Statute would be a good Plea: On the other Side 'twas said, the Statute extended only to Courts at Common Law; that it was not pleadable to a Proceeding in the Spiritual Court pro violenta manuum injectione super Clericum: But a Prohibition was denied, because the Statute was ill pleaded; but the Plea was afterwards amended: And Holt C. J. said, It was strange that the same Matter, well pleaded, should be a Defence in one Court and not in another. The Statute of Limitations is a good Plea in Chancery; it is true, 'tis no Plea to a Suit pro violenta manuum, &c. but that is, because the Proceeding is pro reformatione morum, and not for Damages; and so 'tis at Common Law, 'tis no Plea to an Indictment for Trespas, otherwise in an Action. Adjournat.

4 Mod. 105. 1 Sid. 465. 1 Vent. 146, 343. Raym. 3. Winch. 8. 6 Mod. 238.

Matthews *versus* Philips, cite and agree Mich. 6 Ann. B.R.

(13.)
Action re-
mov'd by
Habeas Corpus,
Statute of
Limitations
pleaded a-
bove, Plain-
tiff may re-
ply, the Suit
below was
within six
Years.
See 2 Show.
79, 126.

DEBT was brought in the Palace Court, and after some Proceedings there, the six Years expired; the Defendant sued a Habeas Corpus, and removed the Cause into B. R. where the Plaintiff declared de novo, and the Defendant pleaded, That the Cause of Action did not accrue within six Years before the Teste of the Habeas Corpus; and this was held to be a good Plea, but that the Plaintiff might reply the Suit below, and shew that to have been within the six Years; not that this Suit was a Continuance of the Suit below, but that the Plaintiff had rightfully and legally pursued his Right; and it should not be in the Power of the Defendant to defeat or hinder him of a Remedy,

medy, without any Default; as where one brings an Action before the Expiration of six Years, and dies before Judgment, the six Years being then expired, this shall not prevent his Executor.

See 5 Mod. 426.
1 Mod. 89.
2 Lev. 166.
Lutw. 258,
263.

LONDON, and the Customs thereof

See 3 Lev. 264.
1 Rol. R. 365.
Moor 576.
5 Mod. 75, 93,
160, 440.
6 Mod. 69,
123, 177.
Hard. 56, 210.
Cart. 68,
114, &c.
S. C. 1 Salk. 76.
6 Mod. 244.
V. Post. 498.

Arnot *versus* Brown. Mich. 7 W. III. B. R.

ARNOT and BROWN were Owners of two contiguous Houses. Brown had Lights in his House towards Arnot's Yard, and Arnot made up Blinds. The Court of Aldermen, upon 19 Car. 2. c. 3. ordered they should be abated; but a Prohibition was granted in B. R. For whatever they may do in their inner Court by quod permittat, where they have Power to determine real Actions; 'tis plain that the Court of Aldermen have no Power in this summary Way, unless by 19 Car. 2. c. 3. and that gave them only a temporary Power during the Rebuilding of the City. While the City was rebuilding they had Power to assign Lights; but by being once assigned, the Party gained a legal Title to them, and may maintain an Action at Common Law for the Obstruction, and the Court of Aldermen have no farther Power. Vide Residuum 6 Mod. 244.

Raym. 87. 1 Mod. 55. 2 Lev. 193. 1 Vent. 274. 6 Mod. 166, 193, 314. 1 Lutw. 382, 386.

Domina Regina *versus* Rogers. Trin. 1 Ann. B. R.

UPON a Certiorari the Custom of London was returned, viz. That if any Citizen speaks contemptuous Words of an Alderman, or assaults him in the Execution of his Office, an Information should be exhibited against him in the Name of the Common Serjeant in the Court of Aldermen, and that they should proceed against him to fine him; and that at a Wardmote held by Sir Robert Jeffreys, the Defendant assaulted him, and said, I have as much to do here as you; you think sure you are among your Bridewell Birds, but you are mistaken; for which an

S. C. Farell. 28.
See 1 Mod. 35.
& Prox. Pag.
5 Mod. 75,
94, 440.
2 Lev. 200.
6 Mod. 124.
(2.)
Custom to
punish by In-
formation in
the Court of
Aldermen,
for Assault
and con-
temptuous
Words of an

Alderman in Execution of his Office is good. Otherwise, if to disfranchise.

3 Cro. 78.
1 Vent. 16.
1 Sid. 65.
1 Mod. 35.
3 Mod. 139,
133.
Farell. 28.
6 Mod. 124.
4 Mod. 341.
1 Vent. 302,
327.
3 Keb. 764,
714, 799,
811.
2 Keb. 594.
2 Lev. 200.
1 Ven. 16,
327.
2 Jo. 229.
2 Cro. 58.

Information was exhibited by the Common Serjeant. Et per Cur.
It had been doubtful if the Offence had been by Words only, be-
cause no Indictment lay at Common Law, but he is to be bound
to Good Behaviour; yet for Assault he is punishable, and that
may be by Information there by the Custom, as well as in B. R.
by the Course of the Court, tho' the regular Course at Common
Law is by Indictment. 2dly, The Court held, That the Informa-
tion lay in the Court of Aldermen, tho' an Alderman was grieved;
otherwise of the Mayor, for he is an integral Part, without which
the Court cannot be held, but the other may be severed, and he
must not sit; so the Mayor and Alderman may grant to an Alder-
man, but the Aldermen and City cannot grant to the Mayor: But
the Court held, That a Custom to disfranchise for contemptuous
Words spoken of an Alderman, was void, according to 2 Lev. 200.

Hob. 62. 8 Co. 116.

Custom of LONDON concerning Orphans and Freemens Estates.

See 1 Cro. 347.
1 Lev. 227.
2 Lev. 130.
Raym. 4, 116.
1 Keb. 868.
2 Lev. 32, 130.
1 Mod. 77,
78, &c.
2 Show. 174,
409, 467.
2 Chan. Cases
170.
1 Chan. Case
199, 285, 310.

If a Freeman of London has no Wife, but has Children, the
Half of his personal Estate belongs to his Children, and the
other Half the Freeman may dispose of; so if the Freeman has a
Wife and no Children, half of his personal Estate belongs to his
Wife, and the other Half he may dispose of: But if a Freeman
hath a Wife and Children, one third Part belongs to the Wife,
and another third Part to the Children, and the Freeman may
dispose of the other third Part. And if such Freeman dies In-
testate, the Custom affects only two Thirds, and the remaining
Third is subject to the Statute of Distributions, and so dividing
the whole into Ninths, four Ninths belong to the Wife, and five
Ninths belong to the Children.

Custom ex-
tends only to
Children, not
Grandchil-
dren.

If a Freeman of London has two Sons, and the eldest Son dies
leaving a Son, and then the Freeman dies, the Grandchild, tho'
in Law a Representative of the Son, who never was advanced,
has no Part by the Custom; for the Custom of London extends
only to the Children, and not to the Grandchildren; per Northey;
and so it has been certified by the Recorder into Chancery.

Hotchpot ex-
tends only
amongst
Children.

If a Freeman of London has but one Child, and he has re-
ceived some Portion from his Father, and the Father dies, lea-
ving this Child and a Wife, the Child shall have his full Orphan's
Part, without any Regard to what he has already received; for
that Advancement in Part is only to be brought into Hotchpot
with Children, and not with others. Per Sir Edward Northey.

Where it ap-
pears under
the Father's
Hand, how
much a Child
has received,
the Court
will judge
whether full
Advance-
ment, or not.

If a Freeman of London has advanced any of his Children
with a Portion; yet if it appears what that Portion was by any
Writing under the Father's Hand, or by the Father's Will, or his
Marriage Settlement, and by the said Will or Settlement it is
said, That the said Portion is or was in full of his Child's Part
by the Custom; yet this Child shall come in for the customary
Part

Part of the rest of the Father's personal Estate, bringing the Portion already received into Hotchpot; otherwise it is, if it does not appear under the Father's Hand what the Advancement was.

Lunatick, Ideot.

Vide 4 Co.
124. 8cc.
6 Co. 69.
8 Co. 170.
9 Co. 31.

Hard's Case. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

SIR Bartholomew Shower moved to quash an Order of Justices, for removing an Ideot to the Place of the last legal Settlement of his Father, comparing it to the Case of a Bastard, who is to be maintained by the Parish where he is born. Sed per Holt C. J. The Father of an Ideot ought to maintain him, and if he cannot, the Parish or Place where his Father is settled. There is no Difference between an Ideot and any other poor Child. The Case of a Bastard differs, for the Reason of that is, because he has no Father, or rather none that the Law looks upon as such; and therefore till 18 Eliz. c. 3. the Parishes where they were born, were bound to maintain them. Adjournat.

(1.)
Maintainable
by the Parish
where the Fa-
ther is set-
tled, not
where born.
V. post 528.
485. pl. 43.
Comberb.
380, 381.
Mod. Cases
87.

Thompson *versus* Leach. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

S.C. post 465,
476, 675.

A. Tenant for Life, being Non Compos, with Remainder to his first Son in Tail, Remainder to B. in Fee, surrendered by Deed of Surrender to B. before he had a Son; this Deed of Surrender was held absolutely void, and the contingent Remainder not destroyed.

(2.)
His Deed is
void.
Vide Lutw.
1188.

M A N D A M U S.

Vide 1 Lev.

23, 65, 75, 91,

119, 123, 148,

187, 162.

2 Lev. 14, 18, 238. 3 Lev. 309. 1. Mod. 82. 3 Mod. 265, 332, &c. 4 Mod. 233, 234, 260, 281, 368.

See pag. 430.
pl. 8.

Anonymus. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(1.)

No peremp-

tory Manda-

mus upon an

Action for a

false Return

brought in

C. B.

Comber. 400.

In an Action on the Case in the Common Pleas, for a false Return of a Mandamus, Judgment was given for the Plaintiff upon Demurrer; and now Serjeant Pemberton came in B. R. and pray'd a peremptory Mandamus, but it was denied; for per Holt C. J. Every Mandamus recites the fact, prout constat nobis per recordum. How can we say that in this Case, we cannot take Notice of the Records of the Common Pleas? You might have brought your Action here.

Dominus Rex versus The Mayor and Burgeses of Wilton. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)

Want of

Summons is

no Objection

if the Person

appeared and

was heard.

Vide post

435.

A Mandamus issued to restore Elias Chalk to the Place of a Burgess of Wilton, to which was returned a Custom for the Mayor and Burgeses to remove for Misbehaviour: Then they set forth several Instances of Misbehaviour, and that he being thereupon fully heard to all that was objected in the Common Council of the Mayor and Burgeses, and it being fully prov'd upon him, they turn'd him out. It was objected, That it was not said he was summoned. Vide Style 51, 446, 452. 3 Bulst. 189. 2 Keb. 489. Per Cur'. The End of the Summons is, that he may be heard for himself, and therefore where he has been heard, want of Summons is no Objection; but this was afterwards determined on other Objections.

Vide 4 Mod.

233, &c.

Dominus Rex versus The Mayor, &c. of Oxon. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)

Where an Of-

ficer at Will

is remov'd,

and the Cor-

poration does

not rely up-

on their

Power, but

return a Mis-

demeanour,

A Mandamus issued to the Mayor and Commonalty of Oxford to restore Slatford to the Office of Town-Clerk; they returned their Charter of Incorporation, which gives them Power to chuse a discreet Person to be Town-Clerk, to hold at the Will of the Mayor and Aldermen; The 13 Car. 2. Stat. 2. and the Stat. of W. & M. about taking the Oaths, and that the Office of Town-Clerk

2

and that is insufficient, peremptory Mandamus shall issue.

Clerk being void, they chose Slatford, and that he took the Oaths of Office, coram nobis Majore & Ballivis; but did not coram nobis Majore & Ballivis take the Oath of Allegiance, per quod his Office became void, & ea ratione, &c. Upon which these three Points were stirred and settled.

1st, Whether the Party that comes in is to take the Oaths at his Peril, or they are to tender them, and if he refused, whether it must not appear upon the Return? Et per Cur'. He must take them at his Peril; the Magistrate need not tender to him, but he must tender himself to the Magistrate, and demand them; and if it be refused, must sue a Mandamus, and the Magistrate is punishable; if the Law were otherwise, it would be in the Power of the Magistrate to elude the Act in favour of the Party.

1 Vent. 321
Vide Raym.
212.
2 Saund. 289.
2 Show. 68,
475.
4 Mod. 233.
237, 239.
5 Mod. 432,
433, &c.
3 Mod. 72,
118.
Post 432.

2^{dly}, Whether it be enough to say, he did not take the Oaths before them? And this was held naught; for two Justices have a Power to administer the Oath, and he might take the Oath before them.

3^{dly}, Whether a Person that was only Tenant at Will, should have a peremptory Mandamus? Et per Cur'. We do not determine whether there ought to be a good Cause, or not, for such Removal; but suppose it may be without Cause, yet still they must determine their Will: Now they do not return a Determination of his Office by their Will, as the Reason why they do not admit him, but the special Matter of his not taking the Oaths; therefore, since his Office continues, and this Excuse is insufficient, he ought to be restored. A peremptory Mandamus was granted. Vide Serjeant Whitacre's Case.

The Mayor of Coventry's Case. Hill. 9 Will. III. B.R.

A Motion was made for an Attachment, for not returning an alias Mandamus. Et per Holt C.J. In Case of a Mandamus out of Chancery, no Attachment lies till the Pluries, for that is in the Nature of an Action to recover Damages for the Delay; but upon a Mandamus out of this Court, the first Writ ought to be returned; yet an Attachment is never granted without a peremptory Rule to return the Writ, and then an Attachment goes for the Contempt; and in this Case a peremptory Rule was made.

(4)
The first
Writ ought
to be return-
ed.
Mod. Cases
25.

Dominus Rex versus The Mayor, &c. of Coventry.
Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(5.)
Custom to
remove ad li-
bitum, good,
but that must
be return'd
positively.
See 4 Mod.
34, 233.
5 Mod. 259,
431, &c.
4 Mod. 34,
36.
Raym. 235,
439.
Post 432 in
pede.
1 Vent. 143.
2 Keb. 770,
796.

MANDAMUS to restore J. S. to be one of the Common-Council House; the Defendants returned, That they were an ancient Corporation, and that the King by his Letters Patent, reciting their Customs, amongst which was this of electing Persons to be of the Common-Council House, and removing them ad libitum, did grant and confirm all their Liberties, Customs, &c. and that they by Force of the said Custom Time out of Mind used, & secundum formam literarum Patentium prædict. did remove him: And first, it was agreed, That the Estate, whether by Custom or Charter, has this Condition annexed to it, that the Corporation might displace him at Will, without assigning any Cause; but this differed from the Case of the Recorder of Bath, who was appointed by the Commissioners for regulating Corporations: By their Custom they were to chuse one learned in the Law for a Recorder; The Corporation turned out the Lord H. and returned, He was not learned in the Law; and held good; for whoever put him in, he must be so qualified by the Custom, and he might bring an Action for a false Return, if he be a Person learned in the Laws. 2dly, This Return was held naught, because it did not appear that the Corporation had any such Power, but only by the Recital; whereas they should have returned, they had such a Power positively.

Anonymus. Hill. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(6.)
Rule to in-
spect the
Charter, in
order to
make Re-
turn, denied.

A Mandamus was granted to admit J. S. Mayor. It was moved, That the Mayor in Possession might have a Rule to see the Charter, that he might be able to make a Return; for the other who had sued the Mandamus, was not rightly elected: But it was denied, for he may return that; and in an Action for a false Return, shall have a Rule to see the Charter and take a Copy; and it was said, that the Court were always upon that Difference.

See pag. 428.
pl. 1.
4 Mod. 34,
233, 236, 368.
Raym. 365.

Buckly versus Palmer. Trin. 11 W. III. B. R.

(7.)
After the Re-
turn falsified,
peremptory
Mandamus is
of Right. See 6 Mod. 152. Information for a false Return, &c. Comber. 400.

An Action was brought for a false Return, and a Verdict was for the Plaintiff, and a peremptory Mandamus was moved for, and opposed, because it was a hard Verdict, &c. Et per Holt C. J. When an Action is brought for a false Return, and that

that is falsified, we cannot refuse a peremptory Mandamus. Sed nota; This Motion cannot be made till four Days are past after the Return of the Postea; because the Defendant has so long to move in Arrest of Judgment. Pas. 12 W. 3. B. R. The Case of the City of Exeter. See 1 Salk. 77, 78.

Dominus Rex versus The Bailiffs and Burgeses of Malden. Trin. 11 W. III. B. R.

A Mandamus issued, reciting quod cum they ought to chuse yearly two Bailiffs, out of such as had not been Bailiffs for three Years befoze, ideo they were commanded to chuse. They returned their Constitution by Letters Patents to be, to chuse two ex Aldermannis, and that they had chosen two, secundum formam & effectum literarum Patentium generally; and this was held naught, for they ought to deny their Constitution to be as is mentioned in the Writ, or shew a Compliance with the Writ; where as they have aded according to a Constitution set forth in the Return different from the Writ, and do not deny the Supposal of the Writ; wherefoze a peremptory Mandamus was granted. (8.) Where the Return speaks of a Constitution different from the Writ, it ought to deny the Supposal of the Writ. 4 Mod. 34, 122, 136, 233. Post. Pl. 16. Cro. Car. 133.

Dominus Rex versus The Mayor, &c. of Abingdon. S. C. post 432, 699.
Mich. 11 Will. III. B. R.

A Mandamus was granted to the Mayor, Bailiffs and Burgeses of the Town of Abingdon: The Mayor made a Return and brought it into the Crown-Office, intending to move to have it filed; and now a Motion was made to stay the Filing of it, upon Suggestion, That this Return was made by the Mayor and minor Part of the Bailiffs and Burgeses, and against the Consent of the greater Number, who would have obeyed the Writ, and therefore they prayed they might disavow this Return and put in another. Et per Holt C. J. Where a Writ is directed to a single Officer, as a Sheriff, and a Return is made by a Stranger, without his Privity, he may any Time that Term wherein the Writ is returned, come in and disavow it, but not after the Term. Dy. 182. But in this Case, where the Writ is directed to several, and the Mayor, who is the most principal and proper Person, returns and brings in the Writ, it is not fit that we should examine upon Affidavits, whether there was the Consent of the Majority? We will take it, and leave you to punish the Mayor for this Misdemeanor, if he be guilty; for it is a great Crime, which will not only merit a heavy fine, but a peremptory Mandamus will be granted, if the Return be falsified. If they were all equal Parties, this might be another Case: The Re.

Return was filed, and at the same time Leave was given to file an Information against the Mayor.

Dominus Rex *versus* The Mayor, &c. of Norwich.
Hill. 11 W. III. B. R.

(10.)

A Mandamus was granted to the Mayor, &c. of Norwich; It was moved, That the Sense of the Mayor differed from the Majority of the Corporation, and that he would execute the Writ, whereas the Corporation were for returning an Excuse, &c. And they prayed, That the Mayor might be ordered to deliver the Writ to the rest of the Corporation; sed non allocatur; for he is the Head and Principal, and take your Course against him.

S.C. ante 431.
Post 699.

Dominus Rex *versus* The Mayor, &c. of Abingdon.
Pas. 12 Will. III. B. R.

(11.)

Return, that A. was elected a Burgess, but did not receive the Sacrament within a Year before, per quod the Election was void, and he is not a Burgess, ill.
Ante 428, 429.
4 Mod. 233.
3 Mod. 72, 118.
5 Mod. 432, 433, &c.
2 Show. 68, 475.
2 Saund. 289.

A Mandamus was directed Majori, Ballivis & omnibus principalibus Burgensibus Burgi de A. (except R. and S.) setting forth the Constitution, and that R. and S. were capital Burgesses, chosen by the Commonalty to stand and serve for Mayor for the ensuing Year; and that they were to chuse one of them, Ideo they were commanded to elect one of them accordingly. They returned the Stat. 13 Car. 2. Sess. 2. c. 1. and that within twenty Years, prox. post 25 March 1663. R. & S. fuerunt electi Burgenses principales, and within a Year before their Election had not received the Sacrament, per quod electio eorum vacua devenit & non sunt principales Burgenses; and this Return was held naught: 1st, The Court considered it without the last Words, Et non, &c. And as to that the Chief Justice said, the Writ supposes them to be Burgesses, and so the Court must intend them, and this is not answered by the special Matter of the Return, which shews only that he was once elected, and that was a void Election; whereas he might qualify himself and be chosen again; and here is nothing to exclude the Intendment of a subsequent Election, which is according to the Supposal of the Writ.

Return must be certain to every Intent. Vide ante 430. Pl. 5. &c. ib. post 436, 586, 589. Mod. Cases 89.

2dly, The Court considered it with the last Words, and held the Et non sunt principal Burgenses, &c. to be only Part of the Conclusion or Inference; and the Chief Justice said, the Law requires the most exact Certainty in these Cases, because the Party cannot traverse nor interplead; and it is not enough to offer a Matter, so that the Party may be able to falsify it in an Action; but the Matter must be so alledged, that the Court may be able to judge of it and determine, whether it be a sufficient Cause, or not? If the Matter set forth in this Return had been so alledged in

in a Plea in Bar, the Plaintiff might have replied a subsequent Election: Ergo, this Return is uncertain; for there might have been a subsequent Election.

Dominus Rex *versus* The Mayor, &c. of Rippon.
Pas. 12 Will. III. B. R.

MANDAMUS was directed to the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of Rippon, to restore Sir Jonathan Jennings to his Place of Alderman of Rippon; they returned themselves to be incorporated by another Name, viz. Mayor, Burgesses and Commonalty; and farther, That Sir Jonathan Jennings, at such a Time, at an Assembly of the Corporation, came & personaliter libere & debito modo resignavit his Office, declaring, he would continue to serve no longer in that Office; whereupon they chose another in his Room: And this Declaration in a Corporate Assembly was held good, especially since the Corporation accepted it, and chose another in his Place; but till such Election he had Power to waive his Resignation, not afterwards; and whether a Deed was necessary, or not necessary, is not material, because they have positively returned quod resignavit, which is false, if a Deed was necessary, and there was none. Also the Court held the Writ naught, because it was directed to the Corporation by a Wrong Name, but refused to grant a new Writ of Mandamus, because an Action lay against the particular Persons for the false Return of this: Ergo a new one would be vexatious.

(12.)
Return of a
Resignation
in the corpo-
rate Assem-
bly and Elec-
tion of ano-
ther into the
Office, good.
1 Vent. 19.
1 Lev. 148.
2 Show. 66.

The Case of Andover. Mich. 12 Will. III. B. R.

FIVE Persons cannot have one Writ of Mandamus to be restored; for tho' the End of the Writ is to do Justice, yet the Foundation is the Wrong in turning them out, and the turning out of one is not the turning out of another; nor can several Persons join in an Action on the Case for a false Return. Per Holt C. J.

(13.)
Several Per-
sons cannot
join in a Man-
damus to re-
store.
See 5 Mod.
10, 11.
1 Sid. 209.

Post. pl. 19. Comber. 307, 308. 6 Mod. 18. 1 Shower 258, 260, 281, 364.

Domina Regina *versus* Twitty and Maddicot.
Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

MANDAMUS to swear A. and B. Church-wardens, suggesting that they were debito modo electi: The Return was, quod A. & B. non electi fuerunt debito modo. It was objected, That it ought not to be debito modo; and it ought to be in the Disjunctive, nec eorum alter elect. fuit. Sed per

(14.)
Non fuit de-
bito modo e-
lecti, not a
good An-
swer, unless
the Writ sug-
gests debito
modo.
Holt

5 Mod. 10,
11.

Holt C. J. it was resolved; 1st, That one cannot be sworn up on this Writ; for either both were chosen, or the Writ is mis-conceived. 2dly, Where the Writ is to swear one debito modo electus, quod non fuit debito modo electus, is a good Return, for it is an answer to the Writ; but where it is to swear one electus Church-warden, there quod non fuit debito modo electus, is nought; because it is out of the Writ, and evasive.

Q. 6 Mod. 25.

Anonymus. Mich. 4 Ann. B. R.

(15.)
How many
Days be-
tween the
Teste and
Return.
Ante 429.

A Rule was made the first Day of this Term, viz. That if the Corporation to which the Mandamus is sent, be above forty Miles from London, then there shall be fifteen Days between the Teste and the Return of the first Writ of Mandamus; but if but forty Miles, or under, but eight Days only: And as to the Alias and Pluries, they made no Rule, but would consider of that, and that the Writ should not be tested before it was granted by the Court; so that the Alias and Pluries may be made returnable immediate, as they used to be: Also the Court said, That at the Return of the Pluries, if no Return was made, and there was an Affidavit of the Service, there should go an Attachment without hearing Counsel to excuse the Contempt.

Domina Regina versus The Bailiffs, &c. of Ipswich.
Serjeant Whitacre's Case, Hill. 4 Ann. B. R.

J. l. 2. L. Raym. 1233.

(16.)
Variance be-
tween the
Writ and Re-
turn in the
Name of the
Corporation.
Vide post
452, 658,
& ante Pl. 8.
1 Salk. 145,
146, 151.
1 Sid. 64.
Hardr. 97.
1 Lev. 50.

A Mandamus was directed Ballivis, Burgensibus & Communitat. villæ de Gippo, to restore Serjeant Whitacre to the Office of Recordership; the Return was, responsio Ballivorum Burgensium & Commun' villæ de Gipwico sive Burgi Gipwici patet, &c. Nos ballivi, &c. return the Constitution so and so; and that the Recorder is amoveable pro malegesturis per Ballivos & Burgenses vel major' partem eorum quorum Ballivos duos esse volumus: Then they shew Serjeant Whitacre chosen to continue ad libitum, and that at such a Sessions of the Peace, the Serjeant had Notice, but did not attend; and that having Notice to answer, he appeared and answered, and by the Bailiffs, Burgesses and Commonalty (the Bailiffs being then present) he was turned out of his said Office. Et ulterius certificamus quod Inhabitantes villæ prædictæ nunquam nuncupati fuerunt per novem Ballivorum, Burgens. & Com. villæ de Gippo, &c. This Case pended long, and was often argued upon several Objections; and, 1st, The Chief Justice held, That Gippus and Gipwicus were different Names, so that the Writ was misdirected; but then they should have returned the special Matter accordingly, and relied upon it; for that

that now they had admitted themselves to be the Corporation to whom the Writ was directed, by returning Executio, &c. And a Corporation may have several Names; and here it being started, Whether a Corporation should lose its old Name by a new Charter? the Chief Justice said, it would, where the new Charter altered the very Constitution in the integral Parts of it; as if Bailiffs and Burgeses are made Mayor and Aldermen, or Mayor and Burgeses, or where an Abbot and Convent are translated into a Dean and Chapter; but if the Bailiffs and Burgeses villæ de Gippo accept a Charter, constituting them Bailiffs and Burgeses villæ Cipwici, and giving them farther Privileges, and that they shall be so called; this is a new Name only, for the old Corporation remains in the integral Parts of it. Powell being not satisfied in the first Point, it vanished without Resolution, by discovering that Gippo in the latter End of the Return was with a Dash, and in the Writ without, so that then it was not ad idem. 2dly, The whole Court held, That tho' the Bailiffs were only said to be present, they should be intended to be consenting, either actually, or as included in the major Part. 3dly, That the Recorder is bound to attend and assist at the Sessions to direct the Corporation in the Proceedings of Justice, and that his Office being a publick Office relating to Justice, Non-Attendance is a good Cause of Forfeiture. 4thly, Tho' the Summons or Notice Serjeant Whitacre had to answer this Charge, set no Time when he should appear, yet his appearing and answering cured the Defect of Notice in the Time, and would have cured want of Notice of the Charge. Palm. 453. For tho' a Man ought to be prepared, and have convenient Time for that, yet he may waive this Benefit if he will; but in this Case, his Notice was to answer his Non-Attendance at a Sessions of Oyer and Terminer, and therewith he was charged; whereas he is turned out for Non-Attendance at a Sessions of Peace, and indeed answered to that, tho' not charged therewith, which the Court held incurable and fatal, and ordered a peremptory Mandamus, and that it should be directed according to the first Writ, viz. villæ de Gippo, and must not differ; and tho' Mr. Raymond objected to a peremptory Writ, because he was only Recorder ad libitum, (1 Sid. 14.) non allocatur; for the Corporation have not returned that; they have relied upon his Misdemeanors, and not upon their Power.

1 Sid. 461.
2 Cro. 549.
Raym. 188.
1 Lev. 148.
1 Vent. 32.

Non-Attendance, a good Cause of Forfeiture of the Office of Recorder.
Defect of Notice is cured by Appearance.
Ante 428.

A Motion must be for the Matter charged.

*Domina Regina versus The Mayor and Aldermen of
Norwich. Pas. 5 Ann. B. R.*

(17.)
Several Mat-
ters may be
returned, but
they must be
consistent.
Vi. post 586,
589.
1 Show. 180.
3 Lev. 46.
Lutw. 130.
Mod. Cases
211.
3 Mod. 114.

Election in
one Body;
Approbation
in another.

MANDAMUS to admit Dunch to be an Alderman of Nor-
wich; they returned the Charter of E. 4. Quod Alder-
manni onerentur & exonerentur prout in London; and that in
London, if a Person be elected Alderman by the Ward, the Court
of Aldermen may refuse him; and that D. was elected by the
Ward, but refused by the Mayor and Alderman; because he had
not received the Sacrament infra Annum tunc prox. antece-
dent. Electionem suam; and that he was turbulent and factious,
and procured his Election by Bribery; Et quod non fuit Electus.
The Court agreed that several Causes might be returned, and
that either, not qualified, or not elected, had been a good Return;
but the Chief Justice questioned whether Bribery would vacate
the Election, because it did not appear to be an Office concerning
the Administration of Justice, and within the Statute E. 6. Also
the whole Court agreed, That as soon as D. was chose by the
Ward, it was an Election, and that the Aldermen did not chuse,
(having but one Person sent them) but approve; and that before
Approbation, the Election was compleat; as a Presentation is be-
fore the Bishop approves a Clerk; or as a Nomination is a per-
fect Nomination before the other Presents. It follows then,
that this Return is repugnant, and the Court cannot tell what
to believe; for at first they admit an Election, and avoid it; and
yet at last they return, there was no Election at all: A peremp-
tory Mandamus was granted.

*Domina Regina versus The Mayor, &c. of Derby.
Mich. 6 Ann. B. R.*

(18.)
Writ to one
to command
another to do
the A&C, ill.
Vide post
489. pl. 51.
493. pl. 60.

MANDAMUS to the Mayor, Aldermen, and capital Bur-
gesses of Derby, viz. Whereas A. and B. &c. removed the
Party complaining from his Office of Burgesses, commanding
them to command A. and B. to restore him, was quashed; for it is
absurd that the Writ should be directed to one Person to command
another.

Vide ante
433. pl. 13.

Anonymus.

(19.)
Several ought
not to join in
a Writ to be
restored.
2 Lev. 27,
188.

A Mandamus went to restore nine Persons to the Place and
Office of Common-Council Men; the Constitution was re-
turned, and that these nine were debite amoti. Holt C. J. The
Writ ought to be quashed; there ought not to be nine Persons
in

in one Writ; the Amotion of one is not the Amotion of another; their Interests are feveral, and they may have been removed for feveral different Causes; one for one Fault, and another for another. How can we grant a joint Reftitution to them? Eyre was of the same Opinion.

See 1 Sid. 269.
5 Mod. 10.
11. Ante 433.
Pl. 13.
2 Saund. 116.
1 Vent. 167.
1 Lev. 109.

MARRIAGES.

Alleyne & Ux' *versus* Grey. Trin. 1 W. & M. B. R.

See 3 Lev. 364, 376, 411.

IN Debt on a Bond, the Defendant pleaded *Ne unques accouple* in loyal Matrimony: Plaintiff demurred, and had Judgment, for it alters the Trial; instead of trying per pais, it puts the Trial on a Certificate from the Ordinary; and, 2dly, It admits a Marriage, but denies the Legality of it; whereas a Marriage *de facto* is sufficient, and whether legal or not legal, is no ways material.

S. C. 1 Shows 50.
(1.)
In Debt by Baron and Feme;
Nunques accouple is no Plea.
See 1 Lev. 41.
1 Sid. 13, 64, 387.
Comber. 131.
1 Keb. 41.

Jesson *versus* Collins. Pasch. 2 Ann. B. R.

S. C. 6 Mod. 155.

MR. King moved for a Prohibition to stay a Suit in the Spiritual Court, upon a Contract of Marriage per verba de presenti, suggesting that the Contract was, in fact per verba de futuro, for which, if not performed, the Party had Remedy at Common Law: Holt C. J. said, That tho' it were per verba de futuro, yet it was a matrimonial Matter, and the Spiritual Court had Jurisdiction; and this was the great Objection against Actions at Law, when first brought up in these Cases; but in Answer to this it was held, That the Remedy in the Spiritual Court was waived by betaking himself to Damages for the Breach: Also he said, That a Contract per verba de presenti, was a Marriage, viz. I marry you: You and I are Man and Wife; and this is not releasable: Per verba de futuro; I will marry you, I promise to marry you, &c. which do not intimate an actual Marriage, but refer it to a future Act; and this is releasable; and as it is releasable, the Party may admit the Breach and demand

(2.)
Contract per verba de presenti, or de futuro, equally cognizable in the spiritual Court, and their Sentence binding.
Vide 3 Mod. 165.
5 Mod. 411.
2 Lev. 15, 16.
6 Mod. 155.
3 Lev. 65.
6 Mod. 172.
1 Salk. 24, 25.

3 Lev. 65.
V. Lutw. 68,
78, 79.
See 2 Lev.
15, 16. acc.

Satisfaction: He also said, he remembered this Case upon Evidence; Assumpsit, in Consideration that the Plaintiff promised to marry the Defendant, the Defendant promised to marry him; upon Evidence it was proved, That there was a Promise; yet the Defendant producing a Sentence in the Spiritual Court, in Disaffirmance of that Contract, it was held good Counter-Evidence, and the Plaintiff was nonsuit. A Prohibition was denied.

See 6 Mod.
155, 172.

Wigmore's Case. Mich. 5 Ann. B. R.

(3.)
Contract per
verba de præsenti, is a
Marriage.
3 Lev. 65,
411.
5 Mod. 411.
Swinb. de
Sponsalib.
Cro. El. 79.

THE Wife sued in the Spiritual Court for Alimony: In Fact, the Husband was an Anabaptist, and had a Licence from the Bishop to marry, but married this Woman according to the Forms of their own Religion. Et per Holt C. J. By the Canon Law, a Contract per verba de præsenti, is a Marriage: As, I take you to be my Wife: So it is of a Contract, per verba de futuro, viz. I will take, &c. If the Contract be executed, and he does take her, 'tis a Marriage, and they cannot punish for Fornication. Upon a Prohibition to the Spiritual Court of Peterborough.

Note, By 3 Lev. Such a Promise, if not in Writing, is void by Stat. 29 Car. 2.

Marshal and Marshalsea.

See 6 Mod.
57, 225.

Anonymus. Mich. 9 W. III. B. R.

(1.)
Bond to the
Marshall to
be a true Prisoner, good.

PER Holt C. J. it was adjudged in the Case of Sir Jo. Lenthall versus Cooke, That the Marshall might take a Bond to be a true Prisoner; but not to receive or take any Thing of Advantage or Profit to himself, and that if he did, the Bond was void at Common Law.

Anonymus. Mich. 10 W. III. B. R.

A Prohibition was prayed to the Marshalsea, because they refused to admit a Plea, that neither of the Parties were de hospitio Regis. Per Holt C. J. This is not the Court mentioned in my Lord Coke's Case of the Marshalsea. If the Cause of Action arise within twelve Miles of London, this Court holds Plea, tho' the Parties are not de hospitio Regis, the Plea is frivolous, and we will not interpose. But Trin. 11 W. 3. B. R. An Action of Debt was brought in the Marshalsea, on a Judgment in B. R. and a Prohibition was granted.

(2.)
Jurisdiction
of the Palace
Court.

Snow versus Firebrass. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

A Scire Facias was brought against the Bail, and a Breach assigned in this, That the Defendant had not rendered himself Prisoner Mar' Marefc' Domini Regis: M^r. King objected, That it was not good, without going on and saying, coram ipso Rege existentis, for the King has another Marshal, viz. The Marshal of the Household. Et per Holt C. J. and Powell, The Earl Marshal of England was by his Office Marshal of the King's Bench, as appears by the Book of H. 6. and so continued till the Time of King James the first, when this Office was derived out of it; so that the Marshal of the King is the Marshal of the King's Bench; no Body else can be understood; the other is Mareschallus Hospitalii, and never spoken of without that Addition.

(3.)
Earl Marshal
of England
was formerly
Marshal of
the King's
Bench.

The Office of Chamberlain of the King's Bench Prison is inseparably incident to the Office of Marshal; and therefore a Grant of the Office of Marshal, with a Reservation of the Office of Chamberlain, is void. Per Holt C. J. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

Master

See Vaug.

242, 243.

2 Lev. 172.

3 Lev. 351,

352.

2 Lev. 27.

S. C. Carth.

58.

S. C. 3 Mod.

321.

1 Show. 29.

3 Lev. 258.

1 Danv. 3, 6,

7, 8.

Comber. 116.

S. C.

(1.)

Goods are

spoil'd by the

Default of

the Master of

a Ship, em-

ployed by the

Owners; the

Owners are

liable in re-

spect of the

Freight.

See 3 Lev.

37, 258.

5 Mod. 91,

340.

2 Saund. 260.

Post 443.

Action lies

against the

Owners.

2 Saund. 115.

For he that

employs a

Servant, un-

dertakes for

him.

Vide Prox.

Casum.

3 Mod. 323.

Moll. 208,

209.

But the Acti-

on must be

brought a-

gainst all the

Part-Owners.

1 Salk. 10, 31.

1 Bulst. 16.

4 Mod. 17.

3 Mod. 244.

1 Mod. 18.

Master and Servant.

Boson versus Sandford, & al. Mich. 1 W. & M. B.R.
Intr. Hill. 1 & 2 Jac. 2. Rot. 302.

CASE against A. and B. Part-Owners of a Ship, for that he put Goods on Board, and the Defendants undertook to carry them safely for Hire, but yet were so negligent, that the Goods were spoiled: Upon Not guilty pleaded, in Evidence it appeared, that C. and D. were also Part-Owners, and that the Ship was under the Care of a Master, to whom the Goods were delivered; and this being found specially, it was argued pro quer, That the Action is grounded on the Wrong, and may be against all, or any of the Proprietors: There was also another Doubt started, and that was, Whether the Owners were liable, when in Truth they did not undertake, but in fact the Master super se suscepit? Eyre Justice held, There was no Difference between a Land-Carrier and a Water-Carrier, and that the Master of a Ship was no more than a Servant to the Owners in the Eye of the Law; and that the Power he has of Hypothecation, &c. is by the Civil Law. Et per Holt C. J. The Owners are liable in respect of the Freight, and as employing the Master; for whoever employs another, is answerable for him, and undertakes for his Care to all that make Use of him. 2dly, The Court held, That all the Owners were liable, for they are charged in Point of Contract, as Employers, and are all equally entitled to the Freight: Either Master or Owners may bring an Action for the Freight; but if the Owners bring the Action, they must all join; ergo they must all be joined; as the Freight belongs to all, so all are equally undertaking; and a Breach of Trust in one is a Breach of Trust in all; as where two make one Officer, the Act of one is the Act of the other. 3dly, The Court held this was not an Action ex delicto, but ex quasi contractu, and it was not the Contract of one but of all: That there was no other Tort but a Breach of Trust. Therefore the Court gave Judgment for the Defendant, because all the Owners were not joined.

Jones *ver.* Hart. Mich. 10 W. III. *Coram* Holt C. J.
At Nisi Prius at Guildhall.

A Pawn-broker's Servant took a Pawn; the Pawner came and tendered the Money to the Servant; he said he had lost the Goods: Upon this the Pawner brought Trover against the Master, and it was held well, per Holt C. J.

The Servants of A. with his Cart, run against another Cart, wherein was a Pipe of Sack, and over-turn'd the Cart and spoil'd the Sack; an Action lies against A. So where a Carter's Servant run his Cart over a Boy, 'twas held the Boy should have his Action against the Master, for the Damage he sustained by this Negligence. So in Lane and Cotton, a Letter with Bills in it was delivered at the Post-Office to a Servant; 'twas held, Case lay against the Post-Master and not against the Servant, unless he stole them, for then he was a Wrong-doer, as where a Gaoler suffers an Escape wilfully; otherwise if negligent. Per Holt C. J.

Pawns Vide
Post 522.

(2.)
Master liable
for the Neg-
lect of his
Servant, not
the wilful
Wrong.

See Prox. Pag.
ante. 1 Sa. 282.

2 Cro. 202.

Palm. 534.

4 Leon. 123.

2 Saund. 345.

1 Mod. 198.

Hob. 206.

3 Mod. 323.

Hutr. 121.

3 Lev. 258.

1 Show. 29.

Post 613, 614.

5 Mod. 9, 340.

2 Saund. 260.

Domina Regina *versus* Gouche. Mich. 1 Ann. B R.

An Order was made by the Justices on Gouche, reciting, That whereas 42s. and 4d. was due from him to J. S. for Work and Labour in Husbandry, they order him to pay the same; The Exception was, That it does not appear to be Statute Wages, and such only are within their Jurisdiction. Per Powell and Gould. Tho' the Statute gives them a Power only to set the Rate for Wages, and not to order Payment; yet grafting hereupon, they have also taken upon them to order Payment; and the Courts of Law are indulgent in Remedies for Wages, as appears by its suffering the Admiralty to have Cognizance of Mariners Wages; and therefore they would intend it such Wages as were within the Statute; and Gould J. cited a Case, the King against Dummer, An Order to pay for Days-Works and Labour done, which was held well; for the Court will intend it within their Jurisdiction upon general Words, unless the contrary appear upon the Face of the Order, as in the Case, 2 Jones 47. for a Coachman's Wages. Affirmed, Holt absente.

(3.)
Order to pay
42 s. being
due for Work
and Labour
in Husband-
ry, tho' it
don't appear
such Wages
as the Sta-
tute directs.

Remedies for
Wages are
favoured in
the superior
Courts.

5 Mod. 419.

Post pl. 5.

485. pl. 40.

SC. 6 Mod. 36.
5 Mod. 398,
399.

Ward *versus* Evans. Hill. 2 Ann. B. R.

(4.)
Act of a Ser-
vant binds
not his Ma-
ster, unless he
acts by Au-
thority of his
Master, or he
consents.
See 2 Lev. 172.
3 Lev. 252.
Comber. 451.
1 Salk. 132,
133.
Winch 24,
25.
5 Mod. 398,
399.

Goldsmiths
Notes are
Payment
conditionally
only, with-
out express
Consent.
See 6 Mod. 36.
Post 507, 508.
3 Mod. 86.
3 Lev. 299.
Moll. Li. 2.
c. 10.
Hob. 154.

WARD sent his Servant to receive a Note of 50 l. of B. who went with him to Sir Stephen Evans's Shop, who indorsed off 50 l. from a Note B. had upon him, and gave Ward's Servant a Note of 50 l. upon one Wallis a Goldsmith, to whom the Note was carried the next Day by Ward's Servant: Wallis refused to pay, and that Day broke; upon this the Note was sent back to Sir Stephen Evans, who refused Payment, whereupon the Action was brought. Et per Cur'. It was held, 1st, That this was Money received by Sir Stephen Evans. 2dly, That the Act of a Servant shall not bind the Master, unless he acts by Authority of his Master, and therefore if a Master sends his Servant to receive Money, and the Servant, instead of Money, takes a Bill, and the Master, as soon as told thereof, disagrees, he is not bound by this Payment; but Acquiescence, or any small Matter, will be Proof of the Master's Consent, and that will make the Act of the Servant the Act of his Master.

3dly, They held this was no Payment; for a Goldsmith's Note is only Paper, and received conditionally, if paid; and not otherwise, without an express Agreement to be taken as Cash.

4thly, They held, That the Party receiving such Note should have a reasonable Time to receive the Money, as in this Case, the next Day, and is not obliged as soon as he receives it, to go straight for his Money.

Domina Regina *versus* London. Trin. 3 Ann. B. R.

(5.)
In Order for
Payment of
Servants Wa-
ges, if it
don't appear
in what Ser-
vice, the
Court will
intend it
Husbandry.
Vide Post
484. Pl. 40.
5 Mod. 419.
Ante, pl. 3.

ORDER was for Payment of Wages, reciting, That two Persons were retained by London, Overseer of the Works in the Gardens of Hampton-Court, at so much per Diem, and had work'd there so many Days; therefore the Order was, That London should pay them. Et per Cur', The Statute extends only to Servants in Husbandry, not to Gentlemen's Servants, nor to Journeymen with their Masters: Had the Order been general, viz. to pay so much to two of his Labourers, &c. or two of his Servants, the Court should have supposed them Servants in Husbandry, but here is no Room for such an Intendment since the contrary appears.

Merchants and Merchandize.

Vide 2 Lev.
69, 188, 228.
3 Lev. 37,
258, 351.

Jefferies *versus* Legandra. Hill. 2 W. & M. B. R.
Rot. 659.

S. C. 3 Lev.
320.
4 Mod. 58.
1 Show. 320.
2 Saund. 205.

ACTION on a Policy of Insurance; the Defendant pleaded Non Assumpsit, and the Jury found the Policy, by which the Insurers undertook against Perils of Sea, Pirates, Enemies, &c. from London to Venice, warranted to depart with Convoy. Et per Cur', The Words warranted to depart with Convoy, mean only, That he will leave the Port, and sail with the Convoy, without any wilful Default in the Master; therefore, if by Default of the Master, the Ship is separated and taken, the Insurers are not liable; but if there be no Default, the Master having done all that could be done, and the Ship is separated and taken by Enemies, the Insurers are liable; so if the Ship be lost by Strels of Weather, for they insure against these by their own Agreement.

(1.)
In Policies warranted to depart with Convoy, shall be intended without wilful Default of the Master.
Vide ante 440.
6 Mod. 13.
4 Mod. 176.
1 Salk. 31.
S. C. Carth. 216.
Molloy. lib. 2. c. 7. § 12.
2 Keb. 717, 722.

Lethulier's Case. Mich. 4 W. & M. B. R.

ACTION on a Policy of Insurance by the Defendant at London, insuring a Ship from thence to the East-Indies, warranted to depart with Convoy; and shews, That the Ship went from London to the Downs, and from thence with Convoy, and was lost. After a frivolous Plea and Demurrer, the Case stood upon the Declaration; to which it was objected, That here was a Departure without Convoy. Et per Cur', The Clause, warranted to depart with Convoy, must be construed according to the Usage among Merchants, i. e. from such Place where Convoy is to be had, as the Downs, &c. Holt C. J. contra, We take Notice of the Laws of Merchants that are general, not of those that are particular Usages. It is no Part of the Law of Merchants to take Convoy in the Downs. Vide Yelv. 136.

(2.)
Warranted to depart with Convoy, shall intend from the Place of having Convoy.
3 Lev. 320, 321.
Molloy. li. 2. c. 7. § 12.
4 Mod. 58.
2 Keb. 717, 722.
1 Show. 320.
2 Saund. 205.

Martin *versus* Crump. Pasch. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
Survivorship.
Vide 1 Show.
188, 189, &c.
2 Lev. 188.
Comber.
474. S. C.
2 Saund. 116.

TWO joint Merchants make B. their factor; one dies leaving an Executor; this Executor and the Survivor cannot join, for the Remedy survives, but not the Duty; and therefore on Recovery he must be accountable to the Executor for that.

Green *versus* Young. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(4.)
Deviation
discharges
Policy from
that Time
only.

IF after a Policy of Insurance, a Damage happens, and afterwards, in the same Voyage a Deviation; yet the Assured shall recover for what happened before the Deviation; for the Policy is discharged from the Time of the Deviation only.

Vide Shower 189. Kemp and Andrews: The Remedy survives between joint Merchants, but not the Interest.

Anonymus. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(5.)
Detention.

A Ship insured was in her Voyage seized by the Government, and turned into a Fireship: The Question was, Whether the Insurers were liable? Holt C. J. thought it was within the Word Detention, but the Cause was referred.

Bates *versus* Grabham, & al'. Decemb. 3. 1703.
Coram Holt C. J. *At* Nisi Prius *at* Guild-hall.

(6.)
Policy altered
by Consent
after it
was under-
written, well.

IN Case on a Policy of Insurance, upon Non Assumpsit pleaded, the Case was, Mr. Crisp being at the West-Indies, sent a Letter to Bates to insure Goods on the Mary-Galley of St. Christopher's, Captain A. Hill Commander, at London: Bates carried the Letter to Stubbs, who writ Policies, and he by Mistake made the Assurance on the Mary, Captain Haslewood Commander, &c. This Policy thus made was subscribed by the Defendant: The Mary-Galley was lost, and then Stubbs applied to the Insurers to consent to alter the Policy, to which they agreed, and the Mistake was mended. It was objected at the Trial, that the Mary was a stouter Ship than the Mary-Galley, and that the Insurers ought to have an Increase of Premium for the Alteration: But it was held by Holt C. J. That the Action well lay, and that the Mistake might be set right, and that Stubbs was a good Witness; and he cited this Case, which happened when Pemberton was Chief Justice. An Insurance was made from Archangel to the Downs, and from the Downs to Leghorn; but there

there was a Parol Agreement at the same Time, that the Policy should not commence till the Ship came to such a Place; and it was held the Parol Agreement should avoid the Writing.

Bond *versus* Gonfales. February 14, 1704. Coram
Holt C. J. At Nisi Prius at Guildhall.

CASE upon a Policy, which was to insure the William Galley in a Voyage from Bremen to the Port of London, warranted to depart with Convoy: The Case was, the Galley set Sail from Bremen, under Convoy of a Dutch Man of War to the Elb, where they were joined with two other Dutch Men of War, and several Dutch and English Merchant Ships; whence they sailed to the Texel, where they found a Squadron of English Men of War and an Admiral: After a Stay of nine Weeks they set out from the Texel, and the Galley was separated in a Storm, and taken by a French Privateer, taken again by a Dutch Privateer, and paid 80 l. Salvage. And it was ruled per Holt C. J. That the Voyage ought to be according to Usage, and that their going to the Elb, tho' in Fact out of the Way, was no Deviation; for till after the Year 1703, there was no Convoy for Ships directly from Bremen to London: And the Plaintiff had a Verdict.

(7.)
Deviation or
not, must be
construed ac-
cording to
Usage.

The Mayor and Commonalty of London *versus* Wilks.
Trin. 3 Ann. B. R.

A Merchant includes all Sorts of Traders as well and as properly as Merchant-Adventurers. Vide Spelm. Guilda, Dy. 279. b. A Merchant-Caylor is a common Term. Per Holt C. J.

(8.)
Merchant,
quid?

Martin *versus* Crump. Pasch. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
Survivorship.
Vide 1 Show.
188, 189, &c.
2 Lev. 188.
Comber.
474. S. C.
2 Saund. 116.

TWO joint Merchants make B. their Factor; one dies leaving an Executor; this Executor and the Survivor cannot join, for the Remedy survives, but not the Duty; and therefore on Recovery he must be accountable to the Executor for that.

Green *versus* Young. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(4.)
Deviation
discharges
Policy from
that Time
only.

IF after a Policy of Insurance, a Damage happens, and afterwards, in the same Voyage a Deviation; yet the Assured shall recover for what happened before the Deviation; for the Policy is discharged from the Time of the Deviation only.

Vide Shower 189. Kemp and Andrews: The Remedy survives between joint Merchants, but not the Interest.

Anonymus. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(5.)
Detention.

A Ship insured was in her Voyage seized by the Government, and turned into a Fireship: The Question was, Whether the Insurers were liable? Holt C. J. thought it was within the Word Detention, but the Cause was referred.

Bates *versus* Grabham, & al. Decemb. 3. 1703.
Coram Holt C. J. *At* Nisi Prius at Guild-hall.

(6.)
Policy altered
by Consent
after it
was under-
written, well.

IN Case on a Policy of Insurance, upon Non Assumpsit pleaded, the Case was, Mr. Crisp being at the West-Indies, sent a Letter to Bates to insure Goods on the Mary-Galley of St. Christopher's, Captain A. Hill Commander, at London: Bates carried the Letter to Stubbs, who writ Policies, and he by Mistake made the Assurance on the Mary, Captain Haslewood Commander, &c. This Policy thus made was subscribed by the Defendant: The Mary-Galley was lost, and then Stubbs applied to the Insurers to consent to alter the Policy, to which they agreed, and the Mistake was mended. It was objected at the Trial, that the Mary was a stouter Ship than the Mary-Galley, and that the Insurers ought to have an Increase of Premium for the Alteration: But it was held by Holt C. J. That the Action well lay, and that the Mistake might be set right, and that Stubbs was a good Witness; and he cited this Case, which happened when Pemberton was Chief Justice. An Insurance was made from Archangel to the Downs, and from the Downs to Leghorn; but there

there was a Parol Agreement at the same Time, that the Policy should not commence till the Ship came to such a Place; and it was held the Parol Agreement should avoid the Writing.

Bond *versus* Gonfales. February 14, 1704. Coram Holt C. J. At Nisi Prius at Guildhall.

CASE upon a Policy, which was to insure the William Galley in a Voyage from Bremen to the Port of London, warranted to depart with Convoy: The Case was, the Galley set Sail from Bremen, under Convoy of a Dutch Man of War to the Elb, where they were joined with two other Dutch Men of War, and several Dutch and English Merchant Ships; whence they sailed to the Texel, where they found a Squadron of English Men of War and an Admiral: After a Stay of nine Weeks they set out from the Texel, and the Galley was separated in a Storm, and taken by a French Privateer, taken again by a Dutch Privateer, and paid 80 l. Salvage. And it was ruled per Holt C. J. That the Voyage ought to be according to Usage, and that their going to the Elb, tho' in Fact out of the Way, was no Deviation; for till after the Year 1703, there was no Convoy for Ships directly from Bremen to London: And the Plaintiff had a Verdict.

(7.)
Deviation or
not, must be
construed ac-
cording to
Usage.

The Mayor and Commonalty of London versus Wilks.
Trin. 3 Ann. B. R.

A Merchant includes all Sorts of Traders as well and as properly as Merchant-Adventurers. Vide Spelm. Guilda, Dy. 279. b. A Merchant-Caylor is a common Term. Per Holt C. J.

(S.)
Merchant,
quid ?

M O N E Y.

See 1 Salk.
25.
2 Keb. 463.
Latch 84.
Yelv. 80, &c.
infra.

Dixon *versus* Willoughs. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

Indebitatus
Assumpsit in
13 l. 10 s. for
nine Gui-
neas, well.
Latch 84.
Yelv. 80, 135.
Poph. 28.
Cro. Car. 515.
Palm. 407.
5 Mod. 6, 7.
Lutw. 487,
488.
1 Salk. 9, 22,
25.
Comber. 327.
Comber. 387.
S. C.

IN Case upon four several Promises, there was a Verdict for the Plaintiff, and intire Damages: It was moved in Arrest of Judgment, that one of the Promises was ill laid, viz. That whereas the Defendant was indebted to him in 13 l. 10 s. for nine Guineas, he promised to pay, &c. and says not, nine Guineas ad valorem, &c. as he ought, the Value being not ascertained by Proclamation. Et per Holt C. J.

1st, Any Piece of Money coined at the Mint, is of Value as it bears a Proportion to other current Money, and that without Proclamation. The Unit was the old Piece, which was 20 s. In King James the First's Time, the Unit was by Proclamation raised 16 d. which was the Reason and Occasion of the Coin of Guineas, and of their being 16 d. short of the Unit.

2dly, There are Guineas of 40 s. apiece, and so we will intend these were, and that the Plaintiff was satisfied the rest.

3dly, That it was not necessary to set forth the Number of the Guineas; for in an Indebitatus Assumpsit the Consideration is only set forth to shew it was not a Debt by Bond, &c.

M O N O P O L Y.

Edgeberry and Stephens.

A Grant of a Monopoly may be to the first Inventor by the 21 Jac. 1. and if the Invention be new in England, a Patent may be granted, tho' the Thing was practised beyond Sea before; for the Statute speaks of new Manufactures within this Realm; so that if they be new here, it is within the Statute; for the Act intended to encourage new Devices useful to the Kingdom, and whether learned by Trabel, or by Study, it is the same Thing. Agreed by Holt and Pollexfen, in the Case of Edgeberry and Stephens.

Vide 3 Mod.
131.
1 Mod. 18.
Vaugh. 345,
346.
3 Lev. 351,
352.
2 Jon. 53, 54,
353, &c.
2 Brownl. 296.
F. N. B. 222.
1 Rol. Rep. 4.
3 Inst. 181.
2 Inst. 540.

Monstrans de Droit, &c.

Vide 5 Mod.
57, 58.
Ryly Plac.
Parl. 257, 351.
Staundf.
P. C. 72.
S. C. Faref. 32.

Domina Regina *versus* Mason. Trin. 1 Ann. B. R.

It was found by Inquisition, That Ford had forfeited his Office of Warden of the Fleet, upon which it was seized in to the Queen's Hands: One Mason brought a Monstrans de Droit in Chancery on the Inquisition, and sets forth a Title, and traverses Ford's being seised of the Office, so that by Consequence he could not forfeit it; the Attorney-General demurred: The Cause was brought into B. R. per proprias manus of the Lord Chancellor; and now it appeared that Mason's Title, as set forth, was naught; whereupon Mason, as Amicus Curia, insisted still, That Ford had not forfeited, and so the Queen had no Title; and therefore it was urged, That no Judgment could be given for the Queen, and that the whole Record was here, and the

If the Plaintiff fails in his Title, he cannot take Advantage of Want of Title in the Crown, or of a Stranger's Title.

Vid. Fares. 32.

The Record
of the Inqui-
sition is only
before the
Court, with
respect to
the Plaintiff.

Vide 1 Salk.
395.
5 Mod. 57.

the Record being here, the whole Record was to be affirmed or subverted by the Judgment of this Court. Et per Cur'. The very Record of the Inquisition it self is not before us, but only the Record of the Monstrans de Droit: For as to the Inquisition, the Monstrans de Droit recites it, and concludes prout patet per record, Inquisitionis in filaciis Curiae Cancellariae; and the Monstrans de Droit may set forth the Inquisition, with an inter alia, and Oyer may be craved of it. 2dly, The Court held, That tho' the very Record of the Inquisition was not so before the Court, as that they could quash or subvert it, with respect to all Persons or Parties; so as no other Person could at any Time after come into Chancery and shew his Right, for that would be inconvenient; yet it was here with respect to the Party Plaintiff in this Monstrans de Droit, so that if thereby a Title appeared for him, the Court might give Judgment; and if the Inquisition be falsified, it shall be set aside, so far as to make Way for the Party's Right; and if the Party's Right can be collected from the Inquisition, it shall be affirmed by the Judgment; so was Holland's Case, and so is Kel. 93. 3dly, They held, That if it appears that he that sued the Monstrans de Droit has no Right, he cannot mend his Case by Exceptions to the Inquisition taken as Amicus Curiae, tho' by those Exceptions it appears the Queen has no Right, or that a Stranger has Right; for the Party that sues a Monstrans de Droit, is a Plaintiff, and may be nonsuit, 4 H. 6. 12. And if he fails in his own Title, he is gone as effectually as if he were Nonsuit, and no Judgment needs to be given for the Queen; accordingly Judgment was given here, quod nil capiat per billam suam de Monstratione sua præd'.

I

MORT.

MORTGAGES.

Vide 1 Chan.
Cas. 2, 22, 59,
102, 166, 285.
2 Chan. Cas.
23, 29, 52, 97,
221, 206.

Lord Ossulston contra Lord Yarmouth. Decemb. 1707.
In Canc.

The Earl of Yarmouth made a Mortgage to my Lord Ossulston, with a Proviso, That if the Interest was behind six Months, that then that Interest should be accounted Principal, and carry Interest. Et per Cowper Lord Chancellor, this Clause was decreed to be vain, and of no Use, saying, No Precedent had ever carried the Advance of Interest so far, and that an Agreement made at the Time of the Mortgage will not be sufficient to make future Interest Principal; but to make Interest Principal, it is requisite that Interest be first grown due, and then an Agreement concerning it may make it Principal.

(1.)
Proviso,
That future
Interest, if
not paid,
shall be taken
as principal,
and bear In-
terest, is void.
1 Chan. Cas.
29, 52, 258.
Vide 1 Chan.
Cases 2.
2 Chan. Cas.
35, 59.

Taylor contra Wheeler. Mich. 8 Ann. In Canc.

A Copyholder in Fee surrendered to the Use of the Mortgagee in Fee, and became Bankrupt before Presentment, and there never was any Presentment made; and the Question was, Whether the Assignee of the Commissioners of Bankruptcy, or the Mortgagee should be preferred? Et per Cowper Lord Chancellor, Tho' the Surrender was void in Law for want of a Presentment, and that might be the Laches of the Mortgagee in not procuring it; yet the Surrender has a Lien, and bound the Land in Equity, and the Assignee ought not to be in a better Case than the Bankrupt, who was plainly bound in Equity by this defective Conveyance. Et come moy sembler, he became a Trustee for the Purchaser.

(2.)
Mortgagee
or Purchaser
Precedent,
tho' by de-
fective Con-
veyance, shall
be preferred
before As-
signees of
Commission-
ers of Bank-
rupt.
See 1 Chan.
Cases 119,
150, 166, 167,
201, &c.

Cope contra Cope. In Canc.

If a Man mortgage Lands, and covenants to pay the Money, and dies, the personal Estate of the Mortgagor shall, in favour of the Heir, be applied to exonerate the Mortgage: So it is, tho' there was no Covenant, if the Mortgagor had the Money; be.

(3.)
Where perso-
nal Estate
shall be ap-
plied to pay
off Mortgage
in Exonera-
tion Land.

1 Chan. Caf.
84, 223, 271,
285, 286.

1 Chan. Rep.
98, 148, 183,
191.

+ See 2. *Pro.*
Cha. Cas. 101. 221.

132. 604. &
Ambl. R.
115.

2 Chan. Caf.
98, 165, 187,
221.

2 Chan. Rep.
274, 396.

3 Chan. Rep.
212, 213.

because it was his Debt, and he is bound to make it good tho' the Land be a defective Security; but if Grandfather mortgages and covenants to pay, and the Lands descend to his Son, and his Son dies, having a personal Estate and a Son, the Son's personal Estate shall not go in Aid of this Mortgage: A. mortgages his Land to B. and after sells it to C. for 1000 l. which includes the Mortgage-Money; C. the Purchaser shall pay the Mortgage, for he has made it a Debt in himself. But it is to be understood that this Exoneration is not to be allowed, unless there be personal Assets sufficient to pay all Legacies; for the Mortgage shall be paid out of the Land if there be not personal Assets to pay the Legacies; and if by such Payment Assets fall short, the Legatees may make such Mortgagee refund.

M O T I O N.

Vid. Records
565.
Rules, &c.
597, Pag. 598.
Pl. 3, & 647.
Pl. 14.

Vide post
671.

Hinton *versus* Hern. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(1.)
Franchise
cannot be al-
lowed on Mo-
tion, unless
it has been
formerly
pleaded, and
is upon Re-
cord.
Vide 1 Sal.
343, &
post 500.

IN a Motion for a Prohibition to the University of Cambridge, the other Side insisted on their Privileges, which are confirmed by Parliament. Et per Cur', You must plead them. The Difference is, where Franchises have been once allowed on Plea, and are upon Record in Court, there they may be allowed upon Motion ever after; but where they have not been allowed, it is otherwise; and so it was held and done, between Castle and Litchfield, where the Franchise of Oxford was pleaded; and so they were obliged to do here.

Domina Regina *versus* Layton. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

(2.)
Where Fine
is set for For-
cible Entry,
Conviction
not quash'd
on Motion.

UPON a Conviction of Forcible Entry, if a Fine be set, the Conviction cannot be quashed upon Motion; but the Defendant must bring his Writ of Error; otherwise if no Fine be set, for then it may be quashed upon Motion: Per Cur'.

Names of Purchase and Dignity.

1 Lev. 50. 1 Show. 392. 5 Mod. 303. Ow. 81. Pop. 209. Noy 88. 4 Co. 118. 6 Co. 53.
1 Salk. 6. 50.

Hob. 129.
1 Vent. 152.
Lit. R. 181,
201.
Yelv. 34.
1 Sid. 40.

AND Indictment was preferred against two Chairmen for a Battery upon Thomas Lord Marquess of Carmarthen; who was called up to the House of Lords by the Name of Lord Osborn; and it was held by the Chief Justice, that there was no such Person, or at least the Duke of Leeds was the Person, and not the Prosecutor. At the Old Bailey one was indicted for stealing the Goods of the Earl of Kingston, who was the eldest Son of the Marquess of Dorchester, and the Defendant was acquitted by the Opinion of all the Judges, for he was only Mr. Pierpoint. In the House of Lords, Complaint was made against the Marquess of Carmarthen, for Breach of Privilege, and the House said, there was no such Person: The Defendants were acquitted.

(1.)
Indictment
for assaulting
a Duke's eldest
Son, styling
him by his
Father's second
Title according
to common Par-
lance, ill.
Post 539, 512.
Parliament
Cases 2, 3,
&c.
Faref. 28.
6 Mod. 303.
Post 509.
Faref. 15, 38.

The President and College of Physicians, London, versus
Salmon. Trin. 13 Will. III. B. R.

S. C. 5 Mod.
327, 328.
4 Mod. 47.

DEBT was brought in the Name of the President and College of Physicians, on the Stat. 14 H. 8. c. 15. for the Penalty of 5 l. per Month for practising Physick in London without Licence; and upon Demurrer to the Declaration, this Exception among others was taken, That the Action ought to be brought in the Name of the College only, or the President only; the Words of the Patent being, Quod ipsi per nomina Presidentis Collegii, seu communitatis facultatis Medicinæ, London, should sue and be sued. To this it was answered, That they are incorporated by the Name of President and College, and have in Consequence of that a Power to sue and be sued by that Name; and this Power is not taken away by the additional affirmative Power which is farther given to them. 10 Co. 28. b. 30, 123.

(2.)
Corporation
may sue by
their Name
of Incorpora-
tion, notwith-
standing an
express Pow-
er given to
sue by ano-
ther.
See Lutw.
510, &c. 563.
Cro. El. 357.
Hard. 504.
Hob. 210.
Vid. 6 Mod.
44.
2 Bulst. 185.

1 Ro. Ab. 513. Fitz. Brief 485. 5 E. 4. 20. 16 H. 7. 1. 29 H. 6. 4. 44 E. 3. 6. b. 11 H. 7. 27. 13 H. 7. 14. And Judgment was given for the Plaintiff.

Domina Regina versus The Inhabitants of Barking, Needham-Market, and Darmelden Hamlets. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

1. l. 2. L. Raym. 1200. but points differ.

(3.)
On a Certiorari to remove Orders, the Name of the Parish in the Writ, and that in the Order must appear to be the same.
Vide ante.
434. pl. 16.
1 Salk. 145,
146, 151.
Marsh 112.
1 Lev. 50.
1 Bullst. 159.
1 Keb. 165.
Far. 97.

A Certiorari issued to remove all Orders concerning the Inhabitants of the Parish of Barking, Needham-Market, and Darmelden Hamlets; and the Orders mentioned Barking and Needham, and Darmelden Hamlets, without Market. Serjeant Weld argued that they must be taken to be the same. 1 Sid. 64. Writ was to the Justice of Chester; Return was by the Chief Justice; and 1 Ro. 334. A Ward and a Liberty of a City is the same: Holt C. J. The Chief Justice of Chester, in his Patent, is called Justiciarius, and there was but one till 18 Eliz. c. 8. which gave the Queen Power to make another, who is styled alter Justiciarius, and the first Justiciar, and so our Writs are directed; we therefore take Notice of him, and do not regard his calling himself Chief Justice. The Reason of 1 Ro. 334. is, That a Ward of a City is no Venue, therefore the Venue is from the City: A Jury may come from a Lieu conus out of a City, not from a Lieu conus within a City. If Needham and Needham-Market be the same Hamlet, so it should have been returned; but we cannot take Notice that there is no such Hamlet as Needham-Market. If Trespass quare Clausum fregit at Needham were brought, and the Plaintiff proved a Breaking at Needham-Market, he must be nonsuit.

Novel

Novel Assignment.

Vide 1 Mod.
89.

Coke *versus* Evans. Trin. 2 Ann. B. R.

TRESPASS for taking the Cattel in D. The Defendant pleaded, That the Locus in quo was twenty Acres, &c. where he had Common, and justifies for Damage-feasant; the Plaintiff replied, that he took them in such a Place, [viz. another] And it was agreed per Holt C. J. and Powell, that the Plaintiff might have a new Assignment, which they told Mr. Southouse, subridendo; who neither confessed nor avoided his Bar, but gave it the Go-by.

(1.)
Where Defendant by Plea makes a transitory Trespass local, the Plaintiff may make a new Assignment.
Hob. 16.

Helvis *versus* Lamb. Hill. 2 Ann. B. R.

TRESPASS for taking and carrying away his Goods in D. The Defendant pleaded that the Locus in quo was his Freehold, and that he took the Goods Damage-feasant, &c. The Plaintiff demurred generally, and had Judgment; for the Action being transitory, there is no Locus in quo supposed: Otherwise in Trespass quare Clausum fregit in D. the Clausum is a Locus in quo; but in the principal Case there is no Place in particular supposed, only D. is alledged for a Venue; therefore if the Defendant will make the Place material, it must come on his Part to shew a Place certain: Also in Trespass quare clausum fregit in D. if the Defendant plead Liberum tenementum, and Issue be joined thereupon, it is sufficient for the Defendant to shew any Close, that is his Freehold; but if the Plaintiff gives the Close a Name, he must prove a Freehold in the Close named: So adjudged in C. B. and the Judgment affirmed in B. R. upon a Writ of Error.

(2.)
Where the Place is made material by the Defendant's Plea, he must shew it with Certainty.

N I S I P R I U S.

Bullock *versus* Parsons. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

Distringas
with a Blank
for the Cause
of Action,
amendable.

Authority of
a Judge of
Nisi Prius is
by Commis-
sion of Assize.
Vid. post 456.
Raym. 38,
73.

No Distringas
is aided
by Verdict,
ill Distringas
not.

IN Debt; after Verdict for the Plaintiff Mr. Eyre moved in Arrest of Judgment, that the Distringas was with a Blank, and Debiti (the Cause of Action) omitted; so it was a Distringas in another Cause: On the other Side it was urged to be as no Distringas, and aided by Verdict; and that it was amendable. Hob. 246. 2 Cro. 528. The Court made two Questions, 1st, Whether the Judge of Nisi Prius had Authority to try this Issue? Et per Holt C. J. The Authority of the Judge of Nisi Prius is not by the Distringas, but by the Commission of Assize; for it is the 13 E. 1. c. 30. which gives the Trial by Nisi Prius, and by that Statute, the Trial by Nisi Prius is given before Justices of Assize; and at first these Trials by Nisi Prius were always had and made upon the Venire Facias, and indeed the Clause of the Nisi Prius is by the 13 E. 1. c. 30. expressly ordered to be inserted in the Venire Facias; and Trials by Nisi Prius continued to be upon the Venire Facias till 42 E. 3. c. 11. which requires, that the Names of the Jurors be first returned into Court. By this at two Inconveniencies were remedied. 1st, The Party might now be prepared to make his Challenges, the Panel being first returned into Court, which was not before; and, 2dly, The Defendant was prevented by this means to cast an Essoin at Nisi Prius, which was used frequently before; for by Marl. c. 13. the Defendant was allowed one Essoin, and that after Issue joined upon the Return of the Venire: By Consequence, when that was returned at Nisi Prius, he could essoin at Nisi Prius; but now it is returned above; he must essoin above, and cannot now essoin at the Trial, because the Trial is upon the Distringas, and not upon the Venire. 3dly, The Court held no Distringas, or the Want of a Distringas to be aided by Verdict, but an ill Distringas was not; and remembered a Case, wherein Saunders, of Counsel at the Bar, dropped the Distringas out of his Hand, that he might want a Distringas, which would be aided, and not keep and shew an ill one, which would be naught. Also they held it amendable, and gave Judgment pro Quer.

NON SUIT, &c.

1 Sal. 21.
6 Mod. 95,
261.
Hard. 126,
153.
Raym. 38, 73.
Farell. 32,
48, 86.

Allington *versus* Vavafor. Trin. 12 W. III. B. R.

A Latitat was sued out against four Defendants in Trespass; the Plaintiff was nonsuit for want of a Declaration, and the Defendant's Attorney entered four Nonsuits against him; and it was held to be irregular, because the Trespass is joint, and tho' the Plaintiff may count severally against the Defendants, yet it remains joint till it is severed by the Court.

(1.)
In Trespass against four, there can be but one Nonsuit for want of declaring.

Lover *versus* Salkeld. Trin. 12 Will. III. B. R.

TRESPASS against two Defendants, and Verdict for the Plaintiff; one Defendant being an Infant, the Plaintiff took Judgment against the other, and entered a Non. Prof. after the Judgment against the Infant: Upon this Judgment the Plaintiff sued out Execution, upon which Error was brought: And Mr. Northey objected, That the Execution and Judgment could not vary from the Demand of the Writ: Raymond contra. Cozts are several, and the Plaintiff may as well enter a Non. Prof. quoad one Defendant upon a Trial by Verdict, as if one Defendant had demurred, and Verdict against the other; and that a Non Prof. may be entered after Judgment as well as before; and for Non Prof. entered after Judgment, he cited 15 E. 4. 26. 14 E. 4. 6. Hob. 71. 1 Ro. Rep. 379. 2 Ro. Abr. 100. pl. 5. Holt C. J. said, he supposed those were interlocutory Judgments wherein it might well be, but a final Judgment differed; for that being once wrong, a subsequent Entry would not set it right. Adjournatur.

(2.)
Non Prof. may be entered as to one Defendant after interlocutory Judgment, not after final.
Vid. 1 Cro. Hoger. vers. Wood.
Co. Entr. 650. b. & 676. b.
Rast. Entr. 582. b.
Hob. 70, 108.
11 Co. 50. b.
3 Cro. 762.

Cooke *versus* Foster. Trin. 1 Ann. B. R.

S.C. Farell. 32.

If a Man appear at the Day of the Return of the Process and put in Bail, tho' he never was arrested, nor the Process returned; yet if the Plaintiff does not declare against him in two Terms, a Non. Prof. may be entered against him.

(3.)
Where the Defendant files Bail without Arrest, Plaintiff may be Non Pros'd.

Goddard

S. C. 1 Salk. 21.
6 Mod. 261.

Goddard *versus* Smith. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

(4.)
Vide 11 Co.
65, 66.
6 Mod. 95,
262.
1 Sid. 420.

A Discharge by Nolle Prosequi upon an Indisment, is not sufficient to maintain an Action for a malicious Prosecution. Vide this Case, Title Action sur le Case, pl. 11. p. 21.

1 Vent. 32. 5 Mod. 208. Hard. 126, 153. Comber. 18.

(5.)
Entries of
Non Prof.
6 Mod. 25,
216.
8 Co. 58.
2 Keb. 521.
5 Mod. 394.
Hard. 126,
153.

Vide Co. Ent. 650. d. In Trespass, several Issues, one found for the Plaintiff, & *super hoc idem quer. gratis hic in Cur. cognovit se ulterius nolle prosequi versus le Defend. de ceteris exitibus*, upon which there is an *eat inde sine die*, and the Plaintiff has Judgment for the other: *Et vide ibi 6, 6, &c.* Issue for Part, and Demurrer for Part, in an Action of Trespass, and Verdict *pro Quer'* upon the Issue; upon which the Plaintiff enters a *Non Prof.* in this Manner: *Et super hoc idem quer' quoad materiam prædict' unde partes prædict' posuerunt se in judicium fatetur se nolle materiam illam, &c. ulterius quovismodo intromittere: Ideo idem defendens eat inde sine die, &c. & superinde idem querens petit judicium de dampnis prædict' &c. Ideo consideratum est*, That the Plaintiff recover; and that the Plaintiff be amerced *pro falso clamore* as to the rest, & *quod defendens eat inde sine die.* Vide ibi 28. Jury came in with their Verdict, and the Plaintiff was nonsuit, and the Entry is, *super quo querens solemniter exactus non revenit, nec est prosecutus billam suam, super quo consideratum est quod nil capiat.*

Greeves *versus* Rolls. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

(6.)
Judge of Nisi
Prius may re-
ceive a Non
Prof. at the
Assizes.
Vid. ante 454.
Raym. 38, 73.

In Ejectment
against several,
if some
confess Lease,
&c. and others
do not,
the Plaintiff
may go on as
to the former,
and be nonsuit
as to the latter.

THE Judge of Nisi Prius may receive a Non Prof. at the Assizes; so it was held by two Judges, and that Judgment was affirmed in the House of Lords against the Opinion of Holt C. J. For before the Statute of York, the Justices of Nisi Prius had no Power to record a Nonsuit or Default in the County, and consequently have no Power now to enter a Non Prof. which is not within that Statute. At Common Law they could not record a Non Prof. Default or Nonsuit. Nota; The principal Case was, Ejectment against several, who all entered into the Rule of Lease, Entry and Duffer; at the Assizes some would confess, and others would not: The Plaintiff, as to those who would not confess, entered a Non Prof. and went on against the others, and recovered; upon this a Rule was made, That in like Cases the Plaintiff should go on against those who would confess, and as to those who would not, should be nonsuit; but that the Cause of the Nonsuit should be expressed in the Record, viz. because those Defendants would not confess Lease, Entry and Duffer; and upon the Return of the Postea, the Court would be informed what

Land

Lands were in the Possession of those Defendants, that the Judgment might be entered against the casual Ejector as to them.

It was agreed in the arguing of this Cause, That where there are several Defendants, and they sever in Plea, whereupon Issue is joined, that the Plaintiff may enter a Non Prof. as to one Defendant at any Time before the Record is sent down to be tried at Nisi Prius.

Where several Defendants sever in Plea; Plaintiff may enter Non Prof. against one, any Time before Record sent down.

Rex & Regina versus Wilcox. Hill. 1 W. & M. B. R.

NOTICE, &c.

Lazier *versus* Dyer. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

Vide 3 Mod. 96, 129, 257, 330, 442, &c. 2 Show. 316. 2 Lev. 21, 106, 152. Lutw. 404, 409, &c. S.C. Post 650.

ISSUE being joined and entered, as of Trinity-Term, the Plaintiff rested till the Trinity-Term following, and then gave Notice of Trial after the Term; and a Venire Facias and Distringas was taken out in the Vacation, but rested and entered as of the Term. Et per Cur'. This is not sufficient Notice; for tho' in Law, this was a Proceeding within the Term; yet in Fact it was a Proceeding in Vacation, and therefore there was not a Term's Notice of Trial.

(1.) If there be no Proceeding in Fact within four Terms, there must be a Term's Notice of Trial.

6 Mod. 18, 57, 146. 6 Mod. 57. S. C. call'd Lerauld *versus* Dyer. 1 Keb. 112. 1 Sid. 34, 231. 2 Lilly 131, 336.

Vide Post 645. 1 Mod. 1. 1 Sid. 34, 231.

Smith *versus* Goff. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

PLAINTIFF declares, That whereas H. owed him 30 l. by Bond, the Defendant promised, that if the Plaintiff delivered up the said Bond, he would pay him the said 30 l. and says, he delivered up the said Bond to H. unde the Defendant had Notice, and had not paid it. On Non Assumpsit pleaded, Verdict was for the Plaintiff: Upon Motion in Arrest it was held, 1st, That Delivery must be intended to the Obligor, for that is properly a Delivering up; and delivering up must be construed the most effectual Delivering; which is such as that the Bond may be cancelled. 2dly, There needs no Notice, because the Defendant knew whom to resort to; and the Difference is, where a Person is named, and where not.

(2.) Notice not necessary to be alledg'd, where the Thing can be intended to be known without it. See 6 Mod. 184, 200, 259, 288. 4 Mod. 230, 239. 3 Mod. 330. Fares. 143, &c.

N U.

Vide 9 Co.
57, &c.
5 Mod. 242.
Raym. 74.
6 Mod. 145.
Vaugh. 333.
340, 358.
1 Mod. 55.
76, 168, 202.

N U S A N C E S.

Rex & Regina *versus* Wilcox. Hill. 1 W. & M. B.R.

(1.)
Fine pardon-
ed by general
Pardon, but
Abatement
not excused.
Vide Vaugh.
333.

THE Owner of the Glass-house at Lambeth was indicted for maintaining that House, being a Nuisance, and was convicted and fined; and now it was moved, That by the Act of general Pardon the Defendant was excused and discharged, both as to the Fine and the Abatement of the Nuisance: But the Court upon Consideration held, That he should be discharged only as to the Fine and not as to the Abatement, for that is not a Punishment of the Party, but a Removal of that which is a Grievance to other People, and any Person may abate a common Nuisance.

Sec 2 Mo. 53.
Lutw. 1586.
&c.

(2.)
Quod per-
mittat lies de
Edificio.
9 Co. 53, 54.
Cro. Jac. 555.
Cro. Car. 185.
1 Rol. Rep.
394.
3 Bulst. 197.
1 Jones 222.
2 Rol. 144,
145, 565.

Palmer *contra* Poultney. Mich. 8 Will. III. C. B.

QUOD permittat prostertere quædam ædificia ad nocumēt, &c. And the Court held, That a quod permittat will lie de ædificio; but vide Noy 68. 3 Cro. 520, 402. It lies not de mole, F. N. B. 110. It lies de fabrica, F. N. B. 184. which the Court held as uncertain as ædificium. Also there may be a Building without a Name; and the Court held, That whether the Nuisance was by the Tenant or a Stranger, the Plaintiff may maintain a Quod permittat, for 'tis the same Prejudice to the Plaintiff. F. N. B. 184. Et Nota; In a Quod permittat the Jury have a View; but that did not weigh with the Court, for they said that could be no Direction to the Sheriff, tho' it might to the Jury.

Lodie *versus* Arnold. Mich. 9 W. III. B. R.

In Justifica-
tion by Ab-
atement of
a Nuisance, it
need not be
shewn that he
did it, doing
as little hurt
as could be.

TRESPASS for breaking his Close, and throwing Bricks and other Materials there lying erga confederationem domus de novo erect into the Sea; the Defendant shewed it was a Nuisance, being a House built across the Way, and that he pulled down the Walls, &c. and they rolled into the Sea: The Plaintiff demurred; and Judgment was given for the Defendant: And,

1st,

1st, The Court seemed to agree, That the Trespass, which is justified, is not the Trespass complained of, for that was throwing Materials there lying; this, which is confessed, is pulling down a Wall. 2dly, That when H. has a Right to abate a publick Nuisance, he is not bound to do it orderly, and with as little Hurt in abating it, as can be; and therefore was not answerable in this Case for the Rolling into the Sea. In the Case of James against Hayward, the Defendant might have opened the Gate without cutting it down; yet the Cutting was lawful; and the Court denied Hill's Case, 3 Cro. 384. that Matter of Aggravation need to be answered. But, 3dly, The Court held, That the Declaration was repugnant and insensible; there could not be Materials towards the Building of a House Erect, which is already built.

Cro. Car.
184, 185.
Vide 5 Co.
Penrud-
dock's Case.

Rosewel *versus* Prior. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

S. C. 6 Mod.
116.
Vide prox.
pag.

IN an Action upon the Case for erecting a Shed upon the Defendant's Ground, so near the Plaintiff's House that it stopp'd up his Lights; the Plaintiff declared, that he was possessed of a House which had Windows, per quas lumen inferebatur & inferri consuevit: After Verdict for the Plaintiff, it was moved in Arrest of Judgment, that the House was not said to be an ancient Messuage, and the Defendant appeared not to be a Wrong-doer; for one may erect a Shed on his own Ground against another's Windows, if they are not ancient Lights. 3 Cro. 118. And all the Precedents of stopping Lights have it, either antiquum Messuagium or antiqua lumina. 1 Cro. 325. Pop. 170. 2 Cro. 373. Yelv. 215. Sed per Cur'. The Word consuevit imports Usage Time out of Mind, and we must intend, after Verdict, That Usage Time out of Mind was proved; and so indeed it was in this Case, for otherwise the Jury could not have found for the Plaintiff. The Court seemed to think this Declaration would not have been good upon Demurrer.

(4.)
In Case for
stopping
Lights, it
must appear
that the
Lights were
ancient.
See 6 Mod.
116, 314.
1 Mod. 55.
1 Lev. 122.
&c. & infra.
9 Co. 58.
Cro. El. 402.
Consuevit af-
ter Verdict in
such Action,
imports
Usage Time
out of Mind.
6 Mod. 20,
313, 314.
Comber. 481.
Hurr. 136.

S. C. 1 Vent. 274. Show. 7, 64. 3 Mod. 48. 3 Keb. 133. 1 Vent. 237. Pop. 170. Hob. 131. Carth. 454.

Dominus Rex *versus* Rosewell. Hill. 10 Will. III. B. R.

3 Lev. 133.
1 Vent. 239,
248.

IF H. builds a House so near mine, that it stops my Lights, or shoots the Water upon my House, or is any other Way a Nuisance to me, I may enter upon the Owner's Soil and pull it down; and for this Reason only a small Fine was set upon the Defendant in an Indictment for a Riot in pulling down some Part of a House, it being a Nuisance to his Lights, and the Right found for him in an Action for stopping his Lights.

(5.)
H. erects a
House which
stops my
Lights, I may
pull it down.
See 6 Mod.
314, &c. su-
pra.

1 Vent. 237, 239, 274. 2 Lev. 194. Ray. 87. 1 Sid. 167.

S. C. ante
459. and
6 Mod. 116.

(6.)

A. Tenant for
Years erects a
Nuisance, and
makes an Under-
Lease to B. Plaintiff
may have an
Action against either.
See 6 Mod.
116, 314. ante
459, &c. ib.
2 Rol. 144,
145.
Cro. Car. 185.
3 Bulst. 197.
1 Jones 222.
9 Co. 55. a.

Rosewell *versus* Prior. Hill. 13 W. III. B. R.

IN an Action upon the Case, for that the Plaintiff being seised of an ancient House and Lights, the Defendant had erected, &c. whereby they were stopp'd. There was a former Recovery for this Erection, and this Action was for the Continuance; and the Case was, Tenant for Years erected a Nuisance, and afterwards made an Under-Lease to J. S. The Question was, Whether after a Recovery against the first Tenant for Years for the Erection, an Action would lie against him for the Continuance after he had made an Under-Lease? Et per Cur. It lies; for he transferred it with the original Wrong, and his Demise affirms the Continuance of it: He hath also Rent as a Consideration for the Continuance, and therefore ought to answer the Damage it occasions. Vide Jones 272. Receipt of Rent is Upholding. 2 Cro. 372, 555. The Action lies against either at the Plaintiff's Election.

Domina Regina *versus* Wigg. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

(7.)

Keeping
Swine in a
City, a Nuisance at Com-
mon Law.
See 2 Rol.
Abr. 140, 141.
Cro. Car. 570.
9 Co. 57, 58.
2 Show. 27,
30, 81, 327.
Palmer 536.
Hurt. 136.
1 Rol. 558.
1 Bulst. 115.
Godb. 183.
Br. Action
sur le Case
123.
Where the
Statute makes
the Offence,
no other Re-
medy can be
pursued but
what the Sta-
tute gives; otherwise of a Crime indictable before.

INDICTMENT for keeping hogs in some of the back Streets of London, contra formam Statuti. Mr. Whitacre moved to quash it, because the Swine are forfeited by the Stat. 2 W. & M. Sess. 2. cap. 8. sect. 20. Ergo no Indictment lies, at least not contra formam Statuti; he compared it to the Case of the Queen against Warson, which was an Indictment for keeping an Alehouse, and held not to lie, because there is another Remedy. Curia; Where a new Penalty is applied for a Matter, which at Common Law was an indictable Offence, as in this Case for keeping Swine in the City, which is a Nuisance, either Remedy may be pursued; but where the Statute makes the Offence, that Remedy must be taken which the Statute gives: And as to its being contra formam Statuti, that is Surplusage; but if that was a Fault, they said, they would not quash it, being for a Nuisance, but he should demur; accordingly it was demurred to, and came on in the Paper, and Judgment given for the King. Afterwards Mr. Whitacre moved to set aside the Judgment, alledging Surprise and Want of Notice. Sed non prevaluit; But no Counsel appeared for the Defendant when Judgment was given.

Oaths and Affidavits.

See 2 Mod.

173.

3 Mod. 108.

2 Show. 68,

475.

5 Mod. 402.

1 Chan. Cas.

11.

1 Chan. Rep.

231.

Post 549.

(1.)

Where upon shewing Cause new Affidavits may be read to support the Rule.

Salloway *versus* Whorewood. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

UPON a Rule to shew Cause, the Plaintiff offered several new Affidavits, and this Diversity was taken, viz. Where they contain new Matter, and where they tend only to confirm what was alleged and sworn when the Rule was made; in the latter Case they may be read, not in the former.

Dominus Rex *versus* Jones. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

IF Affidavits taken before Commissioners in the Country, according to 29 Car. 2. c. 5. be expressed to be in a Cause depending between A. and B. and there is no such Cause in Court, they cannot be read, because the Commissioners have no Authority to take them, and there can be no Perjury; otherwise if there be a Cause in Court, and this concerns some collateral Matter.

(2.) Affidavits taken before Commissioners, according to 29 Car. 2. c. 5. must be in a Cause in Court.

Davis and Carter's Case.

DAVIS and Carter stood in the Pillory, and the Court would not allow their Affidavits to be read; Hill. 7 W. 3. B. R. But Mich. 4 Ann. B. R. a Motion was made to set aside a Judgment for Irregularity on the Defendant's Affidavit; and Mr. Whitacre objected to the reading it, because he was convicted of Perjury. Et per Holt C. J. Must he therefore suffer all Injuries and have no Way to help himself? Powell J. you ought to have the Record of Conviction in your Hand when you make this Objection. But per Holt C. J. If he had, it would be nothing to the Purpose.

S.C. 5 Mod.

74.

3 Lev. 426.

(3.)

Where an Affidavit of a Person pilloried may be read.

Vide post

513, 514, 689.

5 Mod. 15.

3 Salk. 461.

O B L I G A T I O N.

See Cro. Jac.
190, 203, 208,
290, 338, 522,
603, 607.
2 Lev. 35,
166, 220.

Henderson *versus* Foster. Hill. 3 W. & M. B. R.

(1.)
Sex triginta
libris, held
well.
Comber. 187.
S. C.
2 Show. Cas.
422.
2 Rol. Abr.
146, 147.
S. C. 5 Mod.
281.
Vide ib. les
Pleadings.

In Debt the Plaintiff declared on a Bond, in trigint. & sex Libris solvend', &c. and upon Oyer the Words of the Bond were, sex trigint' libris, and it was held well, and no Variance; for it shall be taken as one Word, as tres vigint' dies Junii, was taken for the 23d of June. 1 Cro. 261.

(2.)
Quadrans li-
brisin a Bond.
Cro. Car. 116.
Mo. 864.
2 Bulst. 241.
Lutw. 422,
423.
2 Jones 58.
1 Brownl.
110.
Bond made
by Erlin, sub-
scrib'd Erl-
win, Vari-
ance not ma-
terial.
2 Show. 504.
Insensible
Words re-
jected.
Vide prox.
pag. pl. 3.
2 Mod. 285.
Sum in the
Obligation
express'd in
insensible
Words, ex-
plain'd by the
Condition.
2 Cro. 146.
Yelv. 65.
Cro. El. 417.

Cromwell *versus* Grunsden. Pasch. 10 Will. III. B. R.

In Debt upon an Obligation, the Plaintiff declared, Quod cum Robertus Erlin, primo die Julii 1674. per scriptum suum Obligatorium concessit se teneri & firmiter obligari in Quadragint. libris, &c. Et profert, &c. cujus dat. est eisdem die & anno. Upon non est factum pleaded, the Jury found the Defendant made a Deed in hac verba, and that was, in premid. vigin. in quadrans libris, dated the 1st of July, Anno Regni Car. 2. Millimo sexcent. septua' q^{to}, and signed Robert Erlwin, conditioned to pay 20 l. and if this be the same Deed, &c? Et per Cur'.

1st. The Variance between the Name signed, which is Erlwin, and the Name in the Obligation, which is Erlin, is not material, because Subscribing is no essential Part of the Deed; Sealing is sufficient: The Bond is a Deed without it, and so it is of the Fear of the King. Vide Yelv. 193.

2dly, The Court held the Words in premid. vigin. and also the Anno Regni Car. 2. Millimo sexcent. septua' q^{to}, to be void for Insensibility; and being insensible shall be rejected, the rest being Sense without them.

3dly, They held that the Word quadrans had been void and insensible, if it had stood by it self, as in Case there had been no Condition, or if the Condition had been collateral; but since it has relation to the Condition, they would take it to be explained by the Condition, and to signify 40 l. there being somewhat like quatuor or quadragint. in it, and there are Cases as strong and of as odd Words. Hob. 119. Quamquegenta for 500 l. Yelv. 95. Cro. Car. 147. Cro. Jac. 208. 1 Brownl. 62. 2 Ro. Ab. 146, 147. Hob. 19. doubted. In most Cases where the Gent. or Gint. or the Sex or Sept.

Sept. are right, the Obligation has been held well. Vide 2 Jones 48. 1 Cro. 416, 418. 2 Cro. 338.

4thly, An Impossible Date is no Date; and where there is no Date, the Plaintiff nevertheless must declare of it as made at a certain Time, and it is better to test there without *cujus dat. est eisdem*, &c. or *gerens dat. eisdem*; for that ties it up to the Bond mentioned in the Declaration; and if that be taken to be the express Date, then there is a Variance; and the Court held, That if the Plaintiff's Declaration had been *gerens dat.* it had certainly been naught, because that could refer to nothing but the Express Date; but being *cujus dat.* the Court would intend it of the real Date, which is the Delivery, and not of the express Date; because that being insensible, and as no Date, it could not properly be applied to that. Vide 2 Yelv. 193.

And the Chief Justice denied the Case 2 Cro. 136. and held, That if H. declares on a Bond, as bearing Date the 6th of May, he cannot upon *non est factum* give in Evidence a Bond bearing Date at another Day; but he may give in Evidence a Bond that bears Date the 6th of May, tho' it was delivered at another Day. Adjudged.

Wells *versus* Tregusan. Mich. 7 Ann. B. R.

DEBT on a Bond for 100l. Defendant demands Oyer of the Condition, which was, Whereas the Defendant is truly indebted to the Plaintiff in 50l. Now the Condition is such, That if the Defendant do not pay the said 50l. on or upon, &c. Then the Obligation to be void, &c. and pleads, That he did not pay the said 50l. The Plaintiff demurred and had Judgment, for when the Condition recites a Debt, and after lays an Obligation not to pay it, 'tis in that repugnant. Vide 1 Sid. 109. 1 Lev. 77. 39 H. 6. 10. a.

Yelv. 105.
Stryl. 241,
257.
Impossible
Date is no
Date, and the
Plaintiff must
declare of a
Time of
making.
5 Mod. 248,
&c.
Yel. 193.
5 Co. 5.
2 Rol. Abr.
706.
Farell. 38.
Gerens dat.
must be un-
derstood of
the express
Date; *cujus*
dat. may be
the Delivery.
1 Brown. 110.
Style 414.
2 Rep. 5.
Cro. Eliz.
603.
Noy 21.

(3.)
Condition of
a Bond (reci-
ting a Debt)
not to pay, is
repugnant.
See 2 Show.
15, 16, 504.
1 Lev. 77, 85.
Raym. 61.
2 Saun. 79, 80.
2 Mod. 285.

Occur

Vide Vaug.
190, &c.
3 Leon. 36.
6 Mod. 66.
Cart. 65, 66.
2 Keb. 250.

Occupant and Occupancy.

Oldham *versus* Pickering. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

Estates pur
auter vie are
only Assets
for the Pay-
ment of
Debts, not of
Legacies, un-
less given
thereout ex-
pressly; nor
distributable.
Vide ante
415, &c.
Carth. 376.
S. C.

IN Attachment for Prohibition the Case was briefly thus: Thomas Oldham being seised of a Messuage in the County of Chester, to him and his Assigns for three Lives, died intestate without Children, leaving only Anne Pickering his Sister: Administration was committed to Margaret Oldham the Plaintiff, whom the Defendant now sues in the Spiritual Court of Chester for Distribution, and to exhibit an Inventory, which she exhibited and omitted thereout the Estate pur auter vie; whereupon the single Question was this, Whether an Estate pur auter vie be not distributable in like Manner, as Intestates Goods and Chattels are, according to 22 & 23 Car. 2. by Force of 29 Car. 2. c. 3. which enacts for the Amendment of the Law, That an Estate pur auter vie shall be deviseable, and if no such Devise thereof be made, the same shall be chargeable in the Hands of the Heir, if it shall come to him by reason of a special Occupancy, as Assets by Descent; as in Case of Lands in Fee-simple; and in Case there be no special Occupant thereof, it shall go to the Executors or Administrators of the Party that had the Estate thereof by Virtue of the Grant, and shall be Assets in their Hands.

And after a long Argument by Cheshire for the Plaintiff, and by Ward for the Defendant, the whole Court, viz. Holt, Rokeby, Turton and Eyre, unanimously gave Judgment, That the Prohibition should stand, and that an Estate pur auter vie belonging to an Intestate, was not distributable; for notwithstanding this Alteration by the Statute, it remains a Freehold still; and the Amendment of the Law in this Particular, was only designed for the Relief of Creditors; that if it came to the Heir by reason of a special Occupancy, it should be in his Hands Assets by Descent, that is, liable to the Payment of those Debts where the Heir is chargeable, and of those only; but if there was no special Occupant, then it should go to the Executors or Administrators, i. e. they shall be in the Room of the Occupant, and it shall be Assets in their Hands, i. e. they shall be bound to pay the Debts of the Deceased to the Value thereof; so that it is not so much

much as Assets to pay Legacies, except such as are devised particularly thereout, the Statute making it Assets only for this particular Intent, to pay Creditors; and no Debts appearing in this Case, the Administrator is as it were the Occupant, and shall not be compelled to make any Distribution thereof, as he shall of Goods and Chattels, according to 22 & 23 Car. 2.

Offices and Officers.

Jones *versus* Pugh. Mich. 3 W. & M. B. R.

See 1 Lev.
2, 76.
2 Lev. 71,
150, 245.
3 Lev. 200,
145, 288.
1 Lutw. 381,
1562.
Raym. 33.

CASE for disturbing the Plaintiff in his Office of Alcat-general. A Special Verdict was found, viz. That the Bishop of Landaff granted the Office of Alcat-general to the Plaintiff and J. S. habend. conjunction & division, exercend. per se vel sufficien. deputat'. It was objected, That a judicial Office could not be granted to two; for if they differ, nothing can be done: Answer, That may be said of four Judges, as in B. R. and in Ministerial Offices, as two Sheriffs: The Court held the Grant good, and said, If an Office be granted to two, and one dies, the Office does not survive, but determines; as if two Sheriffs, and one dies, the other cannot act; otherwise if granted to two and the Survivor of them.

(1.)
Judicial Office may be granted to two; but if one dies, it shall not survive, unless said to the Survivor.
Vide 2 Mod. 95, 96.
4 Mod. 17.
1 Vent. 296.

Dominus Rex *versus* Kemp. Trin. 7 Will. III. B. R.

S. C. 4 Mod. 276, 277.

A Scire Facias was brought to repeal Letters Patent, where by King Charles the Second granted to the Defendant Kemp the Office of Searcher in the Port of Plymouth. The Case was, King Charles II. had granted this Office to A. durante beneplacito; and afterwards by other Letters Patent, reciting the first, granted it to B. for Life, to commence after the Death, Surrender, or Forfeiture of A. Afterwards B. surrendered to the King, who in Consideration of the Surrender, granted the Office to the Defendant Kemp, to commence after the Death,

(2.)
King grants an Office to A. durante beneplacito, and afterwards grants the same to B. to commence after the Death, Surrender, or Forfeiture of A. the later Grant is good.

2 Rol. Abr. 154. Death, Forfeiture, Surrender, or other Determination of the Estate of A.

Cro. Car. 279. It was objected, That the Patent and Grant to B. was void, because A.'s Estate, being at Will, could not be surrendered nor forfeited. Also an Estate of Freehold cannot depend upon an Estate at Will.

6 Rep. 35. Carth. 350. S. C. Office at Will is at the Will of the King, and not of the Party, and may be surrendered. Hob. 150. 151. Dyer 80. b. 259. a.

Et per Cur'. An Estate at Will in Lands cannot be surrendered, because 'tis determinable by the Will of either Party; but such an Office at Will is not properly at the Will of both Parties, but at the Will of the King only; the Party cannot determine his Will, but by Surrender; for if it be an Office of Trust, a meer Forbearance to execute will be an Offence, and fineable; and Surrender is the constant Practice in such Cases. So did the Chief Justices Hale and Scroggs.

King's Tenant at Will may forfeit, and there must be an Inquisition. 2 Brownl. 241.

2dly, It may be said forfeitable in some Measure, and the King's Tenants at Will may be said to forfeit; for in Case of Forfeiture, the King will be informed by Inquisition before he determine his Will, and then upon the Return of the Inquisition the Office is forfeited; but if it were an Estate for Life, there must be a Scire Facias to repeal the Letters Patent.

Dyer 197. b. 1 And. 6. 3 Leon. 5.

3dly, If the King had turned out A. the Grant to B. may take Effect, tho' not immediately, yet after the Death of A. and the King shall appoint another in the Time.

A Freehold to commence in futuro, may be in a Rent de novo, or in an Office. Vid. Post 577.

4thly, That a Freehold of Lands cannot be granted to commence in futuro, or depend upon an Estate at Will; but a new Office, or a Rent de novo, may be created to commence in futuro, &c. for 'tis the Creature of him that makes it; and it is no otherwise in Being than it is in Grant. And the King doth not grant a Reversion, but in Reversion; and that not in respect of a particular Estate, but because he is pleased to grant in futuro.

Gulliford *versus* De Cardonell. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(3.) Bond given by Deputy to Principal to pay him half the Profits of the Office, good. See 6 Mod. 234, &c. 3 Keb. 552, 659, 678. 3 Keb. 711, 717. Post 468. Cro. Car. 361. Cro. Jac. 269. 2 Vent. 79.

IN Debt on an Obligation; on Oyer the Condition was, That whereas the Defendant was made Deputy to the Plaintiff in his Office, if he pay the Plaintiff half the Profits, then, &c. The Defendant pleaded the Stat. of 5 & 6 Ed. 6. Et per Cur'. This Bond is not within the Statute, because the Condition is not to pay him so much in Gross, but half the Profits, which must be sued for in the Principal's Name; for they belong to him, tho' out of them a Share is to be allowed to the Deputy for his Service.

Saunders *versus* Owen. Trin. 10 W. III. B. R.

S. C. 5 Mod.
386.
Vide Raym.

In an Affize of Novel Disseisin for the Office of Clerk of the Peace of Kent, the Recognitors found a special Verdict, viz. That the Earl of Winchelsea being Custos Rotulorum of that County, made P. Owen Clerk of the Peace, to hold at his Pleasure, by Writing under his Hand and Seal; and because the Justices of Peace at Sessions scrupled to admit him upon this Paper, the Lord Winchelsea came into Court, and said, I nominate P. Owen to be Clerk of the Peace according to Act of Parliament.

53.
(4.)
Custos Rotulorum may appoint the Clerk of the Peace without Deed. See 3 Mod. 150, quære 147. Vide Noy 147, 148. Carth. 426. S. C.

And the Court held, That it always belonged to the Custos Rotulorum to nominate the Clerk of the Peace, but the Clerk of the Peace was removeable whenever the Custos was removed or changed; and moreover was removeable at the Will of the Custos till 32 H. 8. which makes him to continue in quousque the Custos shall continue in. Now by the late Act, he is to continue for Life; and tho' the Words be, Give and Grant to him; yet it is only an Appointment, and consequently may be without Deed: That it cannot be a Grant from the Custos, or enure as such, is plain, because the Custos is only at Will; and he that has an Office at Will, cannot make a Grant for Life, because there is no original Estate sufficient to bear it; therefore this must enure as an Appointment, or the Execution of a Power given by the Statute; like a Power to an Executor to sell, or a Tenant for Life to make Leases; the Consequence of all which is, That this was a good Appointment, tho' without Deed; for whatever is to take Effect out of a Power or Authority, or by Way of Appointment, is good without Deed; otherwise where it takes an Effect out of an Interest, and is to enure as a Grant; for then, if it be of a Thing incorporeal, it must be by Deed. Held upon a Writ of Error of a Judgment in the Common Pleas.

Whatever is to take Effect out of an Authority, or by Way of Appointment, is good without Deed.

Anonymus. Mich. 11 Will. III. B. R.

Serjeant Darnell moved against the Clerk of the County Court, for granting a Replevin without taking a Bond or Surety of the Plaintiff to prosecute; but the Court made no Rule, because it was a stale Cause of Complaint near two Years ago; besides, 'twas only a single Instance; but the Court said, that when it grew into a Practice, as if a Sheriff constantly or frequently used to let Persons at large without Bail, then it is an Abuse of his Office, and the Court will interpose. Per Cur' Holt absente, B'ring your Asson.

(5.)

Godolphin *versus* Tudor. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

(6.)
Bond by De-
puty to pay
a certain
Sum out of
the Salary
or Profits is
good ; but
where it is
without rela-
tion to the
Salary or
Profits, void.
Vide ante
466: pl. 3.
3 Keb. 552,
659, 678,
711, 717.
Mod. Cases
38. the same
Names.

SIR William Godolphin being Auditor of Wales made a De-
puty quamdiu se bene gesserit, who, by Articles of Agreement
between them, was to have the Fees, and in Consideration
thereof, to pay Sir William 200 l. per Annum, and save him
harmless. In Debt on the Bond for Performance, Judgment
was given against the Plaintiff: 1st, The Court held this an
Office within the Statute, 5 & 6 Ed. 6. c. 16.

2dly, The Court held, That where an Office is within the
Statute, and the Salary is certain, if the Principal make a De-
putation, reserving a lesser Sum out of the Salary, 'tis good :
So if the Profits be uncertain, arising from Fees, if the Prin-
cipal make a Deputation, reserving a Sum certain out of the
Fees and Profits of the Office, 'tis good ; for in these Cases the
Deputy is not to pay, unless the Profits rise to so much ; and
tho' a Deputy by his Constitution is in Place of his Principal,
yet he has no Right to the Fees, they still continue to be the
Principal's ; so that as to him, 'tis only reserving a Part of his
own, and giving away the rest to another ; but where the Reser-
vation or Agreement is not to pay out of the Profits, but to pay
generally a certain Sum, it must be paid at all Events, and such
Bond is void by the Statute.

Lee *versus* Drake. Trin. 4 Ann. B. R.

(7.)
Case for di-
sturbing him
in the Exer-
cise of the
Office of Pa-
rish-Clerk.
Vide 6 Mod.
19, 21, 233.
4 Mod. 418.

CASE wherein the Plaintiff declared, Quod cum extitisset
Clerk of such a Parish, the Defendant disturbed him in the
Exercise of his Office, and hindered him to sit in the Clerk's
Seat, per quod he lost the Profits of his Office. It was object-
ed, That this was rather a Service or Imployment than an Of-
fice ; that if it be an Office, it is Ecclesiastical, for of common
Right the Parson appoints the Clerk, and the Court will not in-
tend a Custom ; and unless a Clerk comes in by the Election of
the Parishioners according to Custom, he has not a temporal
Right ; and the Court will not grant a Mandamus for a Clerk,
without an Affidavit that he is appointed by the Parish. 2dly, It
does not appear that any Fees appertain unto this Office, and
no Action lies at Common Law for Disturbance in the Enjoy-
ment of a Seat in the Church, without a temporal Right, and
so it is here. Adjournatur.

Vide ante 435, Non-Attendance is good Cause of Forfeiture of
an Office.

Office for the King.

Vide Hard.
11, 12.
3 Lev. 290.
Vaugh. 62.
64, 153.

Layton *contra* Manlove. Mich. 2 W. & M. In Canc.

S EVERAL voluntary Escapes being committed by Manlove the Warden of the Fleet, an Inquisition was found, and the King granted the said Office to Layton, and now the Commissioners of the Great Seal refused to seal the same, being of Opinion that the Inquisition was void, and ought to be quashed, because it does not find what Estate Manlove had in the Office; for there are two sorts of Inquisitions, the one to inform, and that need not be so certain, Mo. 308. the other to vest and entitle the King to grant, and that must be certain. Jones 71, 77. 3 Cro. 895. And here no certain Estate is found to vest, and a *Melius inquirendum* cannot supply this Defect; for where an Inquisition is defective and uncertain, that cannot be supplied by *Melius inquirendum*; but where it finds some Points and not others, and that which is found, is well found, there may be a *Melius inquirendum*. Per Holt C. J. Pollexfen C. J. and Nevil J.

(1.)
Inquisition finding some Points well, and nothing as to others, may be supplied by *Melius inquirendum*; otherwise if defective in the Points found.

Linch *versus* Coote. Hill. 8 Will. III. B.R.

T ENANT for Life, Remainder to his first Son in Tail, Remainder to J. S. in Fee: Tenant for Life is attainted of High Treason, and dies without Issue. It was objected, That the whole Estate being vested in the King by 33 H. 8. without any Office finding the Special Matter, he in Remainder cannot enter, for the Statute vests it in the King like a general Office; and if a general Office had been found, it would have been supposed a Fee. Holt C. J. No other Estate vests in the King by Virtue of the Act of Parliament, than the Party attainted had; just as if a Special Office had been found: And therefore in this Case, as in that, the Remainder-Man may enter on the King, his Estate being determined, for the Statute saves the Rights of others; otherwise where an Office finds an Estate in Fee in the Party attainted; for then it must be avoided by *Traverse* or *Amoveas manum*. Vide Dyer 335. b. Hob. Sheffield and Ratcliffe, Moor 109. 3 Cro. 640. Dy. 354. pl. 230.

(2.)
A Tenant for Life, Remainder to B. in Fee; A. is attainted. King seizes, B. may enter on the King, otherwise if an Office had found A. seized in Fee.

Orders of Justices of the Peace.

[*Vide Titles Apprentices, Bastards, Justices of the Peace, Poor, Sessions.*]

Inter Inhabitan' Dumbleton and Beckford. Pasch.
7 Will. III. B. R.

(1.)
Order to re-
move to B.
because Fa-
ther last set-
tled there, ill.
Ante 427.
Post 470.
Comberb.
380, 381.
Mod. Cases
87.

On a Certiorari was returned an Order of Sessions in Gloucestershire. A Girl of near thirteen Years old had been at Dumbleton in the said County, and had always lived there with her Grandmother; her Father was legally settled at Beckford in the same County: She wanting Relief, was by the Order charged on Beckford, because her Father was settled there. Et per Cur'. The Order must be quashed; for tho' till eight Years Children are counted Nurse-children, yet they must afterwards have Maintenance from the Parishes where they themselves are settled, and for any Thing appears she may have gained a Settlement.

Anonymus. Mich. 7 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
In Orders to
discharge
Apprentice,
the very Dis-
charge under
Seal need not
be returned.
Vide post. pl.
4.

If an Apprentice be discharged from his Master, the Statute requires that the Discharge be under the Hands and Seals of four Justices of Peace; but in a Certiorari to remove the Order, 'tis sufficient in the Return to take Notice of the Order so made; for 'tis not necessary to certify the Discharge it self.

Dominus Rex *versus* Randall.

(3.)
Sessions can-
not suppress
an Alehouse
licenced, un-
less for Dis-
order.

By a Certiorari two Orders were removed from the Sessions of Middlesex; the 1st whereof recited, That whereas R. Randall had lately taken a House at Hoxton, designing to sell Ale and Beer there; and whereas the House had never been inhabited but by Merchants and Men of Quality, and there were Alehouses enough in Hoxton already, therefore 'tis ordered that

no Licence be granted to any House in Hoxton wherein Ale was not formerly sold, and that no Licence should be granted to Randall: The second Order recited, That whereas a Licence was surreptitiously gotten by Randall from two Justices of Peace, that yet his House should be suppressed from drawing of Ale: And it was moved to quash these two Orders, because by 5 & 6 E. 6. c. 25. the Quarter-Sessions cannot controul two Justices of Peace in this Affair. Per Holt C. J. This Difference has been taken: If Authority be given to two Justices of Peace to do an Act, and from that Act there is no Appeal, then it may commence at the Sessions; but if an Appeal be given, then they cannot begin at the Sessions, as 43 Eliz. and 18 Eliz. till 3 Car. 2. But the true Objection here is, That except for Disorder the Justices of Peace cannot at their Sessions, suppress an Alehouse licenced by two Justices of Peace; and the Order was quashed.

Comber. 17.
Brownl. 296.
1 Salk. 45, 46.
1 Saund. 249.
2 Keb. 506.
Godb. 178.

Dominus Rex *versus* Gately. Mich. 7. Will. B. R.

S. C. & Mod.
139, 140.

On a Certiorari it was moved to quash an Order of Sessions for the Discharge of one Edward Green from his Apprenticeship to the Defendant Gately; the Fact was, That Gately was a Mountebank, and being at a Place in Yorkshire, where he kept a publick Stage, Green was by Indenture bound Apprentice to him in this Manner, viz. to Robert Gately, Surgeon, to learn the Trade he now useth; and immediately he went upon the Stage, and ever since continued in the Employ; after which, being with his Master Gately in Middlesex, he complained to the Justices that his Master did not teach him the Trade, upon which they discharged him; this being done, Green set up the Trade of Mountebank himself; Mr. Northey moved to quash the Order, the Justices being willing, because they were imposed upon: 1st, he excepted to the Form of the Order, that they ordered the Servant to be discharged from his Master, whereas the Discharge should be mutual. 2^{dly}, Because the Stat. 5 Eliz. in discharging Apprentices is confined, and extends only to Apprentices mentioned in that Clause, and there neither Surgeon nor Mountebank is mentioned: And tho' a Surgeon may be a Trade within the Statute, which a Man cannot exercise without serving an Apprenticeship to, because that Clause of the Statute is general; yet this part of the Statute relating to the Discharge of Apprentices, extends only to Trades there mentioned. Per Cur'. As to the first, the Discharge of the Servant is by Consequence a Discharge of the Master; and as to the second, The Clause of the Statute relating to the Discharge of Apprentices, is general, and goes to all manner of Apprentices, even to those of Merchants, as it was adjudged in Hawksworth's and Hillary's Case, 1 Saund. 314. But afterwards the Court was of Opinion, that

(4.)
Power to discharge Apprentices, extends only to such Trades as are specially named in the Statute.
Ante Pl. 2.
S. C. Carth. 198, 366.
1 Saund. 313, to 317.
1 Vent. 174, 175.
N 2, 286, 287.
1 Salk. 67, 68.

the Power of discharging reaches only to the Trades mentioned in the Statute, among which a Surgeon is not mentioned; for that tho' as to the serving seven Years Apprenticeship, a Surgeon comes under the general Terms of Arts and Mysteries; yet the Power of discharging reaches only to the Trades particularly mentioned, and this Point was not stirred in Hillary's Case; and in Watkin's Case 2 Keb. 822. Hale C. J. was of another Opinion.

S. C. 5 Mod.
208, 209.
call'd Rex
ver. Inhab.
of Harwell.

Inter Inhabitan' Paroch' Oswell *and* Woking.
Pasch. 8 Will. III. B.R.

(5.)
Sessions may
affirm or
quash, but
not super-
sede an ori-
ginal Order,
or make a
new one.
Vid. Faref.
10. Post 483,
608.
Comber.
286, 396.
5 Mod. 396.

AN Order was made upon Appeal, setting forth, That by the Order of two Justices, upon a Controversy before them between the Parishes of Woking and Oswell, a poor Person was removed to Oswell, and that upon Complaint of the Church-wardens of Oswell, the Sessions ordered their Order to be superseded, and that the Person should be removed to Woking aforesaid; and it was objected, That the Act of Parliament only gives the Sessions Power to affirm or quash, but not to supersede an Order, or to suspend it for a Time; and that the Case before them being for the Parish of Woking, an Order made by them for another Parish not concerned, viz. the Parish of Woking, must be void, and that the Word aforesaid would not help it, because Oswell was the Parish last mentioned. Per Cur'. Superse- ding is not a proper Word, for there is a Difference between a Superse- deas and a Repeal: A Commission of Oyer and Terminer that is superseded, may be revived by Procedendo, without grant- ing a new Commission; but that cannot be in the Case of a Re- peal, tho' this Word is commonly used by Justices of Peace up- on such Occasions; and then there is a plain Difference between Woking and Woking, for by what appears they may be two di- stinct Parishes: But no Judgment was given, for the Cause was referred to a Judge of Assize.

Inter *the Inhabitants of the Parishes of St. Nicholas and*
St. Helen. Trin. 8 Will. III. B.R.

(6.)
Where No-
tice shall be
presumed.
Vide Post.
Pl. 17.
2 Lev. 22.
Comber. 382.

TWO Orders were made for settling one Rice, a poor Man, the first by two Justices, the other by the Sessions on Ap- peal, confirming the former; the Fact was, Rice being six years ago legally settled at St. Nicholas's, clandestinely came into the Parish of St. Helen, and lived there without giving Notice to the Officers of the Parish of St. Helen during all that Time; they sent him back to the Parish of St. Nicholas, &c. And the Question was, Whether living in St. Helen's so long as six Years,

Pears, should not induce the Court to presume Notice and other Things requisite well done to gain a Settlement? Et per Cur'. No Person, that is not a removeable Person, is to give Notice; as he that rents a Tenement of 10 l. per Annum, a Servant, &c. need not give Notice, because they cannot be disturbed: In this Case, if it had appeared upon the Order, That the Parish of St. Helen, had taken Notice of him, and looked upon him as one of the Parish, as by relieving of him, making him an Officer, &c. there after so long a Time, we would have presumed Notice given, because the Notice need not be exactly proved; for the Church-warden to whom it was given, and the Witnesses attesting the Matter may be dead; but here 'tis returned on the Order, that he clandestinely removed himself, so that he might easily continue in the same Manner; wherefore in such Cases we must construe the Statute strictly; and therefore the Order was confirmed.

Inter Paroch' Trobridge *and* Weston.
Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

S. C. 5 Mod.
325.

IT was moved to quash an Order of two Justices, which recited, Whereas B. is, as we are credibly informed, the Place of his last legal Settlement, not aberring, that it was the Place of his last legal Settlement, as it ought; for that the Statute says, the poor Person shall be removed to the Place where he was last legally settled; and it was quashed.

Note; Mich. 3 Ann. B. R. it was held, That legal Settlement, and last legal Settlement are the same Thing, because by every new Settlement the precedent is discharged.

(7.)
Informed
that B. is the
Place of legal
Settlement,
ill.
Vide post
pl. 23, 26 &
Pag. 492,
&c. Ib.
Comber. 413.
5 Mod. 357.

Anonymus. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

AN Order was made by two Justices to remove a poor Person, and Exception was taken, That it did not appear by the Order, that the Justices were of the Division, or that either of them was of the Quorum: The last was held a good Exception, but the first over-ruled, for in that the Statute is only directory.

(8.)
Removing
need not be
by Justices of
the Division.
Post Pl. 30.
Comber.
285, 400.

Inter Inhabitant' Chittinston *and* Penhurst.
Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

S. C. 5 Mod.
321, 322.

AN Order for Removal of a poor Person was quashed, because it was not said that one of the Justices was of the Quorum; Holt C. J. said, This had been doubted, and perhaps

(9.)
One Justice
for Removal
must be of
the Quorum.
ad.

Vide 6 Mod.
180.
5 Mod. 149,
162, 208, 321,
322, 325, 330.

adjudged otherwise before; but that he was of a different Opinion; for this being a special Authority, it must appear to be pursued.

Dominus Rex versus Dobbyn.

(10.)
Justices residing in the County, not enough.

A N Order of two Justices was quashed, because it did not appear they were Justices of the County, or for the County, but only residing in the County.

S. C. 5 Mod.
329. call'd
Rex ver.
Turner.

Dominus Rex versus Turnock. Mich. 8 Will. III. B.R.

Vide post pl.
16. & 34.

(11.)
Orders made upon 43 El. c. 2. must be at the Quarter-Sessions.

INDICTMENT for refusing to relieve and maintain Eliz. the Wife of his Son John Turnock, according to an Order made in the Sessions, which Order was set forth in the Indictment in hæc verba, viz. Ad generalem Session' Pacis tent. apud Marl. in & pro Com. Wilts, &c. and at the Motion of Mr. Eyre, the Indictment was quashed; because the Order was only said to be made at the general Sessions, and not at the general Quarter-Sessions, for the Quarter-Sessions are appointed by 2 H. 7. c. 4. tho' it appears by the same Statute, that there may be a general Sessions at other Times, and 43 Eliz. c. 2. s. 7. appoints Orders in these Cases to be made at the general Quarter-Sessions.

*Inter Inhabitan' of Much-Waltham and Peram in Essex.
Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.*

(12.)
Bastard born pending an illegal Order of Removal from A. is settled in A.
Vide 1 Salk.
121.
Post 485,
528, 532.
6 Mod. 213.

MR. Comyns moved to quash an Order of Sessions for the Settlement of a Bastard Child of E. L. She being big with Child, a little before her Delivery, was removed by the Order of two Justices of Peace, from Much-Waltham to Peram; before the next Sessions she was delivered at Peram of a Bastard-Child: At the Sessions Peram appealed, and the Justices adjudged the Woman to be legally settled at Much-Waltham, and ordered her to be sent back thither; after which an Order was made for settling the Child at Peram, which Comyns moved to quash, because, tho' regularly Bastards must be maintained where born, yet in this Case, where there seems to be a Contrivance, it shall not be so, as in Tuming's Case, 2 Bul. 349. Whensoever an Order is reversed, all Things happening subsequent therunto, shall be avoided thereby: This Child being born pending the Order, shall be esteemed in Law to be born in that Parish, wherunto the Mother on the Appeal is returned back: The Court seemed to agree this, and a Rule was made to shew Cause, but none was shewed.

The Case of the Parish of Amner. Mich. 8 W. III. B. R.

The Case was, At the Complaint of the Church wardens of Terrent-Keinston in Dorsetshire, to Sir John Morton and John Gould, two Justices of the Peace of the said County, concerning a poor Man and his Wife; they the said Justices adjudged him to be last legally settled at Tirrin-Crawford, upon which they appealed; and there it was ordered, That it appearing to the Sessions that he was last settled at Amner, therefore they discharge Tirrin-Crawford, and order the poor Man to be removed to Amner: This was quashed upon the Motion of Mr. Serjeant Gould, because this was to make an original Order, which the Justices at Sessions have no Power to do; they might have reversed the first Order, and ordered the Party to be carried back to Terrent-Keinston, but they could not remove the Party to Amner, a third Parish, who was no Ways concerned in the Order or Appeal; and if they are really chargeable with it, it must be at the Complaint of Terrent-Keinston to two Justices of the Peace.

(13.)
Sessions on
Appeal can-
not send to a
third Place,
not Party.
Post pl. 20,
25, 32, 45,
and 58.

Inter the Inhabitants of the Parish of Chittinston and Penhust. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

An Order was made to remove a poor Person from Chittinston to Penhurst, and this was quashed, because 'twas not said that one of the Justices was of the Quorum: Holt C. J. said, That some indeed had been of Opinion that an Order was good, notwithstanding this Omission, and perhaps it has been so adjudged; but he was of Opinion, That this being a special Authority to Justices out of Sessions, it ought to appear that that Authority was exactly pursued.

(14.)
Authority gi-
ven to Justi-
ces of the
Peace must
be exactly
pursued.
Vide Faresly
99.
Mod. Cases
99.

Dominus Rex versus Matthews. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

Mr. Mountague moved to quash an Order for maintaining a Bastard Child: 1st, Because 'twas not said the Child was likely to become chargeable: And 2dly, The Defendant was ordered to pay 18 d. per Week indefinitely, without limiting any certain Time: Shower answered, That no Order relating to a Bastard Child can be quashed, except the reputed Father be present in Court, quod Curia concessit; however this being a hard Case, a Rule was made to shew Cause; and being stirred again the next Term, the Court would not quash it, till the reputed Father came into Court; and the first Exception was

(15.)
Upon Mo-
tion to quash
an Order of
Bastardy, the
reputed Fa-
ther must be
present in
Court.
6 Mod. 189.

over-ruled; for 'tis self-evident, That every Bastard Child is likely to become chargeable.

Purnall's Case. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(16.)
Vide 5 Mod.
329.
Ante pl. 11.
Post pl. 34.

AN Order upon H. for maintaining his Daughter, was quashed; because it was recited to be made ad Generalem Sessionem Pacis, and not ad Quarterialem Sessionem Pacis, according to the Statute 43 Eliz. c. 2.

Inter the Inhabitants of Talbury and the Hamlet of Foston in Scropton. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(17.)
Nothing will
amount to
Notice in
writing to
make a Set-
tlement that
is not specifi-
ed in 3 & 4
W. & M.
c. 11.
Ante pl. 6.
Comber. 382.
5 Mod. 454.

AN Order was made by two Justices of the Peace in Derbyshire, to remove Robert Floud to Foston in the Parish of Scropton. Upon Appeal the first Order was quashed, and the Party ordered to be removed to Talbury, and the Matter of Fact being now stated specially to the Court; the Case was, Floud was born in Talbury, and served seven Years Apprenticeship there, which ended in the Year 1693: Since 1693, he lived in Foston and other Places out of the Parish of Talbury, and the Blacksmith that lived at Foston dying, and the Inhabitants wanting one, in 1694 Floud went thither, and rented the Shop and a Chamber of the Widow of the former Blacksmith for a Year, at 52s. per Annum, with the Consent of the Bailiff of the Lord of the Manor: Here he worked publickly, was publickly employed by the Parishioners, and particularly by the Bailiff of the Lord of the Manor, the Vicar, and the Justice of Peace; and now having never given Notice, nor rented a Tenement of 10l. per Annum, or exercised any Office, the Question was, Whether this publick Way of living was not tantamount and equivalent to Notice in writing, which was only designed to prevent clandestine Entries and Livings. Et per Cur'. This publick Notice taken by the Parish, might perhaps have satisfied the Stat. 1 Jac. 2. but there being Doubts concerning the Notice prescribed by that Act, the 3d and 4th W. & M. c. 11. was made to explain it, and this later Statute hath particularized the Notice, and what shall be tantamount to it, and what not; but this is not among the Particulars of the Statute; for which reason the Order was confirmed.

Inter Inhabitan' Cockfield and Boxstead. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

AN Order was made by five Justices to maintain a Bastard Child; and it was objected by Broderick, That the Complaint is not laid to be by any Parish or Officers there, but only of a Town which may include several Parishes; but the Court held that well enough. 2^{dy}, That the Order is under the hands of five Justices, whereas it should be only the two next; but the Court held that well, for the Statute is not restrictive to two, but there must be two at least. 3^{dy}, That it does not appear that either of those was a Justice of Quorum; upon this it was quashed, but the Party was bound to appear at the next Sessions.

(18.)
Order of Bastardy under the Hands of more than two Justices, well. Post pl. 22. Mod. Cases 1801. 1802. 1803. 1804. 1805.

Inter Inhabitan' Cockfield and Boxstead. Hill.

ANNE TALBY was by Order removed from Cockfield to Boxstead; and this Order being appealed from, was confirmed at the Sessions; but the Sessions after that made an Order of Review, and quashed the former Order of Sessions, because made by Surprise. Et per Cur'. The Order of Review must be quashed; for the Justices have no Power after the first Sessions.

(19.)
Order of Bastardy, confirmed by Sessions, and after that an Order of Review made, and the former Order quashed, because made by Surprise. Ante pl. 13. Post pl. 22.

Dominus Rex versus Harding. 8 W. III. B. R.

ACertiorari was directed to the Lord Mayor and Justices of Peace of London, to remove an Order; before Filing whereof a Procedendo was prayed: The Fact was, the Servant of one Harding complained to the Sessions, that her Master was in Arrear to her for Wages; On hearing the Matter, both Parties agreed to refer it to Sir Thomas Lane, late Lord Mayor, to be determined; which was done accordingly by Order of Sessions: He made an Award or Order, but before Report made thereof, this Certiorari was now brought, whereon a Procedendo was now prayed. Et per Cur'. A Judge of Nisi Prius, by Consent of Parties, may make a Rule to refer a Cause, but the Sessions cannot do so, tho' by Consent: They may refer a Thing to another to examine, and make Report to them for their Determination, but cannot refer a Thing to be determined by the other: And therefore the Certiorari was filed, and no Procedendo granted.

(20.)
Place of trial. Sessions cannot refer a Matter to be determined by another. Ante pl. 13. Post pl. 22.

Inter the Inhabitants of the Parish of St. Mary le More
and Heavy-Tree in Devonshire.

(21.)
Settlement
by Payment
of Parish-
Rates.
Vide post
523. pl. 1.
and pag. 536.
1 Show. 12.
Comber.
284, 410.

FACY was settled at Heavy-Tree, and afterwards went into the Parish of St. Mary le More in Exeter, and took a house there of one Pound per Annum, wherein he lived a Year and a half, and paid the Rates and Taxes due for the said house; and the Justices at Sessions held, That the Rate for a house, without a Rate on his Person, was not sufficient to make a Settlement; but the Court of King's Bench quashed this Order for this Cause, and held him settled at St. Mary le More.

Dominus Rex *versus* Beard.

(22.)
Order of Ba-
stardy ill, be-
cause Exami-
nation by one
Justice only.
Ante pl. 8,
10, 18.
Post pl. 50.

AN Order made by two Justices of the Peace in Suffex, adjudged Beard to be the Father of a Bastard-Child, which was quashed, because it appeared thereby, that the Examination of the Woman was by one Justice only, tho' the ordering Part thereof was said to be made by both; and Beard was bound over to the next Sessions.

Inter Inhabitan' St. Giles Cripplegate and Hackney,
Pasch. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(23.)
Place of last
legal Settle-
ment must
be adjudged.
Vide ante pl.
7. post pl. 26.
and post pag.
491, &c. ib.
Comber. 413.

WHEREAS Complaint has been made to us, that Elizabeth Fulford, Wife of Uriel Fulford, is lately come into the Parish of St. Giles Cripplegate, and is likely to become chargeable to the same; and whereas on Oath made by the said Eliz. Fulford, it appears that her husband was last legally settled at Hackney; these are therefore, &c. Quashed, because there is no Judgment of the Justices concerning the last legal Settlement, but only the Oath of the Woman.

Dominus Rex *versus* Barebaker. Pasch. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(24.)
To maintain
his Bastard till
the Age of
fourteen, ill.
1 Mod. 20.
1 Vent. 210.
2 Keb. 23.
1 Salk. 121.
Comber.
320, 321.

ORDER upon H. adjudging him to be the Father of a Bastard-Child, and ordering him to pay 3 s. per Week till the Child attain the Age of fourteen Years, was held naught; for they have no Authority but to indemnify the Parish, by obliging him to maintain the Child as long as it shall be chargeable to the Parish.

Anonymus. Pasch. 9 Will. III. B. R.

AN Order made by two Justices of the Peace for settling a poor Person, was quashed by the Sessions; but because it did not appear that it came before them by Way of Appeal, without which they have no Jurisdiction, this Order of Sessions was quashed.

(25.)
Where the Sessions quash an Order, it must appear to be on Appeal. Ante pl. 13, 20.

Inter the Inhabitants of Berry and Arundel.

Pasch. 9 Will. III. B. R.

WHEREAS Complaint hath been made to us, That Jacob Duckin with his Wife and Children, came from his Place of Abode and last legal Settlement in Berry to Arundel. We therefore require you, &c. Naught; for there is no Adjudication of the Justices, which was his last legal Settlement, but only a Complaint, that Berry was, which doth not appear, whether true or false.

(26.)
Want of Adjudication of last legal Settlement. Post 491, &c. Ib. & ante Pl. 7. 5 Mod. 325, 397. Comberb. 413.

Elizabeth Ashley's Case. Trin. 9 Will. III. B. R.

TWO Orders were removed by Certiorari, but the Return was quashed; because the Return in the Schedule annexed to the Writ was not made by two Justices, but by the Clerk of the Peace, who was not the Person to whom the Certiorari was directed, and thereupon a new Certiorari was granted.

(27.)
Return by the Clerk of the Peace, ill. Vide Pa. 431, 699, 701.

The Case of Chesterfield. Trin. 9 Will. III. B. R.

JERRISON was a Servant to Sir Paul Jenkinson in Waltham; afterwards he left his Service and was put out by his Master Sir Paul Jenkinson, to a Barber in Chesterfield, who was to teach him to shave and make Periwigs, for which he was to have 5 l. from Sir Paul: Jerrison continued a Year in this Imployment, according to Covenants between Sir Paul and the Barber, to which Jerrison was no Party; and the Court adjudged that this did not make a Settlement at Chesterfield, because it was no Service; and that the said Jerrison was thereby no more than a Boarder there for his Education, which is no Service to make a Settlement.

(28.)
Covenant between the Master and a third Person, the Servant not being Party, makes no Apprenticeship. Post 533.

Do.

Dominus Rex *versus* Brown. Trin. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(29.)
Appeal from
Order of Ba-
stardy must
be to the first
Sessions after
Notice to the
Father of the
first Order.
Ante Pl.
11, 16.
Post pl. 34.
Comber. 448.
S. C.

AN Order was made, adjudging Brown to be the Father of a Bastard Child, May 2, 1696. And in the Michaelmas Sessions following, the said Order was discharged: Now both Orders being here, the later was quashed, because it did appear thereupon, that Michaelmas Sessions was the first Sessions after Notice given to the reputed Father, of his being so adjudged; for tho' 18 Eliz. appoints the Appeal not to be to the first Sessions after the Order of the two Justices, but the first Sessions after the Party hath Notice of the said Order; yet by the Statute of H. 5. there might be a Sessions intervening, as in this Case, between the Order by the two Justices and the Order of Sessions; and it must appear on the Order, that this was the first Session after Notice had of the former Order: After which the first Order by the two Justices was quashed, because there was an Adjudication therein, that the reputed Father should pay a certain Sum weekly, till the Child be of seven Years of Age; whereas they cannot charge the Father for any certain determinate Time, but as long as the Child shall be chargeable to the Parish.

Elizabeth Ashley's Case. Trin. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(30.)
Justices need
not be said
to be of the
Division.
Ante pl. 8.
Comber.
285, 400.
5 Mod. 322.

EXCEPTION was taken to an Order of two Justices, to remove, &c. because it was not said that the two Justices were of the Division, according to 13 & 14 Car. 2. Sed non allocatur; For the Court held the Statute as to this to be only directory, and not restrictive or qualificatory, as that of the Quorum is.

Inter the Inhabitants of Dimchurch and Eastchurch.
Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(31.)
Order for
making one
Parish con-
tributory to
the Poor of
another.
Comber.
242, 309.

AN Order was made at the Quarter-Sessions originally, setting forth, That whereas the Parish of Dimchurch was overburdened with Poor, and the Parish of Eastchurch had no Poor, the Parish of Dimchurch should be annexed to the Parish of Eastchurch, and that the Occupiers of Lands there should contribute 20 l. per Annum, by equal monthly Payments to Dimchurch, as long as that was overburdened with Poor, and Eastchurch had none: And it was objected by Mr. Brewer, That the Justices of Peace cannot alter Parishes and annex one to another. 2dly, That the Sessions cannot make an original Order.

Per Holt C. J. There are two Ways by 43 Eliz. to make one Parish contributory to the Poor of another Parish, viz. either the Justices may tax particular Persons in aid to that Parish which cannot relieve its own Poor; or they may assess the whole Parish in a certain Sum, and leave it to the Church-wardens and Overseers to levy the same, or particular Persons, which was well done in this particular Case; but so much of it as concerns the annexing of the Parishes, is void, and the rest good. But the Court took Time to advise.

Inter Inhabitan' Paroch' Downhead and Broadchalk in Wilts. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

TWO Justices of the Peace made an Order to remove John Raynsford, his Wife and three Children, from Rowborough in Somersetshire, to Broadchalk in Wilts, which Order, on an Appeal to the Quarter-Sessions, was confirmed; after this Raynsford, with his Wife and three Children, came into the Parish of Downhead; whereupon two Justices, reciting the former Order and Confirmation, ordered him to Broadchalk; and now it was objected to this Order, that it did not appear that one of the Justices was of the Quorum. Mr. Northey on the other Side argued, it was not necessary here, because it was not an original Order, but an Order made in Pursuance of an Order of Sessions. Et per Cur'. A Settlement by Order upon Appeal, binds all Parties: If the poor Man goes to the Parish from whence he is removed, the Sessions must see their Order obeyed; but if he goes to another Parish not concerned in the Appeal, then it is proper for two Justices of the Peace to remove him to the Parish where he was settled by the Sessions by original Order; but then it must appear therein that one of them was of the Quorum. Quashed.

(32.)
After Order confirmed on Appeal, if the Person goes to a Parish not Par-
ry, he must be removed by original Order.
Vide Ante Pl. 13, 20, 25. & Post. 345, & 58.

Inter Inhabitan' King's Norton in Wigorn, and Swolhill in Warwic. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

AN Order was made to remove a poor Woman from Yarly in Worcestershire, to Swolhill in Warwickshire; afterwards two Justices in Warwickshire made an Order to remove her to King's Norton in Worcestershire; whereupon two Justices of Worcestershire sent her back to Swolhill; and upon an Appeal to the Sessions in Warwickshire, the Justices confirmed her Settlement at King's Norton, and then an Order was made by two Justices of the Peace to execute the said Order: All these Orders being brought up by Certiorari, Carthew moved to quash all except the first, all the others being made coram non judice; for when an original Or-
der

(33.)
Order of two Justices binds against all Parishes, till repealed. Vide Post Pl. 49, & 52. 5 Mod. 416.

der is made, it binds all Persons until it be set aside, and it cannot be set aside but on Appeal to the Sessions. Mr. Northey on the other hand insisted, That tho' in this Case two Justices could not send the Woman back again to Yarley, yet they might send her to a third Place, as King's-Norton is in this Case; so that as to King's-Norton 'tis but an original Order; but the Court seemed to be of another Opinion, for then King's-Norton might send to Yarley, and there would be a perpetual Circuit; but seeing in this Case King's-Norton had appeared at the Sessions, and had been concluded there, they would not quash the Order, and several other Questions arising, all was referred to a Judge of Assize.

Dominus Rex *versus* Shaw. Trin. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(34.)
Appeal from
Order of Ba-
stardy, must
be to the
General
Sessions.
Ante Pl. 11,
16, & 29, &
Post pl. 38.

AN Order was made by two Justices of the Peace, adjudging Shaw to be the reputed Father of a Bastard; whereupon he appealed to the next Quarter-Sessions of the Peace, after Notice, where the Order of the two Justices was discharged; and now it was here moved to quash the Order of Sessions, because by the Statute the Appeal must be to the next General Sessions, and there might have been a General Sessions before the general Quarter-Sessions, as in London and Middlesex, where there are four General Sessions in a Year, besides the general Quarter-Sessions. Quashed for this Fault.

Anonymus. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(35.)
Order to re-
move A. and
his Family, ill
for Genera-
lity.
Post pl. 41.
Farell. 54.
Comber.
478, 479.

AN Order made to remove three Men and their Families, was quashed, quia too general; for some of their Family might not be removeable. If a Man settled at A. marries a poor Woman who is settled at B. and has Children by a former Husband; his Wife shall be removed with him to A. but her Children, such of them as are above seven Years old, shall not be removed; those under shall be removed, but that only for Nurture; for they shall be kept at the Charge of the other Parish: But such a general Order sweeps all away.

Anonymus. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(36.)
First Order
failing, all
subsequent
fall to the
Ground.

PER Northey, it was settled in the Case of Wootton-Basset, that if the first Order be naught, no subsequent Order on an Appeal can make it good. Hill. 11 Will. 3. B. R. Same Rule was taken by Holt C. J. and both Orders quashed; and Trin. 2 Ann. the same Resolution between Selon and Ripley.

The Case of the Parish of St. Leonard Shoreditch.
Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

THE Church-wardens, &c. made a Rate for Relief of the Poor, which was confirmed by two Justices, and therein nothing was taxed for the personal Estate, but all upon the real, which was erroneous: Several Inhabitants appealed to the Sessions, and the Rate was there quashed; and the Church-wardens, &c. ordered to make a new Rate upon both real and personal Estates: In the new Rate there was still a great Inequality, the real Estate being taxed ten Times more, in Proportion, than the personal Estate; for this Reason several Inhabitants appealed again, and this Rate was likewise vacated by Order of the Sessions. And now Northey and Shower moved to quash these Orders, urging, That the Sessions could only relieve particular Persons overrated or grieved, but could not set aside whole Rates at once. Sed per tot. Cur. viz. Holt, Rokeby and Turton. Surely the Justices at Sessions, upon an Appeal of particular Persons grieved, may, if they see Cause, set aside the Rate; for the Ad is, that if any Person or Persons find themselves aggrieved, it shall be lawful for the Justices at the Quarter-Sessions to take such Order therein, as by them shall be thought convenient, 43 Eliz. c. 2. sect. 6. And in either of these Cases, of the first, or second Rate, the Justices could not have given Relief without setting aside the whole Rate, because the Rate was burthensome to a whole Set of Men; and they may make a new Rate themselves, or order the Church-wardens and Overseers to make a new Rate, as was done in this Case; they having it in their Discretion to make a new Rate at Sessions, or remand it to the Church-wardens, &c. to make one. The Orders were confirmed.

(37.)
On Appeal from a Poor's Rate the Sessions may quash the whole, and make, or order Church-wardens, &c. to make a new Rate. Vide ante 472. Farsly 10.

If Sessions set aside a whole Rate, they may make a new One;

Or refer it back to the Church-wardens, &c.

Dominus Rex versus Albertson. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

AN Order was made, reciting, Whereas it appears to us, two Justices of the Peace, That Mary Spencer, Wife of Jonathan Spencer, Mariner, was on the 20th of March, 1695, delivered of a Male Bastard-Child, which is likely to be chargeable, &c. And whereas it appears to us, that the said Jonathan Spencer was employed on Board the Ship called the Pembroke, in his Majesty's Service, at Cadiz, and was not within the King's Dominions when the said Child was begotten, or born; and whereas it appears that Albertson had carnal Knowledge of the Body of the said Woman, during the Absence of her Husband, and that he begat the said Child; we therefore adjudge him to be

(38.)
Child born of a Feme Covert, the Husband being at Sea, from before the Time of Begetting to the Birth, is a Bastard; but that must appear in the Order. Vide ante pl. 11, 16, 29, 34, &c. 5 Mod. 419.

the reputed Father, and to pay weekly, &c. And the said Order being confirmed upon Appeal, was brought here by Certiorari; and now Shower and Upton moved to quash these Orders, because 18 Eliz. c. 3. gives the Justices Power only to meddle with Bastards born out of lawful Matrimony; so that tho' this Child should be a Bastard, yet the Justices cannot meddle with it, because he is born in lawful Matrimony: But it does not appear in this Order, that this Child was a Bastard; for 'tis only said, the Father was absent when the Child was begotten, or born, in the Disjunctive; also it doth not appear, but the Husband was in England during the Time intermediate between the Begetting and Birth. Per Cur'. He is a Bastard who is born of a Man's Wife, while the Husband, at and from the Time of the Begetting to the Birth, is extra quatuor Maria: In Case of a real Adultery by him, the Tenant may plead general Bastardy; and on a Writ to the Bishop, he will certify him to be a Bastard; being then a Bastard as to Discent, there is no Reason why he should not be a Bastard as to all other Intents, and in particular, a Bastard within 18 Eliz. which is a remedial Act: Also when a Child is born in Adultery, he is born out of the Limits of lawful Matrimony, the Law then taking no Notice of the Husband; and so, tho' we must quash this Order, because it does not appear that the Husband was extra quatuor Maria, during all the Space of Time intervening between the Begetting and the Birth; yet we hope Care will be taken to make a new Order without this Fault. Quashed. But the Defendant was bound over to appear at the Sessions.

The Case of the Church-wardens of Topsham. Hill.
10 Will. III. B. R.

(39.)
Justices may
make an Or-
der, that the
last Overseers
pay the suc-
ceeding what
remains in
their Hands.
Vide post
524, 525, 531.

THREE Justices took the Account of the Church-wardens, &c. of Topsham, for the Year 1697, and adjudged that there was thereupon due from them to the Parishioners of Topsham, 69 l. 18 s. 10 d. for the Repayment whereof to the succeeding Overseers for the Year 1698, the Justices made an Order, to which it was excepted, That the Justices had no Power to make such Order, but only to issue Warrants to distrain; but the Court ruled the Order to be well made, and confirmed the same.

Dominus Rex versus Gregory.

(40.)
Wages.
5 Mod. 419.

An Order was made by the Justices of Peace, for the Defendant to pay 40 s. for Wages generally; and because 'twas not said for what Wages, 'twas moved to quash it; for they can only

only settle Wages in Husbandry: But per Cur'. We will intend it for such Wages, since the Contrary does not appear.

Vide ante
442. pl. 3.

The Case of Sylvanus Johnson.

THE Justices of Suffex, on Complaint that J. was come into the Parish of Brood, in the said County, and was likely to become chargeable to the said Parish; and adjudging Sandherst in Kent to be his last legal Settlement, ordered that Johnson, and his Wife and Family, should be removed to Sandherst; which was quashed; because Non constat what is meant by his Family, and some of them may have a legal Settlement at Brood, tho' J. had not.

(41.)
To remove
his Wife and
Family, ill.
Ante pl. 35.
Vide Far. 74.
Comber. 478.

Christ's Hospital's Case. Trin. 11 W. III. B. R.

A POOR Child was left in Christ-Church Hospital; upon Complaint of the Wardens of the Hospital, two Justices made an Order on the Overseers of the Poor of the Parish, to receive and maintain the Child; but this Order was quashed, because it was not said, That the Parents were unknown, or likely to become chargeable to the Parish: For tho' a Child of three Months old be helpless, yet the Parents are bound to provide for it. As to the principal Matter which was hinted, viz. That the Hospital was bound to provide for poor Children there exposed; the Court thought there was nothing in that.

(42.)
Poor Chil-
dren dropp'd
in Christ-
Church
Hospital.

Inter the Inhabitants of St. Nicholas, Guilford, in Surrey, and Killington in Suffex. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

NORTHEY moved to quash an Order of two Justices to remove a Woman and her Bastard-Child from A. to B. whereas it appeared in the Order, that the Child was born at C. Holt C. J. The Bastard must be kept where 'tis born.

(43.)
Bastard set-
tled where
born.
Vide ante
427, 482.
Post 528, 532.

Inter the Inhabitants of the Precinct of Bridewell and the Parish of Clerkenwell. Hill. 11 Will. III. B. R.

(44.)

Justices have no Jurisdiction to remove poor Persons to or from extraparochial Places. But Note, in the Case of the Inhabitants of Stokelane and Dolting, Hill. 11 Annæ, B. R. it was adjudged by Parker C. J. and the whole Court, that

by Virtue of 13 & 14 Car. 2. cap. 12. sect. 21. the Justices may exercise the Powers given by 43 El. and that Act, in all extraparochial Places, containing more Houses than one, so as to come under the Denomination of a Vill or Township. Post pl. 48. Vide post 487, 501. 2 Lev. 142, 143. 4 Mod. 157, 158. 1 Mod. 251. 2 Mod. 237.

A Special Order of Sessions was, That H. was bound Apprentice, and served seven Years to a Hemp-dresser, within the Precincts of Bridewell, and afterwards he lived nine Years in Clerkenwell Parish, but gained no Settlement there: The Justices sent him to Bridewell as his last legal Settlement, by an Order, which set forth Bridewell to be an extraparochial Place. Et per Holt C. J. If a Place is extraparochial, and has not the Face of a Parish, the Justices have no Authority to send any Man thither; and so it was resolved in the Case of Sir John Osborn: Possibly a Place extraparochial may be taxed in Aid of a Parish, but a Parish shall not in Aid of that. This is Casus omissus. This Order was quashed.

Inter the Inhabitants of St. Michael Bedenham and Kingston-Bowsey. Hill. 11 W. III. B. R.

(45.)

Order of Reversal on Appeal, binds not a third Parish, nor Party. Post pl. 58. & pag. 524, 527, Ante pl. 11, 13, 20, 25.

H Was sent by Order of two Justices from St. Michael Bedenham to Kingston-Bowsey, and that Order was reversed upon an Appeal to the Sessions: Then the Man went to Bedenham, and Bedenham sent him to D. and a Motion was made to quash this Order, because the Order of Reversal upon the Appeal as to Kingston-Bowsey, was conclusive against all the World. But the Court held, That the Determination upon the Appeal between other Parties, ought not to bind as to a third Parish which was no Party.

Anonymous. Hill. 11 Will. III. B. R.

(46.)

Special Order ought not to conclude to the Opinion of the Court.

A N Order of Sessions drawn up Specially, in Order to have the Opinion of the Court, was concluded; And if the Court should be of Opinion, then, &c. which was held naught; for the Justices ought to determine one Way or other, and not make a special Conclusion, referring to the Court; but it was referred to the Judge of Assize.

Inter Inhabitan' Paroch' Eaton-Bridge *and* Inhabitan' Paroch' Weltram *in* Kanc'. Hill. 11 Will. III. B. R.

AN Order was made at the Quarter-Sessions, for the Relief (47.)
of poor Prisoners in Gaols, and providing Materials to set Poor Prisoners.
them at Work, upon the Statutes 14 Eliz. c. 5. and 19 Car. 2. c. 4.
whereby a Sum was assessed on the several Parishes, not exceeding
what is allowed by both Acts; but the Order was quashed, be-
cause they ought to have made distinct Orders upon the different
Statutes; the Money to be levied by Virtue of each Statute be-
ing applicable to different Purposes.

Inter the Inhabitants of the Forest of Dean and the Pa-
rish of Linton. Trin. 12 Will. III. B. R.

H. Lived ten Years in the Forest of Dean, and then died, and (48.)
left several Children: Two Justices made an Order to re- Extraparochial Places.
move them to Linton in Herefordshire. Et per Holt C. J. If a Vide Ante,
Place be a reputable Parish, and have Church-wardens and Pl. 44
Overseers of the Poor, it is within 43 Eliz. tho' in Truth it be
no Parish; but if it be merely extraparochial, as the Justices
cannot send to such a Place, so they cannot send from it: As it
is exempt from receiving, so it shall not have the Benefit of re-
moving, for they have not proper Persons to complain. Per-
sons in extraparochial Places must subsist on private Charity,
as all Persons did at Common Law before 43 Eliz. which enacts,
That every Parish shall keep their own Poor; in Consequence of
which the Jurisdiction of Removals was first set up before the
Statute 14 Car. 2. For unless the Poor were removed to their
own Parishes, every Parish could not maintain their own Poor.
But the Statute of 43 Eliz. does not extend to extraparochial
Places. Gould J. started a Question, If the Justices of the
County where the Parish, wherein he was last legally settled, lies,
might not make an Order upon the Parish to make a Rate for
the Relief of this poor Man in the extraparochial Place; because
not having gained a Settlement there, he remains an Inhabitant
of that Parish still, else the Man may be starved for Want of Re-
lief? Holt C. J. Quash this Order, and then go and get an Or-
der: Forasmuch as H. was settled in the Parish of Linton, and
is not able to provide for himself: These are, &c.

Inter

Inter *the Inhabitants of Chalbury and Chipping-Farringdon.* Trin. 12 Will. III. B. R.

(49.)
Parish, upon
whom an ori-
ginal Order
is made, can-
not remove
till that be
reversed.
Vide Ante
Pl. 33.
Post pl. 52.

H was removed by Order of two Justices, from the Parish of A. in Warwickshire, to Chalbury in Oxfordshire, from thence by Order of two Justices, to Chipping-Farringdon in Berkshire. It was objected, That Chalbury ought to have appealed, and got the Order upon them discharged, which Holt C. J. agreed; for sending the poor Man to another Place, is falsifying the first Order, which cannot be done, but by Appeal; for the Order of two Justices is a Determination of the Right against all Persons, till it be reversed: Chalbury should have appealed from the Warwickshire Order, and got that set aside, and sent the Man back thither, and the Justices there should have sent him to Chipping Farringdon; therefore naught.

Inter *the Inhabitants of Ware and Stanstead Mount-Fitchet.* Trin. 12 Will. III. B. R.

(52.)

A Order was made by two Justices, to remove H. with his Wife and Childzen, from Ware in the County of Essex, to Stanstead in the same County. Exception was taken to this by Mr. Eyre, 1st, Because it was, with Wife and Childzen. 2dly, Because it was said, it appears upon Examination before us, or one of us, &c. and the Examination ought to be before both, because both are to make the Judgment of Removal. Mr. Cowper would have distinguished this as the first Exception from the Case of Mich. 10 Will. 3. ante Pl. . . . Of his Wife and Family, because he might have Servants not removeable, but Childzen ought to follow their Parents. To the second he said, That by 14 Car. 2. c. 12. the Complaint is directed to be made to any Justice, and in Consequence one Justice may examine; and it was only necessary that two should join in removing: Sed Car. contra in both. To the first Holt C. J. said, Suppose H. had put his Son out to Service at sixteen Years old at B. and accordingly he had served there a Year, and after the Father comes to live at B. himself, and the Son to live with him; such an Order would remove the Son, tho' he be not removeable. To the second Gould J. said, the Statute directed, and the Practice was, to make Complaint to one Justice, and he grants his Warrant to bring the poor Man before two Justices, and then they two examine and remove.

Examination
must be by
both Justices.
See 6 Mod.
18c.
Farell. 54.
Ante pl. 22.

Dominus Rex versus The Inhabitants of Long-Critchell.
Mich. 12 Will. III. B. R.

A Man was removed from the Parish of All-hallows to the Parish of Long-Critchell. He goes from Long-Critchell to P. They got several Orders from two Justices, by Way of Execution of the first Order, to remove him from P. to L. But all of them were quashed, because P. ought to have made an original Complaint, and upon that had got an Order, and not have grafted on the Order of Removal from A. to L. tho' they might have used that as Evidence to induce the Justices to make such original Order; for P. is a third Parish, against which L. is not bound by the Order of Removal from A. to L. but may contest the Right of Settlement with them. Mr. Upton took an Exception, that the enforcing Orders did not appear to be made by two Justices, Quorum unus: And Holt C. J. seemed to think that a good Exception, because such Persons as cannot make an Order, cannot execute it: But the Orders were quashed upon the first Reason.

(51.)
Order of
Execution.

See 5 Mod.
321, 322. ante
473, 480,
488.
Ante 436. Pl.
18. & Post
pl. 60.

Inter the Inhabitants of Thackham and Findon in Suffex.
Hill. 12 Will. III. B. R.

A Poor Person was removed in 1694, from West Starring to Findon; Findon does not appeal. In 1700 the Man comes to Thackham, and Thackham sends him by Order of two Justices to Findon; Findon appeals, and the Order was discharged. All three being now brought up by Certiorari, it was moved to quash the Order made upon Appeal, and urged that Findon was bound by the first Order from West-Starring to them, from which they never appealed, with respect to all the World, and are concluded to say, That the Place of his last legal Settlement was not with them; But in respect of the Distance of Time, the Court could not tell but he might have gained a new Settlement at Thackham, and that might appear to the Justices, and they might have good Ground to discharge the Order of the two Justices; then the Counsel offered to produce an Affidavit, That there was no new Settlement proved; but the Court held they could not examine that by Affidavit, nor enquire thereby into the Reason of making the Order. Ex motione Mr. Shelly.

(52.)
Two Justices
Order not
appealed
from binds
the Parish
upon which
it is made,
till a new
Settlement
is gained.
Vide Ante
pl. 33, & 49.

Vide Post
491.
1 Salk. 67, 68.

(53.)
Order for
discharging
an Appren-
tice, the Ma-
ster not ap-
pearing.
Vid. Fares. 55.
1 Mod. 2.
5 Mod. 139.
140.

Ditton's Case. Pasch. 13 Will. III. B. R.

MOVED to quash an Order made for the Discharge of an Apprentice: The Question arose upon the Clause of the Statute, which directs, That upon the Appearance of the Master the Apprentice may be discharged by four Justices, after the next Justice hath endeavoured to compose the Matter in Difference. In this Case it was objected, That Ditton the Master was bound over to appear, and did not; and the Justices have but a limited Jurisdiction; and 'tis expressly directed by the Act, that this Discharge is to be made on the Appearance of the Master; besides, there is another Remedy to proceed on the Recognizance, which is forfeited by not appearing.

Per Cor'. The Act must have a reasonable Construction, so as not to permit the Master to take the Advantage of his own Obstinacy; and it would be very hard, That supposing the Master is profligate and runs away, the Apprentice shall never be discharged: Afterwards Exception was taken, because it appeared upon the Face of the Order, That Ditton was a Collar-maker, & non constat what the Trade is, nor that it is within the Statute; like Comfort's Case, where one was bound to a Mantle-maker, when there was no such Trade within the Statute, nor at the Time of the Statute: And in this Case it was said, That the Justices might make the Master make Restitution of Part of the Money, and that it hath been so adjudged.

1 Saund.
313, &c.
Post 491.

Inter the Inhabitants of Watford and Wendover.

Pasch. 13 Will. III. B. R.

(54.)
Appeal from
Order of
Corporation-
Justices, must
be to the Ses-
sions of the
County, not
of the Cor-
poration.

TWO Justices of St. Albans made an Order, That where- as they were credibly informed, that Wendover was the Place of H.'s last legal Settlement; but no where adjudged it to be so; from this Order there was an Appeal to the Quarter-Sessions of St. Albans, where it was confirmed; and both were quashed; the first, because there was no Adjudication of what was the Place of his last legal Settlement; and the second, because the Appeal ought to have been to the Sessions of the County, not of the Corporation; and as it was, 'twas coram non iudice.

Inter the Inhabitants of Suddlecomb and Burwash.

Trin. 13 Will. III. B. R.

EXCEPTION was taken to an Order of two Justices, because it was only said to be complained by the Church-wardens, that the Person removed was likely to become chargeable, but not adjudged so by the Justices. Holt C. J. said, That the Justices cannot remove a Man, unless he be likely to become chargeable, for otherwise they might remove a Man of an Estate; and he took a Diversity, that where the Order is, Whereas it appears to us, &c. on the Complaint, &c. that J. S. is likely to become chargeable to the Parish, that will be well enough; but where it is, as here, Whereas Complaint has been made, &c. that is ill: But it was agreed to be referred to the Judge of Assize. Postea, Pasch. 2 Ann. B. R. The Case of the Inhabitants of Darnall in Cheshire, the same Resolution. Postea, Pasch. 2 Ann. B. R. the same Resolution. It ought to appear, that the Person removed, is a Person removeable, and there ought to be a particular Averment, That he is likely to become chargeable.

(55.)
Complaint
that H. is
likely to be
chargeable;
not enough
without Ad-
judication;
but, Whereas
it appears to
us, on Com-
plaint, &c.
that H. is
likely, &c. is
sufficient.
Ante 473;
478, 479.
Post 530.
Vide 6 Mod.
163, 164.
1 Sid. 99.
1 Lev. 84.
Raym. 65.
1 Show. 76.
3 Mod. 270.
5 Mod. 357.

Dominus Rex *versus* Johnson. Trin. 13 Will. III. B. R.

THE Justices of Peace at Newcastle in Northumberland, discharged an Apprentice by an original Order made at the Sessions, without any previous Application to a Justice of the Peace, to endeavour to compromise the Matter, as the Statute directs; and after several Debates it was adjudged, That if this had been a new Thing, the Court would have thought a previous Application to a Justice necessary; but there having been so many original Orders made at Sessions, brought into this Court and confirmed here, 'twas too late to call this Matter in question; so the Order was confirmed.

(56.)
Sessions may
make origi-
nal Order to
discharge
Apprentice.
See 1 Salk.
67, 68.
1 Mod. 2.
1 Saund. 314.
316.
1 Mod. 287.
1 Vent. 174.
175.

Minchamp's Case. Trin. 13 Will. III. B. R.

HE being a Merchant at Mile-End, two Justices bound a poor Girl Apprentice to him; he appealed to the Sessions, and the Order was discharged; because they thought it unfit to compel a Merchant to take an Apprentice; and now this Court on Consideration of the Matter, confirmed the Order of Sessions; because the late Act having made Persons compellable to take Apprentices, and given an Appeal to the Sessions, it was in the Discretion of the Justices at Sessions to determine, *Whether*

(57.)
Justices at
Sessions are
proper
Judges, whe-
ther fit to
oblige H. to
take Appren-
tice, or not.
Vide 6 Mod.
163, 164.
1 Salk. 66;
68, 381.

6 Mod. 164.

1 Show. 76.

3 Mod. 270.

Raym. 65.

1 Lev. 84.

5 Mod. 139, 140. 1 Saund. 314, 316.

ther it was or was not fitting to put an Apprentice upon any one; and therefore the Court would not disturb what the Sessions had done, but confirmed the Order.

Inter the Parishes of Swanscomb and Shensfield. Pasch.

1 Ann. B. R.

(58.)
Order reversed is final only between the Parties: Order confirmed or not appealed from, is final as to all the World.
Ante pl. 45.
1 Vent. 310.
5 Mod. 417.
6 Mod. 269, 287.

A Poor Man was sent by two Justices to Shensfield, and upon an Appeal the Order was confirmed; afterwards Shensfield sends him by an Order to Swanscomb: All these Orders being brought up by Certiorari, the Order to send him to Swanscomb was quashed, because by the Determination of the Justices in Affirmance of the Order on the Appeal, Shensfield was estopped against all the World to say, That was not the Place of his last legal Settlement; for the Justices cannot remove, but to the Place of the last legal Settlement; and shewing any later Place of Settlement will discharge the Order on the Appeal; and the Diversity is between an Order discharged and an Order confirmed upon Appeal, or not appealed from. In the first Case, the Matter is at large as to all Places, but the Place to which the poor Man was sent, which, upon the Appeal, was determined not to be the Place of his last legal Settlement. But in the later Cases, the Place to which he was sent, is bound, and the Order final and conclusive as to all the World.

S. C. 5 Mod. 149. call'd Rex, ver. Inhab. of Wootton-Rivers.

(59.)
It is not necessary to shew in the Order, that the Person did not rent a Tenement of 10 l. per Annum.
Vide ante 491.
Post 524, 536.
Farell. 54.
Mod. Cases 88.

Inter the Inhabitants of Weston-Rivers and St. Peter's in Marlborough.

UPON an Order of two Justices, it was objected: 1st, That it was not said that the Woman was poor, &c. but lame and likely to become poor. 2dly, That it was not said she did not offer Security. 3dly, That it was said to be upon Complaint only, and not of the Church-wardens, &c. 4thly, It was not said she rented not a Tenement of 10 l. per Annum. The two last were the Objections chiefly insisted upon; and the Court was clear as to the first of these two, viz. That it must be upon Complaint of the Church-wardens, &c. and so appear; but upon reading the Return, another Question arose; for the Return set forth at large, That upon Complaint of the Church-wardens and Overseers of the Poor concerning A. to the Justices; they the said Justices, one of the Quorum, made the following Order, in hæc verba. Forasmuch as Complaint hath been made to us, &c. so that it was urged, That the Defect of the Order was supplied by the Return of the Certiorari: As to the last of the four Exceptions, Holt C. J. said, That before 13 Car. 2. two Justices removed

removed by Consequence of Law, upon 43 Eliz. because that Statute makes Provision, That every Parish shall maintain its own Poor; therefore the Justices considered who were properly the Poor of a Parish, and they were held to be such as were there settled a convenient Time, which was thought a Month, so that a Month's Abode made an Inhabitant. Still there remained several Doubts, which occasioned 13 & 14 Car. 2. c. 12. upon which Statute the present Question arises, viz. Whether the Power to remove be not founded on 13 & 14 Car. 2. but on the Law, as it was before? And since such an Order would serve to remove before, why it will not serve now, since the Statute? Or whether 13 & 14 Car. 2. obliges the Justices to alter the Form of their Order: And this depends upon this Part of the Statute, viz. Whether it be by Way of giving Jurisdiction or Restriction? At another Day Holt C. J. pronounced Judgment: As to the Exception to the not averring that he did not rent a Tenement of 10l. per Annum, he said, the Secondary had searched the Precedents, and they are without this Clause, according to the Form of the Orders before 14 Car. 2. And this Order therefore is well enough; and if the Party rents a Tenement of 10l. per Annum, he may appeal to the Sessions: As to the other Exception, it is fatal, for no one can disturb a Man coming into a Parish, but they that have Authority to do it: A Complaint, ex Officio, from one not concerned, is nothing, it may be the Parish are willing to keep him; and as to the Return, that cannot cure the Order, for they had exercised their Authority before; and by the Certiorari they have no Power but to return the Order in hæc verba; and therefore what they think fit to return farther, the Court can take no Notice of.

Defect of an Order not made good by Matter alledged in the Return.

On Certiorari Justices can only return the Order in hæc verba. Vide 1 Salk. 147.

Inter the Inhabitants of St. George's and St. Olave's Southwark.

TWO Orders were returned, the first for settling a poor Man, one Thomas Gill, and the second a Confirmation of the first, upon an Appeal to the Quarter Sessions: The first Order recited, That whereas Complaint hath been made to us, &c. That T. G. had of late intruded into the Parish of St. George's, We adjudge him to be last legally settled at St. Olave's: These are therefore to require you to convey the said Tho. Gill, to the Parish of St. Olave's; and the Direction upon the Order was, To the Church-wardens and Overseers of the Poor of the Parish of St. Olave's. Quashed. For they ought, and can only Order the Parish Officers where the Intrusion is made, to make the Removal.

(6. 60.) Justice cannot command the Officers of the Parish whether H. is sent to remove him. Vide ante 436. pl. 18. & 489. pl. 51.

Inter the Parishes of St. Andrew's Holborn and St. Clement's Danes. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

(61.)
Sessions being but one Day in Law, may alter their Judgment and make a new Order; but must certify the latter only.

Vide 6 Mod. 287.

Post 605, 606.
5 Mod. 356.

THE Court of Quarter Sessions of Middlesex made an Order, and afterwards the same Sessions vacated it by a subsequent Order, and a Certiorari being brought, both Orders were returned thereon. Et per Holt C. J. You should not have returned the vacated Order, but only the latter. This is as if we disliking our Judgment, should the same Term make an Entry of two different Judgments, and return both upon a Writ of Error, which ought not to be: The Sessions is all one Day, and the Justices may alter their Judgment at any Time, while it continues: Thus, at the Old Baily, you see Judgment de Pain fort & dure given; and yet, if the Party will plead, we will set aside that Judgment, and admit him to plead.

O U T L A W R Y.

1 Show. 80,
309.
2 Show. 60,
68.

Rex & Regina *versus* Tippin. - - - 1 W. & M. B. R.

(1.)
H. outlawed for Misdemeanor, cannot be thereon fined for the Fact.
See 1 Lev. 33, 34.
2 Lev. 49.
Raym. 17.
2 Chan. Caf. 44.

ONE was outlawed upon an Information for seducing a young Gentleman to marry a young Woman of a lewd Character, and fined 5000 l. And it was moved in behalf of the Defendant, that he could not be fined upon the Outlawry, because in Misdemeanor the Outlawry does not enure as a Conviction for the Offence, as it does in Cases of Treason and Felony; but as a Conviction of the Contempt for not answering, which Contempt is punished by the Forfeiture of his Goods and Chattels; and if he might be fined now, he must be fined again upon the principal Judgment. And the first was held to be irregular, for the Outlawry in these Cases is not a Conviction, as appears by Fleta 42. Quamvis quis pro contumacia & fuga utlagetur, non propter hoc convictus est de facto principali.

Attorney-

Attorney General versus Baden. Mich. 5 W. & M.

A DUES Money to B. on a Judgment, and to C. on a Bond; A. is outlawed at the Suit of the Oblige, and his Lands seized on the Outlawry; and the Question was, Whether the Conussee of the Judgment could extend these Lands? And it was held the Outlawry should be preferred, and that the King's Hands should not be amoved, unless the Conussee could shew Covin and Practice between the Obligor and Obligee.

(2.)
Vid. Ray. 17.
1 Lev. 33, 34.
2 Lev. 49.

Adlame versus Colebatch. Pasch. 8 Will. III. C. B.

T WAS moved in C. B. that the Plaintiff might reverse an Outlawry at his own Charge, upon Affidavit, That the Defendant was actually in the Fleet in Execution for the Plaintiff in another Suit, and he knew it; and it was granted, because the Plaintiff should have brought him to the Bar by Habeas Corpus, and there have charged him with a new Declaration.

(3.)
Where the Plaintiff shall reverse it at his own Charge.
2 Vent. 46.

Lee versus Millard. Mich. 8 Will. III. C. B.

A LIKE Motion was made upon Affidavit, That the Defendant lived publickly, and was denied. Et per Powell J. Such Motions are frequently granted in B. R. because 'tis a great Charge to reverse an Outlawry there; for the Defendant must appear in Person, but here he needs not, and the Charge is but 16 s. 8 d. not so much as a Bailiff's Fees for an Arrest. We have always denied this Motion of late.

(4.)

Note; The Law is now altered in this Particular by Stat. 4 & 5 W. & M. El. 170, 373.

c. 18. Vide Post pl. 6. See 2 Lev. 464. Cro.

Arthur's Case. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

A RTHUR was outlawed for Felony upon five Indictments, and afterwards came in, and was brought to the Bar, and asked what he had to say, why Judgment should not be given? He produced five Writs of Error. Et per Holt C. J. If there be no Lands, the Attorney General may confess Error, and then he shall plead presently, and be tried upon the Indictments. If there be Lands, there must be a Scire Facias against the Lords, mediate and immediate, to shew Cause why he should not have Restitution. But if it be suggested on the Roll that he has no Lands, and the Attorney General confesses it, there needs no Scire Facias.

(5.)
Error to reverse Outlawry for Felony; if there be Lands there must go a Scire Facias; but if Attorney General confess on Record that there are none, no Scire facias is necessary.

Ano-

Anonymus. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(6.)
Defendant
need not ap-
pear in Per-
son to reverse
Outlawry,
except in
Treason or
Felony.
Vide ante
Pl. 4.

H Was outlawed in two Actions, one was 10l. the other for 40s. and upon reversing the Outlawry, the Court took Special Bail for the first, and an Appearance for the other, upon 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 18. Note; The Recognizance was taken pursuant to 31 Eliz. c. 3. Note; Now per 4 & 5 W. & M. one outlawed, except for Treason or Felony, need not appear in Person to reverse an Outlawry, but by Attorney.

Symmons *versus* Bingoe and Cook. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

(7.)
If two are
outlawed, Er-
ror to reverse
must be
brought in
the Name of
both, but one
may be sum-
moned and
severed.
Cro. El.
270, 278.

THE Defendant Bingoe being a Feme, and waived upon Process of Outlawry, 'twas now moved on her Behalf, that upon filing common Bail, she might have Liberty to reverse the Outlawry. Per Cur'. The Writ of Error to reverse the Outlawry, must be brought in the Name of both the Parties that are outlawed; and if one only appears, the other may be summoned and severed, and then the Outlawry may be reversed for the Benefit of him who appears only. Before it can be reversed for want of Proclamations, the Party outlawed must give Bail to appear, and to answer in another Action.

If H. comes
in gratis, he
may reverse
without
Bail; other-
wise if by
Cepi Corpus.
Cro. El. 706.

If the Party outlawed comes in gratis upon the Return of the Exigent, Alias or Pluries, he may be admitted by Motion, to reverse the Outlawry, for any other Cause, but Want of Proclamations, without putting in Bail. If he comes in by Cepi Corpus, then he shall not be admitted to reverse the Outlawry, without appearing in Person, as in such Case he was obliged to do at Common Law; or putting in Bail with the Sheriff for his Appearance upon the Return of the Cepi Corpus, and for doing what the Court shall order. Appearing by Attorney is an Indulgence by 4 & 5 W. & M. and the Bail is to be special or common in this, as in other Cases.

Of Pleading Outlawries in Bar or Abatement, Vide Cro. Car. 566. 3 Lev. 29. 1 Show. 8. And how to plead an Outlawry before or after Judgment, see 1 Lutw. 110, 111. And how to plead it in the same Court, and how in another, 1 Lutw. 40. 2 Lev. 50.

Oyer and Shewing of Deeds, Writs, &c.

Vide Post
658, 701.
Lutw. 1643.
2 Lev. 142.
1 Saund.
Hob. 217.
6 Mod. 28.

Farell. 9, & 38. 2 Lev. 142.

Salisbury *versus* Williams. Mich. 4 W. & M. B. R.

IN Debt on a Bond in the Grand Sessions of Wales, the Plaintiff omitted in his Declaration to make a Profert, &c. Judgment was for the Plaintiff; and now in Error this Omission was insisted on, and the Court held it only Matter of Form, of which no Advantage could be taken after Verdict, or on a general Demurrer, and therefore affirmed the Judgment. Sid. 249. Cro. Car. 190. Cro. El. 153, 217. 16 & 17 Car. 2. cap. 8.

(1.)
Want of Profert, only Form.
Vid. Stat. 4, 5
Annæ c. 16.

Morris's Case. Trin. 7 Will. III. B. R.

IN Replevin, the Defendant avowed for a Rent-Charge, and made Title by a Will, and pleaded it with a Profert, and the Plaintiff insisted to have Oyer, alledging it was the Avowant's Folly to make a Profert of it, and he ought to take Advantage of it. Et per Cur'. He was not bound to plead it so; it is but Surplusage, and we will not compel him to give Oyer of it.

(2.)
Upon Profert made unnecessarily, Oyer shall not be given.
Vid. 6 Mo. 28.
3 Lev. 50.

Roberts *versus* Arthur. Mich. 13 Will. III. B. R.

UPON the Profert of a Deed it remains in Court all that Term, but no longer, unless it be controverted; but Letters testamentary, or of Administration, do not remain in Court; for the Party may have Occasion to produce them elsewhere. Vide 36 H. 6. 30. Per Cur'.

Where the Letters Patent pleaded, are recorded in the same Court where the Plea is pleaded, the Party need not shew them; but where in another Court, he must plead them with a Profert in Cur', or the Exemplification of them under the Great Seal. Per Holt C. J.

(3.)
Deed remains in Court all the Term it is produc'd; otherwise of Letters testamentary or of Administration. Where Patents must be shewn, and where not.

Mod. Cases 233. 5 Co. 76. 2 Lev. 142. Farell. 9, 38. Lutw. 1644.

Lon.

S. C. 6 Mod.
27, 28.

Longavil *versus* The Hundred of Isleworth.
Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

(4.)
Denial of
Oyer where
it ought to
be granted, is
Error; o-
therwise of
granting it,
where it
ought not.
6 Mo. 28, 292.

IN Debt against the Hundred of Isleworth; the Defendant pleaded in Abatement, caption del Robbers, &c. the Plaintiff replied nul Caption, &c. upon which it was demurred, and a Respondeas ouster awarded, and now all being the same Term, the Defendant craved Oyer of the Writ, and that being set forth, pleaded the general Issue. Et per Holt C. J. To deny Oyer where it ought to be granted, is Error, but not e contra: Therefore we ought either to grant, or to enter the Denial upon Record, that they may assign it for Error. If the Plaintiff will contest it, he may strike out the rest of the Pleading, and demur, in Order to obstruct the Oyer: And at another Day it was ruled, that the Defendant could not have Oyer, because he had already pleaded in Abatement, and having of Oyer is never to enable the Party to plead in Bar, but to plead to the Writ, which is done already, and therefore pass.

S. C. 6 Mod.
244.
1 Salk. 76,
ante 425.

Armit *versus* Bream. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

(5.)
Where H. is
bound to
make a Deed,
he must set
it forth.

WHERE a Man has obliged himself to make a Deed, and is sued for not doing it; it is not enough to say, that he made the Deed, viz. Lease, Bond, &c. but he must set it forth, That the Court may judge of its Sufficiency; for it ought to be a good Deed; but if it be to deliver, or shew, or produce a Deed, that is, a Deed already made, there it is enough to say, that he delivered, or shewed, or produced it. Per Holt C. J.

S. C. 6 Mod.
237.

Cook *versus* Remmington. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

(6.)
Defendant
demands
Oyer of an
Indenture,
which he
ought to set
forth himself,
and the Plain-
tiff gives Oyer,
but imperfect,
it is at the Defen-
dant's Peril.
See 3 Lev. 50.
1 Saund. 3.
1 Sid. 50,
97, 495.
1 Mod. 266.

IN Debt upon a Bond, the Defendant demanded Oyer of the Condition, which was, to perform Covenants in an Indenture, and then demanded Oyer of the Indenture; and the Plaintiff gave it him, omitting an Indorsement, which was made before the Execution of the Deed; upon this Oyer the Defendant pleaded Performance; the Plaintiff replied and set forth the Indorsement, and prayed Judgment for the Variance. Et per Cur. 1st, The Defendant should have set forth the Indenture himself, being a Party to it, and should have pleaded Performance to all the Covenants therein. 2dly, The Plaintiff was not obliged to give Oyer of the Indenture, and tho' he did, yet being what he need not do, the setting it forth is not at his Peril, as where he is obliged

obliged to set it forth; nor is he concluded to say, That there is more contained in the Indenture, but at Liberty, as well as if the Defendant himself had set it forth; and the Court held, That as the Defendant was bound to set it forth, so he was bound to supply this Omission and make his Plea compleat; and for this Judgment was given for the Plaintiff.

1 Vent. 37.
1 Saund.
9, 122.
Cro. Jac. 360.
1 Keb. 104.
Keilwey 71.

Pardon General and Special.

Vide Lutw.
1009.
Cro. El. 464.
5 Co. 49, 50.
Hutr. 21.

1 Show. 284. 2 Show. 334. 2 Hawk. P. C. cap. 37.

Dominus Rex *versus* Parsons. Hill. 3 W. & M. B. R.

PARSONS was indicted, convicted, and attainted for the Murder of M^r. Wade, and pleaded their Majesties Pardon; and Note, it was for Murder by express Words, without any Non obstante; the Non obstante being taken away by the Statute of W. & M. And Holt C. J. asked for the Writ of Allowance, which should certify he had found Surety of the Peace within eight Months after the Pardon; whereupon the Writ of Allowance was read: And Holt C. J. said, That the Court ought not to allow the Pardon till thus certified, and that this was a Condition precedent, by the Statute of Ed. 3. and Winnington moved, that the Pardon ought not to be allowed; arguing, That the Crime could not be pardoned: But Holt C. J. said, there was as good Reason why the King should pardon an Indictment of Murder, which is his Suit, as why a Subject should discharge an Appeal, which is the Suit of the Subject; and that the King was by his Coronation Oath, to shew Mercy as well as to do Justice. He said, the Statute of 2 Ed. 3. c. 3. meant only, that the King should be fully informed, before he pardoned any Felony; and that the Reason of that, and other restrictive Statutes, was for that after the Statute of Gloucester, c. 9. upon a Murder done, it was usual to apply to the Lord Chancellor, and gain a Pardon by undue Means and false Suggestions, with general Words in it; and this was the Occasion of those restrictive Statutes, that Application should be made to the King in Person, to the Intent the King himself might be apprized

(1.)
Pardon for Murder not to be allow'd without Writ of Allowance, certifying Sureties taken for the Peace.
See 1 Saund. 362.
1 Lev. 25, 26, 120.
3 Lev. 136, 332.
Raym. 13, 370, 477.
Farel 153.

King may pardon Murder by express Words.
March 213, 217.
Raym. 13, 477.
1 Show. 28.

*Mf. Ry. 162. &
1. C. come remble
4. Mod. 77.*

*4. Mod. 77. &
1. C. come remble 1. C.*

500 Palatine Counties of Chester, Durham, &c.

prized of the Matter. By 13 R. 2. c. 2. great Difficulties are put upon those that shall be Suits for a Pardon of Murder, they are to incur a Penalty, &c. but this was found grievous to the Subject, and therefore was repealed by 16 R. 2. c. 26. which shews the Necessity there is, that the King should have a Power to pardon; upon which the Pardon was allowed.

Foxworthy's Case. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(2.)
One pardon'd
shall not be
charged in
Custody with
Civil Actions.
Quar.
Raym. 370.
5 Co. 30.

FOXWORTHY pleaded his Pardon, and there was a Mistake in the Proceedings: At another Day he came, and having got the Fault amended, it was allowed. His Creditors now moved that they might have Leave to charge him with Actions, as in Custodia, but were not permitted to do it: For per Holt C. J. That might defeat the Queen's Pardon, by rendering him incapable of performing the Condition, which is, to go beyond the Seas, &c. and this is not unreasonable; for without the Pardon, the Attainder had continued, and he must have been hanged; and there is no Reason why the Pardon should put the Creditors in a better Condition than otherwise they would have been, to the Prejudice of the Party; for the Pardon was given for his Benefit, and not for the Benefit of his Creditors.

Vide ante
452.
2 Lev. 111,
223.
1 Chan. Caf.
41.

Palatine Counties of Chester, Durham, &c.

Willbraham versus Poley. Trin. 12 Will. III. B. R.

Error to the
County Pa-
latine of
Chester.

MR. Acherley moved the Court to stay the Return of a Writ of Error out of Chancery, to reverse an Outlawry in the County Palatine of Chester, according to the Opinion of my Lord Coke, 4 Inst. 214. quod vide: Sed non allocatur; for this old Usage is gone by 32 H. 8. c. 43. and 33 H. 8. c. 13. There were no Outlawries in Chester before

before 33 H. 8. c. 13. for Coroners are there introduced by that Statute; and there was no Chief Justice in Chester till Q. Elizabeth's Time, for 'till then there being but one, there could be no Chief. Vide the Account of this Custom at large in Dyer 345, 320, 321.

Parish, Town, Vill, &c.

Vide 1 Mod. 251.
2 Mod. 237.
ante 486.
11 Co. 25. b.
Co. Lit. 125. b.

Rudd *versus* Morton. Mich. 4 Will. & M. B. R.

UPON a Trial at Bar in Replevin, wherein the Defendant avowed as Overseer of the Poor; the Question was, Whether Stratton was a reputed Parish of it self, or Part of the Parish of Biggleswade in Bedfordshire? Et per Cur'. To make Stratton a reputed Parish, within 43 Eliz. it must have a parochial Chapel, and Chapel-wardens and Sacraments, at the Time the Statute was made; and because the pretended Parish of Stratton had but one Chapel-warden, whose Office it was to collect the Rates taxed upon Stratton, and pay them to Biggleswade; they were held Part of the Parish of Biggleswade, and not a reputed Parish within 43 Eliz. and their having a distinct Overseer, and maintaining their own Poor, was not thought sufficient to make them a distinct Parish.

(1.) Evidence of a reputed Parish within 43 Eliz. Vid. Cro. Car. 92, 384, 396. 4 Mod. 157, 158, call'd. Rudd *versus* Foster. Post 572. Raym. 67.

A Parish shall be intended a Vill, prima facie; adjudged Mich. 6 W. 3. Wilton *versus* Laws.

If a Place be named generally, that Place shall be taken to be and intended a Vill; adjudged Mich. 10 W. 3. B. R. Vinkeston *versus* Ebdon.

Vill, quid. Vide 1 Inst. 115. b. 1 Lev. 78. See Hob. 296.

Dominus Rex *versus* Bernard. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
Regularly a
Constable is
to be chosen
in the Leet or
Turn ; may
be in a Cor-
poration by
Custom.
See 1 Salk.
175, 380.
9 Mod. 127.
1 Mod. 13.
Aleyh 78.

INDICTMENT, for that he being chosen Constable in a Cor-
poration, according to Custom debito modo, refused to take
upon him the Office ; and upon Demurrer it was said per Holt
C. J. That at Common Law, all Constables were chosen at the
Leet : Where there is no Leet at the Turn : Whether by the
Steward or the Homage ? has been a great Question : But with-
out Question, a Corporation, of common Right, cannot chuse a
Constable : By Custom they may, as having the Government of
the Place reposed in them ; but then they must prescribe for it.

1 Rol. Abr. 535. pl. 1, 2. 1 Rol. Abr. 541. pl. 5. 1 Bullst. 174, 176. 3 Mod. 124.

P A R L I A M E N T.

See 1 Chan.
Cas. 203, 221,
& post 389.

S. C. Fat. 13.

Prideaux *versus* Morris. Trin. 2 Ann. B. R.

(1.)
No Action
lies at Com-
mon Law a-
gainst an Offi-
cer for a false
Return of
Members to
Parliament,
unless where
the Right is
determined,
or cannot be
determined
in Parlia-
ment.

See 6 Mod.

45, 49.

1 Salk. 19, 20.

5 Mod. 311.

Pollexf. 470.

3 Lev. 29, 30.

Lutw. 88.

2 Sid. 168.

2 Lev. 50, 86,

114, 250.

2 Vent. 50.

IN an Action on the Case for a false Return of Parliament:
Men, against the Sheriff, the Plaintiff declared, That
whereas he was duly elected, the Sheriff returned A. B. to
be duly elected, who was, in fact, not duly elected. Mr. King
objected, That the Right of Election was only to be determined
in the House of Commons ; for that it was a parliamentary Mat-
ter, which ought not to be tried here, no more than the Right of
Precedence. Mr. Eyre contra, That this Court may determine
what is an Act of Parliament, 8 Co. 1. Their Privileges Mo 67.
1 Ro. 903. Dyer 275. the Right of Peerage ; and here the Right
of Election is no otherwise in Question, than as it is incident to
the Falsity. Holt C. J. The Cause of the Plaintiff's Suit is a
Wrong done out of Parliament, and whatever falls under the
Regulation of Law, and is done out of the Houses of Parlia-
ment, is subject to the Law of the Land ; for Laws are to be
executed out of Parliament ; but as for the Rules of the House,

as Sitting, Meeting, &c. they are within the House, and the Judges cannot know them, there being no Practice of them out of Parliament: But if the Parliament should make a Law concerning them, or they should become necessary to be determined on the Account of some other Matter cognizable by the Judges, the Judges must take Notice and determine them, as in Bynion's Case.

And Holt C. J. seemed to be of Opinion: 1st, That for a double Return, no Action lay against the Sheriff before the Statute of 7 & 8 W. 3. c. 7. not only because 'tis the only Method the Sheriff has to indemnify himself; but when the Right comes to be determined in Parliament, one Indenture returned is taken off the File, and then there is no double Return. 2dly, He seemed to think, that for a false Return the Party could have no Action, where there might be a Determination in the House of Commons, because of the Inconvenience of contrary Resolutions; and so if a Suit be between A. and B. A. is voted elected, B. cannot bring an Action, and say, that he was duly elected and returned, because his Name does not appear upon Record; and he is estopped to say, that A. was not duly elected and returned; but where the Right of Election, either is determined, or cannot be determined in Parliament, as in Case of a Dissolution, an Action lies for the false Return; for the Courts at Law can neither anticipate nor contradict their Judgment. Upon a Writ of Error of Judgment in C. B. for the Defendant.

Domina Regina *versus* Paty, & al. Hill. 3 Ann. B. R. 5 Mod. 311.
312.

THE Defendants having been committed to Newgate by the House of Commons, were now brought into Court by several Writs of Habeas Corpus, and the Cause of their Commitment was returned to be a Warrant signed, Robert Harley, Speaker, requiring the Keeper of Newgate to take into his Custody, the several Persons, Defendants, for having commenced and prosecuted an Action at Law against the Constables of Aylesbury, for refusing their Votes in the Election of Members of Parliament, in Contempt of the Jurisdiction and open Breach of the known Privileges of the House of Commons. Mr. Lechmere, Page, Mountague and Denton, who were of Counsel for the Prisoners, prayed that they might be discharged for several Reasons. 1st, Because the Warrant was not under Seal, as it ought to be. 2dly, Because the Commitment was to remain during Pleasure. 3dly, Because they had done no unlawful Act; for the Prosecution of a Suit is lawful, and no Breach of the Privilege of that House. But Powell, Powys and Gould Justices held, 1st, That the Commitment was well enough in form; because

Mod. Cases
48.
King's Courts
may judge of
Parliamentary
Matters
incident.
See 6 Mod.
45, 49.
2 Vent. 25.
2 Keb. 435.
Pollexf. 479.
2 Keb. 356,
664, 435.
Lutw. 88, 89.
Hob. 43.
1 Cro. 142,
535.
1 Jo. 194.

(2.)
On Commitments by the
House of Commons
for Privilege;
no Court can
deliver on a
Habeas Corpus: Held by
three Judges
against Holt
C. J.
See 1 Salk,
19, 20.
6 Mod. 45.
1 Mod. 145.
2 Lev. 114.
& ante 502.
2 Show. 84.
2 Lev. 250.
Pollexf. 479.
Fares. 13.
6 Mod. 49.

it

3 Keb. 365,
389, 664.

Filing and
continuing
Original, no
Breach of
Privilege.

Authority of
Commons
circum-
scrib'd by
Law.
Post 512.

Writ of Er-
ror is of
Right in all
Cases, except
Treason and
Felony.
See 2 Show.
85, 98.
6 Mod. 130.

it was according to the usual manner of Commitments by that House. 2dly, That the House of Commons were the proper Judges of their own Privileges; and this Court was now estopped to say, That this was not a Breach of the Privileges of the House of Commons, or that the House of Commons had no such Privilege. Holt C. J. contra said, That this was no Breach of Privilege of the House of Commons: that the commencing and Prosecution of an Action did not necessarily imply a going farther than the bare filing and continuing of an Original, which is no Breach of Privilege; he said, the Suing was no Breach of Privilege, nor can their Judgment make it so, nor conclude this Court from determining contrary; when the House of Commons exceed their legal Bounds and Authority, their Acts are wrongful and cannot be justified, more than the Acts of private Men: That there was no Question, but their Authority is from the Law, and as it is circumscribed, so it may be exceeded: To say, they are Judges of their own Privilege and their own Authority, and no Body else, is to make their Privileges to be as they would have them: If there be a wrongful Imprisonment by the House of Commons, what Court shall deliver the Party? Shall we say, there is no Redress, and that we are not able to execute those Laws, upon which the Liberty of the Queen's People subsists? To conclude, all Courts are so far Judges of their own Privileges, and intrusted with a Power to vindicate themselves, that they may punish for Contempts; but to make them, or any Court, final Judges of them, exclusive of every Body else, is to introduce a State of Confusion, by making every Man Judge in his own Cause, and subverting the Measures of all Jurisdictions.

And now a new Question was started and referred to the Judges, Whether the Queen ought to allow a Writ of Error in this or any other Case, ex debito Justitiæ, or ex mera gratia? And ten of the Judges were of Opinion, That the Queen could not deny the Writ of Error; but it was grantable ex debito Justitiæ, except only in Treason or Felony. Vide 2 Lev. Thurston's Case. Price and Smith held, That the Subject could not of Right demand them in any criminal Case: Then it was a Doubt, Whether any Writ of Error lay upon a Judgment given on a Habeas Corpus?

Coundell *versus* John. Hill. 5 Ann. B. R.

(3.)
Action lies
not for a false
Return, but
upon the Sta-
tute 7 & 8
W. 3.

IN Case, the Plaintiff declared that he was elected Member of Parliament for such a Borough, pursuant to the Queen's Writ, &c. and that the Defendant returned two other Persons to be elected, and that he the Plaintiff petitioned the House, and was adjudged by them

them to be duly elected, and his Name ordered to be inserted in the Return, and the Name of the other to be rased. After Verdict, on Not guilty, the Defendant moved in Arrest of Judgment, that here is no Cause of Action; for it appears now, the Plaintiff has had the Effect of his Election; he is returned; he has his Place; there is nothing wanting wherein he can pretend himself injured, but the Costs he has been at in the Prosecution; and as to them it ought to be supposed, that the House considered of them. This was endeavoured to be made good upon the Statute 7 & 8 W. 3. But Sir Tho. Parker, for the Defendant, answered, That this Declaration cannot be taken to be founded upon that Statute, because the Fact was laid not agreeable to it, nor was it such an Action as was intended by the Statute, which differs from a general Action; for first, an Action grounded upon a general Prohibition of a Statute, ought not to be for the Party only, but the Queen and Party *tam quam*; for a Fine is due to the Queen for the Breach of the Statute, as well as Satisfaction to the Party injured. Et per Cur'. Where a Statute introduces a new Law, by giving an Action where there was none before, or by giving a new Action in an old Case, the Plaintiff need not conclude *contra formam Statuti*. But if a Statute gives the same Action, with a Difference of some Circumstances, as double Damages, &c. the Plaintiff must either conclude, *contra formam Statuti*, or make his Case so particularly within the Statute, that it may appear to be so; and because he had not done it in this Case, Judgment was given for the Defendant.

See 6 Mod.
45, 49.
1 Salk. 19, 20.
5 Mod. 311.
Farell. 13.
Pollexf. 470.
3 Lev. 29, 30.
Lutw. 88.
2 Sid. 168.
2 Lev. 30, 86.
114, 250.
3 Keb. 26, 32.
1 Vent. 206.
2 Vent. 25.
1 Danv. 205.

Curate is re-
movable as
the Will of
the Parson.

P A U P E R

Anonymous Mich. 9. W. III. R. K.

Parker shall not pay Costs, unless he be non-
tuit; for he shall pay Costs, as he is obliged, per Holt C.
Ours reason, for satisfaction in another Return, &c.
that a Parker might be obliged for non-payment of
Costs upon a Return, and the Statute was made per Holt C.
E. Parker, the son of Sir Parker, and never paid
Costs, &c. If a Parker were a Justice of Peace, and
not a Justice, he shall be discharged.

A

Parson,

For Parson
tender; viz.
per Stat.
a Statute
e Mod. 22.
101.

(1.)
Parker shall
pay Costs of
non-tuit.
See 12th Ed.
e Mod. 22.
Farell. 13.

Vid. 2 Lev. 61.

3 Leon. Cal.

46, & 148.

4 Leon. Cal. 367. Cro. Car. 105. Cro. El. 490.

Parson, Vicar and Curate.

Birch *versus* Wood. Hill. 10 Will. III. B. R.

Curate is removeable at the Will of the Parson.

See 2. Ver.
129.

WOOD, pretending to be Curate of a Chapel of Ease in the Parish of Preston, sued the Vicar of the Parish in the Spiritual Court, for the Arrears of a Pension claimed by Prescription; and a Prohibition was granted Nisi causa; for that the Curate was removeable at the Will of the Parson, and so cannot prescribe, but his Remedy must be by quantum meruit.

Vide Farell. 63. That Parish-Bounds are not to be proved by the Parson.

Poor Prisoners; vid. post 521.
2 Lev. 142.
6 Mod. 22,
301.

P A U P E R.

Anonymus. Mich. 9 W. III. B. R.

(1.)
Pauper shall pay Costs of Nonsuit.
See 1 Sid. 261.
6 Mod. 88.
Farell. 114.

A Pauper shall not pay Costs, unless he be nonsuit; but then he shall pay Costs, or be whipped, per Holt C. J. Quære tamen; for afterwards in another Term, I moved that a Pauper might be whipped for Non-payment of Costs upon a Nonsuit, and the Motion was denied per Holt C. J. saying, He had no Officer for that Purpose, and never knew it done. Note also; If a Pauper gives Notice of Trial, and does not proceed, he shall be dispaupered.

Anonymus. Mich. 11 Will. III. B. R.

MR. Northey moved to dispauper a Parson, who was Plaintiff in an Action, because he had a Living of 40 l. per Annum. Turton and Gould Justices contra, because he swore he was in Debt more than it was worth: Holt C. J. differed from them; for his being indebted, or his Estate being mortgaged, is no Reason; 'tis enough, that he has a considerable Estate in Possession.

(2.)
Dispauper-
ing.

Payment and Satisfaction, &c.

Vide ante
442.
2 Lev. 203.
212.
6 Mod. 36.
Post 519.

Mason *versus* Williams. In Canc.

PENDING a Bill in Equity against an Executor, or after a Decree quod computet, an Executor may pay any other Debt of a higher Nature; or as high a Nature; but this must be intended where he has legal Assets; for if he has only equitable Assets, the Court will not indemnify him, and suffer him to prejudice and disappoint the first Suitor; and where there is a final Decree against an Executor, and he pays a Bond, it is a Dispayment; for a Decree is in the Nature of a Judgment. Per Cowper Lord Chancellor.

(1.)
Executor
may pay
Debts of a
higher Na-
ture after a
Decree quod
computet,
not after
final.
See Cro. El.
793.
2 And. 157.

1 Rol. Abr. 925, 927. 1 Sid. 21. Vaug. 89. 5 Co. 48. 2 Chan. Cal. 54, 84.

Carter *versus* Sheppard. Pasch. 10 Will. III. B. R.

UPON a Point refer'd at Nisi Prius, the Case was; H. having a Note of 100 l. upon a Goldsmith, goes to receive it: While he is in the Shop, one Daly brought Money in to pay to the Goldsmith, who thereupon orders H. to receive the Money of Daly; accordingly H. receives 50 l. Part of his Hundred, pulls a Bag out of his Pocket, puts the Money into the Bag, lays it down by him, and proceeds to tell the rest; in the mean Time

(2.)
A. receives
Money of B.
by the Hands
of C. 'tis A.'s
Money, and
he must abide
by the Loss.
See 6 Mod.
36.
5 Mod. 398.
2 Salk. 442.

Vol. II.

a Stran.

Vide ante
442, &c. ib.
3 Mod. 86.
3 Lev. 299.
2 Show. 296,
297.
Molloy Li. 2.
c. 10.
Comber.
475. S. C.
Hob. 154.
2 Mod. 23, 24.

a Stranger comes in, catches the Bag of 50 l. from him, and runs away: H. brings Trover against the Goldsmith for his Note, pretending the Property of the 50 l. remained in the Goldsmith, tho' put into the Plaintiff's Bag; for he had still a Right to count it over, and so it was not absolutely paid to the Plaintiff. Cur contra. H. had appropriated the Money, by putting it into his Bag, and might bring Trover for the Bag and Money, as well as if he had put both Bag and Money into his Pocket.

Marle *versus* Make. Trin. 13 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
Payment be-
fore Breach
or after.
Vide Lutw.
472, 473.
5 Co. 117.

PAYMENT to a Bond with Condition indorsed, is a good Plea before Breach, but not afterwards, no more than to an Action of Debt upon a single Bill; for the Benefit of the Condition is lost when the Breach is made. Per Holt C. J.

Cranmer's Case in Canc'.

(4.)
Legacy to a
Creditor,
greater or
less than the
Debt, how to
be taken.
Vide Cuth-
bert cont.
Peacock.
Ante 155.
Ante 415,
436.
2 Chan. Caf.
25.

MRS. Fisher was indebted in 50 l. to Cranmer, and left him a Legacy of 500 l. and made him Executor, and after the making of her Will, borrowed 150 l. more of him, and died: The Master of the Rolls decreed, that this Legacy should be a Satisfaction of both the Debts, that contracted after the Will, as well as that contracted before; but Harcourt, Lord Chancellor, reversed the Decree, because a Court of Equity ought not to hinder a Man from disposing of his own as he pleases; and when he says he gives a Legacy, we cannot contradict him, and say he pays a Debt; and as to the Debt contracted afterwards, he said there was no Pretence to make this to be a Payment of that: If a Legacy be less than the Debt, it was never held to go in Satisfaction; so if the Thing given was of a different Nature, as Land, it should not go in Satisfaction of Money; so if the Legacy be upon Condition, for by the Breach he may be a Loser, whereas the Will intended it for his Benefit. Note; In all these Cases the Intention of the Party ought to be the Rule.

Payment to the Sheriff on a Fi. Fa. is good, not so to the Gaoler. 2 Lev. 203.

Where Payment to the Plaintiff by the Bail is a Discharge. Vide ib. 212.

Note; Payment was formerly no Plea to a Sci. Fa. on a Judgment in Debt, but now it is by the Stat. 4 & 5 Ann. cap. 4. 3 Lev. 19, 20.

Peers of the Realm.

Rex & Regina *versus* Knollys. Trin. 6 W. & M. B. R.

INDICTMENT was found at Hicks's Hall, against Charles Knollys, for the Murder of Captain Lawson, which was removed into B. R. The Defendant pleaded in Abatement, that William Knollys, Viscount Wallingford, by Letters Patent under the Great Seal of England, which he produced in Court, bearing Date August 18. 2 Car. 1. was created Earl of Banbury, to him and the Heirs Male of his Body: That William had Issue Nicholas, who succeeded him in the said Title, and that the said Honour descended to him the Defendant from the said Nicholas, as Son and Heir. Et hoc paratus est verificare. It was replied, That 14 Decemb. 4 W. & M. the said Defendant petitioned the Lords then assembled in Parliament, to be tried by his Peers, and the Lords disallowed his Peerage, and dismissed the Petition.

The Defendant demurred, and the Attorney General joined in Demurrer.

The first Point considered per Holt C. J. was, What an Earldom was, and wherein it consisted.

Before the Time of Ed. 3. there were but two Titles of Nobility, viz. Earls and Barons.

Barons were originally created by Tenure, afterwards by Writ, and last of all by Patent, scil. about 11 R. 2.

As to Earls, 1st, They were always created by Letters Patent. Vide Seld. 536.

2dly, An Earldom consisted in Office for the Defence of the Kingdom. Vide Bract. Lib. 1. c. 8. Comites had their Name, not from Counties, but a comitans Regem, 9 Co. 49. It may be entailed as any other Office may, within Westm. 2.

3dly, Earldoms consist of Rent and Possessions, &c. which were anciently great. Vide Mag. Chart. the Relief of his Heir is 100 l. This being premised, he went on to consider the Objections:

1st, That it is not alledged by the Defendant, that he is unus parium Regni Angliæ, but only unus parium Regni; nor is Banbury alledged to be in England, and an Irish Peer may be made under the Great Seal of England.

Vol. II.

O 2

Co

Vide Parli-
ment Cases,
pag. 1. to 11.
Farell. 15, 38.
1 Chan. Cas.
221.
2 Chan. Cas.
163, 224.

(1.)
Ante 451.
Carth. 297.
Comber. 273.
S. C. called
Rex and
Reg. vers.
Carol.
Knowles.

Baron.
Earl, his
Creation and
Office.
Note, in the
Saxon Times
the Earls of
Counties be-
ing officary,
were elected
by the Free-
holders in
their Folk-
motes, and
were re-
moveable for
male Admi-
nistration.
Vide LL.
Edw. c. 35.
LL. Edgari,
c. 5. LL.

Canuti, c. 17. and Saxon Chron. sub Anno 1055.

King may
create an I-
rish Peer
under the
Great Seal
of England,
by express
Words.
2 Vent. 4.
Dyer 503.

Place
whence Ti-
tle is taken,
not material.

To this he answered, That the Great Seal of England is appropriated to England, and what is done under it has Relation to England, and to no other Place; and tho' the King may create an Irish Peer under his Great Seal of England; yet that must be by express Words, being by special Act of Privilege. An Act of Parliament does not extend to Ireland, unless particularly named, and 'tis a foreign Intendment to suppose him an Irish Peer, and therefore is to be rejected.

The Place from whence an Earl takes his Title, is not material; 'tis not necessary there should be such a Place in England, or indeed any where. Albemarle is not in England, and there is really no such Place as Rivers, tho' we have an Earl of that Name.

2dly, 'Tis objected, That the Defendant ought to have concluded his Plea, with prout patet per Recordum, and have produced a Writ to certify the Descents.

As to the Writ to certify the Descents that is not of Necessity, but used merely for Expedition; and if his Peerage had been created by Writ, then it would have been triable by the Record, and this a fatal Exception: But Letters Patent may be pleaded and shewn to the Court, and the adverse Party cannot deny them: And as this Case is, here being Descents, if the Defendant had concluded as the King's Counsel say he should, it would have been an impracticable Conclusion, and consequently void. And in the Precedents cited of the other Side, there were no Letters Patent pleaded; nor could there in this Case be any such Issue as Earl, or not Earl; for the Letters Patent under the Great Seal could not be denied or questioned, but by pleading Non concessit.

3dly, That the Defendant is concluded of his Peerage by the Order of the House of Lords.

To this Eyre J. said, The Defendant had a Title to his Honour by legal Conveyance, and that it was under the Protection of the Common Law, and could not be taken from him but by legal Means. That the House of Lords could no more deprive one of a Peerage, than they could confer a Peerage: That the Defendant's Right stood upon the Letters Patent and his Legitimacy; that the Letters Patent could not be cancelled without a Scire facias; and that the Defendant could not now be proved a Bastard or illegitimate.

Holt C. J. gave these Reasons: 1st, That this Order was not a Judgment of Parliament; the Parliament consists of the King, the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and the Commons: The judicial Power is in the Lords only; yet legally and virtually it is the Judgment of the King, if not of the Commons; and Writs of Error in Parliament are coram nobis in presenti Parlamento. Vide Fleta, c. 17. All Power of Jurisdiction is derived from the King; if that be an Author to be credited.

House of
Lords can-
not deprive
of Peerage.
Parliament
Cas. 2, 3, &c.

Judicial
Power of
Parliament is
in the Peers,
but 'tis vir-
tually the
Judgment of
the King.
All Jurisdi-
ction from
the Crown.

The

The House of Lords has a double Authority, as Parliament, and the Court of the House, between which we must distinguish by their Style. Journals are no Records of Parliament, and therefore we cannot take Notice of them, Hob. 110. King and Hunsdown versus Arundel and Howard. Judgments ought to be given in their proper Style; and therefore, if this Court, which is coram Rege, enter Judgment per Justiciarios de B. R. 'tis void.

House of Peers has a double Authority.

The House of Lords has no Jurisdiction over an original Cause mixed with Matter of Fact; because 1st, that supreme Court is the dernier Ressort; besides that for the most part, original Causes are mix'd with Matter of Fact, and it is below the Dignity of so supreme a Judicature, to try a Matter of Fact: 'Tis for this Reason, Error in Fact in the Court of King's Bench, must of Necessity be redressed before the Judges of this Court. 2^{dly}, If the Parliament should take Cognizance of original Causes, the Subject would lose his Appeal, so much indulged by the Common Law in all Cases. Causes come not thither, till they have tried all other Judicatures: For this Reason, within these four Years, Judgment was given against the Earl of Macclesfield in the Exchequer; he brought Error in the House of Lords, and the Question was, Whether by 31 Eliz. 3. the Exchequer-Chamber should not interpose? And the Writ was abated, and it was held, that the Exchequer-Chamber should interpose. This Dignity is a Title by Common Law; and if a Patentee be disturbed of his Dignity, the regular Course is, to petition the King, who indorses it, and sends it into the Chancery. Vide Staundf. Prerog. 72. 22 E. 3. 5. Long 5^o E. 4. 117. The King could give Precedency by the Common Law, but is bound by 31 H. 8. c. 10.

But no original Jurisdiction.

When Error lies not from the Court of Exchequer to the House of Lords.

Where a Patentee is disturb'd of his Dignity, how to proceed.

If a Peer commits Treason, he must be tried by his Peers, and they may order a Trial, but the King may chuse whether he will make a high Steward.

3^{dly}, No Plea was depending in the House of Lords, for the Defendant did not petition to enjoy; but supposed himself in Possession,

4^{thly}, Here was no Judgment. A Court can give no Judgment in a Thing not depending, or that does not come in a judicial Way before that Court: Here the Title of the Earldom was not before them. If Trespass be brought for a Trespass done in the Ground belonging to a House, and it appears at the Trial, the Plaintiff has no Title to the House, yet the Court cannot give Judgment to turn him out.

5^{thly}, A Judgment ought to be compleat and formal: If a Quo Warranto be brought for usurping Royal Franchises, and the Court give their Opinion that the Defendant has no Title; yet unless they go on, Et quod ab inde excludatur, &c. it is nothing. So in the Case of Lovell, 2 Cro. 284. In Debt on an Obligation, the Defendant pleaded a Bar by Verdict and Judgment in a former Action,

Judgment must be compleat and formal.

Dismission is
no Judg-
ment.

Lex Parlia-
menti.
Ant. 503, 504.

Inheritances
not original-
ly determi-
nable in Par-
liament.

Action, wherein the Entry was, that the Defendant should recover Costs; Et quod eat inde sine die: Here, because there was no Judgment, quod querens nil capiat per breve, it was adjudged naught; for Dismission is no Judgment in a Court of Law.

Objection. It is said that this Judgment was given secundum legem Parliamenti.

Ans. Lex Parliamenti must be looked on as the Law of the Kingdom; but admitting it were a particular Law, yet if a Question arises determinable in the King's Bench, the King's Bench must determine it. Vide Dy. 60.

14 Car. 2. C. B. Binion versus Eveling. Filing an Original against a Parliament-Man was adjudged to be no Breach of Privilege. If a Man be committed by Parliament, and the Parliament is prorogued, this Court will grant a Habeas Corpus. But no Precedent hath been shewn to warrant the determining Inheritances originally per legem Parliamenti; if it be so determinable, it must be by Act of Parliament, but there is no such; or by Custom, but there is no such Custom; but if Inheritances were determinable in Parliament, without their having Jurisdiction, they would have uncontrollable Power, and res est misera, ubi jus est vagum. And he concluded that Judgment should be given for the Defendant, and accordingly the Indictment was abated: So the Defendant was not tried for the Murder at all.

Lord Banbury's Case. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

(2.)
H. arrested
by the Name
of a Com-
moner, the
Court won't
try whether
a Peer or
not upon a
Motion.
Vide antea
451, 509.
Parl. Cases,
2, 3, &c.
Farell. 15, 38.

LORD Banbury was taken on a Latitat sued against him by the Name of Charles Knollys, Esq; and it was now moved for a Superedeas, offering to shew the Letters Patent of Creation, and an Affidavit, that he was the Person; and it was agreed, That if the Latitat had been sued against him by the Name of Lord, it should have been superseded; for the Law supposes a Peer able to answer the Demand of any personal Action; and the Body is only liable for Want of being responsible in Substance: And if he had sat in Parliament by Virtue of any Writ of Summons, and had been sued as Charles Knollys; but not having sat in Parliament, they could not take Notice of his Peerage, and would not proceed to try it on a Motion.

Sir Thomas Meers contra Lord Stourton. In Canc.

(3.)
Where a Peer
shall depose
on Oath or
Honour.

SIR Thomas Meers exhibited a Bill against the Lord Stourton, and it was ordered that the Lord Stourton should be examined upon Interrogatories touching his Title; and it was objected, That he being a Peer of the Realm, ought to answer upon his Po.

Honour only; and it was ruled by Harcourt Lord Keeper, that where a Peer is to answer to a Bill, his Answer put in upon his Honour is sufficient; but where a Peer is to answer Interrogatories, to make an Affidavit, or be examined as a Witness, he must be upon his Oath: *See XI. State Trials 100. in the note.*

P E R J U R Y.

See Fares. 101.
2 Sow. 1,
2, 165, 486.

3 Mod. 116, 134. 6 Mod. 176, 168. 5 Mod. 343, & 348. 1 Hawk. P. C. Cap. 69.

Dominus Rex *versus* Greepe. Mich. 9 Will. III. B. R. S. C. 5 Mod. 343.

AN Information for Perjury set forth, That the Defendant, upon giving a Lease and Release in Evidence in a certain Cause, bearing Date the 15th and 16th of July 1681. executed at Albemarle-house, to which

M^r. Stroud was Witness, swore that M^r. Stroud was the Middle of July, 1611. at Newnham, innuendo Newnham in Devonshire, ubi revera non fuit apud N. prædict. A Verdict was for the King, but Judgment was arrested; and it was held,

1st, That Newnham was but an individuum vagum without the Innuendo, and might be as well Newnham in Middlesex as Newnham in Devonshire, or some far distant Place. And if Newnham was at the next Door, Stroud might be at Newnham, and yet be at Albemarle-house in Middlesex too in the Middle of July.

2^{dly}, That the Innuendo could not restrain the Individuum vagum to Newnham in Devonshire; for it is no Averment, but in the Nature of a Prædict. It may serve for an Explanation to point out, where there is precedent Matter, but never for a new Charge: It may apply what is already expressed, but cannot add, or enlarge, or change the Sense of the precedent Words: So here, the Word Newnham did not import Newnham in Devonshire; ergo, the Innuendo cannot enlarge the Importance of it, and make it so significant. Vide Sty. 333. 3 Cro. 428. Goldsb.

191. Hob. 3, 6, 45. 1 Ro. 82, 83, 84. 2 Bul. 81, 82. 1 Ven. 337. Hutt. 44. 4 Co. 20. Yelv. 21. 1 Cro. 321. A. 32.

Innuendo.
Vid. Yel. 21.
Cro. El. 497.
Hob. 2, 3,
45, 461.
Hutt. 65.
Hert. 174.
Aley. 39, 92.
1 Vent. 337.
1 Sid. 52.
2 Show. 305,
& 411.
3 Mod. 53, 54.
3 Lev. 69, 166.
Innuendo
may explain
or apply, but
cannot add
to, or change
the Sense.
Carth. 421.
S. C.
5 Mod. 233.
4 Rep. 17.
Comber.
459. S. C.
3 Bulst. 265.
1 Bulst. 183.

Perjury may
be in Evi-
dence to the
Credit of a
Witness.
6 Mod. 168.

Charge ought
to be equally
certain at
Common
Law, and on
the Statute.

Where H. is
convict on
the Statute,
Disability is
Part of the
Judgment;
at Common
Law 'tis only

3dly, That a Man ought not to be drawn into a constructive Perjury; and that if the Matter of this Oath was certain, it is material to the Issue, and sufficient to be Perjury; and Holt C. J. denied Goldf. 191. and held, that if a Man gives Evidence to the Credit of a Witness, tho' this be not the Issue, yet 'tis Perjury.

4thly, As to the Objection, that this was an Information at Common Law, and not on the Statute, that makes no Difference as to the Certainty of the Charge; for 'tis no more infamous now than it was at Common Law; the Difference is only, That where H. is convict upon the Statute, 'tis Part of the Judgment to be disabled; but at Common Law 'tis only a consequential Disability: Ergo, in the later Case, the King may pardon, and that restores him to his Testimony; otherwise in the former, for in that Case he must reverse the Judgment, or cannot be restored.

a Consequence. Vide ante 461. Post 689, 691.

Vid. ante A-
batement,
Demurrers,
Justification,
&c. P. 496.

Pleas and Pleadings.

Anonymus. Mich. 1 W. & M. B. R.

(1.)
Upon an In-
formation in
Middlesex,
the Defen-
dant shall
have the
Term to
plead; in the
Country, till
next Term.
Post 624, 650.
Mod. Cases
22.
1 Salk. 219.

IF a Man be bound by Recognizance to appear the first Day of the Term, and is charged upon his Appearance with an Information, in Case the Information be laid in Middlesex, the Party has Time to plead during all that Term, so that it cannot come to Trial in the Term; but in case it be laid in any other County, the Party shall have Time to plead till the next Term; for he is as much concerned to defend himself in those Cases as in any Civil Action; and since the Law allows him Counsel, the Law allows him Time likewise to consult with them; for not to allow the Means of Defence, is to take away the Subject's Defence; otherwise it is of capital Offences: But Note; in these Cases there is no Counsel. Also where the Party comes in by Capi Corpus, or upon an Outlawry, he shall plead presently, for then he has been guilty of a Contempt. Per Cur', contrary to the Case of the seven Bishops.

Time to plead, Vide Post Pl. 3, 4.

Woodward *versus* Cliff. Pasch. 2 W. & M. B. R.

Vide 2 Lev. 12, 75.

IN Covenant, the Plaintiff declared that he was seized in Fee, and that by Indenture made between the Plaintiff and Eliz. his Wife ex una parte, and the Defendant of the other Part, testatum existit that the Plaintiff and his Wife demised. 'Twas objected, That it being shewn that the Husband was sole seised, the Husband and Wife could not demise; sed non allocatur; for it is not affirmed, but only that by the Indenture 'tis witnessed, for the Testatum is a Rehearsal of that.

(2.)
Testatum existit is only Recital.
Post 589, 699.
2 Lev. 74, 75.

Hall *versus* Englestone. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

UPON a Habeas Corpus returnable in Michaelmas Term, if the Declaration be delivered before Christmas Animarum, the Defendant must plead to try; but upon a Capi Corpus he is only to plead to enter. So in Easter Term, if the Declaration be deliver'd before Mens. Paschæ, the Defendant on a Habeas Corpus must plead to try; upon a Capi Corpus to enter only.

(3.)
Difference between Time to plead on a Habeas Corpus and a Capias.
Ante pl. 1.
Post pl. 15.

Anonymus. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

IF a Declaration be delivered against one in Custody, he shall have the whole Term to plead in Abatement.

(4.)

Anonymus. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

BEFORE Joinder in Demurrer, the Defendant may waive his special Plea, and plead the general Issue, per Cur'. But if there be a Rule to plead, so as to stand by it, and the Defendant pleads a special Plea, as he may, and the Plaintiff demurs, the Plaintiff shall not then waive and plead the general Issue.

(5.)
Waiver of special Pleadings.
Farell. 50.

Pierce *versus* Blake. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

THE Defendant pleaded a false Plea in Abatement, viz. That the Plaintiff was dead; the Court was moved, that the Attorney might be compelled to swear it: Et per Holt C. J. We cannot compel him in any Case to swear his Plea, but where it is a foreign Plea; but the Attorney, if he puts in a false Plea to delay Justice, breaks his Oath, and may be fined for putting a

(6.)
Attorney fined for assigning Errors notoriously false and frivolous.

1 Salk. 30,
20, 202.
Lurw. Rep.
236.
Styl. 225.
Sid. 329.
1 Saund. 97,
98.

Deceit upon the Court: He remembered a Case where Judgment was given against a Defendant above forty Years of Age, upon which Judgment he brought a Writ of Error, and assigned Infancy, and appearing by Attorney for Error, and the Court fined the Attorney: In the principal Case the Court ordered the Attorney to plead immediately, so as he would stand by it; or the Court, if he did not, would enquire into the Truth of the Plea, and if they found a Deceit and a Trick, they would fine him.

Note; The Court will not order a Man to plead peremptorily till the Rules be out.

Brown versus Cornish. Pasch. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(7.)
Onerari non
debet proper
only where
there never
was a Charge.

INDEBITATUS ASSUMPSIT and Quantum meruit for 20 l. The Defendant pleaded, *onerari non debet*, because he paid the Money at the Time, &c. Et hoc paratus est verificare; The Plaintiff demurred: Et per Holt C. J. 1st, This Plea does not amount to the general Issue, because it admits the Cause of Action. 2dly, *Onerari non debet* is no Plea here, because he allows the Promise to be a good Promise, but avoids it by Matter of Discharge *ex post facto*; in this Case he should have pleaded *actionem non*. But where the Matter of the Plea shews there never was a good Cause of Action, *onerari non debet* may be proper; thus in Debt on a Bond, Defendant may plead *onerari non debet quia riens per Discent*. 3dly, The Plea was misconcluded, for he ought to conclude to the Country.

(8.) *Ashton versus Sherman.* Mich. 9 W. III. B. R. Vide this Case, Title Executors. Pl. 10. pag. 298.

Anonymus. Mich. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(9.)
Plea after
Judgment in
Ejectment.

If Judgment in Ejectment be signed in a Country Cause for Want of a Plea, but no Possession delivered, a Judge in his Chamber at any Time before the Assizes, may compel the Plaintiff to accept a Plea; but if Possession be delivered, he is without Remedy, per Holt C. J.

Gray *versus* Hart. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

IN Assault and Battery, the Defendant pleaded a Writ to the Sheriff returnable in C. B. and a Warrant to him to arrest the Plaintiff, &c. and did not shew from what Court the Writ issued, and it was held naught; for it might be a Writ out of the King's Bench or the County Palatine, and they would not intend it a Writ out of Chancery: So if H. plead a Judgment, he must shew in what Court; for to put the adverse Party to search in every Court, would be infinite.

(10.)
In justifying
under a Writ,
it is not
enough to
shew where
returnable,
but must
shew whence
it issued.

Anonymus. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

THE Court resented the Number of frivolous Sham Pleas which came before them, saying, It was against the Duty of Counsel, and against the Statute W. 1. c. 29. and that the old Rule ought to be revived, viz. That Counsel should set their hands to the Books delivered to the Judges, which was anciently so ordered, that the Court might not be troubled with frivolous Pleas.

(11.)
Sham Pleas.

Pasmore *versus* Serj. Goodwin. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

SErjeant Darnel moved for an Impar lance till the next Term; because the Defendant was an Officer of the Court, and the Bill was not filed against him, so as to give him eight Days within Term to plead; But the Court held, That the Day of the Bill filed is one Day, so that the Plaintiff may give Rules that Day; also they agreed, that Sundays and Holydays are to be reckoned in: And the Clerks said it was sufficient that he had four Days in Term, quod Curia concessit; M^r. Clark said, that anciently there were two Rules given, both four Day Rules; the first was, ad respondendum, the second Ad respondendum peremptorie, which two were now turned into one eight Days Rule.

(12.)
Upon Bills
filed against
Officers it is
sufficient, if
there be four
Days within
Term to
plead.
Vide ante pl.
1, &c. ib.
Cumberb. 19,
251, 253.
Farell. 62.

Anonymus. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

THE Question was, Whether there ought to be new Rules to plead upon an Amendment? Pew, Clerk of the Papers, said, that if the Plea was of another Term, there ought to be new Rules; otherwise if it be a Plea of the same Term, because there is a Rule to warrant the Judgment. Holt C. J. Anciently they did not plead de novo after an Amendment; therefore giving

(13.)
No new
Rules to
plead after
an Amend-
ment, unless
an Impar-
lance be gi-
ven.

Post. pl. 20. **U**sing Rules to plead again, cannot be the ancient Course; because the Practice of Pleading de novo is but of late introduced, but with great Reason: When the Plaintiff amends and gives an Imparance, there should be new Rules; otherwise not.

See 1 Salk. 47. Information amended after Plea pleaded. Quar.

Wood *versus* Cleveland. Pasch. 12 Will. III. B. R.

(14.)
Judgment set
aside tho'
strictly regu-
lar, in order
to try the
Merits.
Vide 6 Mod.
191, 241.
1 Salk. 399,
401.
1 Mod. 1.
Farell. 3.
1 Roll. Abr.
774. pl. 1.
Cro. Car. 443.
Hob. 194.

IN a common Action of Trespas, the Plaintiff signed his Judgment for Want of a Plea; the Defendant after Term, and before the Assizes, offered him a special Plea, or to plead the General Issue, provided the Plaintiff would consent to enter into a Rule, that he should at the Trial be allowed to give special Matter in Evidence; the Plaintiff refused, and executed a Writ of Enquiry: And now Sir Bartholomew Shower moved, that upon paying Costs the Judgment might be set aside, and the Plaintiff obliged to accept their Plea and go to Trial, the Plea being fair and containing special Matter of Title. The Motion was granted; for, per Holt C. J. Where the Defendant's Plea was a fair Plea, and no Delay affected, we will interpose; otherwise where a Plea contains special Matter that is questionable, and was designed to draw the Plaintiff to demur.

Anonymus. Trin. 12 Will. III. B. R.

(15.)
How the De-
fendant shall
plead after a
voluntary
Appearance.

Vide ante pl.
1. & 3.

THERE was a Question, If a Man appears voluntarily before Menssem Paschæ in Easter Term, whether he be obliged to plead to enter? Sir Samuel Astry and Mr. Clark were of Opinion he was not. But per Holt C. J. There is no Difference between a voluntary Appearance and an Appearance upon a Capi Corpus; for a voluntary Appearance is not good, unless a Writ hath been taken out: And there is no Reason for it; for if the Plaintiff be content with a voluntary Appearance in Case of the Defendant, there is no Reason why the Plaintiff should be in a worse Condition than if he had arrested him: Let the Rule be, if a Writ be taken out, and the Defendant agrees to appear, he shall appear and plead according to the Return of the Writ, and if the Return be before menssem Paschæ, he shall plead to enter; but if no Writ were taken out, he shall not be obliged to appear; but if a Writ were taken out returnable after menssem Paschæ, he shall have an Imparance till next Term.

Peirce *versus* Paxton. Trin. 13 Will. III. B. R. Vid. ante 508.
2 Lev. 212.

IF a Debt on a Bond, the Defendant Plead *darrein Continuance*, (16.)
pleaded Payment of Part, and an Acquittance in Abatement: Payment of
Upon Demurrer Holt C. J. held it a Plea in Bar, and not in Part, and Ac-
Abatement. Vide 3 Cro. 342. Al. 63, 65. 3 Cro. 157. What is a quittance
Bar before the Action brought, is as much a Bar after, for Time pleaded *Puis*
makes no Difference in the Nature of the Thing. Vide 7 E. 4. 15. *darrein Con-*
Sty. 212. is a dark Case. Entry into Part differs; for that is tinuance is
without the Consent of the Plaintiff. But the Plea is not good, in Bar.
because the Acquittance is a Deed, and ought to be pleaded with Vide 3 Lev.
a Profert. Judgment to answer over. 230.
Lutw. 1177,
1142.
5 Mod. 12.

Anonymus. Trin. 13 Will. III. B. R.

IF an Act of Parliament makes Writing necessary to a Common (17.)
Law Matter, where it was not necessary by the Common Where a Sta-
Law, you need not plead the Thing to be in Writing, but give tute creates a
it in Evidence; but where a Thing is originally made by Act of new Thing
Parliament, and required to be in writing, you must plead it with in Writing, it
all the Circumstances required by the Act; as upon the Statute must be so
of H. 8. of Wills, you must plead a Will to be in Writing; but pleaded; but
a collateral Promise, which is required to be in Writing by the where it only
Statute of Frauds, you need not plead to be in Writing, tho' adds it to a
you must prove it so in Evidence. Per Holt C. J. Common
Law Matter,
it needs not.
Lutw. 1425.
1 Sid. 142.
1 Lev. 81.

Anonymus. Trin. 13 Will. III. B. R.

IF a Man pleads over, he shall never take Advantage of any (18.)
Slip committed in the Pleading of the other Side, which he Nothing can
could not take Advantage of upon a general Demurrer. Per be taken Ad-
Holt C. J. See 6 Mod. 136. vantage of on
a Plea over,
which could
not on a ge-
neral De-
murrer.

Anonymus. Trin. 1 Ann. B. R.

IF a Man has forfeited his Bail-Bond, and so is in misericor- (19.)
dia, and the Court in Favour of him stay Proceedings there- After Bail-
upon, he cannot afterwards plead in Abatement to the original Bond forfeit-
Action, but must plead in Chief. ed, Defen-
dant cannot
plead in A-
batement in
the original
Action.

Ano-

Anonymus. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

(20.)
Amendment.
Ante pl. 13.

By the Course of the Court, if the Plaintiff moves to amend his Declaration the same Term the Defendant's Plea comes in, the Plaintiff need not give new Rules to plead; but the Defendant must plead in convenient Time.

1 Salk. 47.

Anonymus. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

(21.)
Reason of
Amendment
while in Pa-
per.

SINCE Pleading in Paper is now introduced instead of the old Way of Pleading ore tenus at the Bar; it is but reasonable after a Plea to Issue, or Demurrer joined, that upon Payment of Costs the Parties should have Liberty to amend their Plea, or to waive their Plea or Demurrer while all the Proceedings are in Paper.

S. C. 1 Salk.
208.
6 Mod. 157,
197.

Fanshaw *versus* Morrison. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

(22.)
Scire facias
upon a Re-
cognizance
of Bail in
Error.
See 6 Mo. 159.
1 Saund. 3, 6
2 Lev. 7.

SCIRE FACIAS upon a Recognizance entered into before a Judge of the Common Pleas, upon a Writ of Error of a Judgment given in that Court in Debt, conditioned that if the Plaintiff should be nonsuit, the Writ of Error discontinued, or Judgment affirmed, that then he should pay, &c. The Defendant prayed Oyer, and pleaded that the Plaintiff in Error did prosecute the Writ of Error, and assigned Errors, Et quod placitum super prædict. breve de errore adhuc pendet indeterminat', &c. The Plaintiff replied, that the Judgment was affirmed, absque hoc quod placitum pendet indeterminat', &c. The Defendant demurred, and Judgment was given for the Plaintiff in C. B. and now upon a Writ of Error, the Court held, That where a Man pleads a Performance, he ought to plead it in the Words of the Condition of the Bond, 3 Lev. 293. 2 Ven. 221. Dy. 243. but otherwise where he pleads an Excuse; and that the Defendant's Plea is an Excuse in this Case, and therefore it was not necessary to plead that the Plaintiff in the Writ of Error was not nonsuit, nor the Writ discontinued, nor the Judgment affirmed; but that Errors were assigned, & placit' inde pendet indeterminat'.

Performance
must be
pleaded in
the Words of
the Condi-
tion; other-
wise of Ex-
cuse.

Lutw. 419.
420, 471, &c.
3 Lev. 245.
1 Lev. 145.
Negative
Plea need not
conclude
prout patet
per record'.
3 Lev. 152,
311.
5 Mod. 8.
1 Lev. 212.
1 Lutw. 111,
207, 264.
Farell. 106.
1 Lev. 54. 2 Lev. 190, 197.

2dly, The Court held this Plea was in the Negative, and therefore there was no Occasion for the Defendant to conclude with a prout patet per recordum: But the Plaintiff ought upon this Plea to have replied, that the Record was certified in B. R. such a Term, Et quod superinde talit' process' fuit quod Judicium

cium affirmat for prout patet per recordum; to which, if it was not so, the Defendant might rejoin Nul tiel record.

2dly, The Court held the Replication naught; 1st, Because it makes that Matter of Inducement which should have been the Point in Issue; and, 2dly, Because the Traverse puts a Matter of Record in Issue to be tried by the Country: And upon this the Court were going to reverse the Judgment, but an Exception was started to the Writ of Error, for which it was quashed.

2 Rol. Abr. 275.

Traverse, that puts Matter of Record in Issue to the Country, is ill.

Vid. 1 Saund. 20.

Lutw. 633, 1138, & Huffer versus Raines, Ib. 1401. 1 Saund. 99.

Domina Regina *versus* Rawlins. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

(23.)

Vide this Case, title Imparlance, Pl. 3. Ante 367.

When the Defendant ought to plead to an Information.

Turner *versus* Beale. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

IN Assumpsit, the Defendant cognovit actionem, but in Bar of Execution as to his Person, Apparel, Bedding, Tools, &c. pleaded 2 & 3 Ann. c. 16. and shewed that he was actually a Prisoner in the Marshalsea, and that being there, trel jour & ann, he was debito modo discharged by the Justices at such a Sessions, juxta formam Statuti: To this it was demurred; and insisted, that it did not appear that he petitioned; and the Justices ought not to assume a Jurisdiction and discharge Prisoners without seeking, or whether they will or not; and the Defendant ought to shew his Qualifications, and that he is within the Benefit of the Act, and it ought not to be put upon the Plaintiff, who is a Stranger, to shew that he was not qualified; Mr. Eyre contra ugg'd, That all was aided by juxta formam Statuti. Vide 1 Cro. 314. 2 Cro. 609. Holt C. J. The Sessions cannot intermeddle but upon Application: You must shew your Discharge, and that it was regular and not deficient; The Plaintiff is a Stranger, and 'tis not to come on his Side, that the Discharge was deficient, but you must shew the whole Matter, and give him an Opportunity to traverse it. Judgment for the Plaintiff.

(24.)
Plea upon the Statute for Discharge of poor Prisoners, ought to shew all Qualifications and Circumstances to bring the Defendant within the Act.

Vid. ante 596. 1 Salk. 345. Mod. Cases 221. Mod. Cases 301.

Woodrington *versus* Deverill. Hill. 5 Ann. B. R.

AFTERWARDS, Hill. 5 Ann. inter Woodrington and Deverill. In Debt on a Bond; the same Case happened as before, and then the Case last mentioned was remembered; and without pretending to make good the Plea in Form, the Statute of Amendment of the Law, 4 & 5 Ann. c. 16. was insisted on, viz. That Judgment shall be given as the Right appears, &c.

(25.)

Pen-

Pengelly for the Plaintiff said, that here appeared no sufficient Discharge, but a good Cause of Action for the Plaintiff. Powell J. said, that Ad did not help Substance; that if this sort of Pleading be made good, the Court can never know when particular Jurisdictions are with Authority, and when not; Quod Holt C. J. concessit, saying, This Exposition was to take the Party's Issue from him.

Pledge and Bailment.

Anonymus. Pasch. 5 W. & M. B. R.

Vid. ante 441.
Dr. & St. 130.
Bro. Attachment, 20.
4 Co. 32, 58,
&c. infra.

(1.)
Pawn-broker.

If a Pawn-broker refuses, upon Tender of the Money, to redeliver the Goods pledged, he may be indicted; for being secretly pawned, it may be impossible to prove a Delivery in Trover, for Want of Witnesses. Per Holt C. J. and Eyre J.

(2.)
Pawn, quid.
Lit. Rep. 332.
Keilw. 82.

Vadium, a Pawn or Pledge. In this Case the Pawnee hath a Property, for the Thing is a Security to the Pawnee that he shall be repaid his Debt, and to compel the Pawner to pay it.

And how to
be demeaned.

Now, if the Pawn be somewhat that will be the worse for wearing, as Cloaths, &c. the Pawnee cannot use it.

4 Co. 32, 38.
Co. Lit. 89.
Yelv. 178.
Owen 124.
1 Cro. 224.

But if it be somewhat that will not be the worse for wearing, &c. as Jewels, &c. the Pawnee may use them, but then it must be at Peril; for if the Pawnee is robbed in wearing them, he is answerable; and the Reason is, because the Pawn is so far in the Nature of a Depositum, that it cannot be used, but at the Peril of the Pawnee; and the using occasioned the Loss. Vide Owen 423. But if the Pawn is laid up, and the Pawnee is robbed, the Pawnee is not answerable.

Also, if the Pawn be of such a Nature, that the Keeping is of Charge to the Pawnee, as if it be a Cow or a Horse, the Pawnee may milk the Cow or ride the Horse; and this is in Recompence of the Keeping.

If a Creditor takes a Pawn, he is bound to restore it upon Payment of the Debt; but if his Care in keeping it be exact, and the Pawn is lost, he shall be excused, for there is no Default in him.

4 Co. 38.
Yelv. 178.
Co. Lit. 89.

And in Case the Pawn be lost, the Pawnee hath still his Remedy for the Money against the Pawner; for the Law requires nothing extraordinary of the Pawnee; but only that he shall use an ordinary Care for the restoring the Goods.

If a Pawn therefore be lost before Tender, the Pawnee is not liable, unless there be Default in him; but if after Tender the Pawnee keeps the Goods, and they are stolen, the Pawnee must answer; for now his Property is determined, and he is a wrongful Detainer; and he that keeps Goods by Wrong, must answer for them at Peril, in all Events; for his Detainer is the Reason of the Loss. Delivered per Holt C. J. In the Case of Coggs and Bernard. Trin. 2 Annæ B. R.

Lit. Rep. 332;
Owen 124.

Poor, Poor's Rates, Vagrants, &c.

[*Vide Title, Orders, Sessions.*]

Inter the Inhabitants of the Parish of Talborn and Boston. Mich. 7 Will. III. B. R.

It was held, That if a Man is taxed, and after Taxation stays in the Parish forty Days without giving Notice, 'tis no Settlement within the new Statute unless he pays the Tax; for it must be taxing and paying, and not taxing only, that makes a Settlement, and is equivalent to a Notice in writing.

(4.)
Taxation only without Payment, makes no Settlement.
Vidante 478.
pl. 21.
Post 534, 536.
1 Show. 12.
Comber, 282.

Inter the Parishes of Ryssip and Harrow. Hill.
8 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
Living in a
Parish, where
H. has Land,
gains a Set-
tlement.
Vide ante &
post 534, 536.
5 Mod. 419.

PER Holt C. J. having Land in a Parish will not make a Settlement, but living in a Parish where one has Land will gain a Settlement without Notice; for the Act of Parliament never meant to banish Men from the Enjoyment of their own Lands, and the Law takes Notice of Freeholders, as those that chuse Members of Parliament and are Jurors. Also boarding as a Scholar gains no Settlement, no more than being nursed in a Parish.

The Case of the Parish of Shoreditch. Mich. 10
Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
Justices may
quash the
whole Rate
where the
Rate is un-
equal, and
make, or or-
der to be
made, a new
one.
Vide ante
pag. 484. &
post pl. 5. &
17.

AN Order of Sessions was made for quashing a Poor's Rate for the Parish of Shoreditch. Exception was taken, that by the Statute of 43 Eliz. the Justices could not quash the whole Rate; but were to relieve the Parties grieved. Sed per Cur'. If a Rate be burthensome to a whole Set of Men, as in this Case it was to Landholders, the best Way is to quash the whole Rate; and the Chief Justice held, That if the Justices quash this, they may make a new one themselves, but they are not bound to do it, but may order the ancient Inhabitants to do it.

Inter the Inhabitants of the Parish of Harrow and Ryssip.
Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(4.)
Confirma-
tion upon
Appeal is fi-
nal against
all Parishes,
otherwise of
Reversal.
Vide ante
pag. 486. pl.
45, &c. ib. &
post pag. 527.
1 Vent. 310.
Vide ante
492.
5 Mod. 416,
417.

A. Comes into Harrow, and being likely to become charge-able, was removed to Ryssip; Ryssip appealed; and upon the Appeal, A. was adjudged to be settled at Ryssip: afterwards Ryssip discovered that Hendon was the Place of his last legal Settlement, and sent him thither; and the Question was, Whether after the Adjudication upon the Appeal, Ryssip was not estopped against all the World, to say, that Ryssip was not the Place of his last legal Settlement? Et per Holt C. J. Ryssip is estopped to say otherwise; for if Ryssip had not been the very Place of his last legal Settlement, the Justices must have sent him back to Harrow, who were first possessed of him, for that Reason, because they were possessed of him, and he did not belong to Ryssip. And now this is in Effect the same Question again, viz. Whether he belongs to Ryssip? which Question has been already deter-
mined by the Justices on the Appeal, who have adjudged that he was

was last settled at Ryssip: Now this Point being determined, the Appeal must be final and conclusive; otherwise there would be no End of Things; and the rather as to Ryssip, 1st, Because Ryssip was Party to the Suit wherein this Determination was made, and yet H. may be estopped where he is not Party to a Suit; per Holt C. J. who remembered the Case of Thornton and Pickering, where it was adjudged, That if H. be adjudged by two Justices to be the Father of a Bastard child, he is estopped as to all mankind to say the contrary, and any Man may call him so at his Pleasure. The Case was, A Libel was exhibited in the Ecclesiastical Court, for saying he had a Bastard, and the Defendant suggested for a Prohibition this Adjudication by the two Justices; and the Suggestion being turned into a Declaration in an Attachment on the Prohibition, the Defendant pleaded to it, that the Words were spoken at large without any Relation to the Adjudication by the Justices. Plaintiff replied, and prayed Judgment if he should not be estopped by the Adjudication to say he had not a Bastard.

Afterwards, Hill. 10. this was moved again, and then Holt and Gould held the Adjudication was final as to Ryssip against all Persons and Places, because the Point of his Settlement as to Ryssip was tried in the Appeal; but as to Harrow (for he had been formerly remov'd by them to Hendon, and that Order reversed) they were at Liberty to send him to any other Place, and were not estopped; because the Justices on the Appeal did not adjudge him to be settled at Harrow, tho' they adjudged him now to be settled at Ryssip, so that the other Point was not tried. Turton and Rokeby contra. Adjournatur.

2 Mod. 72.
10 Co. 101.
6 Mod. 287.

Anonymus. Hill. 10 Will. B. R.

A Mandamus was granted to the Justices of Peace on 43 Eliz. commanding them to compel the precedent Overseers of the Poor of the Parish of A. to come to an Account with the present Overseers, and this Writ was now quashed: 1st, For that the Account by 43 Eliz. is to be given to two Justices, and not to the succeeding Overseers. 2dly, Two of the Persons named in the Writ whom the Justices were to compel to come to account, do not appear to have been Overseers.

(5.)
A Mandamus to compel precedent Overseers to come to an Account with the present, quash'd.
Vide ante 484, & 524.
6 Mod. 77,
6 Mod. 97, 98.

97. & post pl. 17, & 20. 5 Mod. 179, 420, 421. 6 Mod. 97, 98.

Inter the Parishes of Beckenham and Camberwell.

Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

A Question was made upon 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 3. which enacts, That an unmarried Person hired for a Year, shall not be settled, unless he serves the whole Year, whether that extended on-

(6.)
Unmarried Person hired for a Year.

Vide post pl.
11. & pl. 13.

ly to Cases that might happen after the Act, or to such also as had happened? Et per Cur'. To such only as may happen after the Act: It can have no Retrospect, but declares a Law for the future, notwithstanding the Words, declared and enacted. Adjudged upon a special Order.

Anonymus. Hill. 11 Will. III. B. R.

(7.)
Vagrant to be
sent to the
Place of his
Birth, and
from thence
by Order to
the Place of
his Settle-
ment.

IF H. being settled at A. becomes afterwards a Vagrant, some Justices have thought that to be a Determination of the Settlement; but I never could think so; for if H. be found a Vagrant within 39 Eliz. c. 4. He may be sent to the Place of his Birth, but then by 43 Eliz. c. 2. He may be sent from thence as a poor Person, to the Place of his last legal Settlement; for his being sent to the Place of his Birth, satisfies the Statute of 39 Eliz. and so both the Statutes stand together. Per Holt C. J.

Dominus Rex versus The Inhabitants of Audly. Mich.
12 Will. III. B. R.

(8.)
A standing
Rate cannot
be made, but
must be va-
ried by Cir-
cumstances.

AN Order of Sessions made upon an Appeal from a Poor's Rate, being removed into this Court by Certiorari; the Case was, on Sept. 1, 1665. a certain Rate was agreed to by the Inhabitants of the Parish of Audly; which had been followed ever since till the last Year, when a new Rate was made. Upon Appeal to the Sessions the new Rate was quashed, and the old one ordered to stand; and now it was objected, that it did not appear this was a Poor's Rate, being called a Parish-Levy, which might be as well for the Church as the Poor, and then the Justices had no Jurisdiction; Darnel, The Court will intend it. Holt C. J. Twysden used to say, If a particular Jurisdiction does not shew the Matter to be within its Authority, it must be taken to be out of it. Mr. Parker took another Exception, viz. That the whole Rate could not be quashed at the Complaint of one Man; and also that the old Rate, however just at first, might be unequal now, and therefore the Justices could not make a standing Rate, which last suit concessum per Holt C. J. for Lands may be improved. By 43 Eliz. the Rate must be equal; ergo it ought to be continually altered, as Circumstances alter. The Justices could not confirm an old Rate, and in this their Order is nought: And by him it was said in this Case, That tho' the Justices at Sessions need not give a Reason for their Order; yet if they give a Reason which is wrong, we must be guided by it, and quash the Order, because it appears to us to be no Reason.

I

Inter

Inter the Inhabitants of Mynton and Stony-Stratford.
Mich. 13 Will. III. B. R.

By Order of the Justices a poor Person was sent to Mynton: Mynton appealed to the Sessions, and the Order was discharged, and then by Order the Person was sent to Stony-Stratford, who appealed, and the Order was confirmed; and then by another Order the Person was sent back to Mynton. Et per Curiam. The last Order to send him to Mynton was illegal. Per Holt C. J. If on Appeal to the Sessions, an Order be discharged, that Judgment binds only between the Parties. But when upon an Appeal an Order is confirmed, that is conclusive to all Persons as well as to the Parties, for 'tis an Adjudication that this is the Place of the Party's last legal Settlement, which cannot be avoided by the Parish against whom it is made. It was also held, That a Parish in Reputation is liable, if there be Officers, i. e. Church-wardens.

(9)
Reversal on Appeal is final only between the Parties, but Confirmation is conclusive against all the World.
Vide ante Pa. 486, &c. Ib. & Pa. 524. 1 Vent. 310. Vide ante 492, 524. 5 Mod. 417. 6 Mod. 269, 287.

Anonymus. Pasch. 1 Ann. B. R.

HOSPITAL Lands are chargeable to the Poor as well as others; for no Man, by appropriating his Lands to an Hospital, can discharge or exempt them from Taxes to which they were subject before, and throw a greater Burthen upon their Neighbours. Per Holt C. J.

(10.)
Hospital Lands are chargeable to the Poor.

Inter the Parishes of Farringdon in Berks, and Witty in Oxfordshire. Pasch. 1 Ann. B. R.

S. C. Post. Pl. 13.

A Servant came into the Parish of S. was hired for a Year, and having served half a Year of the Time, married a Woman in the Parish of Witty; and the Question was, 1st, Whether the Justices on Complaint of the Church-wardens could make an Order to remove him to the Place of his last legal Settlement? 2dly, Whether his serving here would not gain a Settlement? To the first Point it was admitted, That the Contract between the Master and Servant was not dissolved by the Marriage; and that admitting it might be dissolved by an Order made on Complaint of the Master, yet without that, and upon Complaint of the Officers only, it could not be dissolved; therefore Broderick (of Counsel) admitted that the Justices could not in the principal Case so remove him, as that he could not come to serve his Master, but held he might be removed, so as that the Order should disturb him and prevent a Settlement; and this he said was a Medium

(11.)
Unmarried Person hired for a Year, marrying before the Year is expired, cannot be removed, and performing the Service, gains a Settlement.
Vide ante Pl. 6.

dium that would neither prejudice the Contract nor evade the Statute. He compared it to an Order to remove on 14 Car. 2. before forty Day's Stay; in which Case the very making of the Order obstructed a Settlement; and it may be executed after the forty Days. Holt C. J. and Powell contra, That an Order to disturb him and not remove him, was not within the meaning of the Act; disturbing him, without Power to remove, is vain; and this does not unsettle, nor is it like the Case of forty Days. 2dly, It was questioned, Whether such a Stay, &c. would gain a Settlement; because the Statute makes the Party's being unmarried, a Qualification as well as his Stay, viz. If any such Person, being unmarried, being hired, &c. Such Service, &c. So that the Words such Service goes to all, not only the Stay, but the State of the Party. To this Powell inclined, Holt C. J. contra; Such is only such Service, and the Marriage does not hinder the Service; the Contract continues; and suppose the Woman he marries be of the same Parish, shall not that gain a Settlement?

Inter the Inhabitants of the Parishes of Cumner and Milton in the County of Berks. Trin. 1 Ann. B. R.

(12.)
Father settled at A. removes to B. with his Children, and gains a new Settlement there, and so do the Children, tho' under the Age of seven Years.
Vide ante
427, 470.
Comber.
380, 381.
Mod. Cases
87. S. C.

UPON a special Order of Sessions the Case was, H. was settled at Cumner, and had several Children born there: Afterwards he removed to Milton, and gained a Settlement there, by renting a Tenement of the Value of 10l. per Annum. He became Poor, and his Children under the Age of seven Years were sent back to Cumner, by Order of two Justices, which was confirmed at the Sessions. Powell J. held, That when a Child is sent with the Parents by reason of Nurture, it gains no Settlement; but here the Children did not come to Milton by Order. The Children's Settlement shall not be divided from the Father, for that would be unnatural. When a Man gains a Settlement for himself, his Wife and Servants, he shall gain a Settlement for his Children also; but if a Widow having Children under the Age of seven Years, marries a Man of another Parish, the Children shall go with the Mother for Nurture, but after seven Years of Age they shall be sent back to the Parish where their Father was settled, for she cannot gain a Settlement for them in this last Parish, being under Coverture, and having a Settlement there her self only as part of her Husband's Family, from whom she cannot be severed. Holt C. J. Birth is a Settlement, and the first Settlement, and there must be another second Settlement by forty Days, &c. to alter the primary Settlement. A Child under the Age of seven Years is accounted a Nurse-Child. If a Child be put out to Nurse, or for Education, tho' it be above seven Years old,

old, it gains no Settlement thereby, as it was held in Sir Paul Jenkinson's Case. The Question here is, whether the first Settlement by Birth be altered? It is hard I confess to remove the Child from the Father. Gould J. The Child may be removed after the Age of seven Years, but not before; he is sent with his Father for Nurture only. Holt C. J. Suppose the Father and Mother come to A. and then go to the Parish of B. and within forty Days the Mother be delivered of a Child; the Child, tho' legitimate, shall be settled where it was born. The principal Case is fit to be well considered; the Father indeed ought to maintain his Children, but the Question is, Whether the Children by living with the Father gain a Settlement? The Justices cannot remove the Children from the Father till he fall to Decay. Afterwards this was moved again. Et per Holt C. J. The Question is, When the Father comes with his Children to Milton, and gains a Settlement there, whether that does not also give a new Settlement to his Children, and unsettle them as to Cumner, the Place of their Birth? If a Father be settled and die, his Wife being big with Child, and after that the Mother dies before she is delivered, and afterwards the Child is born, the Child is settled there by his Birth. In this Case the Settlement of the Father at Milton, is a Settlement to the Children. The Child is settled by Birth only, where 'tis an accidental Settlement. The Order was quashed.

Inter the Parishes of Farringdon and Wilcot.
Pasch. 2 Ann. B. R.

S. C. ante
Pl. 11.

H Being single, was hired for a Year; after he had served three Quarters of the Year, he married; and the Justices removed him to his Place of last legal Settlement. Et per Cur'. The Contract being good, the Justices have no Power to remove him from his Master before the End of the Year; for they cannot annul the Agreement between Master and Servant, unless it be upon Complaint of the Master. Settled or not settled, was not before the Court. But as to that, viz. Whether such Person serving out the Year would gain a Settlement? Holt and Gould held, the Word unmarried went only to the Hiring. Powell contra, That it went to the whole Service by reason of the Word such.

(13.)
Unmarried
Person hired
for a Year,
marrying be-
fore the Year
expired, but
performing
the Service,
gains a Set-
tlement.
Vide ante
Pl. 6.

Inter

Inter the Parishes of Little-Kire and Woolfall.

Trin. 2 Ann. B. R.

(14.)
Certificate-
man is not
removeable
till actually
chargeable.
Vide infra.

A Parishoner of the Parish of A. came to B. with a Certificate according to the late Act of Parliament, and the Justices reciting that Matter, and because he was likely to become chargeable to B. sent him back to A. Winnington moved to quash the Order; because he is not removeable till he is actually chargeable by the express Words of the Act 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 30. Et per totam Curiam, the Order was quashed, nisi. Mr. Broderick agreed the Exception, but said the Reason of the Removal was, because the Certificate was wrong, and that the Sessions have Authority in this Matter; for an Appeal lies to them within the Equity of 14 Car. 2. where a Certificate is made and signed by two Justices. Powell J. contra. If there was a Fault in the Certificate, it ought to appear to be the Reason why he was sent back, and the Justices at Sessions have not a Jurisdiction by Way of Appeal upon such a Certificate.

Inter the Inhabitants of Malden and Fletwick.

Trin. 2 Ann. B. R.

(15.)
Order of Re-
moval of Cer-
tificate-man
must adjudge
him to be
actually
chargeable,
ut supra.

A N Order was made reciting, That whereas Complaint has been made unto us by the, &c. that J. S. who is lately come into the Parish of, &c. with a Certificate according to 8 & 9 W. 3. is actually chargeable to the Parish; and quashed; for the Justices must adjudge him to be chargeable, or at least must say it appeared to them that he was so; but the Justices need not adjudge the Place that gives the Certificate to be the Place of his last legal Settlement.

Inter the Parishes of All-Saints and St. Giles in Northampton.

Trin. 1 Ann. B. R.

(16.)
Certificate
concludes
the Parish gi-
ving it, only
against the
Parish to
which it is
given.
Vid. Post 535.
Case of Ho-
niton contra.

UPON an Appeal a special Order was made, and the Case was, One was born at A. and came and lived at B. some Years, but never gained any Settlement there, then he removed to C. for Convenience of getting his Livelihood, and B. gave him a Certificate according to the late Act. The Man became chargeable, and was sent back to B. who found that he was last legally settled at A. and sent him thither. Et per Holt C. J. The Reason of the Act of Parliament about Certificates, was only to encourage Parishes where poor Persons were minded to go, to receive

ceive them; and therefore it enacts, That when the poor Person shall be chargeable, the Parish which gave the Certificate shall receive and provide for him as a settled Inhabitant, which Words lay an Obligation upon the Parish which gave him the Certificate to receive and provide for him against that Parish which they gave the Certificate to. But as to all other Parishes, they are as they were before, for the Conclusion is only by reason of the Words of the Act of Parliament, which extend only to the Parish to which he was sent; by Consequence the Conclusion can extend no farther. Powell J. This Way of giving Certificates was a Thing commonly practised before this Act of Parliament, and it was made only to oblige the Parish who gave the Certificate to receive him again of the other Parish to which the Certificate was given: But the Intent of that was not to make a new Settlement which was not before. But per Holt C. J. Such Certificate is a mighty Evidence before the Justices; and so is a Demand and Refusal of a Conversion, which yet being specially found, will not be a Conversion.

Tawny's Case. Hill. 2 Ann. B. R.

TAWNY being Overseer of the Poor of Little-Port in the Isle of Ely, laid out his Money in the Relief of the Poor, and was turned out of his Office by the Justices, before the End of the Year, by which means he lost the Opportunity of making a Rate to reimburse himself: Upon this he obtained a Mandamus directed to the Church-wardens and Overseers of the Poor, to make a Rate to reimburse him; Mr. Parker and Mr. Eyre argued, That there could be no such Charge, neither by Common Law nor by the Statute 43 Eliz. Et per Holt C. J. We cannot order the Parish or Overseers by a Mandamus to make a Rate to raise Money to reimburse an Overseer, but only to raise Money for the Relief of the Poor, nor can they make a Rate otherwise: The Act of Parliament is expressly so, and must be pursued: An Overseer is not bound to lay out Money till he has it; if he does, he must make a new Rate for the Relief of the Poor, and out of that he may retain to pay himself: Tawny should have done so; he trusted where he needed not have done it; he has not pursued the Means the Statute gave him, and we cannot relieve him. Et per tot. Curiam. The Mandamus lies not; ideo cassetur. Et nota per Cur'. The Church-wardens and Overseers may make a Rate of themselves. Weld and Page pro le Mandamus.

(17.)
No Mandamus lies to the Overseers to make a Rate to reimburse former Overseers.
Vide pag. 484. & ante pl. 5.
6 Mod. 97.
5 Mod. 179.

Rate must be for the Relief of the Poor.
Ante pl. 3.

Inter the *Parishes of Westbury and Coston:* Hill.
2 Ann. B. R.

(18.)
Bastard born
at A. pending
an Order of
Removal
from B. after-
wards rever-
sed, is set-
tled at B.
Vide ante
427, 485. &
528.

A Woman big with Child was removed by Order of the Justices from Westbury to Coston, and pending the Order before the next Quarter-Sessions, she was delivered of a Bastard-Child: Coston appealed, and thereupon the Order of the two Justices was reversed; but the Child was sent back to Coston, as the Place of its Birth. Et per Holt C. J. Tho' here be no Fraud, here was a wrongful Removal, and the Reversal makes all void ab initio: Fraud, or not Fraud, is not material in this Case; but the Settlement of the Child depends upon the Removal, for if that was wrong, they shall not ease themselves by it.

Tracy *versus* Talbot. Trin. 3 Ann. Coram Holt C. J.
At Nisi Prius.

(19.)
Assessments
for the Poor
ought to
be raised
monthly.

H. Took Part of a House in the Parish of D. on the 3d Day of Decemb. and was rated as an Inhabitant, and was distrained for a Quarter's Rate the Christmas following; but the Distress was taken before Christmas on a general Warrant made for the whole Year; and in Replevin upon Evidence it was ruled per Holt C. J. 1st, That if two several Houses are inhabited by several Families, who make and have but one common Avenue or Entrance for both; yet in respect of their Original, both Houses continue rateable severally, for they were at first several Houses; and if one Family goes, one House is vacant: But if one Tenement be divided by a Partition, and inhabited by different Families, viz. the Owner in one, and a Stranger in another, these are several Tenements, severally rateable, while they are thus severally inhabited; but if the Stranger and his Family go away, it becomes one Tenement. 2dly, That H. could not be rated for the whole Quarter, for Poor's Rates are to be assessed monthly by the Statute; and by this Means a Man cannot move in the Middle of a Quarter, but he must be twice charged. 3dly, That H. could not be distrained by Virtue of the general Warrant made before the Rate; but there ought to be a special Warrant on purpose. 4thly, That a Distress could not be taken for a Quarter's Rate before the Quarter was ended; but the Jury said the Custom was otherwise.

Domina Regina *versus* Hedges. Mich. 4 Ann. B. R.

AN Order was made at the Quarter Sessions upon Appeal: The Case was, Hedges, an Overseer of the Poor, accounted before two Justices, and this Account was allowed; the Parish appealed to the Quarter Sessions from this Allowance, and they disallowed the Account, and ordered him to pay so much over, which they adjudged to be in his Hands; and for not doing this, they committed him. Mr. Eyre moved to quash this Order, because by 43 Eliz. c. 2. sect. 4. they should have levied the Arrears by Distress and Sale, and in Default of Distress have committed him: And the whole Court agreed, That the Justices at the Sessions upon the Appeal must execute their Judgment in the same Manner as the two Justices must do, and that the two Justices must have sent their Process to distrain, and upon a Return to that, that there was no Distress, should have committed him. Then Darnel moved that it might be only quashed as to this Part: Quod fuit concessum per Cur'. Then Mr. Eyre objected to that, viz. That the first Order, as recited in the Order of Appeal for the Allowance of the Account, was not by two Justices Quorum unus; sed non allocatur: We cannot judge of that upon a Recital, so as that you may take Advantage of it; you must bring in that Order by Certiorari.

(20.)
Upon Appeal from Allowance of Overseer's Accounts, Sessions must execute their Judgment in the same Manner as two Justices ought to do. Vide ante 525. pl. 5, &c. ib. & 6 Mod. 77, 97.

Inter the Parishes of St. Bride's and St. Saviour's.
Hill. 4 Ann. B. R.

A Poor Person was sent from St. Bride's in London to St. Saviour's in Southwark, and upon an Appeal a special Order was made: The Case was, B. was bound Apprentice for four Years to J. S. and lived out these four Years at St. Bride's with him; J. S. was only a Lodger and had no Settlement there. And the Court held the Apprentice was well settled in St. Bride's, for he was not a Person removeable, nor does his Settlement depend on his Master, as that of a Wife on her Husband for a Settlement; but he gains a Settlement for himself within 14 Car. 2. by forty Days Inhabitation, and so of a hired Servant; but the Matter went off upon another Exception.

(21.)
Apprentice may gain a Settlement, tho' the Master has none. Ant. 479. pl. 28.

Jenkin's Case. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

(22.)
Order to re-
lieve his Fa-
ther till Ses-
sions order
the contrary,
good.

AN Order of Sessions was made, that the Defendant should pay 2s. per Week towards the Support of his Father, till that Court should order the contrary; which was held good, because it was indefinite, and no set Time limited; and if an Estate happened to fall to him, they might apply to the Justices; otherwise if a Time was limited.

Domina Regina versus The Inhabitants of Buckingham.

Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

(23.)
Nothing
makes a Set-
tlement
within 3 &
4 W. & M.
that is not
within the
very Words.
Vide ante
478, 523,
524. post 536.
1 Show. 12.
Comber. 282.
5 Mod. 330,
331, 454.

A Special Order was made, wherein the Case was; H. being a poor Person went to Buckingham, where he took Part of a House of W. T. at 2l. per Annum, and insisted when he took this Apartment, that he would pay no Taxes for it, but that the Lessor should; this was agreed to, his Rent being the more and the greater upon that Account: This appeared upon Evidence, and also that this Apartment before the Taking, and while he continued in it, was distinct from the rest of the House without Communication, and was taxed as a House of it self, and that the Tax was laid upon W. T. the Lessor; and that while H. lived there he took his Freedom in the Corporation, and once voted as a Freeman at the Election of Bailiffs for the Corporation. The Justices at the Quarter-Sessions adjudged this to be a good Settlement: But upon the Motion of Serjeant Broderick it was quashed: He insisted that since the explanatory Act 3 & 4 W. & M. nothing makes a Settlement within that Statute that comes not within the Words; an explanatory Act implying a Negative of any Thing else. Et per Holt C. J. and Powell J. Coming into a Parish and being taxed by the Parish, made a good Settlement without a Notice in Writing, within the Statute Jac. 2. But the Law is altered by 3 & 4 W. & M. and as to his Voting they could not take Notice that that implied a Settlement; for a bare Residence might, by the Constitution of the Corporation, entitle him to that, and his Voting was an Act that related to the Corporation, and not to the Parish.

*Inter the Inhabitants of the Parish of Dunsfold and
Ridgwick. Mich. 9 Ann. B. R.*

It appeared by a special Order, that one was hired as a Servant to live at Ridgwick for half a Year, and after that was hired again to live there for another half Year with the same Person, and thereupon served a Year in one continued entire Service, but by several Hirings: Sir Peter King urged, That here was a Service for a Year, and a hiring for a Year, tho' by several Contracts; and that the hiring need not be by one entire Contract, and that so it had been held; and he cited a Case, where H. took a Tenement of 5 l. a Year, and also another Tenement of 5 l. a Year, and occupied both, and this was held to be a Renting of a Tenement of 10 l. per Annum. Et per Cur'. It ought to be one entire Contract, and one entire Service; the one is required by the Statute as well as the other. If a Service under several Contracts shall gain a Settlement, one that serves by the Month, by the Week, or by the Day, may, if he continues a Year, gain a Settlement; one may hire by the Day for Charity; but there is Danger of being chargeable in hiring such a Person by the Year: For such a Term as a Year it is not supposed a Master would hire one, unless able of Body, and so a Person not likely to become chargeable. Also the Chief Justice observed, that by the Statute of Eliz. the Retainer of Servants was for a Year; that 14 Car. 2. requires forty Day's Stay, and that this was inconvenient, for by gaining a Settlement in forty Days, Servants grew insolent; and that these latter Acts, viz. 3 & 4 W. 3. c. 11. 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 30. do but turn the forty Days Service into a Year's Service, and the hiring to be a Retainer for a Year according to the Statute of Eliz.

(24.)
Two several
Hirings for
half a Year,
and Service
for a Year,
not sufficient
to gain a Set-
tlement.

*Inter the Inhabitants of the Parish of Honiton and
St. Mary-Axe. Mich. 9 Ann. B. R.*

Vide ante
530. con.

H. Came to Honiton with a Certificate from the Parish of A. after this he went to the Parish of B. and now being sent to the Parish of A. the said Parish offered to prove that he was settled at the Parish of St. Mary-Axe; and the Question was, Whether A. was bound by the Certificate as to Honiton only, or concluded as to all Parishes whatsoever? Et per Cur'. Before the Statute a Certificate was only an Evidence of a private Undertaking between the Parishes, in the Nature of a Contract; but now 'tis a solemn Acknowledgment, like the Confratance of a Fine: And thereby the Party is owned to be legally settled

(25.)
Certificate
concludes
the Parish gi-
ving it, as to
all the World.

Vid. the Case
of St. Giles's
in Nor-
thampton,
ante Pl. 16.
contra.

settled there, and that they will provide for him; and as all other Parishes on this Certificate are bound to receive him, so the Parish that certifies is concluded as to all other Parishes. And there is no Reason why it should differ from an Adjudication, since this is the Acknowledgment of the Parish signed by the proper Officers, and made before two Justices of Peace, who are proper Judges, and upon less Evidence could have adjudged it a Settlement, by which Sentence all Parties would be bound, and there is no Remedy but to repeal it.

Inter the Parishes of Evelin in Oxfordshire and Rentcomb in Gloucestershire. Hill. 10 Ann. B. R.

(26.)
Renting a
Mill of 10 l.
per Annum
gains a Set-
tlement.

AN Order was drawn up specially to have the Opinion of the Court, Whether renting of a Water-Mill of 10 l. per Annum would make a Settlement? Et per totam Curiam clearly, a Mill is a Tenement, and the Renting thereof must gain a Settlement within the Statute.

Vide ant. 492, 524. 1 Show. 12. Farell. 54. 5 Mod. 419.

Inter the Parishes of Gatton and Milwich. Hill.
10 Ann. B. R.

(27.)
Parish Clerk
nominated
by the Parson
is in for Life,
and gains a
Settlement.
Vide ante
478, 523.
1 Show. 12.

AN Order was drawn up specially for the Opinion of the Court; and the Question was, Whether one appointed Clerk of the Parish by the Parson, and executing the Office for a Year, should gain a legal Settlement within 3 & 4 W. & M. of which the Words are, viz. shall execute any annual Office or Charge? For it was objected, that this was not an annual Office. Mr. Lechmere contra: The Intent of the Act was, That no Office under an annual one should gain a Settlement, and majus continet in se minus. On the general Nomination to the Office of Parish Clerk he is in for Life. Powell J. His being put in by the Parson makes no Difference, no more than where the Constable is put in by the Leet, and not by the Parish; 'tis more than an annual Office, he is not removeable and has Fees. Eyre J. He is but a Servant to the Parson at Will: Where he comes in by Election, he has an Estate for Life by the Custom, but here is no Deed or Writing: How can he have an Estate for Life in this Office? Powell J. At that Rate he has not an Office at Will, for a Man cannot have an Office at Will without Deed. The Office of Church-warden was by Common Law, and yet that is for a Year without any Deed or Writing. So 'tis of a Parish-Clerk, he is by Common Law an Officer, and is in for Life without Deed; so ruled absente Parker C. J.

P O W E R S.

Winter *versus* Lovedore. Mich. 9 Will. III. B. R.

IN Ejectment a Special Verdict was found, That G. P. was seised of the Manor of M. and on the Marriage of his Son, settled the said Manor to the Use of himself for Life, Remainder to his Wife for Life, Remainder to his Son in Tail, with a Proviso, That he should have Power during his Life, and so the Wife after him, to demise the Premises in Possession, for one, two, or three Lives, or in Reversion for one, two, or three Lives, or thirty Years, or for any Number of Years determinable on one, two, or three Lives, so as such Demise be not of the Demesne Lands. G. P. reciting that J. S. and his Wife held a Copyhold Tenement for Life, demised the said Copyhold Tenement to the Lessee for thirty Years, to begin after the Death, Surrender, or Forfeiture of J. S. and his Wife; and the Question being, Whether this Lease of a Copyhold was pursuant to, and warranted by the Power? It was held in this Case by Holt C. J.

1st, That any Lease, to commence in futuro, is in some Sense a Lease in Reversion, as it is opposed to a Lease in Possession; but a Lease within such a Power must be construed of a Lease to commence in Possession after another Lease or Interest already created before the Reservation of the Power and not after; and that a Lease to commence after any other Lease, is properly a Lease in Reversion; but that a Lease for Life, to commence after another Lease in Being, is a concurrent Lease; because a Freehold cannot expect, but must commence in Possession presently; however, this may be said to be a Lease in Reversion within such Powers.

2dly, That G. P. might make a Lease in Reversion absolutely for thirty Years, by Virtue of this Power, because the Limitations and Restrictions are disjoined, and the latter Part is carried on by Way of Enlargement of the Power; to which Turtori and Eyre agreed, Rokeby dissentiente.

3dly, That this Lease was void, because it was of Copyhold Lands; for by a Grant of the Demesnes the Copyholds will pass; and by the same Reason, by excepting the Demesnes the Copy-

Lutw. 1464.
4 Mod. 265.
1 Mod. 318.
6 Co. 33.
8 Co. 70.
4 Co. 70.

S. C. 5 Mod.
244, 245, 1
378, &c.
Vid. 6 Mo. 204

(i.) Power to demise any Lands, except the demesne Lands of the Manor. Copyholds are within the Exception.

Vid Ray. 132.
Pop. 9.
Yelv. 222.
Moor 494.
2 Lev. 60,
149, 150.
1 Lev. 150,
241.
Carth. 427.
S. C.
1 Inst. 54.
Comber. 371.

holds are excepted; and the rather, because the Power is derived out of the Inheritance, and the Tenant at Will by his Leases might destroy the Copyhold, which is unreasonable; and if there were nothing else for the Power to work upon in this Case, he may by Virtue thereof demise the Rents and Services.

Lord Kilmurry contra Geery. Pasch. 12 Ann. In Canc'.

(2.)
Power to
charge Lands
with a Sum
of Money,
imports In-
terest there-
of also.
Vide Chan.
Rep. 18, 23,
281, 363, &c.

It was held, That if a Man has Power to charge Land with any Sum not exceeding the Sum of 3000 l. he may charge it with 3000 l. and the Interest besides; for the Intention is to charge the Premises with 3000 l. principal Money, and that of Course carries Interest, and none would lend such Sum on such Security, if the Law were otherwise.

P R E S C R I P T I O N.

Star versus Rookesby. Mich. 9 Ann. B. R.

Vide Title Fences, Vol. 1. pag. 335.

Pre-

Presentation, Admission, Institution, Induction.

Vide Hob.
302.
3 Leon. 47.
6 Co. 57.
1 Leon. 230.
2 And. 49.
Cro. El. 518.

Hele versus The Bishop of Exeter. Mich. 3 W. & M. B.R. S. C. 3 Lev. 313.
4 Mod. 134. Lutw. 1094. Parliament Cases, 88.

IN Quare Impedit the Bishop pleaded, That the Church became vacant on the 15th Day of April, and that the Plaintiff presented to him on the 19th Day of May following, one Hodder; That he examined Hodder, and found him personam minus sufficientem in literaturâ, ac eâ ratione fore personam inhabilem & minimè idoneam ad habend. beneficium cum curâ animarum, and therefore refused him on the 20th Day of June, and gave the Plaintiff Notice; and because no other was presented within six Months after the Avoidance, he collated the other Defendant (the Incumbent) who was instituted. The Incumbent pleaded to the same Effect. The Plaintiff replied, that Hodder, at the Time of his Presentation, was Vicar of another Church. That he was Homo literatus, and in Priest's Orders; that he was licensed to preach; and had celebrated Divine Service many Years, and was sufficientem literatus to celebrate the same. There was a Rejoinder and Surrejoinder (which were laid out of the Case) and thereupon a Demurrer. Et per Cur'. 1st, The Ordinary must examine in convenient Time, and after that, refuse in convenient Time; if he does not, he is a Disturber by his Delay. 2dly, If the Ordinary refuse, quia criminofus, he need not give Notice of his Refusal, for the Crime is as much in the Countenance of the Patron as of the Bishop, and in that Case the Laple shall incur from the Avoidance; but if he refuse, quia illiteratus, he must give personal Notice, and the Laple shall incur from the Refusal. 3 Cro. 119. 1 Lev. 31. Dy. 327. 2 Ro. 364. 3dly, That the Ordinary might well refuse him now, as unfit for this Cure, tho' he had been allowed for a former. 4thly, It was not determined what Learning was necessary; While the Liturgy was in Latin, that Language was undoubtedly necessary; now indeed the Liturgy is in English: But it was observed that 13 Eliz. c. 12. requires a Clerk should be able to answer his Ordinary, and give an Account of his Faith in Latin, according to the Articles. 5thly, The Court held this Pleading too general,

(1.) Where the Ordinary refuses quia insufficientem in literaturâ, he must shew in what particular.
Vide 3 Co. 57, 58.
1 And. 189, 190.
3 Leon. 198, 199, &c.
Cro. El. 242.
Hob. 296.
Carth. 311.
2 Lutw. 1094, &c.

Ordinary may examine and refuse; but it must be in convenient Time.

Must give Notice of his Refusal, if it be quia illiteratus; secus if criminofus.

because it was not shewn what Learning he was defective in; whereas it ought to be certain, because it is traversable; and if the Clerk die, to be tried by a Jury and the King's Courts to judge of it; and the Law will the rather require a Certainty, because this Answer is to disable a Man in his Profession, and prevent him of a Freehold. Judgment was given for the Plaintiff.

S. C. 3 Lev.
382, &c.
4 Mod. 190.
1 Show. 164.

Dominus Rex & Domina Regina versus The Bishop of London and Dr. Birch. Mich. 7 W. III. B. R.

(2.)
Where a new
Advowson is
created by
Statute, it is
subject to the
same Rules of
Law and Pre-
rogatives of
the Crown as
an old one.

Before the
Reforma-
tion the Pope
presented
upon Pro-
motion of the
Incumbent.

IN a Quare Impedit against the Bishop of London and Dr. Birch, for hindring to present to the Parish Church of St. James's, the Declaration set forth, That St. Martin's in the Fields being a great Parish, an Act of Parliament was made 1 Jac. 2. to take the Parish of St. James out of it, and make it a Parish of it self with Cure of Souls, and Dr. Tennison, who was then Vicar of St. Martin's, the first Rector; and appointing the Patronage after the Death of Dr. Tennison, to the Bishop of London and his Successors, and the Lord Jermyn and his heirs alternately: Virtute cujus Dr. Tennison was first Rector, and being so, was afterwards made Bishop of Lincoln; whereupon it belonged to the King and Queen to present by Prerogative; to this the Bishop demurred, and Dr. Birch pleaded the Statute of 25 H. 8. and that by Virtue of the said Act, the Archbishop of Canterbury gave Dr. Tennison a Dispensation to hold this Church in Commendam, which the King confirmed, from the 22d Day of October till the first of July following, &c. To this the Attorney-General demurred. 1st, It was objected for the Defendant that this Prerogative was unreasonable; but the Court answered, That since the King made the Church void, it was no hard Matter that he should be allowed to fill it, and the Patron was not much hurt, for it was only one Life exchanged for another; and Sir Giles Eyre held, That if a Parson be promoted to be a Bishop, the Church was void by the Common Law; but the Reason of it was not from the Incompatibility, because originally the Bishop was incumbent of the whole Diocese, and served the Cure by others; and the Court did admit that the Crown did not anciently exercise this Prerogative, but the Pope did by Usurpation; and that notwithstanding the Statute of Provisors 25 E. 3. the Pope would collate if the Incumbent was made a Bishop, 4 E. 3. 5. Owen 144. Then 7 H. 7. c. 8. was made against Provisors, but notwithstanding that the Pope went on. Vide Cotton 458. Mo. 399. 3 Cro. 5, 6. Owen 144. Win. 94. 2 Cro. 691. Dy. 228. which was but a sudden Opinion.

2dly, They held, That the King's Turn was not served by confirming the Commendam; for the Dispensation was only to save the Avoidance, and the Confirmation continued the Possession, but transferred no Right.

3dly, This being a new Patronage created by Act of Parliament, not in esse before, which appoints a particular Person to have the first Turn after the next Avoidance; it was objected, That no Body could say the King should present, when the Act said otherwise; and this was therefore a Case exempt from the Prerogative: But the Court held that the Act did not interfere with the Prerogative. If an Estate Tail is created by Act of Parliament, it is subject to such Bars as other Lands intailed are. The Wife shall be endowed of a new Estate. Vide 8 Co. The Prince's Case; à pari ratione a new Rectory shall be subject to the King's Prerogative.

Hob. 289.
5 Co. 24.
11 Rep. 67.

4thly, It was objected, That this new Church, as to Dr. Tension, was a kind of Donative, he coming in without Institution and Induction, and that the presentable Parsonage does not commence till after him by the Words of the Statute; and that in Case of a Donative, the Promotion of the Incumbent does not make a Cession.

Sed curia contra. For in Point of Estate, the Right of Presentation commences by the Passing of the Act immediately; but in Point of Interest, not 'till the Avoidance. Vide 3 Cro. 323. 10 Co. 107. 4 Mod. 190. Shower 164. 3 Lev. 352. S.C.

Ladd *versus* Widdows. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

S.C. 3. Inst. 140.

UPON a Motion by Serjeant Selby for a new Trial in a Quare Impedit, wherein the Point in Issue was, Whether the Church was Donative or Presentative? Evidence was pretended of several Presentations; and the Court, viz. Holt C. J. and Powell J. held, That tho' a Presentation might destroy an Impropriation, yet it could not destroy a Donative, because the Creation thereof was by Letters Patent, whereby Land is settled to the Parson and his Successors, and he to come in by Donation.

(3.)
Presentation
may destroy
Impropria-
tion, but not
a Donative.

*But see
Co. H. 344. a.*

Vide 1 Lev.
204.
Hale's P. C.
215 to 225.
Hawk. P. C.
310, 311, &c.

Principal and Accessary.

Domina Regina *versus* Nash. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

(1.)
Who is an
Aider and Af-
fiter in Deer-
stealing with-
in 3 & 4 W.
& M. c. 10.
N.B. Farell.
129 to 137.
Vide Cro.
Car. 340.

UPON a Conviction of Deer-stealing by Justices of Peace on the late Statute, the Question was, Whether one not present, but procuring, advising, and abetting, by lending his Gun, Dog, &c. before the fact, should be said to be aiding and abetting therein? Holt C. J. inclined, 1st, That he was not within the Words, not being actually present at the fact, because the Statute is to be construed strictly, for that it takes away the Privilege of a better Trial, viz. per pares. 2dly, Because it adds a farther Penalty to what was an Offence before: He said there might be an aiding and abetting before the fact, viz. by Advice, &c. or in the fact by being present; or after the fact, by abetting the Party. Vide Dy. 187. Co. Ent. 56. The other Judges held Aiders in the fact would be Principals, and then Aiders and Abettors would mean nothing. Quod Holt negavit, saying, All that are present may be said to be Principals as to an Action of Trespas, but not as to the Penalty of this Statute: And this Diversity is apparent in other Cases, for one aiding and assisting upon the Statute of Stabbing, shall have his Clergy, whereas a Principal shall not; so in the Case where two went to break a House, one broke it and entered, the other stood upon the Ladder and received the Goods; he that stood upon the Ladder shall have his Clergy, and the other shall not, being a Principal.

Vide Kely.
52, 53.
1 And. 116.

Domina Regina *versus* Whistler. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(2.)
Where Statute makes an Offence Felony, Accessories (properly) are within it, tho' not named; otherwise where it makes a particular Fact more penal.

ROLFE and others were convicted of Deer-stealing upon 3 & 4 W. & M. c. 10. and that Whistler was illicite & injuste auxilians & assistens præfat. Rolfe, &c. in illicita & injusta venatione & occisione Damæ præd. viz. persuadendo & incitando præfat. Rolfe to kill the same Deer, and lending Dogs to hunt and kill, and Horses to carry away the said Deer, contra formam Statuti; and whether this was an aiding and assisting within the Statute, was the Question. Powell, Powys, and Gould

Could Justices, held that it was; but Holt C. J. contra held, That the Conviction ought to be quash'd, for that where a Statute makes that Felony, which was not so at Common Law, Aiders and Abettors, according to the Notion of the Common Law, are within the Statute, tho' not expressed; but where an Offence at Common Law is only made more penal, Aiders and Abettors are not to be understood of such as aid before and after the Fact, but such as are present only: These were only Accessories at Common Law, and are not within the Act. Vide 1 Cro. 474. Dal. 11, 22. Postea in the same Case, Holt C. J. said, he held the same Diversity with this farther, that this is to be understood when an Offence at Common Law is made more penal by a particular Description of the Fact, and not under a general Denomination of the Crime; as if this Statute had enacted these Penalties on them, as Trespassers, as 'tis done by the Statute de Malefactoribus in parcis. Vide 1 And. 116. Hale 51, 216.

3 Cro. 750.
12 Co. 86.

Vide 1 Salk.
181, 182.

Privilege of Persons.

Vide 3 Lev.
345, 393.
Lutw. 196.
197, 641, &
Cro. El. 138.

1466. 1 Sid. 319. 2 Sid. 164. 1 Vent. 137.

Kirkham *versus* Wheely. Trin. 7 Will. III. B. R.

T An Action qui tam, the Defendant pleaded that he was an Attorney of the Court of Common Pleas, and that Attornies de C. B. were not suable elsewhere: To this the Plaintiff demurred, 1st, Because the Plea is only in the Negative, and no Jurisdiction is given to any other Court. 2dly, Because there is no Defence by Venit but Dicit only. Per Cur'. As to the Plea being in the Negative it is well enough; for the Privilege is not traversable and triable per Pais, but a Matter of Law of which we take Notice; and venit & dicit, or dicit only is a sufficient Defence in this Case, 14 H. 6. 14, 19.

(1.)
Plea to the
Jurisdiction
in the Negative is well
enough.

Defence by
venit & dicit, or dicit
only is well
in Plea to the
Jurisdiction.
Vide Prox.
Casum.

Stephens

Vide Prox.
Casum ante.

Stephens *versus* Arthur. Eodem termino.

(2.)
Defence.
3 Lev. 182.
1 Lutw. 7, &c.
2 Lutw. 1590.

The Defendant pleaded to the Jurisdiction thus, viz. Et idem Arthurus in propria Persona sua dicit, That he is a Clerk to a Prothonotary of the Common Pleas; and it was objected that he did not say, venit & dicit. Et per Cur'. Venit is no Part of the Plea, but dicit begins the Plea; dicit alone shews him to be in Court, and here it appears he is in Custodia.

Lane *versus* Saltmarsh. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)

An Attorney de C. B. being sued by Bill in B. R. Buxton moved he might be discharged: Denied per Cur'. For let him plead his Privilege.

Brown's Case. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(4.)
Philizers, &c.
3 Lev. 343.
2 Lev. 129.
1 Lutw. 44,
641, 1466.

A Philizer de B. R. was arrested per breve, but discharged on common Bail; for he ought to be sued by Bill, as praesens in Curia. Per Cur', absente Holt C. J.

Branthwait *versus* Blackerby, & al'.
Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(5.)
For a joint
Cause of
Action a-
gainst an At-
torney with
another Man,
both must be
arrested.
Vid. 1 Saund.
48, 69.

If a Man hath a joint Cause of Action against two, one an Attorney, and the other not, he must arrest both, and declare against them as in Custody. A Bill cannot be filed against a Person privileged in Vacation, for then he is not present in Court; and as to the Vacation, it begins the last Day of the Term, as soon as the Court rises. (Vide 2 Lev. 129. 1 Vent. 298.)

Note; The Bill must be filed, tho' the Attorney agrees to appear and dispense with it, but it may in such Case be filed afterwards. Pasch. 9 W. 3. B. R. Robert's Case.

Anonymus. Hill. 2 Ann. B. R.

(6.)
Privilege
eundo & re-
deundo.
Vid Ray. 100.
3 Lev. 343.

He came to confess an Indictment, and the Court held, that he had no Privilege, eundo & redeundo; because there was no Process against him.

Dillon *versus* Harper. Trin. 2 Ann. B. R.

S. C. 1 Salk:
328.
Farell. 106.

An Attorney de C. B. being sued in B. R. pleaded his Privilege, to which it was demurred specially, for not concluding his Plea with a Profert of a Writ of Privilege, testifying his being an Attorney, &c. Et per Holt C. J. The Difference is, if Privilege of an Attorney be pleaded with a Writ, the Defendant cannot be denied to be an Attorney; if without, he may, and then a Certiorari shall be awarded to certify whether he be an Attorney, or not.

(7.)
Where Privilege of an Attorney is pleaded with a Writ, his being an Attorney cannot be denied; otherwise if without.

Scawen versus Garret.

Tan Action in B. R. the Defendant pleaded, That he was an Attorney at the Common Pleas: On Demurrer Mr. Ward objected, That he ought to produce his Writ, and conclude with a prout patet per Recordum; and also that he had no Venue, alledging no Place where he was Attorney, nor where the Court of Common Pleas sits. Et per Cur. viz. Holt C. J. to which the rest assented. An Attorney may plead Privilege with a Profert of his Writ, if he will; or with an Exemplification of the Record of his Admission; or he may plead it as he does here, and it is well enough, for so are the Precedents, and the Plaintiff may reply nul tiel Record. Vide 1 Brown. 2. Thom. 4. Cliff. 570. Brevia judicialia 169, 172. Cited per Broderick. 3dly, There was no need of a Venue to try where he was Attorney, for it being a Matter concerning his Person, was triable where the Writ is brought; so in Trespass, if the Defendant pleads Son villain regardant, and the Plaintiff replies Frank home, it must be tried where the Writ is brought. As to the 3d, he wondered how that ever came to be allowed; for that this Court send Writs to the Chief Justice of the Common Pleas by that Name; And unless where this is held to be Part of the Description of a Record, it can never be necessary.

(8.)
Plea of Privilege of an Attorney of C. B. need not shew the Writ under Seal, nor a Venue, nor where the C. B. sits.
Vid. 1 Saund. 67.
Farell. 97.
6 Mod. 114.
5 Mod. 310.
1 Salk. 6.

Privilege of Jurors, Raym. 113, 144. 1 Lev. 159.

Privilege of the King's Servants, &c. Raym. 152, 180. 1 Lev. 233, 265.

Privilege of Place.

Privilege of
the Exche-
quer.

6 Mod. 305.

ante 511.

Post 550.

Pl. 12.

1 Lutw. 46.

Superfedeas
to a Suit in
the Exche-
quer, dum-
modo non
tangit nos.

PER Walter Chief Baron, the Causes of Privilege in the Exchequer are, 1st, If one be Informer for the King. 2dly, If one be Accountant to the King. 3dly, If one be Debtor to the King. 4thly, Where one is an Officer of the Court, or attends an Officer of the Court. Always where the Plaintiff is privileged, the Suit is by Quo minus; where the Defendant is Privileged, the Suit is by Bill. 31 H. 6. 10. 22 H. 6. 19. b.

A Writ was delivered to the Barons of the Exchequer in open Court, commanding them to stay a Suit between Wilson and Rookes, by dummodo non tangit nos vel, &c. and the Writ was disallowed, for that the Defendant might have pleaded that Matter to the Jurisdiction of the Court: It was admitted, That there is such a Writ in the Register, but there are several Writs there which no Usage or Precedent does warrant, of which this is one. Et per Walter Chief Baron, where one entitled to the Privilege of this Court, is sued in C. B. this Court sends a Superfedeas; but if it be in B. R. they do not send a Superfedeas, for that would be to supersede the King; but the Practice is, to send up the Writ-Book by the puisne Baron, and demand Privilege. Trin. 3 Car. In Scac.

Privilege of the King's Palace, &c. Vide 6 Mod. 73 to 76. 1 Mod. 76. 1 Sid. 211. 1 Lev. 106. 1 Vent. 169. 2 Mod. 181. 3 Inst. 141. Pryn's 4 Inst. 18, 19. Philipp's Regale Necessarium, Chap. 1. & 3. 1 Hawk. Cap. 21.

Prohibition and Consultation.

Hob. 300,
301.
3 Bull. 51.Shutter *versus* Friend. Hill. 1 W. & M. B. R. Rot. 39.S. C. 1 Show.
158, 172.
Vide Raym.
Carth. 142.

123, 189, 191, 219. Cro. El. 88, 666.

AN Executor being sued for a Legacy in the Spiritual Court, pleaded Payment, and offered to prove it by one Witness, which the Judge refused, and gave Sentence against him. Upon this Matter suggested, a Prohibition was moved for. Et per Cur. 1st, Where the Ecclesiastical Court proceed in a Matter merely Spiritual, if they proceed in their own Manner, tho' that is different from the Common Law, no Prohibition lies; as in Probate of Wills; there, if they refuse one Witness, no Prohibition lies. Noy 12. 2 Ro. 300. 2dly, Where they have Conuzance of the original Matter, and an Incident happens which is of temporal Conuzance, or triable by the Common Law, they shall try the Incident, but must try it as the Common Law would: Thus in a Suit for Tithes or for a Legacy, if the Defendant pleads a Release or Payment, or in a Suit to prove a Will, if the Defendant plead a Revocation. 1 Ro. Rep. 12. 2 Ro. Rep. 42. Yelv. 92. So in the Case at Bar, they shall try the Matter of Payment or no Payment, but then they must admit such Proof as the Common Law would, or otherwise they reject the Cause themselves, and ought to be prohibited. 3dly, A bare Suggestion, That the Defendant has but one Witness, and that they take Exceptions to his Credit and Reputation, is no Cause of Prohibition; for if they admit the Proof of one Witness, whether he be a credible Witness, or not, they shall judge, and the Party has no Remedy but by Appeal. 4thly, That it is not too late to come for a Prohibition after Sentence; for the Sentence in this Case is the Grievance. Consultation denied.

(1.)
Prohibition
to a Suit for
a Legacy, for
refusing
Proof of Pay-
ment by one
Witness.
Litch 117.
Moor Cas.
568.
Otherwise in
Probate of
Wills.
1 Lev. 164,
180.
2 Lev. 64.
Temporal
Incident
must be tried
according to
Rule of the
Common
Law.
Vide post pl.
11.
3 Mod. 283.
S. C.
6 Mod. 239.

Inter Starky and the Church-wardens of Watlington in
Suffex. Trin. 4 W. & M. B. R.

SUIT in the Spiritual Court for taking away two Bells out
of the Steeple, and a Prohibition was granted; for the
Church-warden is a Corporation, and the Property is in him,
and he may bring Trover at Common Law.

Vol. II.

T

Whar-

(2.)
Prohibition
to stay a Suit
in the Spi-
ritual Court for
taking Bells.

Sec 6 Mod.
11, 12, 25 &
79, 424, 131.

Wharton *versus* Pits. Trin. 4 & 5 W. & M.

1 Salk. 34, 35.

(3.)
Prohibition
to the Ad-
miralty de-
nied, unless
the Defendant
would appear
and give Bail.
1 Vent. 146,
543.

Raym. 3. 3 Mod. 244. Post 553.

A Suit was in the Admiralty against the Master and Ship which lay in the Thames, for heedlessly running over another Ship, and the Defendant there moved for a Prohibition: The Plaintiff informed the Court, that the Defendant would not appear, so that he could have no Remedy at Law; upon which the Court refused a Prohibition, unless the Defendant would appear and give Bail.

Harris *versus* Hicks. Hill. 4 & 5 W. & M. B. R.

(4.)
Prohibition
quoad annul-
ling Marriage
and bastardi-
zing Issue.
1 Salk. 120
to 123.
3 Lev. 410.
Lutw. 1059,
1075.
4 Mod. 182.
Carth. 271.
call'd Hinks
vers. Harris.

Lutw. 1037,
1045, 1053.

PROHIBITION was moved for to the Ecclesiastical Court of Coventry, where a Suit was against the Plaintiff for Incest, in marrying his first Wife's Sister, suggesting that the said second Wife was dead, and by his said Wife he had a Son, to whom an Estate was descended as heir to his Mother, and that notwithstanding that he had pleaded this Matter, they went on to annul the Marriage and bastardize the Issue. Et per Cur'. A Prohibition shall go as to annulling the Marriage or bastardizing the Issue, but they may proceed to punish the Incest.

Hawkin's Case. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(5.)
Prohibition
to a Suit for
calling H.
Knave.
Vide Far. 31.
Post 692,
693.
2 Lev. 49, 63,
66.
3 Lev. 17,
119, 137, 350.

H. libelled in the Spiritual Court for calling him a Knave, a Knave, and a Knave indeed; and a Prohibition was granted, because nothing was said that could make him liable to Ecclesiastical Censure. The Statute de circumspete agatis mentions only such Defamations as are punishable in the Spiritual Court; and for such as the Spiritual Court cannot punish, the Party shall not be liable there: The Reason why the laying violent hands upon a Clergyman was there suable, was, because the Clerk having habitum & tonsuram, which made him known, 'twas an Affront to the whole Order.

(6.)
Prohibition
may be grant-
ed after Sen-
tence where
Cause is not
of spiritual
Conusance;
otherwise for
citing out of
the Diocese.
Vide Faresl.
137, 148.

Gardner *versus* Booth. Trin. 10 Will. III. B. R.

WHERE it doth appear in the Libel, or by the Proceedings in the Cause, that the Conusance of the Cause does not belong to the Spiritual Court, a Prohibition may be moved for and granted after Sentence; and this holds in all Cases but where one is sued out of his Diocese; for there, if he doth not take Advantage of it before Sentence, he shall not have

a Prohibition after Sentence; ratio est, because the Cause doth belong to the Spiritual Court; and tho' it doth not belong to that Spiritual Court, it belongs to some other, and not to the King's Temporal Court.

1 Show. 138, 172. 6 Mod. 251. 1 Sid. 65, 652. N. B. 2 Lev. 230.

Bishop of St. David's Case. Pasch. 11 Will. III. B. R. (7.)
Vide *this Case*, Title Bishops, Archbishops, &c.
Part 1. pag. 134.

Godfrey versus Llewellyn. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

WHERE the Matter suggested for a Prohibition appears upon the Face of the Libel, we never insist upon an Affidavit; but unless it appear upon the Face of the Libel, or if you move for a Prohibition as to more than appears on the Face of the Libel to be out of their Jurisdiction, you ought to have Affidavit of the Truth of your Suggestion. Per Holt C. J. & postea Trin. 12 W. 3. B. R. Vide 2 Co. 45.

(8.)
Where Matter of the Suggestion does not appear on Libel, Affidavit is necessary. Hob. 79. Ante 461. 1 Lev. 253.

Machin versus Maultin. Mich. 11 Will. III. B. R.

IN a Declaration in Attachment for Prohibition, the Case was, That the Plaintiff lived in Nottingham within the Province of York, and there subtracted Tithes, and then removed into Lincolnshire within the Province of Canterbury: Afterwards he happened to go to York, and was sued there in the Court of the Archbishop, for the Subtraction aforesaid, and had a Prohibition on 32 H. 8. c. 9. for citing him out of his Diocese. But at last, after Debate, a Consultation was awarded; for that the Subtraction of Tithes is local, and must be sued before the Ordinary of that Place where the Wrong was done; otherwise in Cases transitory, ubi forum sequitur reum: And as it was argued by the Counsel, this is not citing out of his Diocese within the Statute, because the Diocese where he lives has not a Jurisdiction; and if he might not be cited in this Case, the Thing would be remediless and unpunishable. Vide Godb. 191. 1 Ro. Rep. 328. 1 Cro. 97. 13 Co. 4. Winch. 570. 2 Ro. Rep. 448. 3 Mod. 211. 2 Brown. 12, 28.

(9.)
H. subtracts Tithes in one Diocese and removes into another. Afterwards being found in the first, is there cited and sued, and a Consultation awarded. Subtraction of Tithes local. Raym. 95. Lutw. 1043, 1057, 1062, 1071. 5 Mod. 540. S. C. N. Lutw. 335.

Jones versus Stone. Trin. 12 Will. III. B. R.

(10.)
Suit in the
Ecclesiasti-
cal Court a-
gainst the
Vicar of S.
for not per-
forming Di-
vine Service
in the Cha-
pel of C. as
bound by
Custom, Pro-
hibition de-
nied.

Farell. 88.
6 Mod. 230.
F.N.B. 51. b.

DAVID JONES, the Vicar of N. was libelled against in the Spiritual Court, for that by Custom Time out of Mind, the Vicars of S. had by themselves, or others, said and performed Divine Service in the Chapel of Chawbury, for which there was such a Recompence; and that he neglected. The Defendant came for a Prohibition, and without traversing this Custom, suggested that all Customs were triable at Common Law: And Mr. Harcourt urged, That it was enough for a Prohibition, that a Custom appeared to charge the Vicar with a Duty for which he was not liable of common Right. Et per Holt C. J. A Parson may be bound to an Ecclesiastical Duty by Custom, and when he is bound by Custom, the Spiritual Court may punish him if he neglects that Duty; the Custom might have a reasonable Commencement by Composition in the Spiritual Court, and begin by an Ecclesiastical Act: And a bare Prescription only is not a sufficient Ground for a Prohibition, unless it concerns a Layman; whereas here it is an Ecclesiastical Right, an Ecclesiastical Person and an Ecclesiastical Duty, and the Prescription not denied; notwithstanding 2 Inst. 491. I never could get a Prohibition to stay a Suit in the Spiritual Court against a Parson for a Pension by Prescription. Vide 1 Vent. 3, 120, 265.

Sir Basil Firebrass's Case.

(11.)
Prohibition
granted to a
Suit in Equi-
ty for Disco-
very of Mat-
ters to make
the Defen-
dant forfeit
his Freehold.
4 Leon. Caf.
261.

Vide ante pl. 1. Raym. 88, 221.

HAS chief Ranger of Enfield-Chase, and now a Bill was exhibited against him in the Chancery of the Dutchy for a Discovery of what Deer he had killed, and what Timber, Wood, &c. he had felled and cut down, and by what Warrant, and to show Cause why his Patent should not be repealed. And to this Suit a Prohibition was granted nisi; for he shall not be obliged to answer upon his Oath, what is to make him forfeit his Place, but it ought to be proved against him.

Earle versus Paine. Mich. 12 Will. III. B. R.

(12.)
Trespass in
B. R. for ta-
king Wines
staid by Or-
der of Ex-
chequer, an
Information
of Seizure
depending
there.

PAINE, a Custom-house Officer exhibited an Information of Seizure of a Hoghead of Wine belonging to Mr. Earle, and seized for not paying Custom. Earle neglected to come in and enter his Claim in the Exchequer, but in the mean Time brought Trespass in B. R. against Paine. Upon Motion the Barons ordered the Proceedings in the King's Bench should be stayed,

ed, and the Cause there removed into the Exchequer in the same State and Forwardness: Earle was served with the Order, but notwithstanding gave Rules for pleading; whereupon an Attachment was issued by the Exchequer against him; whereupon he moved here for a Prohibition to the Court of Exchequer. And a Rule was made to hear Counsel; on the Argument several Precedents were cited, one in 19 H. 7. Rot. 16. exactly like this. The Court took Time to consider of the Precedents, and in the mean Time the Matter was compounded. Vide Reg. 187. 2 Inst. 551.

Exchequer
Privilege.
Vid. ante 546.

Anonymus. Hill. 12 Will. III. B. R.

IN the Bishop of Winchester's Case, 2 Co. 45. It is held that in a Suit for Tithes in the Spiritual Court, H. may have a Prohibition, suggesting a Prescription of Modus, before or without pleading. But this seems not to be Law, for 12 W. 3. B. R. a Prohibition was moved for, suggesting a Custom, &c. Et per Holt C. J. and the Court it was denied, unless they pleaded it below, because perhaps they might admit the Plea. Also 10 W. 3. B. R. it was said by Holt C. J. That if a Modus be pleaded in the Spiritual Court and admitted, no Prohibition shall go; but if the Question be, whether a Modus or no Modus, a Prohibition shall go, and so is the Law, viz. Where-ever the Matter which you suggest for a Prohibition is foreign to the Libel, you must plead it below, before you can have a Prohibition; otherwise where the Cause of Prohibition appears on the Face of the Libel.

(13.)
Prohibition
shall not go
for a Modus
or other fo-
reign Mat-
ter, unless
it be pleaded
below.
Post 656, 657.

Jacob *versus* Dallow. Pasch. 1 Ann. B. R.

S. C. Farell. 8.
6 Mod. 230.
5 Mod. 436.

THE Plaintiff declared in a Prohibition, setting forth a prescriptive Right in the Plaintiff and those whose Estate he had, &c. to a Seat in the Church, and that the Defendant surmising a Usage Time out of Mind, had libelled against him in the Spiritual Court, for disturbing him in sitting there; and shews that he had denied the Usage in the Spiritual Court, and the Judge had refused to allow his Plea: The Defendant traversed the Plaintiff's Prescription, and pleaded his own Usage; upon which there was a Demurrer: Mr. Eyre urged, That tho' the Plaintiff had by his Demurrer confessed his Prescription to be false, and by Consequence that he had no Right to the Seat; yet the Defendant grounding his Libel below upon a Custom which is not triable there, he could not have a Consultation. Vide Herl. 94. Noy 78. 2 Jo. 3. Holt C. J. held, That if the Plaintiff had not a Title by Prescription, he ought not to di-

(14.)
H. having a
prescriptive
Right to a
Seat in a
Church, and
being di-
sturbed, may
sue in the
Spiritual
Court to have
his Possession
quieted.
Vid. Lutw.
1032.
5 Mod. 69,
70, 71.
1 Keb. 437.

sturb

sturb the Possession of the Defendant; and the Ordinary hath Conulance of such Disturbance, and may settle it according to Usage and Possession, unless there be a temporal prescriptive Title hurt by their Sentence; and the Defendant might well sue in the Ecclesiastical Court to have his Possession quieted, and might admit his Prescription to be tried there, as a Defendant does a Modus or a Pension by Prescription.

S. C. Farell.
78, 79, &c.

Galizard *versus* Rigault. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

(15.)
Prohibition
to a Suit a-
gainst H. for
Solicitation
of Chastity;
H. having
been con-
victed on In-
dictment for
Assault with
Intent to ra-
vish.
2 Lev. 63.

Palm. 379.
Post 692, 693.
1 Cro. 286.
1 Mod. 31.
2 Keb. 589.
1 Vent. 53.
Cro. Car. 393.
1 Sid. 438.

INDICTMENT was for assaulting, beating, wounding, and endeavouring to ravish the Wife of B. upon which the Party was convicted, and afterwards the Husband brought an Action of Trespass for the same Cause; and now the Party being also libelled against in the Spiritual Court for the same Fact, viz. for soliciting her Chastity, moved for a Prohibition to the Proceedings in the Spiritual Court; and it was urged for the Jurisdiction of the Spiritual Court, That they may punish for the Solicitation and Incontinence, and that this Suit was pro salute animæ, the other for Fine and Damages. Sed per Cur', a Prohibition was granted; for it being an Attempt and Solicitation to Incontinence, coupled with Force and Violence, it does by reason of the Force, which is temporal, become a temporal Crime in toto; as if one say, Thou art a Whore and a Thief, or Thou keepest a Bawdy house, which are temporal Matters, the Party shall not proceed in the Spiritual Court; whereas, if it were only, Thou art a Whore, a Libel lies in the Spiritual Court. So if it be said of a Woman that she is a Bawd only, and not that she keeps a Bawdy House. But per Holt C. J. If one commit Adultery, and the Husband bring Assault and Battery, this shall not hinder the Spiritual Court, for 'tis a criminal Proceeding there, and no Indictment lies at Common Law for Adultery. 1 Roll. Abr. 295. 2 Inst. 488.

Partridge's Case. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

(16.)
Prohibition
to Probate
of Will of
Lands and
Goods, upon
Suggestion
of Non Com-
pos, denied.
Mod. Cases
239.

A Prohibition was pray'd to the Spiritual Court to stay the Probate of a Will, which contained a Devise of Lands and several Legacies, suggesting this Matter, and that the Testator was Non compos; and the Marquess of Winchester's Case, 6 Co. 23. was relied upon: But the Court denied that Case, and said, That the Stat. of H. 8. never intended to lessen the Jurisdiction of the Ecclesiastical Court as to the Probate of Wills. And to grant a Prohibition might be inconvenient, for without Probate the Executor cannot sue for Debts, which by this Means

Means may be lost, and the Will unperform'd. As for granting it quoad the Land, it would be vain (Vide 2 Ro. 315. 1 Sid. 141. this was the Practice heretofore) because it is no Evidence either pro or con in any Court of Law, but a Proceeding coram non iudice; yet it is good as to the Goods.

Matthews *versus* Burdett. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R. Vide (17.)
this Case, Title Universities and Schools. S.C. ante 412.
Post 672.

Chambers *versus* Sir John Jennings. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R. S. C. Farell.
125.

A Suit by Libel was in the Court of Honour for these Words; viz. You a Knight? You a pitiful inconsiderable Fellow. And a Rule was made to shew Cause why there should not be a Prohibition: Against which it was urged, That there would be no Remedy in this Case, if this was not allow'd: Holt C. J. doubted whether there was or could be any such Court; but said a Prohibition would lie to a pretended Court; and after no one Precedent could be found of such a Suit for Words in the Court of Honour, the Prohibition went absolutely.

1 Keb. 310, 316, &c. 2 Hawk. 9, 10. Lutw. 1053.

Anonymus. Hill. 2 Ann. B. R.

PROHIBITION lies for denying a Copy of the Libel to any Ecclesiastical Court; Nam jura Ecclesiastica sunt limitata; and the Party ought to know whether the Matter be within their Jurisdiction, and how to answer. 1 Ro. Rep. 337. Dighton's Case. Et Mich. 2 Ann. B. R. 'Twas said by Holt C. J. That it was formerly held by all the Judges of England, that when there was a Proceeding ex officio in the Ecclesiastical Court, they were not bound to give the Party a Copy of the Articles; but the Law is otherwise: For in such Case, if they refuse to give a Copy of the Articles, a Prohibition shall go quousque it be given; and accordingly a Prohibition was granted per Cur'. Nota tamen Pasch. 11 W. 3. B. R. Hall moved for a Prohibition to the Admiralty for refusing a Copy of the Libel; and it was denied per Holt C. J. Quia n'est deins le Stat.

(19.)
Prohibition lies to the Spiritual Court in any Suit for denying a Copy of the Libel, but not to Admiralty. See 6 Mod. 156. Hob. 79, 213. 3 Bulst. 51. Ante 548. Pl. 3. 1 Vent. 252. 6 Mod. 308. 1 Rol. R. 337.

Vide 6 Mod.
261.
Post 656.

(20.)
Suggestion in
Case of
Tithes must
be prov'd
within six
Kalendar
Months from
Tesse of
Prohibition.
Cro. Car. 208.
Stat. 2 E. 6.
c. 13. §. 14.

Foy *versus* Lister. Trin. 4 Ann. B. R.

On a Modus suggested to pay every tenth Day's Milk, from April to November, skimmed and then made into Cheese, in lieu of all Tithe of Milk, a Prohibition was granted to try the Modus, and settle the Matter; and upon speaking to the Goodness of the Modus, these Cases were cited. 3 Cro. 609. Mod. 909. Latch 226. 1 Ro. 649. N. 17. 648, 649. At last Mr. Eyre came and shewed, That the Prohibition was tested the 29th Day of November, and that six Months, i. e. Kalendar Months (as they ought to be. Noy 30. Hob. 179. Litt. Rep. 19.) were expired the 25th Day of May last, and therefore he moved for a Consultation, because in all that Time they had not proved their Suggestion; and had it upon the Statute 2 Ed. 6. And the Court agreed, That the Statute extends to Suits for small as well as great Tithes, and that the six Months are to be computed from the Tesse of the Writ; and the Case in Moore 573. wherein it is said there must be six Months in Term-time, was denied. For proving Suggestions, Vide Co. Ent. 462, 463, 4. Consultations for not proving them, Vide Asht. 444. Thes. Brev. 80. Note; The Entry in Ashton is ill in the Award of the Costs, for there ought to be a Judgment. Yelv. 119. 1 Brownl. 98.

P R O O F.

Vide Tit. E-
vidence and
Witnesses.
1 Salk. 278,
281, 286.
Post 691.
Farell. 129.

Bredon *versus* Gill. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

ON an Appeal from the Commissioners of Excise to the Commissioners of Appeals, according to 12 Car. 2. c. 23. the Question was, Whether the Depositions of the Witnesses and their Examination written down by the Clerk of the Commissioners of Excise, should be allowed to be read in Evidence on this Appeal? Or whether the Witnesses should not be brought in again personally, and be examined viva voce? The Commissioners of Appeals thought the Depositions sufficient, and proceeded thereupon, and a Prohibition was moved for, but denied at first, because this had been the Course ever since the Statute, and it was a summary Proceeding: That it would occasion Trouble and Delay to the Revenue to bring in all the Witnesses again; and it was but proper the Commissioners of Appeals should have just the same Evidence the first Commissioners had: So it is in an Attaint, and the Law does not make viva voce Evidence necessary, unless before a Jury. In other Cases Depositions may be Evidence: If it were not so in this Case, they would be to try the Matter de novo, instead of trying an Appeal. Sed postea Pasch. 9 W. 3. B. R. mutata opinione, the Court held that the Commissioners of Appeal ought to examine the Witnesses de novo on the Appeal, that it was the Intent of the Act, and the Commissioners had a Power given for that Purpose, to administer Oaths: That this was just, because the first Sentence might be by Default, or the Depositions might misrepresent, or not represent the whole Case; and that on Appeals upon Orders of Justices, Examination is always de novo. And a Prohibition was granted; but Holt C. J. said, his private Opinion was, That if the Witnesses were dead, they might use their Depositions.

Commissioners of Appeals cannot proceed upon the Depositions taken before Commissioners of Excise, but must examine the Witnesses de novo, unless dead.
See 5 Mod. 9, 277.
1 Chan. Caf. 73, 175, 236.
2 Chan. Caf. 260.
1 Salk. 281, &c.
5 Mod. 211, 386.
6 Mod. 225.

Vide Farell. 129. The Transfer-Books of the East-India Company allow'd as Evidence, &c.

Vide 7 Co.
17. b.
Kelw. 39.
4 Leon. Cas.
261.
2 Leon. 36.
11 Co. 82.

P R O P E R T Y.

Sutton *versus* Moody. Mich. 9 Will. III. B. R.

H. has the
Property of
Things ferae
Naturae,
kill'd in his
own Ground.

Vide 11 Co.
17. b.

Et post 637,
667.

Cro. Car. 553.
Comber. 458.

S. C.
5 Mod. 375.

S. C.
1. 6. 12. 11. 12.

11. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

31. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

Raym. 250.

THE Plaintiff brought Trespass for breaking his Close and hunting there, & Centum cuniculos suos adtunc & ibidem invent. occidit, cepit & asportavit; Verdict was for the Plaintiff, and entire Damages: And it was objected in Arrest of Judgment, That H. cannot have a Property in Conies which are ferae naturae, unless on a special Account; as if he has a Warren of them, then he may say Quare Warrenam suam fregit & cuniculos suos cepit. Vide 1 Cro. 553. F. N. B. 87. Reg. 93. b. 1 Brownl. 167. Curia contra; for a Warren is but a Franchise to keep them; and notwithstanding that, the Owner has no more Property in the Conies themselves than any Man that has them in his own Land; if H. starts a Hare in my Close, and kills her there, 'tis my Hare; otherwise if he hunts her into the Ground of a third Person, then 'tis the Hunter's. Judgment pro Quer.

Quan-

Quantum Meruit.

See 1 Salk.
330.
Post 597.

Snow *versus* Firebrass. Mich. 12 Will. III. B. R. Ante 439.

THE Plaintiff declared, That the Defendant in Consideration that the Plaintiff had found him sufficient Meat, Drink, Washing and Lodging, pro diversis mensibus ultimo præteritis, promised to pay him as much as he should deserve, and averred that he deserved so much: Upon Non Assumpsit pleaded, and Verdict for the Plaintiff, it was moved in Arrest of Judgment, that the Declaration was short and incertain as to the Time or Number of Months: Sed per Holt C. J. The Incertainty as to the Length of Time, or Number of Months, can do no more Harm than Incertainty as to the Things, which has been often adjudged not to vitiate. It is enough to aver how much he deserved. Judgment pro. quer'. (1.) Quantum meruit for Meat, Drink, &c. uncertain as to Time, well.

See 1 Show.
342.

Glover *versus* Rogers. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

PLAINTIFF declared that the Defendant, in Consideration that the Plaintiff's Testator had transported for him such and such Merchandizes, promised to pay the Plaintiff's Testator tantas denar. summas pro transportatione Merchandiz. prædict. rationabiliter habere meruisset, and avers that he deserved so much. Upon Non Assumpsit, Verdict was for the Plaintiff, and Judgment in C. B. and now a Writ of Error was brought thereupon in B. R. and objected that the Word Quantum was wanting, and it is not said who had deserved, and he avers he deserved so much for Transportation of Merchandizes. But the Court affirmed the Judgment: Tantum is enough, viz. The Defendant promised him to pay him so much he deserved; and meruisset signifies as much as ipse meruisset, and there being but two Persons in the Record it could be no Body but the Transporter, and they must have been the same Merchandize for which the Promise was made, or otherwise the Plaintiff could not have had a Verdict; which has cured the Want of Prædict'. (2.) Quantum omitted, but held well.

Vide Farell.
106.

Moverley *versus* Ley. Hill. 4 Ann. B. R.

(3.)
To be taken
according to
the Intent of
the Parties.

ASSUMPSIT, and declares quod cum the Defendant, in Consideration that the Plaintiff would provide him Meat and Drink, promised to pay him as much as the Plaintiff habere meruit, and avers that he deserved so much: There were also other Counts. Non Assumpsit was pleaded, and Verdict for the Plaintiff, and intire Damages: Upon Motion in Arrest of Judgment it was objected, that meruit should have been meruerit. The Court held at first, That this was not False Latin, but False Sense, which is not cured by a Verdict; and tho' a Dash would help it, yet they could not by Intendment supply a Dash, for that was to make another Word. This was moved several Times, and having rested two Terms, the Court gave Judgment for the Plaintiff, saying, they must take the Words of the Declaration to be the very Words of the Promise; as if the Words of the Promise had been put in Writing thus, by the Parties under their Hands, in which Case the Court ought not to pursue a grammatical Sense or Construction, which makes the Promise void; but to construe it so as to make the Parties mean somewhat, as it's plain they did, and that was to pay tantum, quantum habere meruerit.

Farell. 106.

Quare Impedit.

[*Vide Title* Presentation.]

Vide 1 Salk.
43, 44.
Lutw. 1084,
to 1130.

Berkeley *versus* Hanford. Mich. 3 W. & M. B. R.
Rot. 569.

IN a Quare Impedit against A. and B. and the Bishop. A. and B. made Title, and the Bishop pleaded that he claimed nothing but as Ordinary. The Bishop died, A. came and surmised this upon the Roll, and prayed the Plaintiff might reply; upon this an Entry was made, Quod prædict. quer. licet solemniter exactus non venit, nec est prosecutus breve suum præd. ideo consideratum est, &c. & breve Episcopo. Upon this a Writ of Error was brought, and the Judgment was affirmed; for it is a Nonsuit after Appearance, which in a Quare Impedit is peremptory. Vide 7 Co. 27. b. 2 H. 4. 1. b. 14 H. 7. 19. b.

(1.)
In Quare Impedit Nonsuit after Appearance is peremptory. Co. Lit. 139. a.

Dominus Rex & Domina Regina *versus* the Bishop of London and Dr. Lancaster. Trin. 5 W. & M. B. R.

S. C. 3 Lev. 377, & 382.
4 Mod. 200.
Parliament Cases 164.

IN the great Case of the Quare Impedit for the Church of St. Martins in the Fields, the Defendant prayed Oyer of the Writ, and pleaded in Abatement Variance between the Writ and the Count; the first being Quæ ad nostram donationem spectat, the latter Quæ ad suam donationem spectat jure Prærogativæ. And on Demurrer it was objected, that the Title by the Writ is a Title in Fee, this in the Count is only a Turn pro hac vice; sed non allocatur; for the Precedents are so, and the Writ is always general. Shower pro def. Suppose then the King should have Judgment by Default, and a Writ to the Bishop, would this gain a general Title to the Crown, and become a Usurpation? Holt C. J. No; for where the King hath Judgment by Default in a Quare Impedit, he, as well as a Subject, must by Suggestion on the Roll set forth his special Title. Respondeas ouster awarded.

(2.)
In Case of a Prærogative Turn the Writ is general, quæ ad nostram spectat donationem. 1 Shower 441.

Dominus

Dominus Rex versus The Bishop of Chester, Pierce and Cook. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
King's Grant
of an Ad-
vowson.
Vide Hob.
143.
3 Co. 4, 5.
10 Co. 101.
Owen 43.
1 Leon. 21,
201, 277.
6 Co. Dough-
ty's Case.
5 Mod. 287,
335, 336.
Carth. 440.
S. C.
Parl. Cases
212.
3 Lev. 377.
4 Mod. 200.
Hob. 224,
230.
Mo. 413.
2 And. 32,
36, 154.

In a Quare Impedit the Plaintiff declared, That *M.* Elizabeth, on the 14th Day of February, in the 12th Year of her Reign, was seised of the Advowson of Bedel, ut de uno grosso, and presented Syms: That the Queen died, and it descended to *R.* James, and he was seised ut de grosso: So to *R.* Charles, and that he was seised ut de grosso, and presented Wickham, and that afterwards Wickham died, and one *J.* Peirce non habens jus sed usurpando presentavit Metcalfe: That *R.* Charles I. died seised, and it descended to *R.* Charles II. &c.

Defendant pleads that *R.* Charles I. was seised in gross; but that he after his Presentation of Wickham, by Letters Patent granted the Advowson aforesaid to one Thackston, adtunc Armigero, postea Militi.

Et quod prædicto tempore quo Peirce is supposed to have usurped super Dominum Regem, ipse idem Peirce usurpavit super dict. W. Thackston, and presented Metcalf. Et quod postea the said Thackston released the Advowson and his Right therein, to him the said *J.* Peirce and his Heirs, and traverses the dying seised of *R.* Charles I.

The Attorney-General prayed Oyer of the Letters Patent, which, reciting that *M.* Elizabeth, in the 13th Year of her Reign, granted the Manor of Bedel with the Advowson adinde spectan. to the Earl of Warwick, and that the said Manor was come to Sir W. Thackston, Knt. Sciatis igitur nos dedisse & concessisse præfato Will'o Thackston mil. advocacionem Ecclesiæ prædictæ, and thereupon demurred to the Plea. Judgment was given in C. B. for the King, and a Writ of Error brought; and thereupon it was objected, That the Advowson which the King meant to pass, was an Advowson which *M.* Elizabeth granted to the Earl of Warwick, and that the said Grant to the Earl of Warwick was void; for the Queen, Anno 12 Regni sui, being seised of this Advowson ut de grosso, could not Anno 13. grant this as an Advowson appendant, and that this is admitted by the Defendant: And if this was an Advowson in gross, and so descended to Charles I. his Confirmation or Grant to Thackston upon a Supposal wherein he was deceived, will be void; and that the said W. Thackston in the Letters Patent, and the W. Thackston in the Grant, appear to be different Persons: On the other Side it was urged, that the Queen might be seised of this Advowson as in gross at the Time she presented, and at the Time she died, and yet might be seised of it as appendant when she granted it to the Earl of Warwick, for she might have the Advowson again after the

the Grant to the Earl of Warwick, and then present; and that the Court ought to intend every Thing to make the King's Grant good. To clear this the Chief Justice, Rokeby and Eyre Justices held,

1st, That the Time of the Seisin laid in the Count was immaterially alledged; for 'tis sufficient to say, tempore pacis, tempore Domina Regina, and therefore 'tis not traversable: In Trespasse quare, &c. it is necessary to lay a certain Time; yet even there the precise Time is not material nor traversable, but any Time before the suing of the Writ may be given in Evidence; à fortiori here, &c.

2dly, That which is not material nor traversable is not admitted nor confessed when it is alledged, and not traversed: Ergo, as the Plaintiff upon non present. modo & forma, might give in Evidence a Presentation any Time, so the Court may intend it. Vide 1 Inst. 352. Hob. 71. 2 Leon. 99.

Sufficient to lay Seisin tempore pacis, tempore Domini Regis.

That which is immaterial is not admitted by not being traversed. 1 Vent. 127. 1 Lev. 92.

2 Saund. 295, 180. 2 Lev. 112. 1 Mod. 71.

3dly, The Grant of the Queen, as recited, is said to be inter alia, so there may be other Words which are sufficient to pass an Advowson in gross to the Earl, and the Intent of R. Charles I. is plain, and this Consideration might be sufficient; as the Relinquishing of a Suit against the King, or the Surrender of a void Patent is a good Consideration for a new Grant: And as to the Recitals, which were not all answered, Holt C. J. said, Where it appears by the Recitals, the King intended not to pass any Thing he had an apparent Right in, but only what was concealed, the Recital will qualify the Grant; which is Legat's Case. 10 Co. But where there are Words in the Grant, which shew the King designed to pass the Land, tho' they were not concealed, there the Grant shall be good to pass the Lands. Hard. 231.

In Grants where the King's Intent appears to pass the Thing, it shall pass notwithstanding false Recitals. Vide Hob. 143, 229, 230. 3 Co. 4. 5. 3 Co. 93. 3 Lev. 49.

4thly, Holt C. J. Turton and Eyre Justices held, That William Thackston Esq; in the Plea, could not be W. Thackston Knt. mentioned in the Letters Patent, for Esquire is drowned in the Name of Knight; so that a Knight cannot be an Esquire; and a Grant to A. B. Knt. is absolutely void, if A. B. be only an Esquire. Knight is a Name of Dignity and parcel of a Man's Name, as much as his Christian Name. It was said that it should have been averred, That W. Thackston was revera an Esq; but cognit. & reputat. Miles, at the Time of the Grant; but that would not have aided it, for a Man cannot be a Knight by Reputation, for there can be no Foundation for such a Reputation; and it is not the Party's saying in his Plea, I am the Man, that will explain the Grant, but the Identity must appear on the Face of the Grant it self. Upon this the Judgment was affirm'd by Holt C. J. Turton and Eyre Justices; but Rokeby J. held that there was a sufficient Demonstratio personæ, and that it ought to have been reversed.

Grant to a Knight by the Name of Esquire, is void. See 2 Inst. 668. 16 H. 6. 28. 18 H. 6. 8. 6 Co. 27. Litt. R. 81. Hard. 198. 1 Sid. 40. Faresl. 38. 2 Inst. 583, 594, 666. 2 Cro. 679. Litt. Rep. 121. 1 Bulst. 21.

QUESTATE.

See Co. Litt.
303.
3 Lev. 19.
1 Lev. 190.
1 Salk. 363.
1 Mod. 231.

See 5 Mod.

150.

Post 629.

1 Lev. 190.

1 Sid. 298.

2 Sid. 10.

Commence-

ment of par-

ticular Estate

must be

shewn.

1 Mod. 231.

2 Mod. 143,

144.

2 Show. Caf.

426.

Lutw. 80, 81.

5 Mod. 206.

3 Mod. 48.

Cro. Jac. 418.

2 Vent. 182.

3 Lev. 193.

Raym. 389.

2 Keb. 87, 96.

1 Sid. 279.

Show. 64.

3 Lev. 133.

Diversity be-

tween Counts

and Avow-

ries.

Scilly *versus* Dally. Pasch. 10 Will. III. B. R.

Intr. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R. Rot. 747.

IN Replevin, the Defendant avow'd and set forth that J. S. was possessed of a Messuage and 40 Acres of Land setting out the Time of the Commencement of the Lease, and demised, rendring Rent, &c. And that he being possessed of the Reversion died, and it came to his Executor, and for Rent arrear he avow'd. The Plaintiff demurr'd, and shew'd for Cause that the Avowant had not shewn who was Lessor of J. S. And for this it was held naught. Per Holt C. J. In Debt for Rent it is enough to say dimisit; And if it be brought against an Assignee, that he demised to such a one, whose Estate the Defendant hath, is enough for the Lessor or his Executor, (Quare ramen of the Heir) without making a good Title to himself; yet an Avowry differs from a Declaration in many Respects. In a Declaration in Debt for Rent, Nil debet is a good Plea, and traverses the whole Declaration; but there can be no general Issue to an Avowry, but some special Point must be traversed; and therefore, because it does not appear out of what Estate, or in what manner this Term was derived, Judgment must be for the Plaintiff. Vide Cro. Car. 571. The Reason, why the Commencement of particular Estates must be shewed in pleading, is, because they are created by Agreement out of the primitive Estate; and the Court must judge whether the primitive Estate and Agreement be sufficient to produce the particular Estate claimed; and this is a fundamental Rule which ought not to be broken upon fancied Inconveniencies.

I

Re-

Recognizance, Statutes, Elegit, Extent, &c.

Vide Farell.
38, 97.
Hob. 196.
Cro. Car. 148.
Cro. Jac. 2,
12, 449, &c.

Hammond *versus* Wood. Trin. 3 W. & M. B. R.

Vide Cro.
Car. 141,
149.

THE Conusee of a Statute had Lands extended and delivered to him upon a Liberate: The Conusor being in Possession, continued his Possession; afterwards the extended Interest was assigned; and the Question was, Whether it was assignable? The Court held not: It was objected, That before Entry by the Conusee, this was like an Interesse termini, or the Interest of one that has a Lease to commence at a future Day, which is assignable; so here the Conusee hath an Estate before Entry: Sed per Holt C. J. By Return of the Extent an Interest vested in the Conusee: The End of the Liberate is to have an actual Possession of the Interest; and it must be taken that he has by the Return of the Liberate; the Sheriff returning thereupon liberari feci, the Conusee is estopped to say otherwise: If then the Conusor continues to keep Possession after this Return, the Conusee's Estate is turned to a Right, like the Case of Disseisee making continual Claim, as soon as ever the Disseisee leaves the Premises, the Continuance of Possession by the Disseisor, makes a fresh Disseisin. Vide 1 Inst. 156. Lit. 129. And this is not like the Case of Mortgagor, who continues in by Consent, and not in Opposition to the Mortgagee.

(1.)
Conusee cannot assign his Interest after Extent and Liberate, if Conusor continues in Possession.
Vide Farell.
38, 97.
Cro. Jac. 3,
12, 449.
1 Show. 281.
3 Lev. 312.
1 Vent. 42.
4 Mod. 48.

Putten *versus* Purbeck. Trin. 12 Will. III. B. R.

Vide Cro.
Jac. 12.

TDA Scire facias upon a Judgment, the Defendant pleaded in Bar, that the Plaintiff had before sued an Elegit on the same Judgment directed to the Sheriff, who thereupon returned an Inquisition taken, and a Delivery of such certain Parcels thereof. [Et nota, the Parcels amounted to more than a Moiety] and prayed Judgment, if the Plaintiff should have any other Execution; to which it was demurred; and the Court held that the Execution was merely void; for the Sheriff had only a circumscribed Authority, and had exceeded it; and if the Plaintiff had brought

(2.)
On Elegit, if the Sheriff deliver more than a Moiety, the Execution is void.
1 Vent. 259.

an Ejectment, he could not have recovered the Possession on this Title, and therefore should be at Liberty to pursue a more effectual Execution.

Domina Regina *versus* Ewer. Pasch. 1 Ann. B. R.

(3.)
Certiorari to
remove an
Indictment
not a Super-
fedeas, un-
less Recogni-
zance en-
tered into in
20 l.

Vide 1 Salk.
147, 148,
149.
Farell. 120,
121.

Farell. 9.
Vide post
600, 659.
6 Mod. 42.
2 Leon. 24.

(4.)
In C. B. if
Recogni-
zance be ta-
ken at a
Judge's
Chamber, it
must be so
declar'd on;
but in B. R.
as if taken
in Court.

Vide Hob.
196.
March 159.
6 Mod. 132.
S. C.
Aley 12.
1 Cro. 312.

A Scire facias was brought on a Recognizance taken before a Judge upon granting a Certiorari to remove an Indictment from the Sessions of the Peace, which upon Oyer was entered in hæc verba; and was for 40 l. whereas the Sum prescribed by the Statute is 20 l. Et per Holt C. J. Before 5 & 6 W. & M. c. 11. any Judge might take a Recognizance, which is not taken away; but if it be not according to the Statute, which is in 20 l. the Certiorari will be no Superfedeas; yet, whether it be or no, it is still good as a Recognizance at Common Law.

Shuttle *versus* Wood. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

In Debt on a Recognizance of Bail in C. B. the Plaintiff declared that the Defendant per scriptum suum obligatorium recognit. in curia dictæ Dominae Reginae de Banco coram Thomæ Trevor Mil. & Sociis suis, &c. Defendant pleaded Nul tiel Record; the Recognizance certified, appeared to be taken before Mr. Justice Nevil, at his Chambers. Et per tot. Cur'. The Plaintiff hath failed of his Record, and hath varied in his Description from the Recognizance. Et per Holt C. J. If it had been entered as taken in Court, then it had been well enough: In this Court the Course is always to enter them as taken in Court, tho' taken actually by a Judge in his Chamber, and in this Court they are not taken in a Sum certain, as in C. B. neither are they a Record till entered; but in C. B. 'tis a Record immediately upon the first Caption, and binds the Lands before it be filed at Westminster, and when it is filed, then it is a Record in Court, and a Scire facias or Debt lies upon it, either in Middlesex where filed, or in London where taken; whereas on a Recognizance in this Court of B. R. the Action or Scire facias must always be brought in Middlesex.

R E C O R D S.

See 2 Saund.
254, 393.
6 Mod. 18,
245, 257.

Waites *versus* Briggs. Mich. 6 W. & M. B. R. S. C. 5 Mod.
8, 9.
Vide 1 Sid.
429. 6 Mod. 103. Lutw. 332. 2 Salk. 298.

IN Debt for an Escape the Plaintiff declared the Prisoner was committed and escaped, and because he did not say prout patet per Recordum, the Defendant demurred generally; but the Plaintiff had Judgment; for the Gift of the Action was the Escape, and the Commitment only Inducement. Et per Holt C. J. In Debt on a Judgment quod cum recuperasset, is good without a prout patet per Recordum; and the Defendant may plead Nul tiel Record. Et per G. Eyre J. The Matter here is grounded on the Fact, for Nil debet is a good Plea, and not on the Matter of Record. And the Rule in Co. Lit. 303. where the Difference is taken between Cases, where the Record is the very Foundation, and where Inducement, is a good Diversity. Vide 1 Sid. 16. Judgment for the Plaintiff.

(1.)
In Escape the Plaintiff did not alledge the Commitment prout patet per Recordum, but well on general Demurrer.
Vide 3 Lev. 311.
1 Lutw. 111.
See now the Stat. 4, 5
Ann. c. 16.
1 Keb. 761.
1 Lev. 137.

1 Sid. 216. Hob. 210. 1 Saund. 336. 1 Salk. 238. Hob. 233. Show. 4. Farell. 53.

Dominus Rex *versus* North. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

PER Holt C. J. It is an Error in the Clerks in London, that upon a Certiorari they return only a Transcript, as if the Record remained below; for in C. B. tho' they do not return the very individual Record, yet the Transcript is returned as if it were the Record, and so it is in Judgment of Law.

(2.)
Upon a Certiorari the very Record is return'd.
6 Mod. 188.

Thompson *versus* Leach. Pasch. 9 Will. III. B. R. S. C. ante
427.
Post 576, 618,
675.

PER Holt C. J. You ought not to move to read a Word of a Record, in order to make a Consilium, where the Roll is of a precedent Term, unless it be filed; for you ought not to have a loose Roll, unless it be a Roll of that Term of which it ought to be a Record.

(3.)
Roll of precedent Term ought to be filed.
Vide 3 Lev. 219.

Moor versus Manucaptor. Garret. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(4.)
Upon Nul
tiel Record
pleaded of a
Record of the
same Court,
Day may be
given to the
Party to
bring it in, or
for the Justi-
ces to inspect
the Record;
but Defen-
dant cannot
demur.
Vide 3 Lev.
243.

A Scire Facias against Bail; the Defendant pleaded, That no Capias issued forth against the Principal; the Plaintiff replied, and set out the Capias, prout patet per Recordum, &c. Defendant rejoins Nul tiel Record. Plaintiff surrejoins, habetur tale Recordum, and prayed that the Court will inspect the Rolls, &c. The Defendant demurs, and the Court held this Demurrer was ill: Upon Nul tiel Record pleaded, where it is a Record of another Court, the other Party replies, quod habetur tale Recordum, and the Court gives him a Day to bring it in: But if H. pleads a Record of the same Court, the other Side may crave Oyer, &c. or may plead Nul tiel Record, and then there are two Ways of Proceeding in such Case; for either the Court may give the Party a Day to produce the Record; which Entry is, Et dictum est præfato defendenti, quod habeat Recordum hic tali die sub suo periculo, &c. or the Court may give Day to inspect the Record themselves. Et quia Justiciarii hic se advisare volunt super inspectione & examinatione Recordi per prædict. Defendentem superius allegati dies datus est partibus prædict. hic usq; &c. Vide Dy. 228.

But in the principal Case, what does the Defendant do by his Demurrer, but deny that the Court can inspect the Records in the Court before them? Which they may most undoubtedly; therefore Judgment must be given against him.

Anonymus. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

(5.)
Printed Sta-
tute not Evi-
dence upon
Nul tiel Re-
cord.
3 Lev. 243.

In an Action against H. Defendant pleaded the Composition. Aa; the Plaintiff replied Nul tiel Record; upon the Day given to bring in the Record, the Defendant brought in the printed Aa. Et per Holt C. J. An Aa printed by the King's Printers is always allowed good Evidence of the Act to a Jury; but was never allowed to be a Record yet: You must get an Exemplification under the Great Seal, and then plead it exemplified, and then no Man can deny it.

Turner versus Barnaby. Trin. 2 Ann. B. R.

(6.)
Act of the
Court upon
Record may
be altered the
same Term,
of the Party,
nor.

In Ejectment, the Defendant being called to confess Lease, Entry and Duster, made Default, and that Default was recorded. Afterwards the Plaintiff would have waived it, supposing the Record of it to be in the Breast of the Court during the Term.

Term. Et per Holt C. J. There is a Diversity between an Act of the Court done upon Record, for that is in the Breast of the Court, and may be altered by them during the Term; and an Act of the Party recorded by the Court, as a Nonsuit or Default, for that once recorded, cannot be altered by the Court; for that would be a Means of introducing Falsity in Matter of Fact into Records.

See Ray. 69.
3 Lev. 219.

Common Recoveries.

Vide 1 Co.

14, 61.

2 Co. 74.

5 Co. 40.

Lit. R. 234. 2 Lev. 31. 2 Mod. 70. Lutw. 1549. Post 591.

Sir John St. Albans's Case. Trin. 1 W. & M. C. B.

SIR John St. Albans being of the Age of nineteen Years, his Sister, who was the next in Remainder, and also his Heir, married one of his Footmen: He petitioned the King for Leave to suffer a Common Recovery, who referred it to the Judges of the Common Pleas, before whom several Precedents of Recoveries, suffered by Infants upon Privy Seals, were cited, viz. One Bivarny, the 1st Day of June, 10 Car. 1. One Young the 23d Day of November, 11 Car. 1. another 13 Car. 1. another 14 Car. 1. another 1 Jac. 2. another 4 Jac. 2. by Toby; another 4 Jac. 2. another by John Croke, Son of Sir John Croke, 10 Car. 2. The Judges observed that seven of the Petitions were by Fathers upon the Marriage of their Sons, and an equal Recompence given; whereas here was neither Father nor Marriage in the Case; and they said this Case had been carried too far already, therefore disallowed it. Vide Hob. 196. 1 Ro. 731. 1 Cro. 307.

(1.)

Recovery
suffer'd by an
Infant.

1 Sid. 321.

1 Mod. 48.

2 Keb. 141.

Styl. 246.

Cro. El. 321.

1 Mod. 246,

247.

3 Lev. 36.

1 Vent. 69.

2 Vent. 30,

90.

Clithero

Clithero versus Franklin & Ux'. Pasch. 2 W. & M. C.B.
Rot. 207.

(2.)
To A. and
his Wife for
Life, Re-
mainder to
the Heirs
Male of A.
on the Wife
begotten; A.
cannot dock
this during
the Wife's
Life.

IN a Writ of Ayel the Issue was, Whether the Grandfather died seised in Fee? The Jury found that the Grandfather covenanted to stand seised to the Use of himself and Mary his Wife for their Lives, Remainder to the Heirs Males of the Grandfather on the Body of the said Mary begotten, with Remainders over: The Grandfather suffered a Common Recovery and died: Mary survived. To prove the Recovery void, it was insisted, that Owen and Morgan's Case was not Law; for if Baron and Feme had an Intirety, then each had the Whole, and the Baron might make a Tenant to the Præcipe for the Whole. Pemberton contra, That Case was never yet questioned; the Wife's Estate hinders the Intail from executing in the Baron; so that 'tis only a kind of contingent Estate after the Death of the Wife, and the Intail cannot be tacked to the Estate for Life of the husband, during the Life of the Wife; because during her Life there is an intervening Estate; and accordingly adjudged. 3 Co. 6. Plo. Manxlo's Case, 8, 9. 1 Cro. 380. 1 Sid. 83.

S. C. 1 Show.
347.

Lloyd versus Evelin. Pasch. 5 W. & M. B. R.

(3.)
Tenant by
Fine, &c.
Vid. Noy 126.
1 Mod. 107,
262.

IN a Writ of Error of a Common Recovery, the Tenant to the Præcipe in the Common Recovery was made by a fine, the Recovery was suffered, and the fine was reversed, yet it was held a good Recovery; for there was a Tenant to the Præcipe at the Time.

S. P. 1 Show.
347.
1 Mod. 218.

Lacy versus Williams. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

J. C. 1. 2. Raym. 227. 475.

(4.)
If Tenant
gains the
Freehold at
any Time be-
fore Judg-
ment, good.
Vide Cro.
Jac. 455.
Lutw. 1549.
Carth. 472.

ERROR of a Judgment in C. B. in Ejectment, wherein a Special Verdict was found, viz. That a Writ of Entry was brought against Miles Corbet, Ret. Quindena Martini. That upon the Return Miles Corbet appeared, and the Demandant counted against him, That he vouched Lacy the Tenant in Tail, and a Summons ad Warrantizandum issued, returnable octabis purificationis. After the Teste and before the Return of the Writ of Summons, viz. the 1st Day of January, Lacy the Tenant in Tail, conveyed to Miles Corbet by Lease and Release for Life. At the Return of the Summons, Lacy the Tenant in Tail appeared and entered into the Warrant, and vouch'd over the Common Vouchee, and so a Common Recovery was had. This

Re.

Recovery being held good in C. B. Serjeant Pratt, for the Plaintiff in Error, insisted, That Miles Corbet was not Tenant to the Praecipe at the Return of the Writ of Entry. He agreed that if he had purchased before the Return of the Writ of Entry, the Recovery had been good, (otherwise if after, as in this Case,) to bind Strangers or the Issue in Tail, tho' it might be good between the Parties by Way of Estoppel. Vide 1 Ro. 868. 21 E. 3. 5. 5 H. 6. 1. 18 E. 4. 26. 9 E. 4. 12. 3 H. 6. 34. Ratio est, because the Tenant could not render the Lands at the Return of the Writ of Entry, and a Voucher supposes a Seisin; for 'tis a good Counterplea that the Voucher had nothing in the Lands at the Time of the Voucher, and the nec unquam postea is not material; and if the Tenant pleads not Non-tenure as he ought and might, that only binds himself and those that are Parties and claim under him by Estoppel.

Kene contra argued, That the Issue shall be bound where he may have Execution for the Value. 3 Co. 5. 6. 12 E. 5. 19. And 'tis not a sufficient Counterplea of a Voucher to say, the Voucher had nothing tempore, &c. without adding nec unquam postea. Rast. 367, 126. So it is of Non-tenure, Rast. 273. Where the Tenant appears on the Return of the Writ of Entry, and a Recovery is then had, in such Case the Tenant must have the Freehold at the Return of the Writ, because 'tis a Recovery then suffered; but otherwise where there is a Voucher over, or Interpleader, as in this Case; for 'tis sufficient if he become Tenant before Judgment. 41 E. 3. 5. 8 E. 3. 32. 10 E. 3. 21.

Holt C. J. It is not enough in a Counterplea of a Voucher to say, the Voucher had nothing in the Lands at the Time of the Voucher, without nec unquam postea; so it is of Non-tenure: If the Tenant to the Praecipe gains a Freehold before Judgment, 'tis sufficient, for it cannot be said to be a Recovery against him that had nothing; therefore a Writ may be made good by a subsequent Purchase, and so may a Voucher; and 'tis the more reasonable, because the Demandant may have a good Cause of Action, tho' the Tenant have not the Land; for 'tis not his being Tenant to the Praecipe, but the Demandant's having a Right to the Land, that is the Foundation and Cause of Action; and therefore 'tis in Law sufficient, if the Tenant have the Land to render at any Time before Judgment. And the Judgment was affirmed Nisi causa. Afterwards Mr. Squib came to shew Cause why the Judgment should not be affirmed, and cited 18 E. 3. 13. 18 E. 4. 26. 2 Ro. 746. Sed non allocatur: And Holt C. J. said, The Recompence in the Case of Common Recoveries was ratio una, but non unica; for a Reversion expectant is barred by a Common Recovery, and yet the Recompence cannot extend to that; which he said was a bold Advance in Favour of Common Recoveries. This Rule was made absolute.

1 Roll. Abr. 865.

Brook, Brief, pl. 75, 77. 1 Inst. 102, 365. Hob. 21.

Non-tenure cur'd by subsequent Purchase.

Q. 1 Mod. 218.

1 Show. 347. Lutw. 1549.

Post 601.

2 Lev. 29, 55.

2 Lutw. 1548.

Page *versus* Hayward. Trin. 3 Ann. B. R.

(6.)

NICHOLAS SEARLE by his Will devised to his Niece Mary Bryant and the Heirs Male of her Body, upon Condition and provided that she intermarry with and have Issue Male by one surnamed Searle; and in Default of both Conditions he devised to Eliz. Bryant [in the same Manner] and in Default thereof he devised to George Searle for sixty Years, if he so long live, Remainder to the Heirs Males of the Body of the said George, and their Issue Male for ever. Mary and Elizabeth, with her Husband, (for she had then married one Cliff,) joined in a Fine to make a Tenant to the Præcipe, who was one Isaac Savery. Isaac Savery vouched Mary Bryant, Eliz. Cliff, and her Husband, and the Wife of the Devisor with her Husband, she being again married, and vouched them all jointly, and they vouched over the common Vouchee. Et per Holt C. J. & tot. Cur', it was adjudged.

1 Saund. 180.
2 Lev. 21, 22.
1 Lev. 212.
1 Leon. 283.
1 Vent. 199,
to 205.
16 Co. 41.
1 Mod. 86.
3 Mod. 20.

To A. and
the Heirs of
her Body by
one of the
Name of
Searle, is
Tail.
Vide post.
619, &c.

Words
founding
conditional,
taken as Li-
mitation in
a Will.
Vid. 2 Co. 72,
73, 74, &c.

Condition
that runs
with the
Land cannot
be barr'd by
Recovery, o-
therwise of
Condition
collateral.

1st, That the Estate devised to Mary was a good Estate-Tail, and so was the Estate to Elizabeth; but it is a special Intail; 'tis an Estate to her and the Heirs Males of her Body begotten by a Searle, which is a middle Intail; not the highest nor the least; for it might have been to her and the Heirs of her Body begotten by J. Searle, which had been more particular; yet this is a good Estate-Tail within the Statute de donis, for it is within the Reason of the Statute.

2dly, The Words, upon Condition, &c. tho' they are express Words of Condition, shall be taken to be a Limitation; so it is held in 1 Vent. 199, 202. And Holt C. J. said, he saw no Reason why they might not be so construed in a Deed, tho' the Law had not been carried so far; and so the Sense is, if she has no Issue by a Searle, upon her Death, the Estate shall remain over.

3dly, That the Estate-Tail of Mary and Elizabeth, or either of them, does not cease by marrying one that is not a Searle; for the Remainder over is in Default of both Conditions, and in the mean Time it is limited to her and the Heirs Male of her Body, and she may survive the first Husband and marry a Searle, and so there is a Possibility as long as she lives.

4thly, If the Estate had been to Mary and the Heirs Male of her Body by a Searle to be begotten, provided and upon Condition if she do marry any but a Searle, that then it shall remain and be to J. S. and his Heirs; a Common Recovery suffered before Marriage will bar the Estate-Tail and Remainders; and tho' she after marry with another, it shall not avoid the Recovery: And the Court took a Difference between a collateral Condition and a Condition that runs with the Land: If the Donor reserve
a Rent

a Rent with a Condition to re-enter, a Recovery will not bar it; otherwise if it be to re-enter for Non-payment of a Sum in gross. Vide 1 Mod. 108, 111. 2 Lev. 28.

And as to Common Recoveries (being of great Use) the Chief Justice desired to speak largely.

1st, If Tenant to the Præcipe vouches Tenant in Tail in Possession, and him in Remainder jointly, and they jointly vouch over the common Vouchee, this is good; not but that it may be more regular, that the Tenant vouch Mary Bryant, and she Elizabeth, and she over the common Vouchee, that the Recovery in Value may not be joint, but enure severally; yet the other Way is sufficient; for where in an Adversary Action, a Præcipe is brought against several, 'tis enough that one hath the Tenancy of the Land; and if he would plead that he is sole Tenant, and traverse that the others have any Thing, the Demandant may admit that and proceed as to him, and the Writ shall only abate as to the rest; also the others may disclaim; and as a Joining a Stranger with a Tenant does not hurt, so a Joining a Stranger with a Vouchee does not; for he is but in loco tenentis, a Tenant by the Warranty. 20 E. 3. 10. 2 E. 3. 8. Br. Several Tenancy 3, 4. 10 H. 6. 14. Rast. 276.

Tenant in Tail, and he in Remainder may be vouch'd jointly. Noy 81, 82. 3 Co. Cuple-dike's Case. 1 And. 275.

2^{dly}, If Tenant in Tail makes a Tenant to the Præcipe, and he vouches a Stranger, and the Stranger vouches Tenant in Tail, and he the common Vouchee, that is good; for his being a Stranger is not material, because in Judgment of Law he is become Tenant by the Voucher to the Præcipe, and a Release to him is good, and the Voucher is good whether there be a real Warranty or not: At Common Law, if a Stranger was vouch'd, the Demandant could not counterplead it; but by West. 1. c. 40. he may, if he be absent, counterplead the Voucher, viz. That the Voucher and his Ancestors never had any Thing in the Land; not if he be present: It is enough that Tenant in Tail comes in and owns a Warranty; for there may be a Warranty; suppose an Adversary Action against Tenant in Tail who has a Warranty, and he makes a Feoffment in Fee with Warranty, or has levied a Fine with Warranty, and the Feoffee or Conusee vouch the Tenant in Tail, he may make Use of his Warranty, and yet he was not seised of the Estate-Tail; but in that Case he may derelign the Warranty, and then he recovers in Recompence of his Estate-Tail; for whenever Tenant in Tail comes in as Vouchee, he comes in in Privy of all Estates he ever had, and consequently may derelign the Warranty. Vide 1 Inst. 385. a.

If Tenant vouches a Stranger, who vouches Tenant in Tail, and he enters into Warranty, it is good. 1 Vent. 358. 2 Co. 60, 61. Cro. El. 562.

Tenant in Tail coming in as Vouchee comes in in Privy of all Estates he ever had. 3 Co. 60, 61.

And the Chief Justice said, The Vouchee's being a Stranger was not material; because, tho' there be no real Warranty, the Recovery in Value is the same, and the Admittance of Tenant in Tail has made it real.

Vide Lutw.
201, 208.

RECUSANTS.

[Vide Title Church of England.]

Domina Regina *versus* Peach. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

Licence to
dissenting
Minister in-
roll'd in one
County,
don't extend
to another.
Mod. Cases
228, 310.
Post 673.

Noy 117.
Lane 60.
1 Lutw. 208.
4 Leon. 54.
2 Cro. 142.
3 Lev. 61.

A Dissenting Minister having qualified himself according to the Toleration-Act in one County, kept a Conventicle in that County; and afterwards removed into another, and kept a new Conventicle without a new Qualification: The Justices convicted him notwithstanding the Toleration-Act. The Attorney-General moved for an Attachment against the Justices, but it was denied; then he moved for a Mandamus to permit him to preach, and that was denied also: The Court held, 1st, That a Mandamus is always to do some Act in Execution of Law, whereas this would be in Nature of a Writ de non molestando. 2dly, That a Dissenting Minister is still liable to the old penal Laws for Preaching in Conventicles, unless he qualify himself according to the Act of Toleration. 3dly, That a Licence inrolled at the Sessions in one County, will not extend to another County; but he must have a Licence inrolled in the County where he preaches. But Note; The Law is since alter'd in this Particular, by the Act for preserving the Protestant Religion, by better securing the Church of England. 10 Annæ.

Release and Defeazance.

Vide Farcfl.
74, 75.
2 Saund. 48,
96.

Aloff *versus* Scrimshaw. Trin. 1 W. & M. B. R.

S. P. post
575.

IN Debt on a Bond for 1000 l. the Defendant pleaded a Covenant since made by the Plaintiff, whereby he covenanted not to sue for the said Debt upon the said Bond, for and during the Term of 99 Years: This was held naught upon Demurrer, for it is but a meer Covenant, and doth not enure as a Release or Defeazance, and so cannot be pleaded in Bar. Vide 1 Cro. 352, 623. 1 Ro. 939. 21 H. 7. 24.

(1.)
Covenant not
to sue for a
certain Time;
is not a Re-
lease or De-
feazance.
1 Show. 46,
47.
Raym. 187,
393, 413.

Clayton *versus* Kynaston. Hill. 10 Will. III. B. R. Intr.
Trin. 10 Will. III. B. R. Rot. 246.

CLAYTON, the Executor of Clayton, the Executor of Wintershall, brought Covenant against Kynaston upon Articles, and declared as on Articles made between Killigrew and the said Kynaston, and others ex una parte, and Wintershall ex altera parte, wherein it was covenanted, that if Wintershall should be minded to give over acting Plays, and should give Notice in Writing three Months before he left off, that then three Months after such Notice in Writing, Wintershall should be allowed for every acting Day, 5 s. per Day, and that after the Death of Wintershall, 100 l. should be paid to his Executors within three Months. Provided such Notice should not be given but in an acting Week; and for Breach assigns that the 100 l. was not paid within three Months after Wintershall's Death. The Defendant pleaded that at the same Time another Deed was made between the said Wintershall of the one Part, and the said Kynaston of the other Part, wherein was contained the same Agreement, and then it was farther covenanted by Wintershall in the same Deed, that in Case he gave Notice as aforesaid, then the said Kynaston should be discharged of all Debts, and be indemnified and saved harmless from all Agreements or Securities at any Time before made, or hereafter to be entered into; then he avers Notice was given, and that three Months elapsed, &c. and so relies upon this as a Defeazance. To this there was a Demurrer.

(2.)
One Deed
not to be
construed as
a Defeazance
of another
without Ne-
cessity.
Vide Cro.
Car. 426.
Cro. Jac. 300,
623.
1 Lev. 272.

1st, It was urged for the Defendant, that this was a Defeazance; for whatever the Defendant lost in this Action would be recovered against him on his Covenant, which was but Circum-
 ty of Action. Vide 1 And. 307. Mo. pl. 80. 2 Saund. 47, 48. One
 Deed a Defeazance to another made at the same Time with it.
 Vide 1 Inst. 265. Rebutter founded on the same Reason.

The Court agreed, that if A. be bound to B. and then B. re-
 citing this Bond, covenants to save him harmless, this is an ab-
 solute Defeazance; and if it be to save him harmless on a Con-
 tingency, 'tis a conditional Defeazance, because it hath an ex-
 press Relation to the Deed; but in the principal Case there is
 not a Relation between the Deeds; but on the contrary, the
 Plaintiff's Deed is only to indemnify against all Covenants
 heretofore made, or hereafter to be made. There is therefore
 no Necessity to construe the Defendant's Deed to be void and use-
 less as soon as made, or that one Deed has destroyed the
 other which was made at the same Time with it, or that Kyna-
 ston may play fast and loose at his Pleasure. Also the Court
 agreed, That where two are jointly and severally bound in a
 Bond, a Release to one discharges the other; and in such Case,
 if the joint Remedy is gone, the Several is gone too; and that
 in the Case at Bar, the joint Remedy was lost: But the Chief
 Justice, who delivered the Opinion of the rest, said, they did not
 determine, That on Covenant, where the joint Remedy failed,
 there could not be a several Remedy.

2dly, It was objected to the Declaration, That he declares
 of a Deed made between Kynaston of the one Part and Winter-
 shall of the other, and does not say prædict. Wintershall, for
 want of which he does not appear to be the same Person: This
 Exception was not much heeded. Cases cited pro and con were
 Yel. 103. 3 Cro. 913. Bridgm. 99. Dyer 70. Hard. 178.

Where a Pro-
 viso goes by
 Way of De-
 feazance of a
 Covenant, it
 must be
 pleaded on
 the other
 Side; other-
 wise where
 by Way of
 Explanation
 or Restricti-
 on of the Co-
 venant.

3dly, It was objected to the Plea, That the Notice is not
 laid to be given in an acting Week; and tho' it was urged to be
 by Way of Proviso, and therefore ought to come on the other
 Side, (5 Co. 78. 3 Cro. 405. 7 Co. 10. Poph. 28. Plo. 376.
 1 Leon. pl. 202.) and that the Plea said he had Notice secundum
 formam & effectum articulorum; yet Holt C. J. answered, That
 where the Proviso goes by Way of Defeazance, it must be plead-
 ed by him that takes Advantage of it; but this is not so, but al-
 ters the Sense of the Covenant, by explaining and tying up the
 Notice to a particular Time, which would not have been under-
 stood on the general Covenant, by which Means it becomes a
 Part of the Covenant, so that you must plead accordingly; and
 secundum formam & effectum articulorum is only Matter of
 Conclusion, which cannot serve without Premises. And upon
 this single Point, Judgment was given for the Plaintiff.

Lacy *versus* Kynaston. Pasch. 13 W. III. B. R.

THE Plaintiff brought Covenant as Administrator to Lacy, and declared on the same Indenture in the Case before between Killigrew and Kynaston, and they ex una parte, and Lacy ex altera parte, reciting former Articles, and they hereby covenant jointly and severally with Lacy, That if he be minded to leave ailing, and gives three Months Notice thereof to the Company, he shall be paid 6 s. 3 d. per Day for his Life, and that within three Months after his Death, his Executors shall be paid 100 l. And that if he die without such Notice, his Executors should be paid 100 l. within six Months after his Death, in the Nonpayment whereof the Breach was assigned: The Defendant craved Oyer, and pleaded another Deed made the same Day and Year, whereby Lacy and others covenant jointly and severally with the Defendant, that if he left off ailing, and gave Notice, he should be freed and indemnified of and from the said Covenant, and abers he left off and gave Notice, and became discharged, and so pray'd Judgment of the Action. The Plaintiff demurs, &c. And the Question was, Whether this was a Covenant or a Defeazance? And the Court held,

1st, That this Covenant in its Nature was not a Defeazance, because it wanted Words of Defeazance, viz. that the Thing be void.

2dly, If A. and B. are jointly and severally bound to H. and H. covenants with A. that he will not sue A. this is not a Defeazance, for still there is a Remedy on the Bond against B. otherwise if A. only had been bound, for then such Covenant excludes him from any Remedy for ever, to avoid Circuity of Action. Vide 41. Aff. pl. 44. This Difference being applied to the principal Case rules it, and it is but reasonable; because to construe this to be or enure as a Release or Defeazance, is to discharge all; otherwise of a Covenant. 24 H. 8. Br. Estranger al fait. 21 Lit. Sect. 376. Inst. 232. Hob. 66.

(3.)
Defeazance must contain proper Words of Defeazance.
Vid. 9 Co. 52.
3 Lev. 275.
1 Show. 151.
2 Lev. 214,
215, &c.

1 Rol. 939.
1 Show. 46.
3 Cro. 623.
Comberb.
123.
3 Cro. 352.

3 Lev. 275.
1 Show. 46,
47, 151.
2 Lev. 214,
215, &c.
Bond from A. and B. to H. joint and several Covenant from H. not to sue A. is not a Defeazance.
S. C. ante 573.

Topham *versus* Tollier. Trin. 1 Ann. B. R.

DEBT on a Bond against an Administrator; the Defendant pleaded a Release, whereby the Plaintiff reciting there were several Controversies between the Defendant and him about a Legacy, and the Right of Administration releases to the Defendant all his Right, Title, Interest and Demand of, in, and to the personal Estate of the Intestate. Upon Demurrer this was held no Plea; for per Holt C. J. There is a Difference between a Release of all Demands to the Person of the Administrator,

Post 578.
(4.)
Release of all Demands to the personal Estate of the Intestate, releases not a Bond before Judgment and Execution.
3 Leon. Case 105. cont.
Vide 10 Co. 48 to 52.

Cro. El. 897. strator, as in Yelv. 214. and to the personal Estate, as in this
 Lutw. 249, Case; for the Bond is not any Right or Demand to the perso-
 250. nal Estate, till Judgment and Execution sued out.
 1 Lev. 99, 272.
 2 Lev. 210.
 Post 578.

Vide Post
 Reversion,
 Pag. 590.

R E M A I N D E R.

Corbet *versus* Tichborn. Pasch. 6 W. & M. B.R.

(1.)
 Attainder,
 Vide Post
 630.

EJECTMENT and Trial at Bar; the Case was, J. S. was Tenant for Life, Remainder to his Wife for Life, Remainder to his first, second, &c. Sons in Tail, Remainder to the right Heirs of J. S. The said J. S. commits Treason, and then has a Son, and then is attainted; and the Court held, That whether the Son was born before or after the Attainder, the contingent Remainder to him was not discharged by the vesting in the Crown during the Life of J. S. because of the Wife's Estate, which is sufficient to support it.

Vide Post
 618, &c. ib.
 3 Lev. 284.
 3 Mod. 296.

Thompson *versus* Leach. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
 Surrender of
 Tenant for
 Life being
 Non Compos
 to a Remain-
 der-Man, is
 void, and can-
 not bar con-
 tingent Re-
 mainder.
 Right of En-
 try will sup-
 port contin-
 gent Remain-
 der, Right of
 Action not.
 1 Vent. 306.
 1 Roll. Rep.
 177.

A Devise was to Simon Leach for Life, Remainder to his first, second and third Sons, &c. Remainder to Sir Simon Leach in Tail, the Remainder to the right Heirs of Simon Leach; Simon Leach the Tenant for Life surrendered his Estate by Deed to Sir Simon Leach, and after that had a Son born and died, and the Son brought an Ejectment against Sir Simon, and Simon his Father was found to be Non Compos at the Time of the Surrender. Et per Cur'. Taking the Surrender to be voidable only it was held, That the Plaintiff could have no Title; for his Estate in Remainder was a contingent Remainder, and if the precedent Estate was gone and determined by the Surrender, the Contingency could not arise. There must be a particular Estate actually in Being, or a present Right of Entry, [Vide Bigot and Smith's Case, Cro. Car.] And it is not enough that there be

a Right of Action, but it must be a Right of Entry: And they held that it was not for want of Right to the Thing, but of Capacity to do the Act, that a Mad-man is hindered to avoid his own Grant. 1 Cro. 102. 2 Saund. 387. 3 Keb. 2. And if this had been done in the Life of the Surrenderer by Inquisition, that would have preserved the contingent Remainder; but that cannot be done now, because the particular Estate is determined. To shew this, Holt C. J. said,

If there be Tenant for Life with a contingent Remainder to H. and Tenant for Life is disseised, and after that a Discent cast, and five Years expire, now the contingent Remainder is gone, for there is nothing now to support it, the Right of Entry being turned into a Right of Action: But before the Discent the Right of Entry was sufficient.

But they held the Surrender in this Case to be meerly void, so that the particular Estate remained in him, notwithstanding the Surrender, and the contingent Remainder rightly vested.

If there be Tenant for Life with a contingent Remainder, and he makes a Feoffment in Fee upon Condition, and the Contingency happens before the Condition broken, the contingent Remainder is destroyed; for there must be a particular Estate, or a present Right of Entry when the Contingency happens; but if Tenant for Life enters for Breach before the Contingency happens, the contingent Remainder is revived, and may vest.

1 Co. Arher's Case.
2 Saund. 382, 383.
1 Vent. 189.
3 Mod. 301.
2 Vent. 198 to 208.
1 Show. 296.
Parl. Cases 150, 151.
11 Co. 80.
3 Keb. 177.
2 Jo. 2, 77.
3 Keb. 177, 178, 244.

That Right of Entry must be present.

Weeks *versus* Peach. Mich. 13 Will. III. B. R.

H. Devised out of his Lands a Rent to one for Life, with Remainder over, &c. And Shower objected, That there could not be a Remainder of a Rent de novo; for there cannot be a Remainder where there cannot be a Reversion. But per Holt C. J. There may be a Remainder of a Rent de novo; for the Intent of the Party gives it first a Being for the Whole, and then the lesser Estates are carved out of it. Vide 2 Lutw. 1225.

(3.)
Remainder may be of a Rent de novo.
Vid. ant. 466.
1 Lev. 144.
Q. Chan. Cas. 79, 80.
1 Sid. 285.
2 Keb. 89.

6 Mod. 112. 1 Mod. 218. 2 Lev. 80, 88. Carter 52.

RENTS.

R E N T S.

1 Lev. 22, 25,
43, 144, 170.
2 Lev. 30, 80,
240.
3 Lev. 39,
150, 233,
295.

Stephens & Ux. *versus* Snow. Hill. 2 W. & M. B.R.

(1.)
Growing
Rent not re-
leased by Re-
lease of all
Demands.
Vid. ante 575.
Pl. 4.
10 Co. 48
to 52.
1 Lev. 99.
2 Lev. 210.
1 Vent. 314.
1 Sid. 141.
1 Lev. 100.
2 Cro. 170.

THE Plaintiff declared upon a Lease for Years, red-
dend. 30 s. at Lady-Day and Michaelmas, and assigns
for Breach Non-payment of a Year's Rent, due and
ending at Lady-Day, 1689. The Defendant pleaded a
Release dated the 18th Day of November, 1688. of all Demands;
and upon Demurrer, Judgment was given for the Plaintiff.
For the growing Rent not due, which is incident to the Rever-
sion, was not discharged; tho' the first Half Year's Rent, which
was a Duty demandable, was released; but here the Release be-
ing pleaded as a Bar to all, which it is not, the Plea is naught,
and Judgment must be given for the Plaintiff.

Litt. Sec. 510. 1 Mod. 95. 2 Cro. 486.

Lord Rockingham & al. *contra* Oxenden & al. *coram*
Trevor, Master of the Rolls, 1711.

(2.)
Cro. Jac. 310.

THE Lessor dies upon Michaelmas-Day, between three and
four in the Afternoon, before Sun-set, the Rent being re-
served payable on Michaelmas-Day; the Question was, Whether
the Executor or the Heir, or, which is the same, the Jointurees
of the Lessor, should have this Rent? The Executor insisted that
this was the Rent-Day, that it might have been paid in the
Morning, and that a Release upon such Payment had been a
Discharge; and they denied the Opinion of Hale in 1 Saund. 287.
and said, that so it was held by the Judges of Assize at Durham,
viz. Baron Bury, &c. But on the other Side it was argued and
decreed, That the Rent should go to the Heir or Jointurees, be-
cause at the Time of the Death of the Lessor, there was no Re-
medy nor Means to compel the Payment thereof. Vide 10 Co.
Clun's Case, 2 Bullst. 293. Plowd. 172, 173. 1 Inst. 202. 1 Keb.
59. 1 Sid. 162. 2 Cro. Fox *versus* Whichcot.

R E.

REPLEADER.

Vide Cro.
El. 318, 883.
3 Co. 52.
1 Leon. 79.
Lat. 248.

Staple *versus* Hayden. Trin. 2 Ann. B. R.

S. C. 1 Salk.
216.

IT was laid down by the Court in the Case of Staple and Hayden. Trin. 2 Ann. B. R. Which see Title Default, p. 216. First, That at Common Law a Repleader was allowed before Trial, because a Verdict did not cure an immaterial Issue: But now a Repleader ought never to be allowed before Trial, because the Fault of the Issue may be helped by the Trial by the Statute of Jeofails.

6 Mod. 1, 2.
Repleader
not allow'd
before Trial.
Vide 6 Mod.
2, 3.
1 Lev. 32.
2 Lev. 12,
142, 164.
If denied
where grant-
able, Error.

2dly, That if a Repleader be denied where it should be granted, or granted where it should be denied, it is Error.

6 Mod. 2,
102.
Parties begin
de novo at
the first Fault.
1 Mod. 2.

3dly, That the Judgment of Repleader is general, viz. Quod partes replacent; and the Parties must begin again at the first Fault, which occasioned the immaterial Issue: That if the Declaration be ill, the Bar ill, and the Replication ill, the Parties must begin de novo; but if the Bar be good and the Replication ill, at the Replication. Vide 3 Keb. 664.

4thly, No Costs are allowed on either Side.

No Costs.
Not after
Default.
6 Mod. 2, 3.
1 Keb. 23,
39, 89, 90.
3 Lev. 20,
440.
Dyer 117,
118.
2 Saund. 318,
319.
1 Salk. 173.

5thly, That a Repleader cannot be awarded after a Default.

See the Form of a Repleader. Lutw. 1622.

Vide 1 Lev.
90.
Raym. 33, 34,
255, &c.
6 Mod. 68,
81, 103, 158,
189.

Replevin and Homine Replegiando.

[*Vide Title Avowry.*]

Halet versus Burt. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(1.)
Bailiff of a
Hundred
cannot grant
Replevins
out of Court.
5 Mod. 252.
S. C.
S. C. Carth.
380.
1 Inst. 145.
2 Inst. 139.
Co. Ent. 284.
Dyer 245.
F. N. B. 73.

IN Trespas for taking, &c. The Defendant justified that the Place where was a Hundred, and Time out of Mind had a Court of all Actions, Replevins, &c. grantable in or out of Court, and that a Replevin was granted to him by the Bailiff out of Court, virtute, &c. It was questioned, Whether a Hundred Court could prescribe to hold Plea of Replevins, because the County Court could not hold Plea of them at Common Law, but were enabled by the Statute, which extends not to the Hundred Court? But per tot. Cur. clearly; Supposing they may grant them in Court, yet they cannot prescribe to grant them out of Court.

Richards versus Cornforth. Mich. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
Avowry for
Rent, where
Part is not
yet due, is
Error; but
might be cu-
red before
Judgment, by
abating the
Avowry as
to that.
Vide Cro.
Jac. 473.
5 Mod. 363.
call'd Ric-
cards vers.
Cornforth.
Moor 281.
Hob. 133,
208.

ERROR of a Judgment in Replevin, wherein the Defendant abowed for Rent and had Judgment; and now Mr. Carthew assigned for Error, that Part of the Rent became due after the Distress taken, for the Distress was made the 26th Day of September, 7 W. 3. which was three Days before Michaelmas; whereas the Defendant had abowed for that Michaelmas Rent. Et per Cur. This is naught; for the Judgment is to have a Return irreplevisable, i. e. that he shall have the Distress as a Pledge, till all the Rent abowed for be paid, and that was more than was due at the Time of the Distress. In this Case the Abowant before Judgment should have abated his Avowry, quoad the Michaelmas Rent, and taken Judgment for the rest; but the Defendant getting his Avowry mended in C. B. the Roll was amended here in B. R. and so the Error was cured.

Moor *versus* Watts. Mich. 12 Will. III. B. R.

UPON a Habeas Corpus it was returned, that Watts was in Custody by Virtue of a Capias in Withernam; and the Case was, That upon a Homine Replegiando, the Sheriff returned an Inquisition, finding that the Party was cloigned, whereupon a Withernam issued, returnable Octab. Martini, which was not yet come; but the Defendant was taken thereupon and moved to be bailed, and the Matter was four Times moved and debated. It was objected, That he could not be bailed upon the Withernam; for it was an Execution, and he had no Day in Court, and the Plaintiff could not have a new Withernam: And the Lord Gray coming into Court upon an Elongata found, was committed and lay by a Fortnight: Also they cited Raymond 474. and said the Return of the Elongata was a kind of Conviction, and the Defendant thereby estopped from pleading Non cepit. That which seemed to be the Sense of the Chief Justice, to which the rest agreed, was,

(3.)
Homine replegiando.
See 2 Show. 218, 221 to 232.
6 Mod. 84.
Lit. Rep. 54.
3 Leon. Cal. 323.
Farell. 9.

1st, That a Homine Replegiando does not differ from a common Replevin de Averiis; and that in a Replevin for Cattle, the Sheriff must either return a Deliberari feci, or an Excuse thereof, viz. That no Body came to shew him the Cattle, or Elongata; But he cannot return they were not taken, for that goes to the Point of the Writ which the Defendant is to falsify, and not the Sheriff; that therefore the Sheriff must return an Elongata where he cannot make a Deliverance, and the Defendant is not concluded by the Return of the Elongata to plead Non cepit, because it was necessary, and he could not avoid it: And as for this Reason, no Action lies against the Sheriff for a false Return of an Elongata, where he cannot make Deliverance; so the Defendant is not concluded to plead Non cepit to the Action, because he cannot falsify the Return.

The Sheriff cannot return they were not taken.

2dly, That the Capias in Withernam does not alter the Case, nor make it the stronger, because it is the meer Consequence of the Return of the Elongata; therefore he is no more estopped by the Withernam than he was by the Elongata to plead Non cepit, or to claim Property if it were a common Replevin de Averiis.

After Elongata returned, and Withernam awarded, the Defendant may plead Non cepit: See 2 Show. ut supra. Farell. 17.

3dly, That in Replevin de Averiis after an Elongata and Withernam, if the Defendant pleads Non cepit, he shall have his Cattle again, and even a Capias in Withernam against the Plaintiff for them; so it is if the Defendant claims a Property. Since the Taking of Property is in Question, the Law deems it reasonable that the Defendant should have his Goods again during the Dispute: And by the same Reason in a Homine Replegiando

Upon pleading Non cepit or claiming Property, the Defendant shall have his Goods again. So in Homine replegiando he shall be bailed on Non cepit.

Withernam
is mesne Pro-
cess.

Vid. 2 Show.
218, &c.
6 Mod. 84.
Farell. 17.

There may
be a new Wi-
thernam after
the Defen-
dant has been
bail'd upon
the first.

If on Elonga-
ta returned
the Defen-
dant pleads
Non cepit,
no Withernam shall
issue.

Vide 6 Mod.
84.

Lit. Rep. 54.
3 Leon. Caf.
523.

In Homine
replegiando,
Defendant
cannot be
bailed before
the Return
of the Wi-
thernam.

plegiando the Defendant, upon pleading Non cepit, should be resto-
red to his Liberty; and the Court denied the Withernam to be
an Execution, for that cannot be before Judgment, and held it
only to be a mesne Process: Also they disliked the Case in Ray-
mond, and the Case of the Lord Gray, but affirmed the Case in
the Reg. 79. a. and thought it made for them, and that upon Non
cepit pleaded, he might be bailed. Keil. 71. a. F. N. B. 74. were
cited. Adding this farther Reason, that hereby the Supposal of
the Writ is denied and balanced, and the Matter stands indiffe-
rent, according to the Rule of Bailing laid down by my Lord
Coke, upon West. 1. c. 15.

4thly, the Court held, That there might be a new Withernam, but observed it was not necessary nor material to determine that in this Case; for the Bail must be in a Sum certain, with Condition that he appear de die in diem; and if Judgment against him, that he render his Body in Withernam ibidem remansurus quousq; he render the Party, and permit him to go at large; therefore if he be rendered again, he is in Custody upon the Withernam, as before. So if H. brings an Audita Querela, and is bailed, and Judgment is against him, when H. is rendered back, he is in Custody again upon the first Execution; and so in an Appeal of Murder, if the Defendant be bailed and makes Default, Process shall go against the Bail, and also a Capias against him; but if he render, he is in Custody upon the Appeal: Yet Note; Upon his Default a new Capias lies against him. So in this Case if before the Withernam the Defendant had pleaded Non cepit, that had stopped the Withernam; but if that had been found against him, the Impediment was removed, and a Withernam should have gone; so here, if after the Withernam, he plead Non cepit, which is found against him, and he is not rendered, a Capias in Withernam lies against him, as well as Process against his Bail; for there is nothing to hinder it. Vide 8 H. 4. 2. T. Mainprize 23.

The Plaintiff's Counsel seeing that by the Opinion of the Court the Defendant could not be bailed, unless he pleaded Non cepit, would not deliver a Declaration; which the Court said, was putting a Difficulty upon them; and upon this, in order to nonsuit the Plaintiff, the Question was, Whether the Plaintiff was demandable upon the Withernam? Also there was a former Question, Whether he might be bailed upon the Habeas Corpus before the Return of the Withernam?

As to the last Point the Court held, That before the Return of the Withernam the Defendant could not be bailed; and so it is of an Appeal of Murder, the Defendant ought not to be bailed before the Return of the Writ; and tho' this has been done in an Appeal by some Judges, yet per Holt C. J. It was done minus rite; he would not therefore allow Bail before the Return of the

the Withernam, and said, that in a Homine Replegiando after an Elongata returned, if the Defendant comes in gratis, and pleads Non cepit, he shall not be put to find Bail; (Ratio videtur esse, because hereby the Withernam is estopped or suspended,) but if he comes in in Custody upon a Capias in Withernam, he must give Bail, and cannot be admitted to that till he call for a Declaration, and plead Non cepit.

See 2 Show.
218 to 232.

As to the other Point the Chief Justice said, that whenever a Writ is awarded, which is returnable, the Return Day is a Day to both Parties to appear, and tho' the Writ be returned not served, the Defendant may appear to prevent any ill Consequence: As to prevent a Capias, 5 E. 4. 69. So here to save himself on a Withernam. Respond. 57. 7 E. 4. 5. Upon a Distringas proximas villas, &c. the Defendants have no Day, yet they may appear and traverse. In a common Replevin the Original gives no Day, for that is Vicontiel; so is the Alias, but the Pluries is returnable here; and tho' there is no Summons nor Attachment in the Writ, yet the Day of the Return is a Day to the Parties, and the Entry is, that the Defendant attachatus est ad respondend. de pl'ito quare cepit, &c. And the Reason is, because tho' in Truth there was not actually an Attachment, yet virtually and in consequence of Law it is so, he being bound to appear upon the Peril of a Withernam. And tho' the Plaintiff be absent, he may make an Attorney: Whereupon he was called and nonsuited, for otherwise the Defendant might lose his Liberty forever by such a Contrivance.

Plaintiff is
demandable
on the Re-
turn of the
Withernam,
and may be
nonsuited for
not appear-
ing.

Horn *versus* Lewin. Hill. 12 Will. III. B. R.

In Replevin the Defendant made Conusance for 100 l. de reditu onerat. in Arretro pro uno Anno. The Plaintiff as to 50 l. pleads de injuria sua propria absque hoc quod fuit in Arretro, and as to the other 50 l. that he was ready on the Land till Sun-set, and none came to receive it, and that he is still ready to pay it, and brings the Money into Court: Defendant demurs specially to the first Plea, quia attingit ad generalem exitum: And as to the other 50 l. he takes it out of Court, & pro dampnis dicit quod non obtulit, &c. and upon this the Plaintiff demurred.

(4.)
In a Plea in
Bar of Ten-
der of Rent
in Replevin,
the Money
ought not to
be brought
into Court.
Vide Post
396, 597.
Raym. 419.
1 Inst. 34.
1 Vent. 222.

This Case being several Times spoken to, it was held, 1st, That de injuria sua propria absq; hoc, &c. was an impertinent round-about Way of pleading the general Issue, and that it amounted to no more than the general Issue, riens in Arrere, and consequently was ill upon a special Demurrer: Also this Traverse put the Defendant to an unnecessary Replication; therefore as to the 50 l. 'twas clear for the Defendant.

De injuria
sua absq; hoc
quod fuit in
Arretro; a-
mounts to
the general
Issue.

adly,

Vide 3 Lev.
104, 105.

2dly, As to the other 50 l. the Court held, 1st, That the bare paratus was not sufficient, and did not amount to a Tender without an obtulit, and therefore his being ready on the Land, since he did not tender the Rent, did not oblige the Grantee to demand the Rent before he made Distress, Hob. 207. 1 Ven. 322. And so the Distress was lawful without a Demand, and then the Avowry or Conusance of the Defendant stands unanswered. 2dly, The Profert of the Money was impertinent and idle, Keil. 20. Dy. 227. 2 E. 4, 25. Pl. 15. 2 Cro. 126. In Debt upon a Bond, there might be a Profert, to save Damages; because there the Money is the Thing in Demand: But it cannot be in an Avowry to a Replevin, because the Avowry is to justify the Taking the Cattle; and whether the Money is paid or not, is not the Question; but if the Distress was rightfully taken, the Avowant must have a Return; if wrongfully, he must answer the Plaintiff's Damages. If then the Profert was superfluous, so was the Avowant's accepting it, and Judgment must be given for the Avowant on the Avowry, by which it appears that Rent was behind, and the Distress lawful, and to which there is no sufficient Answer.

(5.)

Pratt *versus* Rutlith. Trin. 13 Will. III. B. R.
Vide *this Case*, Title Avowry, Pl. 6. Pag. 95.

OF Property claim'd in Replevin, 6 Mod. 69, 81, 139, 140.
1 Lev. 90. Lutw. 1316.

R E Q U E S T.

Vide 1 Lev.
68, 85, 289.
2 Lev. 198.
3 Lev. 363,
366.

Fitz-Hugh *versus* Dennington. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R. S. C. 6 Mod.
227, 259.

DEBT on a Bond, with Condition, reciting, That the Plaintiff was Apprentice to the Defendant for seven Years; and that if the Defendant at the End of seven Years should procure him to be made free of the Joiners Company (if requested) then the Bond to be void. The Defendant pleaded, That at the End of seven Years or afterwards hucusq; he was not requested. The Court held a Request necessary, for the Condition was to do a collateral Thing; and as it is part of the Condition it must be averred: And here a Request within the seven Years, when the Thing was not to be done, shall not be intended per Cur'. Afterwards this was moved again by Broderick, who urged, That the Defendant should have pleaded he was not requested generally, and that the Request might have been before the End of the seven Years. Et per Cur'. 'Tis not to be done post finem, but ad finem, and the End of a Thing is always Part of that of which it is the End, and he was to be made free the last Day of the seven Years, and should have requested some convenient Time in that Day that the other might have a reasonable Time to do it in. Adjudged on a Writ of Error of a Judgment in the Marshal's Court for the Plaintiff, and the Judgment reversed, per totam Curiam.

Condition to
do an Act at
the End of se-
ven Years up-
on Request;
Request must
be made on
the last Day.
See 1 Lev.
68, 85.
Pal. 321.
Farell. 143,
144.
Alecyn. 25.
Str. 49, 74.
Yel. 66.
1 Cro. 179,
280.
2 Cro. 183,
652.
6 Mod. 200,
227, 260.
1 Saund. 35.

R E S-

R E S C U E.

See Cro.

Car. 109.

Cro. Jac.

345, 486; 2 Hawk. P. C. 139, &c.

Dominus Rex *versus* Belt. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(1.)
Where the
Rescuer shall
be discharged
upon Affidavits.
Vid. Pl. 3.
infra.

IN the Case of a Rescue there are two Ways of Proceeding: If the Rescous is returned to the Philazer, and Process of Outlawry issues, and the Rescuer is brought into Court, he shall not be discharged upon Affidavits, but where upon the Return of a Rescue an Attachment is granted and the Party examined upon Interrogatories, upon answering them he shall be discharged.

See Ray. 161.

1 Lev. 214

2 Lev. 26, 28.

Anonymus. Trin. 12 Will. III. B. R.

3 Lev. 46.

(2.)
Return that
the Bailiffs
had him in
Custody of
the Sheriff,
and H. re-
scued him out
of the Custody of the Bailiffs, ill for
Repugnancy.
Vide ante
436, 432.
Post 589.
1 Show. 180.
Lutw. 130.
6 Mod. 211.
3 Mod. 114,
115.

THE Sheriff returned *virtute brevis mihi direct' feci* Warrant. A. & B. ballivis meis qui *virtute inde ceperunt* the Defendant & in custodia mea habuerunt quousq; such and such rescusserunt him, ex custodia ballivorum meorum: And Mr. Eyre moved to quash it, and it was quashed per Cur'. For per Holt C. J. When the Bailiffs have arrested the Party, he is in fact and in Truth in their Custody; but in Law, he is in the Custody of the Sheriff: An Answer either Way is good, viz. That he was rescued out of the Bailiff's Custody, or that he was rescued out of the Sheriff's Custody; but to say he was in the Custody of the Sheriff, and yet rescued out of the Custody of the Bailiffs, is repugnant.

Anonymus. Hill. 3 Ann. B. R.

(3.)
Attachment
for a Rescue
never granted
upon Affidavits.

Fine for a
Rescue.

UPON an Affidavit of a Rescue, an Attachment was pray'd against the Rescuers, and this was a Rescue upon mesne Process; but denied; for per Holt C. J. The Rescue must be returned upon the Writ, and the Motion and Attachment founded upon that; but it is never the Course to grant it upon Affidavits.

Sir Samuel Astry said, it was the constant Course upon the Return of a Rescue, to set four Nobles Fine upon each Offender, and that he had it from Mr. J. Twisden. Hill. 11 W. 3. B. R. Vide 2 Jo. 198.

See 6 Mod. 141. a Difference where'tis on mesne Process, and where on an Execution.

RESTITUTION.

Vide Raym.
85.
3 Lev. 312.

Rex & Regina *versus* Leaver. Trin. 3 W. & M. B. R.

In an Indictment of Barretry, the Defendant was convicted and fined 100 l. which was levied by the Sheriff, and paid into the hands of the Collectors; afterwards the Judgment was reversed. Pemberton moved for a Rule for Restitution against the Collectors. Holt C. J. It cannot be, for they are not Party to any Record here: You ought to sue out a special Sci. fac. and make them Parties, as in 1 Cro. 328. but this he also doubted, saying, this Case differed: He put this Case: A Recoveror in Ejectment is disseised, or makes a feoffment; afterwards the Judgment is reversed: Will a Writ of Restitution lie? He said it would not, because the Disseisor or feoffee are Strangers to the Record, which Pemberton agreed. If a feme recover Damages and then marries, and the Judgment is reversed, Restitution lies against her and her husband; but they are Parties: And the Motion was denied.

(1.)
Writ of Restitution lies not against any that are not Parties to the Record. Raym. 85.

Dominus Rex *versus* Toslin, & al'. Hill. 10 Will. III. B. R.

Vide 5 Mod.
443, 444.

A Motion was made for Restitution, upon quashing an Inquisition of Forcible Entry; the Case was, That the Lessor arrested the Lessee for Rent, and while he was in Custody entered the house under Pretence of Forfeiture by a Proviso in the Lease; but the Motion was denied, because here appears a Title standing out; which he shall not avoid by sinister Means, but ought to pursue his Remedy by Ejectment according to Law: Otherwise, had no Title appeared.

(2.)
Restitution denied upon quashing Inquisition of forcible Entry, Lease for Years standing out. Cro. El. 466. 1 Leon. Cal. 461.

Domina Regina *versus* Winter. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

UPON a Motion made by Mr. Broderick for a Procedendo in a Case of an Inquisition taken by Justices of Peace, and a forcible Detainer found, and a Warrant granted by them to restore the Possession, which was suspended by a Certiorari is.

(3.)
Traverse to Inquisition of forcible Entry is a Superfedeas to Restitution.

sued out of this Court, it was said by Holt C. J. That if the Party against whom the Inquisition was found would traverse the Force, that was always a Reason to stay Restitution; nay, that it had been held a Superseas to the awarding Restitution, and that it was so in Sir Richard Bray's Case: There an Inquisition found a forcible Entry: The Defendant offered immediately before the Justice to traverse the Force, the Justice refused the Traverse and granted Restitution; and Kelynge C. J. granted Re-restitution. He said also, that all Inquisitions of Office are of common Right traversable; so is a Distringas proximas villatas, in Case of pulling down Hedges in the Night, on the Statute of Westm. 2. tho' the Statute mentions no such Thing: And if in Case of an Inquisition of forcible Entry taken before a Justice of Peace, the Defendant tenders his Traverse immediately, the Justice must adjourn to another Day, and award Process to return a Jury. Powell J. said, That in the Case in Dyer 122. a Traverse of the Indictment was held to be a Superseas only at Election, but laterly it had been held an absolute Superseas: And he said also, That if H. licence another to enter into his Land and take the Profits, 'tis a Lease at Will; and if the Licence was for a Year, 'tis a Lease for a Year; otherwise of a Licence to hunt: And if H. licence another to come upon his Dock, (which was the principal Case) and carry on his Trade, 'tis a Lease; because 'tis all the proper Profits of a Dock. Note; Trin. 11 W. 3. Rex versus Scarlet. The same Question was, viz. Whether Re-restitution should be granted, because the Justice had refused a Traverse to an Inquisition of forcible Entry? But not resolved. 1 Sid. 287. 2 Keb. 571. Dy. 122. Sty. 359, 360. were cited.

Vide Cro.
Jac. 246, 698.
Cro. Car. 328.

Anonymus. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

(4.)
Where the Money recovered in a Judgment appears by Record to be paid, Restitution shall be without a Scire facias; otherwise where levied only.

WHERE the Plaintiff has Execution, and the Money is levied and paid, and that Judgment is afterwards reversed; there, because it appears on the Record that the Money is paid, the Party shall have Restitution without a Scire facias, and there is a Certainty of what was lost; otherwise where it was levied but not paid; there must then be a Scire facias, suggesting the Matter of Fact, viz. the Sum levied, &c. But where Judgment is set aside after Execution for Irregularity, there needs no Scire facias for Restitution, but an Attachment shall be granted upon the Rule for Contempt, if there be not a Restitution. Per Holt C. J.

Retorn of Writs.

[*Vide Title Writs.*]

Vide 4 Leon.
Caf. 69, 145,
223.
Cro. El. 310.
Cro. Car.
189.
Post 699.

Wilson *versus* Law. Mich. 6 W. & M. B. R.

WILSON brought an Appeal of Murder against John Law for killing his Brother Robert Wilson, and declared against him: The Defendant prayed Oyer of the Writ and Return; and having Oyer, demurred to the Writ and Return, and to the Felony pleaded over, Not guilty: Exception was taken, 1st, That the Writ commanded the Sheriff, quod attach. Johannem Law, &c. And the Sheriff had returned attachiari feci: This they pretended was no Answer to the Writ, the Injunction whereof is personal; but the Court held it well enough, for the Sheriff was not bound to execute it in Person, but might do it by his Bailiffs. Dyer 241. 2 Ro. 457. And what the Bailiffs do by the Sheriff's Warrant, is done by the Sheriff, Nam qui facit per alium, facit per se. If he had returned attachiatus est, it had been good: Captus est is a good Return to a Capias: Kitch. 258. For if there is the Substance, it is no Matter for Form, as 1 H. 6. 6. a Scire facias was returned, Scire feci A.B. without adding infra nominat'. Yet because it was said to be virtute brevis predict. prout mihi precipitur, it was adjudged good.

2dly, The Sheriff returned, Ita quod Corpus ejus paratum habeo ubicunq; and it was objected this was Nonsense, and also impossible. Sed per Cur'. The Return is good without it; 'tis therefore but Surplusage, which will not vitiate a Writ or Indictment, much less a Return, which requires not such Preciseness in Form. 3 Cro. 893. 2 Ro. 460.

(1.)
Attach. feci
good in a
Return.
Vide Cro.
El. 13. pl. 7.

Surplusage in
Return re-
jected.
Vide ante
430, 432,
436, 586.

See more of this Case, Title Appeal, Pl. 2. pag. 59.

Palmer *versus* Price. Mich. 7 Will. III. B. R.

AN Action was laid in Staffordshire, and Judgment for the Plaintiff; he sued out a Fieri facias with a Testatum into Worcester-shire; and now it was moved that this was irregular and ought to be set aside, because no Fieri facias had ever gone into

Vol. II.

A a 2

Stafford-

(2.)
Process
whereon to
ground Te-
statum is re-
turn'd by the
Attorney of
Course.
Ante 515.

Staffordshire; and the Sheriff of Staffordshire made Affidavit that he never returned any Fieri facias in the Cause: Sed non allocatur; for the Fieri facias upon which the Testatum is founded, is returned of Course by the Attornies themselves, as Originals are; if you search the File you may find one, and that is sufficient.

REVERSION.

Vide ante
Remainder,
pag. 576.
1 Saund. 260,
261.
1 Salk. 231.
3 Lev. 94,
209, 233,
406.

H. seised of
Lands a parte
materna, li-
mits several
Estates with
Remainder
to the Use of
his right
Heirs.
The Heir a
parte ma-
terna shall
have it, be-
ing the an-
cient Use.
2 Mod. 286.
1 Co. 105.
Cro. Car. 161.
2 Lev. 60, 79.
Cro. Eliz.
840.

No Diffe-
rence be-
tween ex-
press and im-
plied Use.
Post 675,
676.

Abbot *versus* Burton. Trin. 7 Ann. C. B.

*J. L. 11. Mod. 101. & Comyns Rep. 160.
tu 5. Durns. & East 107.*

J. S. being seised in Fee of certain Lands descended to him a parte materna, covenants to levy a Fine thereof to A. and B. to the Use of them and their Heirs, to the Intent that a Common Recovery should be suffered against the said Conusees to the Use of J. S. for Life, Remainder to his Wife for Life, Remainder to his first, second and third Sons in Tail, &c. Remainder to the right Heirs of J. S. The Fine is accordingly levied, and then the Common Recovery is suffered against the Conusees of the Fine as Tenants to the Praecipe with Voucher of J. S. Afterwards J. S. and his Wife die without Issue, and the Lessor of the Plaintiff is Heir of J. S. a parte materna; and the Defendant Heir general. This Matter being found up- on a Special Verdict in Ejectment, the Question was, Whe- ther this Limitation of the Remainder to the Use of the right Heirs of J. S. did create a new Estate descendible to the Heirs general, or was only the ancient Use? And the Resolution of the Court delivered by Trevor C. J. was for the Plaintiff, that it was the ancient Use, and that there was no Difference when upon the Conveyance of an Estate, any Part of the Use results by Implication of Law, and when it is referred by express De- claration to the Party from whom the Estate moved; for there is no Reason that a greater Alteration should be wrought in a Use which a Man by express and plain Words reserves to him- self upon a Conveyance of his Estate, than when the Law makes a Construction of this Intent to reserve it, not from express Words, but from other Circumstances and Presumptions, which in

in the Consideration of Law do as strongly and manifestly declare his Mind. And for this was cited the Case of Godbolt and Freestone, 3 Lev. 406.

But the Difference whereby that Case was endeavoured to be distinguished from the Case in Question was this: That was upon a Feoffment to the Use of the Feoffor for Life, Remainder to his first, second, and other Sons in Tail, Remainder to the right Heirs of the Feoffor; and adjudged, that this Remainder was to the Heirs of the Feoffor a parte materna, according to the ancient Estate and Use which the Feoffor had before the Feoffment: And the Reason was, because this Remainder of the Use did arise out of the Estates which immediately moved from the Feoffor, and was no more than what the Law would have reserved, if no Use at all had been declared of the Remainder. But in the present Case, the Use limited in Remainder to the right Heirs of J. S. does not arise out of an Estate that moved immediately by that Conveyance from J. S. but out of the Estate of the Conusees, who were seised in Fee both of the Estate and Use by the Fine, and did by the Common Recovery convey over that Estate, out of which all the new Uses and the Remainder in Question arose. To this the Chief Justice said, That the Fine and Common Recovery were both to be taken as one entire Conveyance, consisting of these several Parts, and directed as to the Use of them by the same Covenants. That tho' the Conusees had a Seisin in Fee of the Estate and Use vested in them by the Fine for a special Purpose, and upon that Seisin the Common Recovery was had, and in Strictness the Estate passed by it was her Estate; yet upon Consideration of the whole Conveyance the Estate did originally move from J. S. who was the Conusor of the Fine; and for that Reason, if there had been no Limitation at all of this Remainder of the Use upon this Recovery, he took it to be very clear, that so much as remained unlimited should result to the Conusor and his Heirs, and not to the Conusee in whom the Estate was not vested with a Purpose to create him any Interest, but in order to forward and compleat the Conveyance of the Conusor's Estate, which was taken as one Conveyance; then does the Use limited upon the Common Recovery, as properly arise out of the Estate that moved from the Conusor of the Fine, as if he had made a Feoffment or Fine, or any single Conveyance to that Use. Judgment for the Plaintiff.

Vide ante
567, 570.

Note; Estates-Tail can't be barr'd where the Reversion is in the Crown. Raym. 358.

RE

REVOCATION.

See 1 Lev. 86.
2 Lev. 149.
Cro. Jac. 497.
3 Lev. 213.
1 Show. 89.
(1.)
Special Verdict finding a Will of Lands, and that afterwards the Testator made aliud Testament. imports nota Revocation.
1 Co. 112, & 174.
10 Co. 86.
2 Lev. 149.

Hitchins *versus* Bassett. Trin. 5 W. & M. B. R.

J. C. Hardr. Hardr. 374. Comb. 100-209. 3. 203. 1. Show. 537. Show. Parl. Cas. 146.
IN Ejectment, the Jury found a Special Verdict: That Sir H. Killigrew being seised in Fee, made his Will, and devised his Lands to B. for Life, Remainder in C. in Fee; they find likewise that Sir H. Killigrew made aliud Testamentum in Writing; but what were the Contents of that Will they don't know: The Question was, if the first Will was revoked? Finch argued, That every later Will is not a Revocation, for a Man by one Will may dispose of one Acre, and by another Will of another Acre: So if a Man purchase Lands after he has made his Will, he need not make his Will over again, but make another Will as to these: Vide Cro. Car. 293. Therefore this other Will might be of other Lands, and no Revocation, and the aliud Testamentum might be no Revocation, but might be consistent. Cro. Eliz. 721. Cro. Car. 24. Levinz contra argued, That Revocations are favoured, because they are in the Nature of Restitution to the Heir, and all Restitutions are favoured. Vide Dyer 310. Moor 429. 1 Roll. 614. A Deed of Feoffment without Livery, a Bargain and Sale without Inrolment, a Grant of a Reversion without Attornment will revoke a Will, and yet these are void Acts; but the Reason is, That it appears now it was not the Testator's Intent that it should remain his Will, the first Will must be supposed to be perfect and include all; and if a Man claims by Devise, he must in Pleading say, that the Testator by his last Will devised, &c. 44 Aff. 36. 2 Ric. 2. 3. b. But the Court were of Opinion, That it was no Revocation, and the aliud Testamentum might concern other Lands, or no Lands at all, or be a Confirmation of the former: And the Judgment was afterwards affirmed in the House of Lords. Vide Hard. 374. Parl. Cases 146.

(2.)
Will of personal Estate presumed to be revoked by Alteration of Circumstances.
Vide 1 Salk. 257.

Lugg *versus* Lugg. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

J. C. 1. 2. Raym. 440.

BEFORE a Commission of Delegates. One being single, made his Will, and devised all his personal Estate to J. S. afterwards he married and had several Children, and died without other Will or Disposition, and now coram Delegatis, of which Treby

Treby C. J. was one, 'twas ruled, That there being such an Alteration in his Estate, and Circumstances so different at the Time of his Death from what they were when he made the Will, here was Room and presumptive Evidence to believe a Revocation, and that the Testator continued not of the same Mind.

For Revocation of Wills, &c. Vide 1 Co. 112, 173, 174. 6 Co. 33, 34. 10 Co. 86, 143. Cro. Car. 472. Chan. Cas. 242. 2 Lev. 149.

Riots, Routs, and unlawful Assemblies.

See the Stat.
13 H. 4. c. 7.
2 H. 5. c. 8.
19 H. 7. c. 13.
& Stat. 1 Geo.
c. 5.
2 Rol. Abbr.
82.

Dominus Rex *versus* Ingram & al'. Hill. 8 Will. III. B.R.

INGRAM and many others were convicted of a Riot on 13 H. 4. c. 7. before two Justices of Peace, together with the Sheriff. Et per Cur'. 1st, If the Rioters be convicted on View, the Sheriff must be Party to the Inquisition; but if the Rioters disperse before Conviction upon View, the Sheriff need not be Party, for the Justices may make the Inquisition without the Sheriff. 2dly, Such Inquisition by two Justices is pro Domino Rege, and need not be alledg'd capt. pro Domino Rege & corpore Comitatus, as an Inquisition by a Grand Jury, who inquire as well pro corpore Comitatus, as pro Domino Rege. 3dly, If the Justices make not Inquiry within a Month after the Riot, they are punishable; yet they may enquire after the Month, for the Lapse of a Month does not determine their Authority, but only subjects them to a Penalty.

(1.)
To Inquisition of Riot upon View, Sheriff must be Party; otherwise not, and such Inquisition is pro Rege only.
See 6 Mod. 141.
1 Sid. 186.
1 Keb. 695.
Raym. 386.
Carth. 383.
S. C.
Comber. 423.
Dyer 210.

Dominus Rex *versus* Heaps. Pasch. 11 Will. III. B. R.

INDICTMENT, That the Defendants riotose & routose & illicite assemblaverunt, & sic assemblati existentes riotose & routose insultum fecerunt in quendam J. Russel, &c. Upon Not guilty, the Jury found two Defendants guilty, and acquitted the rest: And it was moved in Arrest of Judgment, that two cannot make

(2.)
Indictment for a Riot and Assault. Acquittal of the Riot is so of the Assault.
Vide 1 Salk. 384, 385.
2 Show. 93, 149.

594 Riots, Routs, and unlawful Assemblies.

Vide Post
642. Pl. 14.
2 Show. 93,
&c.

make a Riot, and therefore cannot be guilty of a Riot, and that all are acquitted by this Verdict: On the other Side it was said, That the Assault and Battery is charged in the Indictment as well as the Riot; and two Defendants may, as they are found, be guilty of that.

Vide 3 Mod.
141.
Hawkins's P.
C. 155.

Sed per Holt C. J. A Riot is a specifick Offence, and the Battery is not laid as a Charge of itself, but as a Part of the Riot; for the Riotose & Routose runs thro' all, and is ascribed to the Battery as well as the Assembly. The Consequence is, That these Defendants being discharged of the Riot, are discharged likewise of the Battery; and no Judgment can be given; and Judgment was arrested.

Domina Regina *versus* Soley & al'. Trin. 6 Ann. B. R.

(3.)
Unlawful At-
sembly ne-
cessary to a
Riot.
Vide Hawk.
P. C. 155, &c.
Pop. 121.
2. and 67.
Moor 656.

INFORMATION that the Defendants tiel jour & lieu Ballivum & Burgenses de Bewdley in Guihalda Burgi præd. assemblat' ad eligend. ballivum burgi prædict. pro Anno tunc prox' sequent. deservitur' in electione prædict. procedere vi & armis clamoribus & vociferationibus illicite riotose & routouse impediverunt. The Defendants were found guilty, and upon Motion in Arrest of Judgment it was held naught. 1st, Because it did not appear that any Right is claimed, nor any such Franchise pretended to, so that they might be doing an unlawful Act; but if they had shewed a Right to this Franchise, this might be a Disturbance to them in the Use of it; and to disturb another in the Use of his just Franchise, is an unlawful Act. Vide 29 E. 3. 18. 'Tis a Trespass. Vide Reg. 94. Ash. 434. Rast. 662. 2dly, Because it is not said that the Defendants unlawfully assembled; for a Riot is a compound Offence. There must be not only an unlawful Act to be done, but an unlawful Assembly of more than two Persons.

Disturbing
H. in the
Use of his
Franchise is
a Trespass.

Domina Regina *versus* Soley & al'. Trin. 6 Ann. B. R.

(4.)
Unlawful
Act to be
done neces-
sary to a
Riot.
Vide 3 Inst.
176.
Hawk. P. C.
157.

INFORMATION that the Defendants illicite riotose & routose assemblaverunt ad pacem perturband. & vi & armis ostium cujusdam Domus vocat. the Guild-hall Burgi de Bewdley, clausum existen. de cardinibus riotose & routose elevaverunt. The Defendants were convicted, and upon Motion in Arrest of Judgment it was held naught, because it did not appear whose House it was: If it was the Defendants it was not an unlawful Act. Every Crime must arise from an unlawful Act. It is said to be vocat. the Guild-hall Burgi, but calling it so does not make it so: The Guild-hall may belong to a private Person as well as the Borough. Vide Dy. 68. Yel. 28. 3 Cro. 200. Pet the Chief Justice thought

thought an Assembly might meet together with such Circumstances of Terror as to be a Riot: He called it a Kind of Assault upon the People. Vide Hob. 91. 1 Mod. 73. West. Preced. 149. Lamb. 5 Co. 91. b. 108. 3 Keb. 623. 1 Ro. Rep. 49.

Domina Regina *versus* Ellis. 6. Ann. At Nisi Prius in Middlesex, coram Holt C. J.

INDICTMENT against four Defendants, for that they did riotously assemble, and Vi & Armis beat and wound one Ellis. Upon Non cul. it appeared at the Trial, that Ellis who was the Enfield Stage Coachman driving to London in the Highway, the Defendants, one in a Chaise, and the rest on Horse-back, over-took him, and that the Chaise was over-turned by the Coach, but not through any Fault of the Coachman; but that the Driver of the Chaise and his Company taking Offence at it, followed the Coachman and beat him barbarously. It was objected upon this Evidence, that this was a Trespass, but not a Riot, because the Company was lawfully assembled. Et per Holt C. J. 1st, If several are assembled lawfully without any evil Intent, and an Affray happens, none are guilty but such as act; but if the Assembly was originally unlawful, the Act of one is imputable to all.

2dly, If three, or more, are lawfully assembled, and quarrelling, all fall upon one of their own Company, this is no Riot; but if it be on a Stranger, the very Moment the Quarrel begins, they begin to be an unlawful Assembly, and their Concurrence is Evidence of an evil Intention in them that concur; so that it is a Riot in them that act, and in no more. So ruled, and so found by the Jury.

(5.)
If three, or more, assembled lawfully, and quarrelling, fall upon one of their own Company, 'tis no Riot; if on a Stranger it is, but in those only who concur. See 1 Mod. 13. cont. 2 Keb. 558. 6 Mod. 43, 141, acc.

Vide Tit.
Motion.

Rules of Court.

Sir James Butler's Case. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(1.)
Defeating a
Rule of Court
by a Stranger,
is a Con-
empt.

UPON a Rule of Reference to Arbitrators, they make an Award for the Plaintiff, and a Stranger by Contrivance defeats the Party of the Benefit of this Award; Per Cur'. It is a Contempt to the Court, and an Attachment shall be granted; for it shall not be in any one's Power to defeat the Rules of this Court, or render them ineffectual.

Anonymus. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
Construed
largely.

IF a Man enters into a Rule in B. R. not to sue Execution upon a Judgment, and brings an Action of Debt upon the Judgment, 'tis a Breach of the Rule. Per Cur'.

Gregg's Case. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

(3.)
Where an
Administra-
tor sues, can-
not bring
Money into
Court.
See 6 Mod.
11, 25, 101,
155.
Ante 593.
H. 2 Ann. C.
B.
Money may
be brought
into Court :
In Covenant
for Rent,
Debt for
Rent,
Replevin
and Avowry
for Rent.
Vide ante
593.
Mod. Cases
29.
1 Salk. 130.

PER Holt C. J. at the Sittings at Guild-Hall, in an Action by an Administrator: The Defendant cannot bring Money into Court, because the Administrator is not by Law to pay Costs, and Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R. in Gregg's Case, an Action was brought by an Executor for Money due to his Testator for Law-business done by him, 'twas moved to bring so much Money into Court, but denied.

Covenant and Breach for Non-payment of Rent, and for not repairing, &c. 'twas moved to bring in so much for the Rent; and as to the other Breach, that the Plaintiff might proceed as he thought fit. Et per Trevor, All the Judges have agreed, (for he put the Case to Holt C. J.) that it is but reasonable to allow it: That it does not differ from Debt for Rent; for tho' it be Covenant, yet it is a Covenant for Payment of a Sum certain. The same Diversity was taken, between Covenant for a Sum certain, and chose incertain, per Holt C. J. Hill. 9 Will. 3. B. R. saying it did not differ from an Indebitatus Assumpsit. Et Trin. 12 W. 3. B. R. same Rule.

In

In a Quantum meruit bringing Money into Court was denied. ^{Quantum meruit.} Hill. 8 Will. 3. B. R. But Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R. it was allowed, ex motione Magistri Raymond.

In Trover for a Horse, Bridle and Saddle, 'twas moved to bring the Saddle and Bridle into Court; but denied Trin. 2 Ann. B. R. Wilcocks the Attorney's Case.

Replevin, Defendant avows for Rent, and Plaintiff admitted to bring it into Court. Hill. 10 W. 3. B. R.

In Ejectment upon an Entry for Non-payment of Rent, the Court staid all Proceedings upon bringing all the Rent into Court, and accepting a new Lease and sealing a Counterpart. Downes versus Turner. Mich. 8 Will. 3. B. R.

In Debt for Rent, 'twas moved to bring so much into Court, and Holt C. J. thought it hard; he said he remembered the Beginning of these Motions; the first was to bring in Principal and Interest upon a Bond; after that it came to an Indebitat. Assumpsit: It has been done in Debt for Rent, but not so freely; we do it in Ejectment on a special Reason, viz. because that Action subsists intirely upon the Rules of the Court. Per Holt C. J. Pasch. 10 W. 3. B. R.

In Debt upon a Bond; Defendant must bring in the whole Penalty, or the Court will not stay Proceedings. Hill. 9 W. 3. B. R. But semble ne poet estre without bringing in the whole Money. If the Parties dispute the Quantum, and there is a Dispute how much is due, ne poet estre referre. Trin. 11 W. 3. B. R.

Elliot *versus* Callow. Mich. 9 Ann. B. R.

DEFENDANT brought Money, viz. 10 l. into Court, and had it struck out of the Declaration: Afterwards the Plaintiff suffered a Nonsuit; and the Question was, Whether he should be allowed to take this Money? Et per Cur'. He shall; for so much the Defendant has admitted to be due, and so much he has actually paid him; and if the Cause had gone on to Trial, there must have been a Verdict for the Plaintiff as to so much; for this is admitted to be due, and paid down as the Plaintiff's Money; otherwise perhaps of Money paid into Court by Way of Tender. If a Man pleads a Tender & uncore Prist, and pays the Money into Court, and the Plaintiff takes Issue on the Tender, and it is found against him, the Defendant shall have the Money. Sty. 388.

(4.)
Tho' Plaintiff is nonsuit, yet he shall have Money brought in upon striking it out of the Declaration.

SCIRE FACIAS.

Panton *versus* Hall. Trin. 1 W. & M. B. R. Rot. 130.

(1.)
Judgment a-
gainst two;
Scire facias
against one,
ill.

Vide post
601.

Farell. 3, 4, 6.
S. C. Carth.
105.

Vid. Co. Ent.
621. a.

1 Rol. Abr.
888.

Allen 21.

Return of
Sci. feci a-

gainst Terte-

nants ought
to be of all

the Tertenants in balliva sua.

A Judgment in Debt was recovered against A. and B. and a Scire facias awarded against the Tertenants and the Heir of B. The Sheriff returned a Scire feci as to the Heir, and returned several Persons Tertenants in balliva sua, warned; they appear and plead in Abatement, no Scire facias had been awarded against A. The Plaintiff replied, That he at such a Time sued out a Scire facias against A. and set it forth. This Replication was held naught on Demurrer, for a Scire facias is a judicial Writ, and must pursue the Nature of the Judgment; therefore as the Judgment is joint, so ought the Scire facias; whereas here they are as several independent Suits. 2 Ro. 276. 20 H. 7. 3. Cro. Car. 517.

2dly, The Return is ill, for it should not be, that A. B. and C. are Tenants of Lands in balliva sua, but A. B. and C. are Tenants of all the Lands in balliva sua.

Herne 326. 2 Brownl. 392.

Tully's Case. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
Error to re-
verse a Fine.
3 Mod. 274.
1 Salk. 339.

In Error to reverse a Fine, tho' in Strictness of Law a Scire feci being returned against the Conusees, is sufficient; yet for fear of Purchasers, and in Favour of them, there shall be a Scire facias against the Tertenants.

Hardisty *versus* Barny. Hill. 7 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
Where Scire
facias shall
issue on Mo-
tion, and
where with-
out.

If a Judgment be above ten Years standing, the Plaintiff cannot sue a Scire facias without Motion in Court; if under ten, but above seven, he cannot have a Scire facias without a Motion at Side-Bar. Note; if after such Motion and Judgment revived by Scire facias, the Defendant dies before Execution, the Plaintiff must sue a new Scire facias, but may have it without Motion; for the Judgment was revived before. Per Cur'.

Anonymus. Trin. 8 Will. III. B. R.

IN C.B. there is but one Scire facias, and upon Nihil returned Execution. In the King's Bench there are two Scire facias's and two Nihils returned, and heretofore both were sued out together by making the Teste of the Second as if the First were returned; but now the Court made a Rule that both should not be sued out together, but the First should be duly returned before the Second should be sued out, and that the Second should be tested the Day of the Return of the First.

(4.)
Rule for suing out and returning Scire facias. Farel. 5, 6, 40, 68, 69, 138. 6 Mod. 86. & infra Pl. 7. & 12. Cumberb. 452, 428. Farel. 40, 56.

Lugg *versus* Goodwin. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

A Scire facias upon a Judgment against the principal Defendant was, in hac parte. Et per Holt C. J. on Search of Precedents; where it is against the Defendant himself, it should be in hac parte; Where against the Bail, in ea parte, and this will reconcile the Precedents.

(5.)
Against Principal in hac parte, and Bail in ea parte. Farel. 4.

Anonymus. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

A Capias ad satisfaciendum against the Principal in order to charge the Bail must lie four Days exclusive in the Sheriff's Office, but there is no set Time for a Scire facias: Same Rule laid down Mich. 10 W. 3. B. R. But a Scire facias against Bail must lie a convenient Time, and the first Scire facias may be antedated even in Term-time, per Clarke Secondary. Sed per Holt C. J. It cannot be antedated where it issues by Rule of Court.

(6.)
Scire facias against Bail must lie in the Sheriff's Hands a convenient Time.

Goodwin *versus* Peek. Mich. 11 Will. III. B. R.

THE first Scire facias was tested the 24th Day of October, and returnable the 31st Day of October; the Alias was tested the same Day, returnable the 7th Day of November. Shower objected, there ought to be fifteen Days exclusive between the Teste of the first and Return of the last: But the Court held, that here being fifteen Days inclusive, 'twas very well, and the Præcisers agreed it. Vide 2 Jones 228.

(7.)
Fifteen Days inclusive between Teste of first and Return of last, sufficient. Vide Post 602. 1 Lutw. 26. Mod. Cases 146.

Proctor

Vid. 3 Lev.
100.
Lutw. 1268.

Proctor *versus* Johnson. Pasch. 13 Will. III. B.R.

(8.)
Scire facias
lies on a
Judgment in
Ejectment.

ON a Judgment in Ejectment in C. B. a Scire facias was brought against the casual Ejector, suggesting, that since the Judgment A. and B. ingressi sunt & modo tenent. And on Demurrer, Judgment given quod haberet Execution, and upon this Award of Execution a Writ of Error was brought in B.R. where the Objection was, That a Scire facias lay not on a Judgment in Ejectment; for at Common Law it lay only in real Actions; and the Statute gives it only in personal. Vide 2 Inst. 469. Et per Holt C. J. 1st, At Common Law there was a Scire facias on a Judgment in real and mix'd Actions: It lay on a Judgment in Assise, for the Land was bound by the Recovery, which was a good Title, and there was no other Way to execute the Judgment upon Change of Parties, as there was in Case of Judgment for Debt and Damages, where Debt lay on the Judgment.

Writ general.

2dly, The Scire facias may either be general against all Certe-
nants, or against the Certe-
nants, naming them.

3dly, That Strangers may falsify, but those that claim under the Judgment are estopped and bound by the Judgment.

S. C. 1 Salk.
258.

Withers *versus* Harris. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R. (Vide this
Case, Title Ejectment, pl. 11.)

(9.)
Whether Sci-
re facias lay
in personal
Actions be-
fore West. 2.

IT was said by Holt C. J. I am not satisfied with the Opinion of my Lord Coke on West. 2. that at Common Law no Scire facias lay on a Judgment in a personal Action, till West. 2. for the Words sive alia quacunq; irrotulata came after contractus and conventiones, and therefore cannot be construed of Judgments, but the Law has been otherwise taken, and I must submit; 'tis plain it lay on a Judgment in Annuity.

S. C. ante 564.
Post 659.
6 Mod. 132.

Shuttle *versus* Wood. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

(10.)
Scire facias
against Bail
in B. R. must
be brought
in Middle-
sex, and not
elsewhere.
Lutw. 1286.
3 Lev. 235,
245.
Cro. Jac. 331.
Hob. 195.
Aley 12. 1 Cro. 312.

ON a Recognizance taken in B. R. the Scire facias must be brought in Middlesex; for the Recognizances there are not obligatory by the Caption, but by their being entered of Record in the Court; so 'tis of Debt: But on a Recognizance in C. B. the Scire facias may be laid in the County where the Caption was, or in Middlesex where 'tis filed; for 'tis a Record by the Caption and becomes immediately obligatory, and therefore may be brought there; and 'tis also filed at Westminster in C. B. and there remains of Record. Vide Al. 12. 1 Cro. 312. Hob. 195.

Adams and Tertenants of Savage. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R. S.C. 1 Salk. 40. & Post 679.

A Defendant brought a Scire facias on a Judgment recovered by his Intestate against H. and the Writ was general against such as were Tenants of the Land of H. at the Time of the Judgment. The Sheriff returned several Tertenants warned; among the rest one A. seised of a Messuage and of a Manor called D. The Tenants appear, and all join in this Plea, viz. That J.S. is seised of the Freehold of the Manor of D. & petunt judicium de brevi, & quod breve ill. cassetur. Et per Curiam, The Plea was held ill, and resolved:

1st, That the Tertenants cannot join in this Plea, because they are severally returned, one for one Part, and another for another.

2dly, This is pleading a Non-tenure by Implication as to the Manor of D. Now in a Scire facias on a Judgment in Debt, or any personal Action, the Defendant cannot plead Non-tenure generally, because 'tis contrary to the Return of the Sheriff, but he may plead a special Non-tenure in such Case; and so the Law is now after long and great Difficulty settled. 3 Cro. 872. 8 E. 4. 19. 9 H. 5. 11. But in a Scire facias for Execution in a real Action, the Defendants may plead a general Non-tenure; because their Freehold, which is much favoured in Law, is in question.

3dly, The Tertenants in this Case may plead there be other Tertenants not named in the same County, and pray Judgment if they ought to answer, quousque the others be summoned, but ought not to pray, quod breve cassetur; for the Court ought never to abate the Writ, but where the Plaintiff can have a better Writ; otherwise if the Writ had been particular in naming the Tenants. Vide 2 Saund. Jeffreyson *versus* Dawson, a good Precedent: And they seemed to doubt whether the Tertenants could plead other Tertenants not warned in another County; and the Chief Justice cited Owen 104. that Tenant for Years may be a good Tenant to plead in Bar to a Scire facias to a personal Action where Damages are recovered, but not in a real Action. Vide Co. Ent. 702. 624. 2 Cro. 506. Cro. El. 740. 2 Saund. 8. Palm. 241. 2 Ro. Rep. 53.

(11.)
Tertenants
return'd for
several Parts
cannot join
in a Plea,
which goes
to one part
only.
See before
598. Pl. 1.
2 Saund. 23.
Farell. 15.
6 Mod. 134,
199.

Where Non-
tenure may
be pleaded
generally or
specially.
Vide ante
569.
3 Keb. 25, 50.
3 Lev. 205.
6 Mod. 226.
1 Keb. 55,
310, 351, 352.
2 Keb. 587,
636.

2 Saund. 23.
1 Mod. 29.
1 Sid. 436.
2 Keb. 529,
&c.

Ball versus Manucaptors of Russel. Trin. 4 Ann. B. R.

(12.)
Scire facias
on Recogni-
zance of Bail
assigning
Breach, that
the Defen-
dant did not
pay nor ren-
der Prisonæ
Mar. Ma-
resc. nostræ,
sufficient.
Vide Lurw.
1273.

SCIRE FACIAS against Bail, setting forth, quod cum que-rens recuperasset against one Russel, and the Defendants de-venerunt plegii, that he should either pay vel se reddere prisonæ Maresc. Mareschal. nostræ. The Defendant prayed Oyer of the Recognizance; and that was, That he should pay, vel se reddere prisonæ Mareschalli Mareschallix Domina Regina coram ipsa Re-gina, quo lecto & audit. the Defendant pleaded, that there was no Capias ad satisfaciend. returned against the Principal; the Plain-tiff replied, there was a Capias ad satisfaciend. and set it out; the Defendant demurred: It was objected by Pengelly, That the Oyer given was defective, not shewing of what Term the Recognizance was, so that it did not appear to warrant the Scire facias, or that it is what the Scire facias is brought upon: That the Ca-pias set forth appears to have but four Days between the Teste and Return, and that there is no Breach assigned by the Scire fa-cias, for the Render by the Recognizance ought to be to the Mar-shal of the King's Bench Prison; but the Breach is, That he did not render himself Prisonæ Mareschalli Mareschallix nostræ, which is the Prison of the Palace. Vide 10 Co 68. b. Thes. Br. 233. N. Br. 251. J. 5 E. 3. c. 8. and Spelm. Gloss. in hoc verbo. To this it was answered and resolved by the Court:

1st, That tho' the Oyer be imperfect, yet there is no Variance between the Scire facias and Recognizance, and since they agree together, the Recognizance is a sufficient Ground for the Scire facias; but the Defendant should not have gone on, but insisted upon his Want of Oyer.

Eight Days
must be be-
tween the
Teste and Re-
turn of Capi-
as ad satisfac.
against the
Principal in
order to
charge the
Bail.

Vide ante
599. Pl. 7.
Farell. 40,
96, 138.
1 Lurw. 26.
Q. 6 Mod. 86.
Mod. Cases
146.

2dly, There ought to be eight Days between the Teste and Return of the Capias ad satisfaciendum against the Principal, by the Practice and Course of the Court; and if the Defendants had moved for the Irregularity, the Court would have helped them: But in Point of Law, the Process of this Court may be re-turnable de die in diem, especially into Middlesex; and therefore they shall not take Advantage of this which is but an Irregularity, upon Demurrer.

3dly, All other Marshalleas were derived from the Earl Mar-shal of England, and the Marshal of the Household is never styled Mareschallus Mareschallix nostræ; and this being a Scire facias on a Recognizance of Bail taken here, the Marshal here must be intended the Marshal of this Court. Judicium pro quer'.

Attwood *versus* Burr. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.S. C. ante 89.
Farell. 3.

A Writ of Error was brought upon the Award of Execution on a Scire facias against Bail, and thereupon the Record of the Judgment against the Principal was also returned: It was assigned for Error, that the Scire facias was sued out and prosecuted by J. S. his Attorney, but no Warrant of Attorney entered of Record. It was answered, That J. S. appears to have been the Attorney in the principal Cause, and in that to have had a Warrant, but the Court reversed the Judgment; for the Record against the Principal need not have been certified upon this Writ of Error, and the Suit against the Principal was another distinct Suit; therefore there ought to be a particular Warrant of Attorney for this Scire facias against the Bail, and this Warrant ought to be entered, not before the Writ sued; for any Body may sue out the Scire facias; but upon the Return thereof, for then the Suit commences.

(13.)
Judgment on
a Scire facias
against Bail,
reversed for
want of War-
rant of At-
torney.
5 Mod. 397.
called At-
wood *versus*
Duell.
1 Salk. 89,
405.
6 Mod. 304.
Comberb.
149, 161.

Anonymus. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

In the Case of the King there need not be any Scire facias af-
ter the Year.

Vide Co. Lit.
70, 83, and
107.
3 Lev. 21.
4 Co. 8, 9, &c.

Service and Suit.

Tomkins *versus* Crocker. Pasch. 2 Ann. B. R.

Service by
doing Suit to
the Court of
a Manor
twice a Year.
Carth. 520.
Same Names.

IN Replevin, the Defendant made Conusance for Fealty, Rent, and Suit of Court, and the Suit of Court was laid to be Suit to the Court of a Manor twice a Year: The Plaintiff confessing a Tenure by Fealty and Rent, traversed the Tenure by Fealty and Rent and Suit to the Court of the Manor twice a Year. The Jury found there was but one Freeholder Tenant of the Manor, and that Time out of Mind an ancient Court had been held before the Steward, and that several Freeholders, Tenants, did Suit to it, and that the Plaintiff held by the Service of doing Suit ad Cur. Man. præd. bis in anno apud Man. præd. Mr. Raymond objected, That the Court found by the Jury, is not the Court claimed by the Conusance; for Curia Manerii is the Court-Baron, which is incident of common Right, and therefore no Title need be made for it, nay it cannot be prescribed for; whereas this Court here found is a special customary Court, for which he ought to make Title in his Conusance, and not a Curia Manerii. Vide 1 Inst. 58. 2 Inst. 99. 1 Inst. 268. Noy 20. Et per Holt C. J. and Powell J. As a Court of Pie-powders may be to hold Plea of Things out of the Market, and yet is a Court of Pie-powders; so may a Court of a Manor be more than a Court for Suitors every three Weeks, and yet be a Court of a Manor: There may be a Manor-Court to be held before the Steward bis in anno. Vide 1 Leon. 316. And it is to enquire of Arrearages of Rents and Services: And the Suit to such Court shall be intended by Reservation before the Statute of Quia emptores terr'. Vide 12 H. 7. 18. One that is not resistant may be bound to do Suit real; for it shall be intended a Suit-Service reserved on creating the Tenure: And the Court held in the principal Case, That the Suit set forth in the Conusance was expressly found by the special Verdict.

Sessions General and Quarter.

Vide ante
470, 474,
475, 476,
477, 479,
480, 482,
490, 491,
494.
5 Mod. 329.
2 Hawk. P.
C. cap. 8.

The Case of the Parish of King-Langley. Trin.
11 Will. III. B. R.

A Motion was made to quash an Order of Sessions, because the Justices had adjourned the Appeal from one Sessions to another, and so the Determination upon the Appeal was not at the next Quarter-Sessions: Sed non allocatur: For the Appeal must be lodged at the next Quarter-Sessions; yet when it is lodged, the Justices may adjourn it. Per Cur'.

(1.)
Appeal may
be adjourn'd
from one
Quarter-Ses-
sions to ano-
ther.
Vide ante
494.
Post 606, 608.

Inter the Parishes of Lingfield and Battle. Pasch.
1 Ann. B. R.

CAPTION of an Indictment of Sessions was, Sessio tent. vicefimo & vicefimo octavo die Julii, &c. Et per Holt C. J. It is naught, for tho' a Sessions may adjourn from one Day to another, and so sit by Adjournment, yet it must not appear in a Lump, as sitting three Days together, but distinctly.

(2.)
Sessions can-
not be enter-
ed as sitting
three Days
together.
Ante 494.
Post 606, 608.

Domina Regina versus Savin. Pasch. 2 Ann. B. R.

An Order of Sessions was made, that the Clerk of the Peace should prosecute an Indictment of Barretry found against J. S. and that the Charge be allowed out of the County-Stock. Note, By 43 Eliz. c. 2. The Justices have Power to dispose of the Surplus to charitable Uses; upon which Clause it was offered to maintain this Order: But the Court said this was not to take Place out of the Surplus, but out of the original Stock; besides, a Gift of Lands to raise Money to prosecute Offenders, would not be good as a charitable Use.

(3.)
Sessions can-
not make an
Order to pro-
secute an Of-
fender out of
the County-
Stock.

*See 1. Barnard. 165.
191. 4. Term Rep.
1591.*

Anonymus. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

(4) **W**HEN a Statute gives a Penalty to be recovered before Justices of Peace, and prescribes no Method, it ought to be by Bill. Per Holt C. J.

Inter the Inhabitants of St. Andrew's Holborn and St. Clement Danes. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

(5.)
Sessions may
alter and set
aside their
own Orders
the same Ses-
sions.
Ante 494,
605.
Post 608.
6 Mod. 287.
5 Mod. 396,
417.

UPON a Certiorari the following Orders were removed in: to B. R. 1st, An Order made at the General Quarter Sessions of Middlesex, 1704. which recited an Appeal made at the General Sessions of the Peace for the same County in September 1704. by the Parish of St. Clement Danes, against an Order of two Justices, to remove one Mary Gear from St. Andrew's Holborn to St. Clement Danes, as the Place of her last legal Settlement; and that it was thereupon ordered, That the said Appeal should be determined such a Day this Sessions, and that the Churchwardens, &c. of St. Andrew's Holborn should then attend, and then went on and ordered, That forasmuch as it appeared to the Court upon Oath, That a Copy of the said recited Order was served upon the Churchwardens, &c. of St. Andrew's Holborn; and for that the Churchwardens, &c. of St. Andrew's Holborn had neglected to attend, the Court allows the Appeal. 2dly, An Order which recited, That whereas the Churchwardens, &c. of St. Andrew's Holborn, had then paid in open Court to the Churchwardens of St. Clement Danes 40s. Costs allowed to them, because the Churchwardens of St. Andrew's Holborn neglected to attend, upon which the Appeal was allowed, and the Order of the two Justices vacated; and then ordered, That for good Reasons shewn unto this Court, It is ordered that the said recited Order of this Court made on Monday last, be vacated and discharged, and that the said Appeal be reheard. 3dly, An Order setting forth, That upon hearing the Appeal of St. Clement Danes, it not appearing that Mary Gear hath any legal Settlement in St. Andrew's Holborn, or elsewhere, save in St. Clement Danes; this Court doth dismiss the said Appeal, confirm the Order of the two Justices, and order the said Mary Gear to be continued in St. Clement Danes. Mr. Darnel moved to quash the second and third Orders; he argued, That the Hands of the Court were tied up by the first Order of Sessions; sed tota curia contra; and Holt C. J. That during the Sessions, the Order was in the Breast of the Court; and tho' it was drawn up, yet it was so far in the Breast and Power of

of the Court, that by the second Order it ceased to be a Record. The Court at the Old Baily have altered and set aside their Judgments ten Times the same Sessions; where Judgment de pain fort & dure has been given, the Court have after let him in to plead, and after upon his Trial he has been convicted, and has had another Judgment against him to be hanged: So it is of Judgments here; which during the same Term are in the Breast of the Judges; but then he said, they ought to set the first Order wholly aside, and have entered up the third Order as the only Order; for the Effect of the Court's setting aside of the first Order is, That it ceases to be an Order, and consequently ought not to be returned to us as an Order vacated by another Order, but it should have been annulled and made nothing: As in this Court we cannot enter up one Judgment upon Record, and then enter a Vacat of that, and then enter a contrary one: The Sessions as well as the Term is but one Day in Law. But the Matter was referred to the three puisne Judges; & sic quievit.

1 Cro. 341.
1 Jo. 330.
1 Cro. 350;
351.

The Case of Foxham Tithing in Com. Wilts. Hill.
3 Ann. B. R.

A Justice of Peace was Surveyor of the High-way, and a Matter which concerned his Office coming in question at the Sessions, he joined in making the Order, and his Name was put in the Caption. Et per Holt C. J. It ought not to be; as if an Action be brought by the Chief Justice of the Common Pleas in the Court of Common Pleas, the Placita must be coram Edmo Nevill Mil. & Sociis suis, and not coram Thoma Trevor, &c. And it was quashed.

(6.)
Order of Sessions quashed, because it concerned one of the Justices named in the Style of Court.

Inter the Inhabitants of the Parishes of South Cadbury and Braddon in Com. Somerset. Mich. 9 Ann. B. R.

On an Appeal to the Sessions the Court discharged the first Order, and now Mr. Earl moved to set aside the Order of Discharge, because the Justices do not say whether they discharge it for Form, or on the Merits; for if it was for Form, the Parish is not bound; but if on the Merits, the Parish in consequence is hereby discharged for ever. Et per Chr. J. The Justices are not bound to express the Reason of their Judgment in the Judgment, no more than other Courts; and if it was otherwise held in the late Chief Justice's Time, it past without due Consideration. The Reason of their Judgment must be collected from the Record; as where Judgment is arrested upon an insufficient Indictment.

(7.)
The Sessions need not set forth the Reason of their Judgment.

Orders re-
vers'd, ante
472, 486,
492, 494.
6 Mod. 287.

If the Sessions reverse the first Order, and that being removed appears to be good, this Court must intend it was reversed on the Merits, and affirm the Order of Sessions.

If the Sessions reverse the first Order, and that being removed, appears not to be good, we must intend it was reversed for Form, and affirm the Order of Reversal.

So if the Sessions affirm the first Order, and that appears to be good, we must affirm the Order of Sessions.

But if the first Order appears bad, and the Sessions affirm it, this Court must reverse it, because it appears naught.

SHERIFFS.

Vide 10 Co.
99, 100.
1 Salk. 99,
175.
Sheriff may
take Bail-
Bond on an
Attachment
of Contempt,
but the Pro-
secutor may
refuse to ac-
cept it.
Mod. Caf.
122.

Dominus Rex versus Daws. Hill. 13 Will. III. B. R.

DAWS was taken upon an Attachment for a Contempt die veneris prox. post Crastin. Sanctæ Trin', and the Sheriff took a Bail-Bond for his Appearance, and suffered him to be at large: Daws would not appear, the Sheriff was amerced. The Prosecutor refused to accept the Assignment of the Bail-Bond; and Raymond moved, That farther Amerciaments might be stayed, and that the Prosecutor might accept of the Bail-Bond; which was but in 40l. and the Prosecutor thought it too little: he urged, the Sheriff was bound by the Statute to bail and let him at large, and cannot seize him after the Return; he has done all he can, and ought not to be amerced for not doing more than he can. No Action lies against him in such Case, there ought for the same Reason to be no Amercement: The Court agreed, That a Bail-Bond may be taken on an Attachment, but said they could not oblige the Plaintiff to accept the Bail-Bond, it might be insufficient; if it was, the Sheriff might thank himself; if it be sufficient, he may by suing the Bail-Bond, reimburse himself.

Statutes

Statutes in General, and the Exposition thereof.

See 2 Inst. 301.
2 Leon. Cal.
114.
3 Co. 13.
Vaug. 169,
170, 373.
Ray. 54, 191,
355, 356.

Rex & Regina *versus* Barlow. 5 W. & M. B. R.

INDICTMENT on 14 Car. 2. c. 12. against Church-wardens and Overseers, for not making a Rate to re-imburse the Constables: Exception was taken, That the Statute only puts it in their Power to do so by the Word may, &c. but does not require the doing of it as a Duty, for the Omission of which they are punishable: Sed non allocatur; For where a Statute directs the doing of a Thing for the sake of Justice or the publick Good, the Word may is the same as the Word shall; thus 23 H. 6. says, the Sheriff may take Bail; this is construed, he shall; for he is compellable so to do.

(1.)
Where a Statute directs a Thing of a publick Nature, may is understood as shall.
Q. Cro. Jac. 134.
Cro. El. 655.
2 Inst. 118.
Comber. 220.

Bennet *versus* Talbot. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R. Vide Title Declaration, pl. 2. pag. 212.

(2.)

Mills *versus* Wilkins. Hill. 2 Ann. B. R.

Vide 6 Mod. 62.

2 Hawk. P. C. 247.

(3.)

TRESPASS for taking several Skins from the Plaintiff: the Defendant as an Officer justified on 1 Jac. 2. cap. 22. about Tanning, and set forth the Title of the Act, mistaking a Word, by which it varied from the true Title, and averred the Skins were not dressed according to the Intent of the Statute; to which it was demurred, because no such Act as is here set forth. Vide Cro. Car. 232. 3 Keb. 648. On the other Side it was answered, That the Title is no Part of the Act; that old Statutes have no Title, and the Opinion of Hale C. Baron was cited. Hard. 324. Vide Hut. 56. Hob. 310. 11 Co. 33. 4 Inst. 345. Holt C. J. The Title is not a material Part, neither is it necessary to set it forth; but yet it is a Name given by the Makers, and therefore you must describe it accordingly if you will describe it by its Title; and they denied the Opinion of my Lord Hale, saying, It was a sudden Opinion. Judgment for the Plaintiff.

Title no part of Statute, but if set out wrong, is fatal.
Vid. 4 Co. 48.
Cro. Car. 232.
1 Jon. 50.
Cro. Jac. 140.
Ray. 191, 192.
Hard. 324.
3 Cro. 186.
Saund. 128.
3 Keb. 641,
642, 648.
Dyer 324.
Hob. 310.

Statutes,

Statutes, and the Exposition thereof.

S. C. 1 Show. 241. Hobbs *qui tam* versus Young. Trin. 3 W. & M. B.R. Intr. Hill. 1 W. & M. Rot. 129.

(1.)
Exercising a
Trade by
others is
within the
Stat. 5 Eliz.
Vid. Post Pl.
2, 3, & 7.
3 Mod. 313.
S. C. Carth.
162.
Comber. 179.
Shower 266.
11 Co. 53. b.
Cro. Car.
347, 516, 517.
6 Mod. 21.
Cro. Car.
516, 517.
1 Saund. 10.
1 Rol. R. 10.
Hob. 211.
2 Bulst. 187.
1 Cro. 347.
349, 516.
8 Rep. 130.
11 Rep. 54.
1 Sid. 303.
Cio. El. 872.

DEBT on 5 Eliz. for using the Trade of a Clothworker, not being brought up an Apprentice; upon Nil debet the Jury found, That the Defendant was a Turkey-Merchant, and exported Woollen Manufacture into Turkey, and that he employed Clothiers that had served Apprenticeship to work the Clothes in his own House, at his own Charge, and with his own Materials, which he sent into Turkey as Merchandize, but that the Defendant never served an Apprenticeship. Per Cur'. 1st, The Defendant is the Trader in this Case, and the Person that exercises the Trade, because he employs the rest, who work but as his Servants, and the Loss and Gain is to be his.

2dly, This is a Trading within the Statute; because the Cloth is not confined to the Use of his own Family, but vended out for the sake of Commerce; and whether the Utterance be in England or in Turkey, is not material.

3dly, That he that hath not served an Apprenticeship is by this Statute restrained to work as a Trader, either by himself or others; for the Intent of this Act is to annex the Benefit of Trade to such as underwent the Hardship of Learning it, thereby to encourage Labour in Youth: And few would undergo the Trouble of being Apprentices, if they might employ others to work for them.

4thly, This is a negative Statute, and no one shall exercise a Trade against it, unless by Virtue of a Custom, as the Widows of Tradersmen, who by Custom carry on the Trade of their Husbands, which the Court held not within the Statute. Hutt. 122. Noy 5. 1 Saund. 312. 2 Bulst. 191. Cro. Car. 516.

Dominus Rex *versus* Paris Slaughter. Hill.
11 Will. III. B. R.

BRODERICK moved to quash an Indictment on 5 Eliz. c. 4. (2.)
for using the Trade of a Fellmonger, not having been an Indictment
Apprentice seven Years; he urged, That this was a Business re-
quired no Skill, for it was only to pull the Wool from the Skin. fore exercising
the Trade of
he cited 1 Cro. 499. Information for exercising the Trade of a Fellmonger
contrary to
5 Eliz. not
quashed, be-
cause averr'd
to be a Trade
at the Time
of making
the Act.
Vide pl. 1.
& 7.
Hemp-dresser reversed. One Pasch. 4 Jac. 2. for exercising the
Trade of a Wool-comber, quashed. Of a Pippin-monger rever-
sed. Sed per Holt C. J. If in the Indictment it be averred to be
a Trade at the Time of making the Statute, we will not quash
it; for whether it was a Trade then or no, or whether any Skill
be requisite to the Exercise of it, is Matter of Fact proper for
the Trial of a Jury: And there are many Trades within the ge-
neral Words and Equity of that Act, besides those that are men-
tioned therein. The Case of a Costermonger is not yet deter-
mined, and the Case of an Upholster 2 Bullst. 186. is not Law.
The Court would not quash it.

Domina Regina *versus* Harper. Trin. 4 Ann. B. R.

INDICTMENT for using the Trade of a Merchant-Taylor (3.)
contrary to the Statute 5 Eliz. Serjeant Broderick moved to Where the
quash it, because 'tis not a Trade within the Statute. Quashed Trade is a-
verr'd to be a
Nisi. Some Days after Mr. Eyre moved to quash an Indictment Trade at the
Time of the
against Cornish, for using the Trade of a Seamstress, not having Act, the
Court cannot
served as an Apprentice; and the Court refused, because it was set intend it not
within it.
forth in the Indictment to be a Trade in England at the Time of
making the Act; wherein the Words are, Any Craft, Mystery or
Occupation now used. So that if this Trade of a Seamstress
be not within the Act, the Defendant would have the Advantage
of it upon the Trial. But as to Harper's Case, which Mr. Eyre
put them in Mind of, the Court seemed to think a Merchant-
Taylor was Nonsense and unintelligible; they did not know what
a Merchant-Taylor meant, and so it differed. It is a good Ex-
ception that it is not averred in the Indictment, That the Trade
therein mentioned was a Trade at the Time of making the Sta-
tute. Domina Regina *versus* Cornish, eodem termino.

Billings *versus* Eads. Mich. 4 Ann. B. R.

(4.)
H. may let
Coach-
Horses and
Coach-man
without a
Licence.

TRESPASS and Special Verdict found; the Case was, A Gentleman had a Coach and Harness of his own, and gave an Inn-holder 100 l. per Annum to find him a Pair of Horses and a Coachman: And the Question was, Whether he could do this without a Licence to keep a Hackney Coach, upon 5 & 6 W. & M. c. 22? Et per Cur'. Tho' in the Beginning of the licensing Clause, sect. 3. and in the prohibiting Clause, sect. 5. Hackney Coach or Coach-Horses are mentioned in the Disjunctive, yet that must be understood of Coach-Horses to be used with a Hackney Coach, because all other Provisions in the Act, viz. the Number, the Fine, the Rent, and the whole Revenue reserved, is confined to Hackney Coaches; and the Party cannot forfeit, but where the Commissioners may licence; and 'tis an Act of Restraint.

Anonymus. Mich. 4 Ann. B. R.

(5.)
Construction
of the Stamp-
Act.

A Warrant of Attorney for entering up a Judgment was written upon a Paper, which likewise contained a Bond, and had only one Stamp, whereas by the Act concerning Stamp-Duties it ought to have two Stamps: Judgment was entered up by Vertue of this Warrant. And now it was moved, That the Judgment and Execution might be set aside for this Cause. Sed per Cur'. There may be Reason to refuse such a Warrant of Attorney in Evidence, but no Reason to make all void; for there is nothing in the Act that imports that.

Dunn *qui tam* *versus* Hinchdy. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

(6.)
Debt sur.
Stat. 10 W.
3. c. 2.
Vide 3 Lev.
290, 374.

DEBT upon the Statute 10 W. 3. c. 2. for twelve Dozen of Buttons, made de ligno tantum in Imitation of, &c. The Jury found the Buttons were all Wood but the Shanks, which were Brass, and that they were made in Imitation, &c. and that there were Buttons made de ligno tantum, &c. Weld said, That now it appeared the Statute was applicable and might have its Effect upon other Buttons, and that a Penal Law was to be construed strictly, and not by Intendment. Vide 2 Inst. 199. Pl. 47. a. But the Court resolved they were prohibited by the Act; for the Shank is no Part of the Button, but added to fasten it: And if Buttons thus made in Imitation, &c. by having a brass Shank added, should be out of the Act, it would be in every Body's Power to evade it. Adjudged.

Domina Regina *versus* Maddox. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

PER Cur'. Upon Indiaments on the Statute 5 Eliz. in Evidence we allow following the Trade for seven Years to be sufficient without any binding, this being a hard Law.

(7.)
Exposition
of 5 Eliz.
Vide ante pl.
1, 2 & 3.
Cumber.
254, 255.

Statutes of Hue and Cry.

P. C. 71, 72. 3 Inst. 68. Dalt. c. 100. Staund. P. C. 27. 1 And. 116. 2 Sid. 44.

Stat. of Win-
ton 13 E. 1.
c. 12. and 27
El. c. 13.
Vide Hale's
1 Sid. 139.

Afcomb *versus* The Hundred of Spelholm. Mich. 2 W. & M. B. R. Intr. Hill. ult. Rot. 901.

S. C. 1 Show.
94, 241.
3 Mod. 287.
S. C. called

Afcomb *versus* the Hundred of Elthorn.

AN Action was brought by the Master, for the Robbery of A. and B. his Servants: The Jury found the special Matter, and that B. was a Quaker and would not take the Oath, viz. That he knew none of the Robbers. Et per Cur'. 1st, The Master may sue for the Robbery of his Servant, and the Oath of the Servant is sufficient. 2dly, The Servant may also sue, for he had the Possession. 3dly, If the Servant be robbed in the Presence of the Master, the Master must sue, and the Oath of the Master is sufficient. Sty. 156. But if the Servant was robbed out of the Master's Presence, the Servant must swear. 4thly, As to B. who refused to swear, the Hundred is not liable; for the Statute of Eliz. was made in Favour of the Hundred to prevent Confederacy and Combination between the Thieves and the Party robbed, and 'twas the Master's Folly to employ such a Servant.

(1.)
H. robb'd, re-
fusing to take
the Oath,
cannot sue.
Vide post pl.
3.
7 Co. 6, 7.

Servant
robb'd of his
Master's
Goods, Ma-
ster may sue.
Vide infra, &
ante 440, 441.
2 Saund. 380.
S. C. Carth.
145. called
Afcomb
versus the
Hundred of
Elthorn.

Combs *versus* The Hundred of Bradley. Pasch. 5 & 6 W. & M. B. R.

Vide Style's
Rep. 156, &c.
supra.

IN an Action against the Hundred, the Plaintiff declared, that he was possessed ut de bonis suis propriis, &c. The Jury found the Plaintiff was a Servant, and was robbed upon the Road

Vol. II.

D d 2

(2.)
Servant
robb'd of his
Master's Mo-
ney may have
an Action a-
gainst the
Hundred.

Comber. 263.
4 Mod. 303.
Latch 127.

of 20 l. of his Master's Money, and 20 s. of his own. Et per Cur'. The Action well lies by the Servant, for the Money is his, and he is possessed ut de bonis propriis against all, and in Respect of all but him that hath the very Right: If a Servant be robbed of his Master's Goods, he may maintain an Appeal of Robbery.

Vide I Show.
80.

Dowly versus The Hundred of Odium Mich.
6 W. III. B. R.

(3.)
Declaration
need not set
forth the
Oath to be
taken before
a Justice of
Peace of the
Hundred.
Vid. ant. pl. 1.
7 Co. 6, 7.
Noy 155.
2 Vent. 215.
2 Saund. 423.
3 Salk. 184.
S. C. Farell.
157.

In an Action upon the Statute of Hue and Cry, a Verdict was for the Plaintiff; and Mr. Clark moved in Arrest of Judgment, That it appeared the Oath was taken before a Justice of Peace of the County, and not a Justice inhabiting within the Hundred, according to 27 Eliz. But the Court held it good notwithstanding that, for the Statute of Winton says no such Thing, and 27 Eliz. does it only by Way of Direction; and the Declaration had been good tho' it had not shewn an Oath taken before any Justice at all.

Cowper versus The Hundred of Basingstoke. Hill.
1 Ann. B. R.

(4.)
Assault in the
Hundred of
A. and Goods
taken in B.
B. is charge-
able.
See 2 Cro.
675.
March 11.
Noy 52, 155.
1 Leon. pl. 72.
1 Sid. 263,
367.
4 Co. 41, 42,
47.
5 Co. 2 Pl.
39.
1 Co. 99.
Hale's P. C.
54.

How Murder
has Relation
to the Stroke.
1 Hawk. 79,
80.
2 Hawk. 180,
181, 320.

In Debt on the Statute of Hue and Cry, a Special Verdict was found: That the Plaintiff was travelling in the Highway in the Hundred of Michael-River, where he was set upon and carried into the Hundred of Basingstoke, and robbed in a Coppice in the Highway of this Hundred: The Question was, Which Hundred should be liable? And it was adjudged, That the Hundred of Basingstoke should, for there the Robbery was committed, and not before; and if these had been two Counties, it is certain the Indictment of Robbery must have been brought in the later where the Goods were taken, and not in the first where the Assault was made: Also the Hundred is not liable for not preventing the Robbery, but for not taking the Robbers; for if they are taken, the Hundred is excused. Vide Hutt. 125. Goldsb. 86. It was objected, That the Taking, &c. should relate to the Assault, and so it was a Robbery ab initio, like the Case of Murder, where 'tis certain there shall be a Relation to the Stroke, which was the Cause. In Answer to which the Court took this Diversity. As to Forfeitures there shall be a Relation to the first Cause; so if there be a Pardon of the Stroke, it shall discharge the Murder; but after the Stroke, if one knowing thereof receives him, or if a Constable arrests him and lets him go, the Receiver is not Accessary to Felony, nor the Constable a Felon; for

for it is not a Felony till Death ensue. 11 H. 4. 12. b. Otherwise as to collateral Purposes; for if the Stroke and Death are laid at different Days, and the Indictment concludes, Et sic murdravit die & loco of the Stroke, it is naught; but the Day and Place of his dying is well. 4 Co. 41. Plowd. 401. But here the Assault is not a necessary efficient Cause of the Robbery, as a Stroke in Murder is; therefore there is no Relation in this Case, because no Necessity. It was objected, What if one be taken in the Hundred of A. and carried into the Hundred of B. into a House there, viz. a Mansion-house, and robbed, or taken in the Day-time in A. and carried to B. and there robbed in the Night, shall there be no Remedy? And the Court said those Cases were not provided for by the Statute. Adjudged per Holt & totam Cur. Also he said as to the Assault's being in the Coppice, That it was not necessary the Robbery should be in the Highway to charge the Hundred. And yet see Cro. Car. 267. where 'tis said, That the Inhabitants are not bound to keep Watch in a new Highway, or to make Amends for a Robbery therein committed.

Who chargeable to the Hundred on a Hue and Cry, Vide 2 Saund. 423.

1 Show. 60.
3 Salk. 184.
3 Mod. 258,
287.
5 Mod. 150,
160, 263.
2 Saund. 379,
380, 423.
4 Mod. 383.

Vide S. P.
1 Show. 60.
Comber. 150.
1 Goldsb.
155, 156.
2 Goldsb.
280.
1 Hawk. 202.
Cro. Car. 267.

Subsidies, Taxes, and Customs.

Brewster *versus* Kitchel. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.
Vide the State and Resolution of this Case, Title Covenant, pl. 4. pag. 198.

In the Debate of this Case it was laid down by Holt C. J. That the Word Taxes, generally spoken with Reference to a Freehold, or where the subject Matter will bear it, shall be intended parliamentary Taxes propter excellentiam. 34 H. 8. Quinzin 9. But there be other Taxes not parliamentary, as Repair of Churches, Commission of Sewers; for any Imposition which takes away Part of his Goods or Rent, is a Tax. 2 Inst. 532.

The ancient Way of Taring was by Tenths and Fifteenths, then by Subsidies, after that by Royal Aids; at last by a Pound-Rate: The former were all on the Person or personal Estate, and were much the same Thing: The later was upon Rents and Lands.

(1.)
Taxes in general means parliamentary.
3 Mod. 368.
S. C.
Comber. 466.
S. C.
1 Salk. 198.

Different Manners of Taxing, and when introduced.

Tenths

Cenths and Fifteenths were the most ancient. Vide Spelman verbo Quindecima. In 8 Ed. 3. a Valuation was made on all the Towns in England, and returned into the Exchequer, which became the standing Measure for taxing. 2 Inst. 76, 77. From this when a Tax was given, the Officers of the Exchequer could easily compute what each Town was to be charged with, and what it came to. Vide 11 H. 4. 35, 36. Bro. Quinz. 9.

The first Subsidy was in and by 32 H. 8. cap. 50. which was a Tax upon the Person for his Lands and Goods, payable by the Party where he lived. This continued till 15 Car. 1.

The Assessment of Tax, according to a Pound-Rate, came in 17 Car. 1. In these Assessments there was a Clause to empower the Tenant to deduct: So it was in 1642, 1644, 1649. And then, and upon this Account it was, that in Conveyances it came to be provided, that there should be no Deduction for Taxes.

Trowell *versus* Ellford. Trin. 5 Ann. B. R.

(2.)
He may be
assessed to the
Land Tax
either where
he dwells or
carries on his
Employ-
ment.

TRESPASS against the Collectors of the Land-Tax; the Case was, That the Plaintiff lived in Middlesex, but exercised and followed the Business of a Factor in Smithfield; and the Question was, Whether the Commissioners of Middlesex where he lived, could tax him as living in Middlesex, or he should be taxed by the Commissioners of London as following his Business in Smithfield? And Holt C. J. held, That the Action lay, and that he was not taxable by the Commissioners of Middlesex; for by the very Words of the Act, he is to be taxed in the Place where his Office is exercised: Ceteri Justic. contra, for this is not an Office which is local, but an Employment which is personal, and the Person is taxable where he lives; and the affirmative Words of the Act are directory: He is taxable in either Place.

Robinson *versus* Stephens. Mich. 8 Ann. In Canc.

(3.)
Where there
shall be De-
duction for
Taxes out of
Annuity, and
where not.

IF one covenants to pay an Annuity to J. S. the Covenantor shall not deduct for Taxes; for the Charge is on the Person of the Covenantor, and not the Land: So if H. having a Term for Years, devises an Annuity to J. S. and his Heirs, there can be no Deduction for Taxes, for the Term for Years is no otherwise chargeable with it, than as it is Part of the personal Estate; for it cannot be said to issue out of the Term, when in Point of Duration it may continue much longer: So if H. grants an Annuity to J. S. and afterwards secures it out of a real Estate, there shall be no Deduction for Taxes; for the subsequent Security

rity cannot lessen the Effect of his former Grant, which in its Creation was Tax-free. Per Cowper Lord Chancellor, at his House.

Paul *versus* Shaw. Hill. 8 Ann. In Cam' Scacc'.

J. C. 1. Bro. Parl. Cas. 319. See Park. Rep. 209.

ASSUMPSIT for 500 l. received to the Use of the Plaintiff; on Non Assumpsit a special Verdict was found, That King Charles I. gave to J. S. and his Heirs, the Duty of Prilage of all Wines imported, to hold discharged of all Aids and Taxes; and the Question was, Whether the Grantee should pay Tonnage for this upon 9 & 10 W. 3? Note; The Duty of Tonnage was first imposed per 12 Car. 2. c. 4. viz. 4 l. 10 s. on all French Wine; then comes 1 Jac. 2. c. 3. and imposes 8 l. per Ton on French Wine, with a Clause, that the Grantee of Prilage should pay the Duty; then comes 7 & 8 W. 3. c. 20. which imposes 25 l. per Ton; after that comes 9 & 10 W. 3. c. 23. which imposes over and above, a Duty of 4 l. 10 s. to be levied according as it was by 12 Car. 2. And it was adjudged in the Exchequer, that the Grantee should not pay this Duty of Tonnage: Upon this Error was brought; and it was said for the Grantee, That this was an ancient Royal Revenue, that if the Crown now held it, Tonnage could not be due, the Queen could not pay a Duty of Tonnage out of her own Prilage; that the Grantee claimed under the Crown, and ought to have the same Privilege and Exemption, the rather because it was granted with this Immunity. But per Trevor C. J. and seven other Judges in the Exchequer Chamber, it was answered and resolved, That immediately on Importation, this Duty of Tonnage attached upon the Wine, and the Grantee receives whatever Part he takes for Prilage charged with the Duty; and this must be presumed to be the Intent of the Law; for it cannot be imagined the Law meant to raise this Duty on the People to enrich a private Man, which would be the Effect, if he may have his Prilage Custom free: And the Grantee paid the Tonnage imposed by all former Laws.

As to the Reasons on the other Side, they answered, If Prilage had remained in the Crown, it could not have paid by reason of the Unity of Possession, it being absurd, that the Queen should be chargeable with a Duty to her self; but this Exemption is only personal, and the Duty revives when Prilage comes to a Subject, who may pay the Duty to the Crown, as where a Parson leases his Glebe. And as to the Covenants or Clause of Discharge in the Grant, that could only extend to the Tonnage then in Being, which he, tho' King, had himself, and not to what he had not, but might be given to his Successors. And the Judgment was reversed:

(4.)
Prilage, an ancient Duty on Goods granted away by the Crown is chargeable with other Duties charged on the same Goods while in the Grantee's Hands.

Concerning Prilage, Vid. Hardr. 36 37, 218, 301, &c. 477. Davis 17.

Post 620, 621.

SURRENDER.

S. C. 3 Lev.

284.

2 Vent. 198,

199, &c.

ante 576, 427,

& 565.

Surrender

vests the E-

state in the

Surrenderee

without his

express Ac-

ceptance.

3 Mod. 301.

3 Mod. 296.

S. C.

Cases in

Parl. 150.

Shower 296.

Co. Lit.

266. b.

In the Case of Thompson and Leach. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R. (Which see Title Remainder, pl. 2.)

THE Court held, That a Surrender immediately de- vests the Estate out of the Surrenderor, and vests it in the Surrenderee; for this is a Conveyance at Com- mon Law, to the Perfection of which no other Act is requisite, but the bare Grant; and tho' it be true, that every Grant is a Contract, and there must be an Actus contra actum, or a mutual Consent; yet that Consent is implied; a Gift im- ports a Benefit, and an Assumpsit to take a Benefit may well be presumed; and there is the same Reason why a Surrender should vest the Estate before Notice or Agreement, as why a Grant of Goods should vest a Property, or Sealing of a Bond to another in his Absence, should be the Obligee's Bond immediately without Notice. 2 Vent. 198. 3 Levinz 284. Shower 150.

See 3 Lev. 285. That the Judgment in the principal Case was revers'd in the House of Peers, in 1692.

TAIL.

T A I L.

Vide ante
370.

Symonds *versus* Cadmore. Hill. 4 & 5 W. & M. B.R. (1.)
Rot. 743. Vide *this Case*, Title Fines, pl. 3. pag. 338. S. C. 1 Salk.
338.
1 Show. 370.
4 Mod. 1.

Machil *versus* Clark. Trin. 1 Ann. B.R. Intr. In B.R. S. C. Farell.
Pasch. 12 Will. III. Rot. 342. 18. 2. L. Raym. 770.
Linn. 119.

TENANT in Tail covenanted to stand seised to the Use of himself for Life, Remainder to John his eldest Son in Tail, and afterwards suffered a Common Recovery with single Voucher, wherein he was Tenant to the Præcipe: And the first Question was, What Operation the Covenant had? For if it made any Alteration in the Estate-tail, the Recovery did not bar; and if it did not, the Recovery and single Voucher did bar. The Court held the Recovery good, and affirm'd the Judgment; and Holt C. J. delivered the Opinion of the Court, and gave the Reasons for it, the Sum of which was, 1st, That if Tenant in Tail by Covenants to stand seised, or by Lease and Release, or Bargain and Sale, conveys to another and his Heirs, 'tis a base Fee, not determined nor determinable till the Entry of the Issue; for before the Statute de donis, he had a Fee-simple; and the Statute does not alter the Nature of the Estate, but restrain the Power of Alienation; and therefore as he might before the Statute, so he may since; the Statute only makes it voidable.

2dly, He has the whole Estate in him, and therefore must be able to devise it, and so he said was Seymour's Case in Point, viz. Tenant in Tail bargained and sold, the Bargainee has a descendible Fee. This Case he held for Law, but denied the Case of Took and Glasgow, 1 Saund. 260. and likewise Litt. §. 612. if it be taken literally.

3dly, This appears from 3 Coke 84. If Tenant in Tail grants a Rent, Adowson, &c. 'tis not void as to their Issues, but voidable; for if he brought a Formedon, the Defendant may plead a Warranty. Vide Winch 5. But this is still more apparent from the common Case, where Tenant in Tail makes

(2.)
Covenant by
Tenant in
Tail to stand
seised to the
Use of himself
for Life, Re-
mainder to A.
in Tail, is
void; because
the Remain-
der is to take
Effect after
his Death.
1 Mod. 98,
121, 159, 175.
3 Lev. 126,
306, 307, &c.
2 Lev. 75,
213, 225.
2 Mod. 207.
1 Vent. 372.

Bargainee of
Tenant in
Tail has a
descendible
Estate.

What Con-
veyances by
Tenant in
Tail are de-
feisible by
the Entry of
the Issue.

a Lease not warranted by the Statute, 'tis not void as to the Issue, but voidable; and Tenant in Tail may exchange with a Tenant in Fee, in which Case a Fee is given and taken without Livery: Ergo, Tenant in Tail may also by Covenant to stand seised. If Tenant in Tail by Lease and Release, by Bargain and Sale, or by Covenant to stand seised, convey to another and his Heirs, the Estate-tail is not in Abeyance, but in the Alienees, for the Law puts nothing in Abeyance, but of Necessity; and 'tis not in the Tenant in Tail; for he cannot bring Waste, &c. Vide Hob. 139. Yelv. 51. 1 Leon. 110. 1 And. 191. 3 Cro. 895. But he held that the present Conveyance did produce no Alteration in the Estate-tail, because the Estate in Remainder was to commence after his Death; and took this Difference.

If Tenant in Tail make a future Lease for Years, which by Possibility may be to commence during the Life of Tenant in Tail, it is not void, but voidable as to the Issue.

But if it be a future Lease to commence after the Death of Tenant in Tail, 'tis merely void in its Creation; for 'tis not to commence till the Title of the Issue commences, and that is an elder Title concurring with it; and if the Law should make it otherwise than void, the Law would make him a Trespasser. Vide Dy. 279. Pl. 7. 2 Cro. 565.

Tenant in Tail covenants to stand seised to the Use of A. and his Heirs, or A. for Life with Remainders. it divests the Estate-tail; otherwise if the new Use be to take Effect after his Death.

So in Covenant, if one covenant to stand seised to the Use of A. and his Heirs, or to the Use of A. for Life, Remainder to B. in Fee, the Covenant is not void, but puts the Estate-tail out of the Covenantors.

But if Tenant in Tail covenant to stand seised to the Use of A. and his Heirs after his Death, 'tis void.

So it is in the Case at Bar, Tenant in Tail covenants to stand seised to the Use of himself for Life, Remainder to J. S. and his Heirs; for the Remainder is to take Effect after his Death, when by his Death the Title of the Issue commences, and the Covenant as to the Estate for Life to himself is void in this Case, because here is no Transmutation of Possession; such Covenant is in any Case only good in respect of the Remainders, and since the Remainders are void, the Covenant and the first Estate are likewise void.

Idle versus Coke. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

(3.) Surrender to A. for Life, Remainder to A. and his Wife for their Lives, and their Heirs and Assigns; and for Default of such Issue to A. and his Heirs, is a Fee in A. and his Wife.

H. Seised in Fee of a Copyhold, surrendered the same to the Use of himself for Life, and after that to Valentine his Son and Alice his Wife, pro & durante termino vicarum suarum naturalium & hered. & assignat. prædict. Valentini & Aliciæ. Et pro defectu talis exitus, To the Use of himself and his Heirs. And it was held per totam Curiam, 11,

1st, That a Limitation of Uses in a Copphold Surrender must be construed by the same Rules, and in the same Manner as if it were a Limitation in a Deed of Feoffment, or any other Conveyance at Common Law; and that the Intent of the Party is not sufficient as in a Will, for the Statute 21 H. 8. leaves the Testator at Liberty to express his Intent as he pleases; but the Common Law ties up Conveyances to set Form and set Words.

Vide ante 618.
Surrender to be construed as a Conveyance at Common Law.

2dly, In a Gift in Tail it must be limited of what Body the Issue is to come; so as it may appear by express Words, or something tantamount or equivalent; and therefore a Gift to H. and his Heirs Males, or a Gift to H. and his Heirs Females, is not an Estate-tail; because it is not said, nor does it appear of whose Body they are to issue. At Common Law this would not have been a Fee conditional; and the Statute de donis does not create Estates-tail, but preserves them: A Fee at Common Law was either absolute or restrained; those restrained Fees were either restrained as to Duration, as a Gift to A. and his Heirs, while such a House stood, &c. which was a base Fee; or restrained as to what particular Heirs, or of whose Body issuing should inherit, which was a Fee conditional, and is by the Statute turned into an Estate-tail: Ergo, this is a Fee-simple at Common Law, and is so at this Day; for there are Words to create an Estate of Inheritance; but none to restrain that to Issue or Heirs to the Body of the Party: But a Gift to a Man and the Heirs Males of his Body, is an Estate-tail; so is an Estate to Husband and Wife, & hæredibus de ipsis procreatis, for de ipsis is tantamount; so if a Gift be to H. and his Heirs, if he have Issue of his Body; and if he die without Heirs of his Body, the Remainder to himself and his Heirs; for here is an Inheritance created, and it is manifest he meant Heirs of his Body, & voluntas donatoris in Charta doni sui manifestè expressa de cætero observetur, and this is a necessary Implication. Jenk. 171. 5 H. 6. 6. So if a Gift be to A. & hæredibus suis si hæredes de carne sua habuerit, & si nullos hæredes de Carne sua habuerit, Remainder to the Donor: So if Lands be given to A. & si contingat ipsum obire sine hæredibus de corpore suo, Remainder to the Donor, is an Estate-tail, per Holt C. J. tho' not given to him and his Heirs. Quære.

In a Gift in Tail it must appear of what Body the Issue are to come.

Fee absolute, base, restrained, conditional.

By what Words Tail may be created.
Vide Co. Lit. 26.
10 Co. 87.
Moor Cas. 940.

3dly, They agreed Beresford's Case, viz. A Feoffment to the Use of A. for Life, Remainder to B. and the Heirs Males of the said B. lawfully begotten, and for Want of such Issue lawfully begotten, to, &c. to be an Estate-tail; for of the said B. is de dicto B. or ex dicto B. which is sufficient to denote ex quo corpore; so if it were in Latin, remanere prædict. B. & hæredibus de dicto B. or ex dicto B. But if it were in Latin remanere dicto B. & hæredibus suis, or hæredibus ipsius & pro defectu talis exitus, Remainder over, it had been no Estate-tail.

To B. and the Heirs Males of the said B. lawfully begotten, and for Default, &c. over is Tail.

Of equal to
de or ex in
Latin.

4thly, It was agreed per Holt C. J. Powys and Powell Ju-
stices, That Valentine and Alice had a Fee-simple, and not an
Estate-tail, because the Words, & pro defectu talis exitus import
nothing of their dying without Issue; it is not said pro defectu
talis exitus de corporibus dict. Valentini & Alicie, or de prædict.
Valentino & Alicia, but generally, whereas every Heir is the Is-
sue of some Body. If there had been particular Words ex-
press'd, as if they die without Heirs of their two Bodies, there
might be Reason to turn the express Estate of Fee-simple raised
into Tail, which cannot be altered upon a bare Implication, the
rather in this Case, because of the Word Assigns; for an Estate-
tail is not an assignable Estate. 7 Co. 40. Litt. Rep. 344. 1 Cro.
363. 3 Cro. 478. Plowd. 541. 3 Leon. 5. Hob. 32. 37. Aff. 15.
1 Cro. 366. 2 Sid. 41. Gould J. contra, because the Intent of the
Party was to create an Estate-tail, and the Word of in English
is equal and tantamount to de or ex in Latin.

Vide 5 Co.
114, 115.
8 Co. 147.
Litt. Rep. 33,
34.
2 Inst. 107.
1 Lev. 44.

Tender and Refusal, Amends, &c.

Giles *versus* Hart. Mich. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(I.)
To a general
Assumpsit,
Tender and
Refusal must
be pleaded
with a Tout
temps prist,
which can-
not be after
Imparance.
2 Lev. 209.
3 Lev. 24,
103, 146,
277, 440.
1 Show. 129,
130.
Comber. 441,
334.
Ven. 322.

INDEBITATUS ASSUMPSIT and Quantum meruit,
and that being requested at such a Day and Place, the De-
fendant refused to pay: The Defendant pleaded, That at
such a Day before the Request, he tendered, and the Plain-
tiff refused; and that afterwards he was always ready and tenders
the Money into Court: Plaintiff demurred, because the Defen-
dant had imparled, and because it is not pleadable in an Assump-
sit, and because here was no Answer to the special Request. Et
per Holt C. J. Where the Agreement is to pay at a certain Time,
Tender at that Time, and always ready, is a good Plea; but where
the Money is due and payable immediately by the Agreement, the
Party must plead tout temps prist from the Time of the Promise:
But this cannot be after Imparance, for by that it appears he
was not always ready; otherwise if no Imparance; then he
might

might have pleaded *tout temps prist* notwithstanding the special Request laid in the Declaration, because it was immaterially alleged there; so in Debt, tho' the Plaintiff lay a special Request, the Defendant may plead *semper paratus*, and pray Judgment de dampnis: And the Plaintiff may reply a special Request to shew the Defendant was not always ready: So in the principal Case: Yet there is a Difference between Debt and Assumpsit; for in Debt the Damages are but accessory; but in Assumpsit are the Principal: Therefore in Debt, the Defendant may plead in Bar of the Damages; but in Assumpsit the Defendant ought to plead always ready, with a Profer in Cur. and demand Judgment de ulterioribus dampnis.

S. C. Carth. 413.
In pleading Tender in Debt, Defendant prays Judgment de dampnis. In Case de ulterioribus dampnis.

Sweatland versus Squire. Hill. 10 Will. III. B. R.

INDEBITATUS ASSUMPSIT: The Defendant pleaded that before the Action, viz. Such a Day, he tendered the Sum of so much Money, and that he was always after ready, and now is ready, and prays Judgment de dampnis; Plaintiff demurred. Et per Cur'. It is not enough that he was always ready since the Tender; the Money was due before, and the Neglect of Payment was a Delay, a Breach of Contract and a Cause of Action; now here is no Damages for that, but those Damages and all that Part of Time remains unanswered, in respect of which the Plaintiff ought to have Judgment. Note; The Counsel for the Defendant said, that Interest was due for the Time unanswered, and Damages would be recovered in respect of that Interest. Quod fuit negatum per Powell J. Interest is recovered by Way of Damages, where Damages are recovered *ratione detentionis debiti*; but not where Damages only are recovered, for Interest is not recovered occasione dampnorum. Judgment pro quer.

(2.)
Tout temps prist.
Lutw. 226, 283, 368.
Comber. 443, 444.

Interest not recoverable where Damages only are recovered.

Lancashire versus Killingworth. Trin. 13 Will. III. B. R.

H COVENANTS at two Days Notice to accept 1000 l. Stock at any Time within twelve Months, and to pay 2000 l. on the Transfer; and the Plaintiff shews, That on the second Day of November he gave the Defendant Notice he would be at the Place the Fourth, and that he was there, & obtrusit, and that the Defendant was there. Per Cur'. When both Parties meet at the Time and Place, he that pleads a Tender must also plead a Refusal; otherwise such a Plea is naught on Demurrer, but good after Verdict; and if the Defendant be absent, he must shew that, and also that he was at the Time and Place and tendered. Vide 1 Sid. 31. 17 02 7 E. 3. 11. 2 Saund. 350. Peters and Opie.

(3.)
When both Parties meet, Refusal must be shewn.
1 Saund. 320.
2 Saund. 350.
Lutw. 568.

If one comes
not, the
Pleader
must shew
when he
came, and
how long he
staid.

Must stay till
Sun-set un-
less special
Circumstan-
ces shewn
vary it.
Vide 2 Inst.
107.
8 Co. 147.

2dly, He that pleads a Tender at the Time and Place and no one there to receive, must shew at what Time of the Day he was there, and how long he staid; for he ought to shew that he has done all that could be done on his Part to accomplish what by his Agreement he was bound to do. 6 Co. 114. Yelv. 38. 2 Cro. 131.

3dly, The last Part of the Day is the Time the Law appoints for a Tender, but it must be Time enough before Sun-set for transacting the Matter which is tendered: Yet in the Case at Bar, wherein there could be no Transfer, but at the Hours of the Company, i. e. from ten to twelve, &c. the Plaintiff must aver the Usage of the Company, and shew that he came accordingly and staid so long.

Ant. 514.
Post 650.
1 Lev. 176,
196.

Term-time, and Computation.

Anonymus. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(1.)

PER Holt C. J. M. Justice Twysden used to cite the Book of E. 4. and say, they were to hear no Law the last Day of a Term.

Asmole versus Serjeant Goodwin. Trin. 11 W. III. B. R.

(2.)
Sundays and
Holidays
computed
for Acts to
be done out
of Court.
Vide Post.
Pl. 6.
6 Mod. 252.

CASE against the Custos Brevium, the Declaration was delivered on Friday Morning and Rules given to plead within four Days, whereas Saturday and Sunday were not juridical Days. Et per Cur'. We reckon them non juridici as to Matters to be transacted in Court, and therefore Sundays and Holidays are no Days to move in Arrest of Judgment. But as to Business done out of Court, as Rules to plead within four Days, &c. Sundays are reckoned the same with other Days.

Sir Robert Howard's Case. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.
At the Sittings at Guildhall.

A Policy of Assurance was made to insure the Life of Sir Robert Howard for one Year, from the Day of the Date thereof; the Policy was dated on the 30 Day of September 1697. Sir Robert died on the 30 Day of September 1698, about one a Clock in the Morning. Et per Holt C. J. in an Action hereupon it was ruled at the Sittings at Guild-Hall: 1st, That from the Day of the Date excludes the Day, but from the Date includes it, so that the Day of the Date is excluded. 2dly, That the Law makes no Fraction in a Day, yet in this Case he dying after the Commencement, and before the End of the last Day, the Insurer is liable, because the Insurance is for a Year, and the Year is not compleat till the Day be over: Yet if A. be born on the third Day of September, and on the second Day of September twenty-one Years afterwards he makes his Will, this is a good Will; for the Law will make no Fraction of a Day, and by Consequence he was of Age.

(3.)
 Insurance of
 H.'s Life for
 a Year. H.
 died on the
 last Day; In-
 surer liable.
 Vid. ante
 413.
 3 Lev. 438.
 5 Co. 1. 94.
 100.
 2 Bullt. 83,
 305.

Allen versus Brookbank. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

UPON a Libel in the Spiritual Court for Incontinency, the Citation was served on the Sunday, and fixed on the Church-Door, and that was objected to be void, because it is a Process. Vide 22 Car. 2. Holt C. J. That Statute extends not to this Process, nor to Summons at the Church; but only to such Processes which may as well be executed at any other Time.

(4.)
 Citation may
 be serv'd by
 fixing on the
 Church-
 Door on
 Sunday.

Lord Bellamont's Case. Pasch. 12 Will. III. B. R.

THE Attorney-General moved for a Trial at Bar last Paper-Day in the Term, in an Action against the Governo^r of New-York, for Matter done by him as Governo^r; and granted, because the King defended it.

(5.)
 Trial at Bar
 last Paper-
 Day.
 Post 651.

Sir Christopher Hales versus Owen. Trin. 2 Ann. B. R.

SUNDAY is not included in the four Days to move in Arrest of Judgment, but the Defendant must have four judicial Days. Vide Post 627. 6 Mod. 252. ante pl. 2.

(6.)
 Sunday not
 included in
 the four Days
 to move in
 Arrest of
 Judgment.

Parker

Parker *versus* Sir William Moor. Hill. 2 Ann. B. R.

(7.)
H. may be
taken on an
Escape War-
rant on Sun-
day.

6 Mod. 21,
22, 63, 95,
96, 154, 254.

ONE was taken on a Sunday by Vertue of an Escape Warrant, and it was held good; for one may take another on a Sunday upon fresh Pursuit, and this is in the Nature of it, tho' it be by a new Method, for this is no original Process, but the Party is in still upon the old Commitment continued down.

5 Mod. 95, 450.

S. C. 6 Mod.
148, 159, 196.

Harvy *versus* Broad. Pasch. 3 Ann. B. R.

(8.)
Tres Trin.
on Sunday,
Writ of En-
quiry then
returnable,
executed
Monday;
Error.

1 Jon. 301.
Court takes
judicial No-
tice of Com-
putation of
Time.

Vid. 6 Mod.
41, 81, 148,
160, 252, &c.
1 Leon. 242,
& infra.

Mod. Cases
159. S. C.

2 Keb. 59.

1 Jon. 301.
Mod. Cases

41, 81, 160,
252.

Jon. 228.

A Writ of Enquiry was returnable tres Trin. which was Sunday, and the Writ was executed on the 14th, and that was Monday, which was kept as the Effoin-Day, but was a Day after tres Trin. A Writ of Error was brought. Et per Cur'.

1st, A Writ may be executed the Day it is returnable, but not after. 2dly, The Court may take Notice of this judicially, and there needs no Writ of Error, and no Assignment of this for Error on the Record. So 5 Co. 45. the Court took Notice that the Tesse of the Writ of Covenant was after the Return. Vide Co. Ent. 250. Mo. 571. and Plowden, Fish and Bocker's Case, the Court took Notice the Proclamation of a Fine was on a Sunday. 3dly, There was no Difference between moveable and immoveable Feasts; for the moveable Calculation for Easter was made by the Council of Nice, but received in England and made Part of the Kalendar, which is established by the Law of England: Therefore, whether the Feast be moveable or immoveable, or whether the Computation is by the Day of the Month or the Day of the Week, viz. Die Lunæ prox. post tres, &c. as 'tis in judicial Proceedings, we have the same Law and the same Rule, and the Court cannot but see and take Notice of what appears to them. Vide 1 Cro. 53. 1 Lev. 196. 1 Sid. 301. Plowd. 265, 266. b. Ro. 524. Dy. 181. Pl. 52. 21 H. 6. 13. Pl. 4. 3 Cro. 227. Lat. 118. 2 Cro. 506. 548. 1 Jon. 301. Stat. de Anno Bisextili. Harvy *versus* Broad. The Judgment was reversed.

S. C. 6 Mod.
250, &c.

Davies *versus* Salter. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

(9.)
Same Point.

1 Mod. 402.

2 Danv. 294.

6 Mod. 41,

81, 160, 196.

A Writ of Enquiry was returnable tres septiman. Trin. which was Sunday the 13th of June, and the Writ appeared to be executed the 14th Day of June. Judgment pro quer', and Error brought; and Eyre urged, That the Court could not take Notice of the Days of the Month. 1 Cro. 275. Yelv. 140. 1 Ro.

1 Ro. Abr. 595. Sed non allocatur; thus he urged, That Sunday ought not to be reckoned, but Monday in Lieu of it. Vide Br. c. 5. 2 Cro. 16. 1 Cro. 11. Et per Holt C. J. If Sunday happen to be the 4th die post, the Holding of the Term must of Necessity go over till Monday. So if Sunday happens to be the Essoin-Day: And as to the Relation of Judgment, where the Essoin-Day is a Sunday, the Judgment can only relate to the first judicial Day. All Quinden. Octab. &c. are inclusive: Here we enter die Lunæ, &c. and that is inclusive: In C. B. they enter a die Lunæ, &c. and yet that is inclusive too: But in the principal Case there is no Necessity; the Writ might have been executed sooner, or returned at another Day.

1 Jon. 300.
1 Danv. 683.
2 Danv. 254.
6 Mod. 41.
81, 160, 196.
1 Inst. 135.

Ante 415,
625.

TRAVERSE.

Vide Lutw.
107, 108,
1359.
2 Cro. 221.
1 Saund. 32.
Raym. 4067.

Young *versus* Ruddle. Mich. 7 Will. III. B. R.

ASSUMPSIT and Quantum meruit: The Defendant pleaded he gave the Plaintiff a Beaver-Hat in Satisfaction of the Promises, and the Plaintiff accepted it in Satisfaction: The Plaintiff, Protestando That he did not give it in Satisfaction, traverses, That he accepted it in Satisfaction; to which it was demurred. 1st, It was urged, That this could not be pleaded in Satisfaction of the Promises, but should have been of the Damages, like Neal's Case, Yel. 192. In Debt upon an Obligation, the Defendant pleaded a Load of Lime given in Satisfaction of the Obligation, and it was held no Plea; for it ought to be pleaded in Bar of the Money due by the Condition. Sed per Cur'. A Release of the Condition would have been no Bar to Debt on the Obligation; but a Release of the Promise in the Case at Bar had been a good Plea. 2dly, It was urged, That this Traverse was naught; for if the Gift in Satisfaction be admitted, his Acceptance will not be material. Et per Holt C. J. The Acceptance is material as well as the Gift; to plead a Gift without shewing the other received it, would be naught; either is traversable. Vide Hob. 178. Et per

(1.)
Assumpsit,
Satisfaction
pleaded; Issue
taken upon
the Accept-
tance, good.
5 Mod. 86.
S. C. call'd
Young *versus*
Rudd.
Carth. S. C.
347. call'd
Young *versus*
Rudd.
5 Rep. Pan-
nell's Case.
Mo. 677,
678.
Str. 239.
9 Co. 79.
Cro. El. 68,
193.

Vol. II.

F f

Roke-

Rokeby J. The Gift is neither admitted nor confessed in this Case by the Plaintiff, because there is a Protestando to the Gift; so that it doth not appear here was any Receipt at all.

Pullen *versus* Benson. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
Non antea
held no Tra-
verse where
further Mat-
ter must be
disclosed be-
fore he can
conclude to
the Country.
Cro. El. 439,
443.
1 Leon. 95.
Cas. 123.

IN Debt on a Bond, the Plaintiff declared, quod Def. 20 die Novembr. concessit se teneri, &c. & profert in Cur. scriptum prædict. geren. dat. eisdem die & anno. Defendant pleads, That it was first delivered 30 die Novembr. & non antea, and shews the Writ, on which he was in Custody, was returnable quinden. Martini, so that the Bond was taken after the Return of the Writ; and then relies on the Statute of H. 6. The Plaintiff demurred and had Judgment; and the Court agreed, That mere Matter of Supposal is not traversable, no more is Matter alledged out of due Time, no more is Matter immaterially alledged: But they held the 20th Day of November an express Allegation; and that the Defendant's Plea had made it material; for the Validity of the Bond turned wholly upon the Day of the Delivery; upon which Reason the Defendant should have traversed its Delivery on the 20th. And the Court held the non antea was no Traverse, because 'tis that which cannot be rested upon; but the Party must disclose farther Matter before he comes to conclude. Cited Yelv. 138. 2 Cro. 263. 5 H. 7. 26. 2 Keb. 108. 1 Sid. 300. Yelv. 31. But Holt C. J. said, That that Case of H. 7. came not up to this Case.

2 Mod. 68.

Chance *versus* Weeden. Mich. 13 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
De injuria
sua propria
is a good Re-
plication to a
Justification
by the Com-
mon Law or
general Sta-
tute.
Vide 1 Lev.
307, 308.
2 Lev. 11, 12.
3 Lev. 41.

TRESPASS for 200 Bushels of Salt: The Defendant sets forth the Act for laying a Duty on Salt 10 W. 3. that it was put on Board to be exported, not being weighed, &c. that he was an Officer, &c. and seized it; the Plaintiff replied De injuria sua propria absq; tali causa; The Defendant demurred. Et per Holt C. J. Where the Defendant justifies by Virtue of an Authority by the Common Law, as a Constable by Arrest for Breaking of the Peace, under Process of the Admiralty, &c. de injuria sua propria is a good Replication; so it is, and by the same Reason, when one justifies by an Authority of an Act of Parliament; for being a general Law, the Statute can be no Part of the Issue. Vide 16 H. 7. 2. 5 H. 7. 6. Co. Ent. 643. A Justification upon the Statute de malefactoribus in parcis, and a like Replication: As for the Case in Croger's Case of Waste, and enter per View, Holt C. J. said it stood on a particular Reason: Also the Court held the Plea ill, because it was not shewed what

Soyt

Sort of Salt this was, Bay salt, Pitt salt, White salt, &c. for the Statute does not extend to all. Judgment pro quer.

Haywood *versus* Davies, & al'. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R. (4)
Vide this Case, Title Abatement, Pl. 10. pag. 4.

WHERE a Traverſe ought to conclude to the Country, and where with an Averment.

White *versus* Bodinam. Paſch. 3 Ann. B. R.

LESSEE for Pears brings Covenant against the Lessor, declaring upon a Demise and Covenant for quiet Enjoyment, and assigns for Breach, that the Lessor did enter upon him and oust him of the Premises: The Defendant pleads, That he entered to distrain for Rent-arrear, absque hoc that he ousted him de præmissis. To which the Plaintiff demurred, thinking the Traverſe ill; because if he had ousted him of any Part of the Premises, he had a good Cause of Action, therefore he should have traversed absq; hoc that he ousted him of the Premises, or of any Part thereof. But per Cur'. The Plea is well enough in this Case; for if the Plaintiff will join Issue upon the Matter of the Traverſe, and prove the Ouster of any Part, the Issue shall be for him: And the Court took a Diversity between pleading the general Issue, as in Debt, you must plead Non debet nec aliquam inde parcellam, and a special Issue, as this is. 3 Cro. 83, 84. Dyer 115. Judgment for the Defendant.

(5.)
Absque hoc that he ousted him de præmissis goes to every Part.
Vide 2 Mod. 68.
3 Lev. 113, 227.
2 Co. 1.
8 Co. 91.
Vaugh. 175.
3 Cro. 914.
2 Saund. 177.
1 Inst. 282.
Yelv. 30.
1 Lutw. 317.
Hob. 53.
1 Vent. 272.

Gilbert *versus* Parker. Paſch. 3 Ann. B. R.

Lutw. 1624, 1625.
Quer. 6 Co. 24.

IN Replevin for taking Cattle, the Defendant made Conuſance, that A. his Master was seised of the locus in quo, and per ejus præcept. he took them Damage-felant: Plaintiff replied, That he was seised of one third Part, and put in his Cattle, absq; hoc that the said A. was sole seised: To this the Defendant demurred, and Judgment was given against him; for the Defendant makes Conuſance under his Master as sole seised, when he was only Tenant in Common; in which Case he should have pleaded according to the Truth, that he was only Tenant in Common, &c. When the Defendant pleads his Master was seised in Fee of the Place where, &c. that must necessarily be understood that he is sole seised; and whatever is necessarily understood, intended and implied, is traversable as much as if it were expressed; and therefore, tho' a Seisin in Fee is only alledged generally, yet that

(6.)
Upon pleading a Seisin generally, Traverſe may be taken that he is sole seised.
Vid. ante 562.
5 Mod. 150.
Cro. El. 372.
2 Saund. 295.
9 Co. 66, 112.
Mod. Caf. 158. S. C.

being intended a sole Seisin, the Plaintiff may traverse, absque hoc that he is sole seised; since the Plaintiff makes himself Tenant in Common with the Defendant, it had not been enough to say, That he is Tenant in Common, without traversing the sole Seisin.

8 Co. 89.
Lutw. 1177,
1316.
Ante 580,
583.
3 Lev. 104.

adly, The Court held he might either traverse, absq; hoc that he was sole seised, or that he was seised modo & forma. Hob. 119. 3 Cro. 795. Winch. 7. 2 Mod. 6. Dyer 280. of which the Court doubted, but said there might be Difference between Parceners and Tenants in Common who are seised per my & per tout. Vide 1 E. 4. 9. 2 Vent. 228. Hurt. 120. Hob. 72. Mo. 863. 37 H. 6. 31. Kel. 27. 1 Leon. 78. 32 H. 6. 2. 6. 21 E. 4. 65.

TREASON.

See 1 Hawk.
P. C. cap. 17.
Hale's P. C.
10, 11, &c.
Kely. Rep.
2, 3, 4, &c.

Rex & Regina *versus* Geary. Mich. 1 W. & M. B. R.

(1.)
Attainder of
Treason re-
versed for
want of an
Allocutus be-
fore Judg-
ment.
Vid. ante 576.

GEARY was attainted of High Treason on an Indictment, to which he pleaded Guilty. Upon a Writ of Error brought to reverse this Attainder, the Exception taken was, That it did not appear he was asked what he had to say, why Judgment should not be given against him; and all the Precedents are with an allocutus quid, or si quid pro se dicere habeat, &c. Vide Plowd. 387. Co. Ent. 532. Rast. 455. And the Court held the Exception good, for he might have Matter to move in Arrest of Judgment, or a Pardon; and the Attainder was reversed.

S. C. Parlia-
ment Cal.
186. & vid.
ib. 127 &
post 632.

Tucker's Case. Pasch. 5 & 6 W. & M. B. R.

(2.)
Judgment in
Treason re-
versed for
Want of the
Words con-
tra legeantiz

REGINALD TUCKER brought a Writ of Error to reverse an Attainder of High Treason, upon an Indictment against him for the Rebellion in Monmouth's Time: The Indictment was, That the Defendant and another, Legeantia sua debitum

sua debitum in the Indictment.

tum minime ponderan', did traiterously wage War against the King verum & naturalem Dominum suum contra pacem, &c.

It was held, 1st, That the Want of the Words, contra Ligeantiam suam debitum, supposing them to be necessary, were not supplied by the first Words, Ligeantiam suam debitum minime ponderan', &c. for a Man may not consider his Duty, and yet may not at all offend against it: And contra Ligeum supremum Dominum suum, are not now necessary, nor were they anciently used. These do not positively express, but imply only, That the Defendant acted against his Allegiance; and Indictments shall not be made good by Intendments.

2dly, Without the Duty of Allegiance there can be no Treason, therefore an alien Enemy cannot commit Treason; an alien Army being here may: That for Want therefore of these Words, the Crime wants a due Description.

'Tis true, some Precedents of Indictments want the Words Contra Ligeantiam suam debitum. But to these the Chief Justice said, They were Cases of Treason made by particular Acts of Parliament, and not where the Fact was Treason in its Nature; and that in such Case 'twas sufficient if the Indictment pursued the Words of the Statute, and concluded contra formam Statuti, without concluding contra ligeantiam suam debitum. The Attainder was reversed.

Charnock's Case. 7 Will. III. At the Old-Baily.

THE Question was at the Trial, Whether Words could be an Overt-Act of Treason in compassing the Death of the King? For Hale, Placit. Coron. 13. says, Words are not an Overt-Act of Treason, unless set down in Writing. Et per Holt C. J. Loose Words spoken without Relation to any Act or Project, are not Treason: But Words of Persuasion to kill the King are Overt-Acts of High Treason; so is a Consulting how to kill the King; so if two Men agree together to kill the King; for the bare Imagination and Compassing makes the Treason, and any external Act that is a sufficient Manifestation of that Compassing and Imagining, is an Overt-Act: It was never yet doubted, but to meet and consult how to kill the King, was an Overt-Act of High Treason. Vide Cro. Car. 117.

Dominus

3 Lev. 396.
4 Mod. 162.

Post 633.
3 Inst. 11.
Hob. 271.
Co. Lit. 129.
Calvin's Case,
6, 7.
Carth. 317.

Indictments
not to be sup-
plied by In-
tendment.

See 2 Hawk.
P. C. 224, to
256, what
Certainty
necessary in
Indictments.

Dyer 155.
1 Inst. 129.
3 Inst. 11.

Vid. 2 Hawk.
P. C. 252.

Vid. 1 Hawk.
P. C. cap. 17.
Sec. 32, 33,
34.

(3.)
Words of
Persuasion
or Consulta-
tion, an O-
vert-Act of
Treason in
Compassing
the King's
Death.

Vid. Cro. Car.
125, & 332,
333.

S. C. Parl.
Cas 127, &c.

Dominus Rex *versus* Walcor. Trin. 7 Will. III. B. R.

(4.)
Judgment in
Treason re-
versed for
Want of the
Words ipso
vivente, or in
conspetu e-
jus, as to
burning the
Bowels.

Vide Parl.
Cas. 127, &c.
& 186, &c.
Ante 630.
3 Lev. 396.
4 Mod. 162.
4 Mod. 395.
Carth. 348.
S. C.
Staund. 182.
3 Inst. 211.

Record of
Judgment in
Treason re-
fused to be
amended by
the Minutes
of the Court
of Old Baily.
Vid. 2 Hawk.
P. C. 247.

WRIT of Error to reverse a Judgment against the Defen-
dant in an Indictment of High Treason: The Judg-
ment was, Quod interiora extra ventrem trahentur; but these
Words, in conspectu ejus & ipso vivente comburentur, were
omitted: It was agreed, That if this be a necessary Part of
the Judgment and omitted, the Judgment is erroneous; for the
Judgment, in capital Cases especially, is stated, and not arbi-
trary; and 'twas held that this was a necessary Part; for tho'
Death is the Ultimum supplicium, yet Death inflicted one Way
is more severe than another, and more formidable; and if this
Judgment be right, all other Judgments are wrong; for all others
have these Words, viz. In conspectu, &c. Vide 1 H. 7. 24. Br.
Coron. 121. Stow's Annals 513. Plowd. 387. Rast. 545. Staund.
128. Co. Ent. 422, 423. Harrison, one of the Regicides, rose
up and struck his Executioner after his Bowels were cut out,
which shews the Thing is not impossible, tho' that be not very
material: At another Day 'twas insisted, That the Record below
was right on an Affidavit, that swore, That the Words ipso vi-
vente were in the Record below, and therefore it was prayed,
That the Clerk of the Peace might attend by Rule; which was
granted; and upon his Attendance it appeared upon Examina-
tion, That the Minutes of the Judgment taken and entered upon
the Record of the Indictment had these Words in; but Mr. Tur-
ner, Clerk of the Peace, said, That Sir Robert Sawyer, then
Attorney-General, after the Trial said, That Use was to be
made of the Record in Ireland, and therefore examined it before
it was transcribed, and then this Record which is now certified,
was entered at large; so that the Question was, Which was
the Record? Et per Cur. That which was entered at large is the
Record: Then the Counsel moved it might be amended by the
Minutes: And Holt C. J. said, That if it were amendable, they
could not amend it here; for if a Record in C. B. be erroneous,
we cannot amend it here; but upon Diminution alledged here,
we grant a Certiorari; but there can be no Diminution alledged
at the Old Baily.

2dly, He questioned much if it were at all amendable, and cited
Samplson's Case, Jones 421. where the Court were divided, and
'tis there said by Kelynge, That there were no Precedents of any
such Amendments: And after the Case had been argued several
Times at the Bar, upon the Matter in Law, the Court, Trin.
8 W. 3. unanimously, upon solemn Arguments, reversed the At-
tainer, for Want of the Words, ipso vivente, or in conspectu
ejus.

Cranburn's Case. Pasch. 8 Will. III. B. R.

CRANBURN, a natural-born Subject of England, was indicted of High Treason contra Ligeantiae suae debitum. It was objected, That it ought to be naturalis Ligeantiae suae debitum, or contra supremum naturalem Dominum suum, to distinguish it from that which is local Allegiance; for there are two Sorts of Allegiance; a natural Allegiance, as that of Subjects; and a local Allegiance, as that of Strangers residing here; and this is the Allegiance in the Indictment mentioned. Sed per Cur'. If an Alien be indicted for Treason contra naturalem Dominum suum, or naturalis Ligeantiae suae debitum, the Defendant may give in Evidence that he is an Alien; for the Indictment is restrained to that Species of Allegiance which is not due; but a Subject may be indicted so, or contra Ligeantiae suae debitum; for Allegiance is the Genus, which being set forth, all Species are comprised under it.

The Indictment was, That at such a Time and Place proditorie tractaverunt proposuerunt & consultaverunt de viis & modis quomodo Dominum Regem interficerent & consenserunt & agreaverunt quod quadragint. homines equestres should be provided, &c. for that End.

It was objected, That here are two distinct Acts of High Treason, and the later is not said to be done proditorie. Sed non allocatur; for by Mr. Cowper, the Et makes the whole but as one Sentence; at least it conveys the Force of the Words in the former Sentence to this, and makes it partake of them. Et per Holt C. J. Here is but one Treason alledged, viz. Compassing the Death of the King, and that is said to be proditorie; therefore it need not be repeated again in setting forth the Overt-Act; for the Overt-Act is not the Treason, but Evidence of the Treason. The Treason it self lies in Compassing, which is an Act of the Mind. Accordingly in the Case of the Regicides it was agreed, That the Indictment should not be for killing the King, but for Compassing and Imagining his Death, and that the Killing should be alledged as the Overt-Act; for by the Statute the Treason consists in the Intention. But if a distinct Treason, as that of levying War, had been alledged, where the Treason consists in the Act and not in the Intention, the Act must have been said to be done proditorie; for the Act of levying is a Treason, and not an Overt-Act of Treason or bare Matter of Evidence.

(5.) Subject indicted for Treason contra Ligeantiae suae debitum, well; otherwise if naturaliz'd, &c. in the Case of an Alien. Ante 631. 3 Inst. 5, 11. Hob. 271. Co. Lit. 129.

In Indictments for Compassing the King's Death, the Treason being first laid, the Overt-Act need not be laid proditorie. See 1 Hawk. P. C. 38, 39, &c.

Otherwise where the Treason consists in the Act.

Cook's Case. 8 Will. III. *At the Old-Baily.*

(6.)
Copy of In-
dictment not
granted after
pleading.

IN the Indictment against Cook, after the Court was late and the Jury called, but not sworn, Sir Bartholomew Shower of Counsel for the Prisoner made Objections to the Indictment, but it was held right enough; upon that he urged, That then he had not had a Copy, and the Prisoner could not be tried. Et per Cur'. By the Words of the Act he is to demand it, and he has it to enable him to plead, and till then is not to plead. In this Case he has pleaded; therefore this Benefit is waived, and the Prisoner has admitted he has a Copy, or did not think it for his Service to require it, but was able to plead without the help of it.

Vaughan's Case.

(7.)
Indictment
for Treason
in levying
War, or ad-
hering to the
King's Ene-
mies gene-
rally, with-
out shewing
particular
Instances,
not good.
Vid. i Hawk.
P. C. 37, 38.

THE Defendant was indicted for Treason, in adhering to the King's Enemies, cum pluribus subditis Gallicis inimicis Domini Regis, and that they did navigate a certain Vessel, called Clancarty, with a Design to destroy the King's Ships. And it was held by Holt C. J. and the other Justices at the Trial, That an Indictment for levying War, or adhering to the King's Enemies generally, without shewing particular Acts or Instances, is not good; for the Words of the Statute are, And thereof be provably attainted by some Overt-Deed, which comes after the Particulars of Compassing the King's Death, levying War, and adhering to the King's Enemies; and it would be Violence to restrain them to the first Article only without Regard to the last, to which they are equally connected; if they are to be restrained to a single Article, it ought rather to be referred to the Article of adhering only.

And if it be not a good Indictment without special Acts, &c. the Question is, Whether those that are alledged ought not to be proved, and no others? Et per Holt C. J. A distinct Overt-Act cannot be given in Evidence, unless it relate to that which is alledged, or conduces to the Proof of it. But if it conduce to prove an Overt-Act alledged, 'tis good Evidence; as if Consulting to kill the King be alledged, any Actings or Doings in Pursuance of that Consultation may be proved; for it proves their Agreement and Consent, and is a farther Manifestation of the Act alledged in the Indictment.

It was also objected, That in Evidence the Sea-men must appear to be French-men born; for if they were Dutch, they are not subditi Gallici.

2dly, That tho' he was said to adhere to the King's Enemies, yet it was not said to be against the King.

3dly, That this was not a sufficient Act of adhering, without fighting, or some Act of Hostility. Et per Cur'.

1st, If the States be in Alliance, and the French at War with us, and certain Dutch-men turn Rebels to the States, and fight under Command of the French King, they are Inimici to us, and Gallici Subditi: For the French Subjection makes them French Subjects in Respect of all other Nations but their own; and if such cruise at Sea, and an English-man assist them, he is a Traitor but not a Pirate; for none are Pirates that act under the Command of a Sovereign Prince.

Joining with Rebel Subjects of the King's Ally, fighting under the Command of an Enemy Prince, is Treason in adhering, &c.

2dly, Adhering to the King's Enemies must of Necessity be against the King; and therefore if an English man assist the French, being at War with us, and fight against the King of Spain, who is an Ally of the King of England, this is Treason, as adhering to the King's Enemies against the King; for the King's Enemies are hereby strengthened and encouraged, and so is within the express Words of 25 E. 3. of adhering to the King's Enemies; and it is sufficient to alledge the Treason in the Words of the Statute.

Tho' the fighting be directly against an Ally. Vide Hawk. Pl. C. 37, 38.

3dly, Cruising is a sufficient Overt-Act of Adhering, Comforting and Aiding; as if English-men would list themselves and march, this is sufficient without coming to Battel, and there may be levying War without actual fighting. Vide Vaughan's Trial.

Cruising is a sufficient Overt-Act.

See Raym. 367. That an Indictment for High Treason may be tried by Nisi Prius.

TRESPASS.

Inclendon *versus* Burges. Mich. 1 W. & M. B. R. Intr.
Mich. 4 Jac. 2. Rot. 282.

(1.)
Contra pa-
cem is Sub-
stance.

Vid. post 641.

Cro. Jac. 527.

1 Hawk. 244.

2 Hawk. 243.

6 Mod. 128.

4 Mod. 145.

1 Salk. 67.

S. C. Carth. 65.

Yelv. 66.

Vid. 1 Sid. 253.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Show. 27.

Comber. 166.

S. C.

2 Cro. 377.

2 Vent. 49.

Smith *versus* Kemp. Trin. 4 W. & M. B. R.

S. C. 4 Mod.
355. *Carth.*
205.

TRESPASS VI & Armis, for taking Fishes ex libera Piscaria sua. Upon Not guilty pleaded, and Verdict for the Plaintiff, 'twas moved in Arrest of Judgment by Carthew, That he that had libera Piscaria, could not maintain Trespass; and compared it to the Case of a Commoner, who could not bring Trespass for a Trespass done in the Common: That libera Piscaria was not like libera Warrena, for he did allow that he who had libera Warrena might have Trespass against any one but the Owner of the Soil, for hunting in his free Warren. 2 Ro. Rep. 55, 111. because libera Warrena was a Liberty to hunt in one's own or another's Ground, exclusive of all others; and that this was granted by the King, who is Master of all Games: But libera Piscaria was only a Freedom of Fishing with others, and the same with Communis Piscaria; and for this he cited 1 Inst. 122. and that such a Grantee had only a Liberty to take Fish, and no Property in them, until taken; and so prayed that Judgment might be arrested.

(4.)
Trespass lies for Fishing in libera Piscaria, and taking his Fish. Comber. 114, 433, 464. 4 Mod. 186. Carth. 285. S. C. H. who hath free Warren, may bring Trespass against any, but the Owner of the Soil, for hunting there. 6 Mod. 183. 2 Keb. 178. 3 Mod. 97.

Holt C. J. cited and relied upon the Reg. 95. in Point, where there is the same Writ, and likewise in F. N. B. 15. G. H. 43 E. 3. 11. 6. and said there were three Sorts of Fisheries. 1. Separalis Piscaria, and there, he who had the Fishery, was Owner of the Soil, and therefore 'tis a good Plea in an Action brought by him, that it is liberum tenementum of another. 2dly, Libera Piscaria, which is where the Right of Fishing is granted to the Grantee, and such Grantee hath a Property in the Fish, and may bring a possessory Action for them, without making any Title. 3dly, Communis Piscaria, and this was to be resembled to the Case of other Common; and disallowed the Authority of 1 Inst. 122. Eyre Justice said, That he took 1 Inst. 122. to be Law, and that many Judgments and Precedents were founded upon that Case, and that Libera, ex vi termini, did imply Common, which the Chief Justice and Dolben denied in Defence of the Register. Et nota dict. fuit per Carthew in this Case, That there were several Writs there against Law, particularly R. 105. Trespass per Baron and Feme for assaulting the Wife, and taking the Goods of the Husband.

H. having libera Piscaria hath Property in the Fish, and Co. Lit. 122, denied. Vide ante 556. 11 Co. 17, &c. Cro. Car. 553, 554.

Gibbon *versus* Pepper. Pasch. 7 Will. III. B. R.

In Trespass and Assault, &c. The Defendant pleaded that he was riding a Horse in the King's Highway, and that his Horse being frightened ran away with him, and that the Plaintiff

(5.)
Justification must confess the Trespass, and

Vol. II.

G g 2

2 Lev. 179.
4 Mod. 404.
S. C.
Styl. 72.
1 Vent. 295.
2 Jo. 205.
3 Lev. 37.
1 Saund. 3.
Hob. 134.
Rol. Abr.
548.

and others were called to, to go out of the Way, and did not; and the Horse ran upon the Plaintiff against his Will, &c. The Plaintiff demurred and had Judgment; not but if the Defendant had pleaded Not guilty, this Batter might have acquitted him upon Evidente; but the Reason of these Judgment was, because the Defendant justified a Trespass, and does not confess it; for if A. beats my Horse, by which he runs on another, A. is the Trespasser, and the Rider is not. And as to Hob. 134. Mo. 864. Placito 1192. 1 Brownl. Precedents 188. they differ, for in them a Battery is confessed.

Ashmead versus Ranger. Will. III. B. R.

(6.)
Trespas by
Lessee of a
Copyholder
for Life, for
cutting down
by the Lord
of the Ma-
nor, held
maintainable
in B. R. and
affirm'd in
Cam. Seacc.
but reversed
by the Lords.

TRESPASS was brought by Lessee of a Copyholder for Life, for breaking his Close and cutting down his Trees; the Defendant justified as Servant to the Earl of Kent, Lord of the Manor: The Plaintiff replied, That the Copyholder was Tenant for Life, his House in Decay, and that the Trees growing on the Land were not sufficient to repair, &c. Upon Demurrer Holt C. J. held, That 3 Cro. 5. was not Law, and that the Copyholder was Tenant of the Trees as much as of the Land; that the Fruit and the Acorns belonged to the Tenant; and he held, That if H. has all the Thorns in such a Place for Estovers, he may maintain Trespass against any one that cuts them, even his Grantor, and in such Case need not aver that he burnt them. But where H. hath only Estovers to be taken in such a Wood or Place, and the Grantor cuts the Whole, the Grantee may maintain Case against the Grantor, but not Trespass Vi & Armis; and the whole Court held the Action was well maintained by the possessory Right which the Plaintiff had: The Judgment was affirmed in Cam. Seacc. but reversed in the House of Lords; for the Tenant could not cut the Trees; and if the Lord could not, they must rot on the Land; for then no Body could.

S. C. 6 Mod.
38.

Monkton versus Pashley. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(7.)
Trespas for
entring his
Close and
Hunting, laid
with a Conti-
nuando, and
held well.
Vide post pl.
8.
2 Mod. 253.
3 Salk. 359.

TRESPASS for entring his Close and Hunting such a Day, continuando transgr. prad. quoad the Hunting, diversis diebus & vicibus, from the Day of the Trespass alleged till such a Day. Salkeld urged that this appeared not to be continued; that some Acts were permanent without Intermission of Repetition, which properly lie in Continuance, others not.

2dly, That some Acts terminate in themselves and cannot be repeated, as killing a Dog, when once done it cannot be done again; therefore this cannot be laid with a Continuando. Vide 1 Lev. 210. 2 Ro. Abr. 549. And as to repeatable Acts, where ever the first Trespass is without an Ouster, there every several Entry is a distinct Trespass and a new Trespass, and not a Continuance of the first; for a Release of the first would not discharge the second. Vide Cro. Jac. 107. Yelv. 126. [Which Holt C. J. denies; for the Continuance must be answered as well as the first Act.] But where the first Entry is with an Ouster, there every other Act of Entry during the Ouster is but a Continuance of the first Trespass, and a Release of the first discharges all. Vide 1 Brown 223. Cro. Eliz. 210. 1 Lev. 210. compared with 1 Sid. 319. and so is F. N. B. 91. b. to be intended. So is 20 H. 7. 3. 2 Ric. 3. 15. 21 H. 9. 43. Holt C. J. held, That of Acts that terminate in themselves, and once done, cannot be done again, there can be no Continuando, as Hunting and Killing a Hare or five Hares, but that ought to be alledged, That diversis diebus & vicibus inter such a Day and such a Day, he killed five Hares, or cut and carried twenty Trees: And where a Trespass is laid in Continuance, that cannot be continued, Exception ought to be taken at the Trial, for he ought to recover but for one Trespass: The Court held, That Hunting might be continued as well as spoiling and consuming his Oaks, or cutting his Oaks; and as to the Case of an Entry and Ouster the Court held, That the Plaintiff being ousted and having made a Re-entry, might bring Trespass, and declare that he entered such a Day, continuando, &c. or that he entered such a Day & diversis diebus & vicibus between such a Day and such a Day. And the Plaintiff had Judgment.

Acts that being once done cannot be repeated, cannot be laid with a Continuando. Cumber. 193, 377, 427, 433. Farell. 152. 5 Mod. 178.

Brook *versus* Bishopp. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

TRESPASS Quare Vi & Armis the Defendant on the 2d of April, broke and entered his Close, and trod down his Oaks, nec non arbores suas, viz. 10 Populos & subbostrum suum viz. 10 carectatas subbolei succidit cepit & asportavit transgressiones præd. usq; 27 Aprilis diversis diebus & vicibus continuando; and after Verdict and entire Damages, it was resolved, 1st, That the Continuance, as to the Poplars and Underwoods, was impossible, and could not be continued; but a general Continuando shall be applied to what may be continued after Verdict. Vide ante Pl. 7.

Comber. 426, 427. (8.) Trespass in cutting down such a Number of Trees cannot be continued; but a general Continuando shall be applied to what may be continued after Verdict. Vide ante Pl. 7.

Joce

S. C. 6 Mod.
14.

Joce versus Mills. Trin. 2 Ann. B. R.

(9.)
Trespafs
quare duos
Equos apud
D. in clauso
præd. invent.
existen. &
triticum de
bonis pro-
priis ipsius
A. &c. cepit,
does not
shew the
Property of
the Horses.

Plea of ta-
king by Di-
stress for
Rent, does
not confess
Property.

TRESPASS quare clausum suum apud D. fregit & duos equos apud D. præd. in clauso præd. invent. existen. & Centum congios tritici de bonis propriis ipsius adtunc & ibidem similiter invent. & existen. cepit & asportavit, &c. The Defendant pleaded Not guilty to all but the two Horses, and as to them a Distress for Rent; upon which it was demurred, the Issue being found for the Plaintiff and contingent Damages intirely given on the Demurrer: And now the Plea being held an ill Plea, Mr. Branthwayte moved in Arrest of Judgment, That the Horses were not laid to be the Goods of the Plaintiff; for that it did not follow that they were the Plaintiff's Horses, because found on the Plaintiff's Close. Vide 2 Cro 46. Yelv. 36. Equum cepit a Persona sua. 2 Lev. 156. 3 Bulst. 303. Quod fuit concess. Per Cur'.

2dly, It was urged and admitted, That the Plea did not confess a Property; for the Defendant might distrain the Goods of a Stranger for the Rent.

3dly, It was held, That the Copulative Et did not let it into the subsequent Part of the other Sentence, so as to make the Horses come under the de bonis propriis, like the Case in Raymond 395. Trespafs for taking a Mare ipsius quer. nec non bona & catalla sequent. not 2 Saund. 379. which Cases were allowed; and the Reason is, because they are different Sentences, and the first is closed up by the Plea being added: Yet Gould J. agreed the Case in 2 Ro. 250. Placito 7. For there by Vertue of the Copulative, the ipsius Querentis goes to all.

4thly, It was held the Plaintiff could have no Judgment, because the conditional Damages were intire, secus if several.

S. C. 1 Salk.
119.
Vid. Post. 642.

Ruffel versus Comb. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

(10.)
2 Cro. 123.
Mod. Caf.
127, 145.

S. C. 6 Mod.
80.

(11.)
Trespafs laid
in a former
King's Time,
contrapacem
of the pre-
sent, ill on
Demurrer,
but cur'd by
Verdict.

WHERE Matter may be laid as Aggravation of Trespafs, for which alone Trespafs lies not. Vide this Case, Title Baron and Feme, Pl. 12. Pag. 119.

Day versus Muskett. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

TRESPASS quare Vi & Armis primo die Febr. Ann. Dom. 1701. Clausum suum fregit, and concludes contra pacem Dominæ Annæ nunc Reginæ, &c. The Defendant pleads, That he and another did the Trespafs jointly, and that the Plaintiff re-

relaxavit to the other. To that it was replied non est factum; to which it was demurred, and Judgment pro Def. for King William died the 8th of March 1701, so it was contra pacem Regis, and not contra pacem Reginae; the Demurrer of contra pacem had been only Matter of Form, but here it is repugnant, for the Court must take Notice of the Demise of the King; that is the Description of the Trespass, and a Trespass done contra pacem Regis could not be given in Evidence: Indeed a Verdict would have aided it.

Cro. Jac. § 27.
Yelv. 66.
Vide ante
636.
1 Hawk. 244.
2 Hawk. 243.
1 Sid. 253.
Carth. 65.
Comber. 166.

Green *versus* Goddard. . . . Ann. B. R.

TRESPASS, Assault and Battery laid on the first of October, 3 Reg. The Defendant as to the Vi & Armis pleaded Non cul. And as to the Residue says, That long before, viz. on the 13th of September, a Stranger's Bull had broke into his Close, that he was driving him out to put him in the Pound, and the Plaintiff came into the said Close, & manu forti impedit ipsum ac Taurum præd. rescussisse voluit, & quod ad præveniend. &c. ipse idem Defend. parvum flagellum super querentem molliter imposuit, quod est idem residuum, &c. absq; hoc quod cul. fuit ad aliquod tempus ante etandem 13 Diem. The Plaintiff demurred; M. Eyre for the Plaintiff argued, That they should have requested him to go out of the Close. 19 H. 6. 31. 11 H. 6. 23. 2 Ro. Tresp. 547, 548, 549. and that flagellum molliter imponere is repugnant. 1 Sid. 4. Lastly, The Traverse is short, and no Answer to the Time after. 1 Leon. 307. 3 Cro. 87. 1 Ro. Rep. 406. Et per Cur'. There is a Force in Law, as in every Trespass quare clausum fregit: As if one enters into my Ground, in that Case the Owner must request him to depart before he can lay Hands on him to turn him out; for every Impositio manuum is an Assault and Battery, which cannot be justified upon the Account of breaking the Close in Law, without a Request. The other is an actual Force, as in Burglary, as breaking open a Door or Gate; and in that Case it is lawful to oppose Force to Force; and if one breaks down the Gate, or comes into my Close Vi & Armis, I need not request him to be gone, but may lay Hands on him immediately, for it is but returning Violence with Violence: So if one comes forcibly and takes away my Goods, I may oppose him without any more ado, for there is no Time to make a Request.

(12.)
Where in
entring H.'s
Close, there
is only Force
in Law, H.
cannot lay
Hands on the
Trespasser
before Re-
quest to de-
part; other-
wise where
there is actu-
al Force.
3 Salk. 308.
6 Mod. 227,
260.
2 Vent. 75.
1 Saund. 35.

2dly, Powell J. held, That the Attempt to take and rescue the Bull was an Assault on his Person, and a Taking from his Person; for if H. is driving Cattle on the highway, and one comes and takes them from him, it is Robbery, which cannot be without a Taking from his Person, quod non fuit negatum. Vide 19 H. 6. 66. 2 Ro. 549. Placito 11. 1 Ro. Rep. 19.

Taking Cat-
tle from H. is
a Taking
from his Per-
son.

3dly,

Where Traverse goes to the Matter, all before is Inducement and waived; otherwise where to Time only.

2dly, They held the quod est idem residuum good without a Traverse, and therefore no Traverse was necessary; [Vide 1 Saund. 8.] and consequently it is no Matter tho' it be Mort, for here it goes only to the Time; where quod est idem avers it to be the same. Et per Holt C. J. Where a Traverse goes to the Matter of a Plea, &c. all that went before becomes Inducement, and is waived by the Traverse; but where a Traverse goes to the Time only, what was set out in the Plea before, does not become bare Matter of Inducement, nor is it waived by the Traverse. Sed adjournat. M. Eyre pro quer. M. Brydges pro Def.

Cockcroft *versus* Smith, Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

(13.)
Son Assault demesne, a good Plea in Maihem where the first Assault was violent.

IN TRESPASS for an Assault, Battery and Maihem, Defendant pleaded Son Assault demesne, which was admitted to be a good Plea in Maihem: But the Question was, What Assault was sufficient to maintain such a Plea in Maihem? Holt C. J. said, That Wadham Wyndham J. would not allow it if it was an unequal Return; but the Practice had been otherwise, and was fit to be settled: That for every Assault he did not think it reasonable a Man should be banged with a Cudgel; that the Meaning of the Plea was, That he struck in his own Defence: That if A. strike B. and B. strikes again, and they close immediately, and in the Scuffle B. maims A. that is Son Assault; but if upon a little Blow given by A. to B. B. gives him a Blow that maims him, that is not Son Assault demesne. Powell J. agreed, For the Reason why Son Assault is a good Plea in Maihem, is, because it might be such an Assault as endangered the Defendant's Life.

Newman *versus* Smith, & al. Trin. 5 Ann. B. R.

(14.)
Trespas for entering the Plaintiff's House and Assaulting him. Assaulting and menacing his Servants may be laid by Way of Aggravation. Vide ante 593, 594. 1 Salk. 119.

TRESPASS de eo quod the Defendants tiel jour & ann apud W. upon the Plaintiff insult. fecer. & ipsam verberaver', &c. Ita quod de vita, &c. & domum ipsius quer. apud W. præd. adtunc & ibidem freger. & intraver. & quer. in quiet. usu & occupatione domus præd. disturbaver. & impediver. necnon in præd. quer. & filium suum & M. N. & R. N. filias præd. quer. & E. N. servam suam minas de verberatione eorum adtunc & ibidem imposuer. & ipsos injuriis & gravaminibus, viz. insult. & affrais adtunc & ibidem affecer. Et alia enormia, &c. Upon Mot guilty it was found for the Plaintiff, and M. King moved in Arrest of Judgment upon 2 Cro. 501. that the Matter could not maintain Trespas for beating his Servant or Children without special Damage, which ought to be specially shewed: M. Eyre answered

swered, and so it was resolved, That the Action was for the Breaking and Entry, and the farther Description is only to shew the Court how enormous that Trespass was; and the Plaintiff could not recover Damages for losing the Service of his Children or Servant, nor could that be given in Evidence; because the Plaintiff might have a proper Action for that purpose: But the Circumstances mentioned might be proved in Evidence to aggravate Damages for the Defendant's Trespass by breaking and entering. And whereas it was said, *ibidem* refers to the Will, and it should be in *domo prædicta*, 'tis plain the adverb ties it to the same Time, and then it could not be at a different Place at the same Point of Time.

2 Cro. 123.
Mod. Cases
127, 149.

Layton *versus* Grindall. Pasch. 8 Ann. B.R.

TRESPASS for entering the Plaintiff's House and taking *separales claves pro apertione ostiorum domus præd.* Upon Not guilty pleaded, the Plaintiff had a Verdict, and it was objected, that the taking of each Key was a distinct Trespass, and might require several Answers, and the Kind and Number ought to be ascertained. Vide Playter's Case, 5 Co. 34. 1 Vent. 53. 2 Vent. 262. But to this it was answered and resolved, That the Keys are sufficiently ascertained by Reference to the House. Vide Al. 9. Sty. 43. 1 Vent. 114. 2 Cro. 485.

(15.)
Trespass for
entering his
House and
taking separ-
al. claves
pro apertione
ostiorum do-
mus, &c.
good with-
out shewing
Number.

Anonymus. Pasch. 8 Ann. B. R.

TRESPASS for taking his Cattle: The Defendant pleaded that he was possessed of a Close for a Term of Years, and the Cattle trespassed therein, &c. The Plaintiff demurred, and Judgment was given for the Defendant, tho' he shew'd no Title, but justified upon a bare Possession: And this Difference was taken by Holt C. J. Where the Action is transitory, as Trespass for taking Goods, the Plaintiff is foreclosed to pretend a Right to the Place; nor can it be contested upon the Evidence, who had the Right; therefore Possession is Justification enough: But in Trespass *quare clausum fregit* it is otherwise, because there the Plaintiff claims the Close, and the Right may be contested.

(16.)
Where the
Trespass is
transitory the
Plaintiff can-
not pretend a
Right to the
Place, there-
fore the De-
fendant may
justify by
Possession
only.

T R I A L.

Vide 7 Co.
2, 3, 4, 7, &c.
9 Co. 30, 31,
32, &c.

1 Mod. 55.

Anonymus. Pasch. 5 W. & M. B. R.

(1.)
Trial at Bar.
Vid. ante 625,
Post 648, 649.

A Cause cannot be tried at Bar where the Action is laid in London, by Reason of their Charter.

Smith *versus* Brampton. Mich. 7 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
New Trial
denied in Case
for negligently
keeping
his Fire.
5 Mod. 87.

CASE for negligently keeping his Fire, Verdict pro Def. Tho' the Verdict was against Evidence, a new Trial was denied, because it is a hard Action; Pet Note; Action against the Hundred for a Robbery, Verdict pro Def. and new Trial granted. 1 Sid. 58.

S. C. call'd
Smith *versus*
Crumpton.

Smith *versus* Frampton. Mich. 7 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
New Trial.
Vide Post.
pl. 34.

I N Case for negligently keeping his Fire, per quod the Plaintiff's House was burnt, the Verdict was for the Defendant: And after great Debate and Consideration a new Trial was denied; because it is a hard Action, and the Jurors are Judges of the Fact: And yet Holt C. J. declared, he was not satisfied with that Verdict. Quære whether the same Case with the precedent.

Anonymus. Pasch. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(4.)
New Trial
Vide post pl.
7, 8, 13, &c.

T HE Court never, or very rarely, grants new Trials in Actions for Words. Per Holt C. J.

Smith *versus* Page. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(5.)
No new Trial
against the
Equity of
the Cause.
Post pl. 11.
1 Mod. 2.

I N Ejectment the Plaintiff was a Mortgagee, and claimed by Surrender, whereas the Land was not Copyhold; and the Defendant claimed only by a voluntary Conveyance. The Verdict was for the Plaintiff, and the Court could not set it aside, and grant a new Trial against the Honesty of the Cause.

Hatchell

Hatchell *versus* Griffiths. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

ISSUE was joined in Trinity-Term 1695, and Notice then given for Trial next Assizes, but no farther nor other Proceeding till Trinity Vacation 1696, and then the Plaintiff gave a new Notice of Trial, viz. fourteen Days Notice for next Assizes, when he accordingly tried the Cause and had a Verdict; but because there was no Proceeding within a Year after the first Notice, it was set aside: Sed Nota; Notice within the Term had been a Proceeding within the Year, and made Notice for fourteen Days good Notice of Trial.

(6.)
Notice of Trial.
See 6 Mod. 18, 57.
1 Mod. 1.
Ante 457:

Anonymus. Mich. 8 Will. III. C. B.

A New Trial was granted because the Counsel were absent, not thinking the Cause would come on, and no Defence was made; but a like Motion was denied in B. R. per Holt C. J. Also in one Coppin's Case, A Cause came on at seven in the Morning, and an old Witness could not rise to be there Time enough; but it was denied, unless he would make Affidavit of what he knew, and would answer so that the Court might judge of it, and how it was material.

S. C. 1 Salk. 273.
2 Salk. 222.

(7.)
New Trial for the Absence of Counsel or Evidence. Q.
Vide 6 Mod. 22, 222, 242.
Farell. 156.
Post 653.
2 Saund. 336.

Dent *versus* The Hundred of Hertford. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

A New Trial was granted upon Affidavit, That the Foreman declared the Plaintiff should never have a Verdict whatever Witnesses he produced.

(8.)
See Farell. 31, & 37.

King *versus* Burdett. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

A New Trial was mov'd for upon Affidavit, That the Jury took an Act of Common-Council out with them, and that printed Libels were spread against the Defendant; and it was denied: For as to the first it differs from the Lady Ives's Case, where they took a Map of one Side, which was Evidence on neither Side: But this was an Act of neither Side, and Evidence on both; but admitted to be irregular. Et per Holt C. J. So if a Jury eat at their own Charge, 'tis fineable, but that Verdict shall stand; other wise if at the Charge of one of the Parties, and the Verdict is found for him. Vide Mo. 599.

(9.)
Jury after going from the Bar sent for an Act of Common-Council given in Evidence, and new Trial denied.

Salisbury *versus* Proctor. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(10.)
Trial refused
to be put off
till Suit in
the Spiritual
Court deter-
mined.

IN an Action by an Administrator the Court was moved to put off the Trial, till a Suit pending in the Spiritual Court concerning the Right of Administration was determined, which was to come on; which was denied per Cur'. For we cannot take Notice of Suits in the Ecclesiastical Courts.

Deerly *versus* The Dutchess of Mazarine. Hill.
8 Will. III. B. R.

(11.)
New Trial
not granted
for Mistake
in Point of
Law against
the Honesty
and Equity
of the Cause.
Ante pl. 5.

UPON Non Assumpsit pleaded, the Jury found for the Plaintiff, tho' the Dutchess gave good Evidence of her Coverture; and the Court would not grant a new Trial, because there was no Reason why the Dutchess who lived here as a Feme Sole should set up Coverture to avoid the Payment of her just Debts.

Thermolin *versus* Cole. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(12.)
No new Trial
for Want of
Notice after
Defence
made.
Ante 428 435.
S. C. ante
417.

If the Defendant appears and makes Defence, he shall never have a new Trial for Want of due Notice.

Dominus Rex *versus* Bear. Pasch. 9 Will. III. B. R.

(13.)
Indictment
for Libel, De-
fendant ac-
quitted, and
new Trial
denied.
N. B. Farell.
31 & 37.

UPON an Indictment for a Libel, the Defendant was by Verdict acquitted; Mr. Attorney-General moved for a new Trial, but it was denied: And the Court said, That anciently it was never done in criminal Cases where Defendants have been acquitted; Laterly where it has been a Verdict obtained by Fraud or Practice, as stealing away Witnesses, &c. it has been done, but never yet was done merely upon the Reason that the Verdict was against Evidence. Postea Mich. 10 W. 3. B. R. Per Holt C. J. In Indictments of Perjury we never do it, because the Verdict is against Evidence; but if you prove a Trick, as no Notice, &c. it is otherwise. Vide 1 Lev. 9, 124. Ne serra, si le def. soit acquit, alie. s'il soit convict.

See 2 Saund. 336. The Defendant in Error took out a Record of Nisi Prius, and proceeded to Trial at the first Assizes after Issue joined; yet held good, and a new Trial denied.

Tur-

Turbervil *versus* Stamp. Mich. 9 Will. III. B. R.

H shall not move for a new Trial after Motion in Arrest of Judgment; but after a Motion for a new Trial, he may move in Arrest of Judgment. So 'tis of a Writ of Enquiry; after Motion in Arrest of Judgment, the Defendant cannot move for a new Trial. *West versus Cole.* Mich. 10 W. 3. B. R. The same Point was held in C. B. Pasch. 8 W. 3. *Philips versus Crabb.*

(14.)
No Motion
for new Trial
after Motion
in Arrest of
Judgment.

Starr *versus* Wade. Pasch. 10 Will. III. B. R.

LESSOR brought Trover against the Lessee, for Trees cut down; yet because the Lessee did it in Trenching, and the Plaintiff had thereby greater Advantage, tho' the Jury found for the Defendant, yet the Court would not grant a new Trial.

(15.)

Wits *versus* Polehampton. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

It was moved for a new Trial, because the Defendant having pleaded a Composition, had forgot to carry down Witnesses to prove the Subscribers Hands; and the Motion was denied, because the Debt was honest. And Holt C. J. remembered where Debt on a Bond was brought against an Heir, who pleaded riens per Discent, but the Verdict went against him by omitting to bring the Settlement to the Trial; and the Court being moved, refused to grant a new Trial, because it was an honest Debt.

(16.)
New Trial
for Omission
of the Party
refused.
1 Salk. 273.
6 Mod. 222.

Anonymus. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

In Covenant to pay a Sum certain, viz. 100 l. and a Grant that upon Default it should be lawful for the Covenantor to enter and take the Profits; the Defendant pleaded Entry and Prizal del Profits in Bar, and Judgment was for the Plaintiff upon Demurrer, and upon the Writ of Inquiry the Jury gave Damages, and upon Motion a new Writ of Enquiry was awarded; for Debt might have been brought upon this Covenant; and this is not like an Issue where the Jury are to give no more Damages than are proved; But here the Jury are to give the Whole, unless the Defendant proves something in Mitigation, which was not done in this Case; therefore tho' the common Rule holds, viz. That no new Trial, or new Writ of Enquiry, shall be for too small Damages; yet there being a Contrivance in this Case, it differs.

(17.)
New Trial of
Writ of In-
quiry not
granted for
too small Da-
mages, unless
where there
is a Trick.
Comberb.
17, 170.

Sparks *versus* Spicer. Hill. 10 Will. III. B.R.

(18.)
New Trial
rarely grant-
ed in hard
Actions.

ONE was ordered by the Judge of Assize to be hanged in Chains; the Officer hung him in privato solo; the Owner brought Trespass, and upon Not guilty the Jury found for the Defendant, and the Court would not grant a new Trial, it being done for Convenience of Place, and not to affront the Owner.

Lord Sandwich's Case. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

(19.)
Trial at Bar,
where to be
granted or
denied.
Vide pag.
625, 644 649,
& 651.
1 Vent. 64.

WHERE there is Value or Difficulty, we are bound of common Right to grant Trials at the Bar. Inquisitiones de grossis & pluribus Articulis, quæ magna indigeant examinatione, capiantur coram Justiciariis de Bancis. Stat. West. 2. c. 30. Per Holt C. J. Pet Trin. 1 Ann. it was denied, because the Plaintiff was poor, unless the Defendant would agree to take Nisi Prius Costs. Et postea scil. Trin. 4 Ann. B. R. Between the Trustees of my Lady Sandwich and my Lord Sandwich, tho' the Estate was 3000 l. per Annum, a new Trial at Bar was denied, because the Title of the Lessor of the Plaintiff being from the Defendant himself, there would be nothing to do but to prove the Executing of a Conveyance.

Argent *versus* Sir Marmaduke Darrell. Hill.
11 Will. III. B. R.

(20.)
New Trial
after Trial at
Bar refus'd in
Ejectment,
because not
conclusive.
Vide post
650 pl. 27.
Ante 646.
pl. 13.
N. B. Farell.
31 & 37.
Comberb.
18, 75.

IN Ejectment after a Trial at Bar, Serjeant Wright moved for a new Trial, because the Verdict was contrary to Evidence; the Court thought so too. Rokeby was for it on the Case in Style, cætera contra; for per Holt C. J. The Reason of granting new Trials upon Verdicts against Evidence at the Assizes is, because they are subordinate Trials appointed by West. 2. c. 30. Ubi de paucis Articulis & facilis est examinatio. And there have been new Trials anciently, as appears from this: That it is a good Challenge to the Jury, that he hath been a Jury before in the same Cause; but we must not make our selves absolute Judges of Law and Fact too; and there never was a new Trial after a Trial at Bar in Ejectment, but in Case of ill Practice, for the Plaintiff may bring a new Ejectment: Upon this a new Trial at Bar was denied in Sir Richard Temple's Case, where the Jury found a Point of Law on the Statute of Bankrupts, against the Opinion of the Court.

Anonymous. Hill. 11 Will. III. B. R.

IN Assumpsit for Money won at Play, the Trial shall not be stayed till an Indictment pending for the Cheat be tried. (21.)

Anonymous.

IN Assumpsit the Issue was, married or not married, and the same Point was pending and ready to be determined in the Spiritual Court: And it was held no Cause to put off the Trial, for the Court cannot take Notice of that. (22.)

Trial not put off for Suit pending in the Ecclesiastical Court on the same Matter.

Turner *versus* Barnaby. Pasch. 1 Ann. B. R.

IF H. would have a Trial at Bar in Easter-Term, he ought to move for it in Hillary-Term; if in Michaelmas-Term, he ought to move for it in Trinity-Term, except where Lands lie in Middlesex; and anciently there was no other Notice given of such Trial, but the Rule in the Office; but now there must be fifteen Days Notice. Per Holt C. J. (23.)

When Trial at Bar to be moved for. Vide ante 625, 644, 648, & post 651.

Anonymous. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

A New Trial shall be granted if the Judge of Nisi Prius misdirect the Jury, because those Trials are subject to the Inspection of the Court. Per Holt C. J.

S. P. Farell. 53, 64. Vide 6 Mod. 222, 242. (24.) New Trial for Misdirection.

Clerk *versus* Udall. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

S. C. Farell. 106.

UPON a Trial at Nisi Prius the Jury gave excessive Damages, and for this Cause a new Trial was granted. The second Jury gave the same Damages again, and a second new Trial was moved for, and denied, because there ought to be an End of Things; but several Cases were cited which the Chief Justice allowed, That where upon the second Trial the Jury have doubled the Damages, a third Trial had been granted. (25.)

New Trial for excessive Damages, and the same Damages given, and third Trial refused. See 6 Mod. 22, 242. 1 Lev. 97. 1 Sid. 131. Comber. 17, 170.

The

S. C. Farell.
84, 85.
1 Salk, 201.
pl. 4.

The Case of the Mayor and Aldermen of Bristol.
Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

(26.)
No new Trial
in inferior
Courts.
1 Salk. 148,
149, 396.
Farell. 38.

IT was held by the Court that a new Trial cannot be granted in an inferior Court; for they are not like Trials by Nisi Prius, which are subordinate upon Writs issuing out of this Court, over which the Court have Authority and Inspection; but this was a new Trial a Year after the first, which the Court blamed.

S. C. 1 Salk.
258.
Ante 648.
Farell. 70,
121, 156.

Fenwick versus Lady Grosvenor. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(27.)
New Trial
denied after
Trial at Bar.
5 Mod. 88,
350.

IN Ejectment after a Trial at Bar, a new Trial was moved for, on Affidavits that several Witnesses absented themselves in Holland, by Reason of a Report spread abroad there, that one of the Defendant's Witnesses was confined by Imprisonment; but it was denied, because it did not appear that the Plaintiff did spread it, or occasioned the spreading of it: The Court was dissatisfied with the Verdict, but cited Cross's Case for a false Return of a Mandamus tried at Bar, and by Consent of all Sides one Point was to be found Specially, yet the Jury found a general Verdict, and the Court would not grant a new Trial. It has never been done here but upon Issues out of Chancery, which being only to satisfy the Conscience of the Chancellor, are not stricti Juris. So a new Trial was denied, contra opinionem (ut videbatur) Capital. Justiciar.

Gay & Cross.
Farell. 37.
6 Mod. 18,
22, 307.

Ashwin versus Corbill. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

(28.)
A Term's
Notice.
Vide ante
624, 514.
6 Mod. 146.

IF a Cause hath lain at Issue four Terms and no Proceeding, there must be a full Term's Notice of Trial, excluding the Term wherein Issue was joined.

S. C. ante 457.
6 Mod. 57.

Lazier versus Dyer. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

(29.)
Suing out a
Venire facias
tested the last
Day of the
Term, not a
Proceeding
within Term
as to Notice
of Trial.

IT came to be a Question, Whether the Suing out of a Venire or Distringas after the Expiration of the four Terms, was a Proceeding within the Term; because it bears Teste the last Day of the Term, and has Relation to the Term? And the Court held it was not; for tho' it be legally a Proceeding of the Term, yet it is not so in Fact. Et Nota; Where 'tis an Issue out of Chancery, Notice of Trial to the Solicitor in that Court is good; for as to this, they are but as one Court.

Sir

Sir Samuel Astrey's Case. Hill. 2 Ann. B.R.

S. O. 2 Mod.
651.
6 Mod. 123.

UPON a Scire facias brought against Sir Samuel Astrey, for his Place of Clerk of the Crown in the Court of King's Bench, and Issue joined thereupon; Sir Samuel Astrey moved that the Issue might be tried at the Bar. The Attorney General opposed it; but the Court said a Trial at Bar was never denied to any Officer of the Court, nor hardly to any Gentleman at the Bar: And tho' Mr. Attorney was never bound to consent to a Trial by Nisi Prius in the Queen's Case, yet they did not see how he could refuse a Trial at Bar, where it was reasonable to try it there; for the Stat. West. 2. cap. 3. is *attermimentur*, that they may be determined there, *qui magna indigeant examinatione*.

(30.)
Trials at Bar
not denied to
Officers of
the Court, or
Barristers.
Vide ante
625.
2 Keb. 1337
164.
1 Cro. 248.
2 Inst. 424.

Way versus Yally. Trin. 3 Ann. B. R.

S. C. 6 Mod.
194.

LESSOR brought Debt for Rent against his Lessee, upon a Demise at London, of Lands in Jamaica. The Defendant pleaded to the Jurisdiction of the Court, That the Matter ought to be tried in Jamaica; and it was urged that the Lessor cannot bring Debt here against the Assignee of a Term of Lands in Ireland, and that if Entry and Duffer were pleaded, it could not be tried here; and in this Case the Right of the Plaintiff and Defendant depends on foreign Laws which cannot be given in Evidence here. Et per Cur'. Where an Action is local it must be laid accordingly; therefore if the Lessor declares on the Privy of Estate, and that lies in Ireland, the Action must be brought there, for the Estate is local; therefore such Lessor cannot maintain Debt here against an Assignee of a Term in Ireland; for the Action is founded on a Privy of Estate: Otherwise where it is founded on a Privy of Contract, which is transitory; as Debt for Rent by Lessor against Lessee; for that may be maintained where the Land lies not. Here it is by the Lessor against the Lessee on the Privy of Contract; and if a foreign Issue, which is local, should happen, it may be tried where the Action is laid; for that Purpose there may be a Suggestion entered on the Roll, That such a Place in such a County is next adjacent; and it may be tried here by a Jury from that Place, according to the Laws of that Country; and upon Nil debet pleaded you may give the Laws of that Country in Evidence.

(31.)
If the principal Cause
be within the
Jurisdiction,
and an Issue
depending
on foreign
Laws arises,
it may be
tried in the
next County,
and foreign
Laws given
in Evidence.
See 1 Lev.
143.
6 Mod. 228.
1 Jon. 43, 44.
2 Saund. 238.
Hob. 37.
1 Cro. 143.
183.
1 Co. 2.
1 Saund. 238.
1 Vent. 59.
Hob. 233.
2 Cro. 76.
1 Inst. 261. b.

S. C. 1 Salk.
380.
6 Mod. 245.

Domina Regina *versus* Sir Jacob Banks. Mich.
3 Ann. B. R.

(32.)
Trial by Pro-
viso cannot
be in the
Case of the
Crown; nor
Nisi Prius,
unless by
Warrant
from the
Attorney-
General.
6 Mod. 246,
&c. *Infra*.

SIR Jacob Banks was indicted at the Quarter-Sessions in Berks, and the Indictment was moved hither by the Prosecutor, and now both the Prosecutor and Defendant made up the Record, took out Process, and carried down the Cause to Trial at the Assizes; and the Defendant put in his Record first, tried it, and was acquitted: The Prosecutor upon this moved for a new Trial, and had it.

Et per Cur'. 1st, Before the 5 & 6 W. & M. c. 11. and 8 & 9 W. 3. c. 33. H. indicted in any County might remove it into B. R. by Certiorari, and never was bound by Recognizance to try it, unless in London and Middlesex; That by this Means the Defendant was out of Court, & sine die, and new Process was to be awarded, on which he might be outlawed, unless he came in gratis, which occasioned great Delay, and was the Cause of making those Acts.

Upon Removal of Indictment by the Prosecutor, if Defendant comes in by Process, he shall give Security to try.

2dly, That Removals by Defendants are provided for, but Removals by Prosecutors are not within those Acts; and that this Removal being before the Plea pleaded, the Defendant was out of Court & sine die, but may come in gratis, or be brought in by Process; and in the last Case on Pleading shall give Security to try it, which he is not obliged to do when he comes in gratis.

In what Cases the Defendant may carry down a Cause by Proviso.
2 Lev. 5, 6,
&c. *infra*.
6 Mod. 240.
& 123.
Savil. 2.
2 Sid. 336.

3dly, That in Civil Actions the Defendant shall never carry down a Cause by Proviso, till there be a Laches in the Plaintiff; except in Causes where the Defendant is as a Plaintiff, as in Replevin, Prohibition, Quare Impedit, which are to have Return, Consultation, and Writ to the Bishop.

4thly, There can be no Trial by Proviso in a Cause of the Crown; because there can be no Default nor Laches, nor can the Crown be compelled to try any Cause by Nisi Prius; and therefore every Cause of the Crown in this Court must be tried at Bar, unless the Attorney-General allows a Warrant of Nisi Prius, which implies his Consent to try the Cause in the Country.

5thly, That as in Indictments of Treason or Felony, if the Attorney-General will delay, the Court may give the Defendant Leave to bring on the Trial as they see fit: (and so it has been done) So in Indictments for Misdemeanors, as in this Case, The Defendant may in the first Instance by the Consent of the Prosecutor, and Leave of the Attorney-General, carry down the Cause to Trial, but it shall not be allowed by Surprise on the Attorney-General, as here in this Case, and also without Consent of the Prosecutor, or any Default in him.

6thly,

6thly, It was ordered to be a Rule hereafter, That when an Indictment is removed hither by the Prosecutor, the Defendant shall not carry it down to Trial without Leave of the Court on Motion. 6 Mod. 247.

Highmore *versus* Walker. Mich. 4 Ann. B. R.

IN a Cause to be tried at the Sittings, the Defendant entered a Ne recipiatur; and the Question was, Whether the Plaintiff could go on at the next Sittings without a new Notice? It was agreed, That if no Ne recipiatur had been entered, there must have been two Days Notice. The Clerks upon the principal Question thought no Notice necessary; their Reason was, because the Defendant himself had hindered the Plaintiff's Proceeding, and therefore ought at Peril to attend the next Sittings. But Holt C. J. contra. The Notice fell to the Ground with the Trial: A Rule was made, That where a Ne recipiatur was entered, the Plaintiff shall give Notice the same Sittings, and before they are over, that he will proceed to Trial the next Sittings: And it was said, that if a Cause be not entered two Days before the Sittings, the Defendant may enter a Ne recipiatur. (33.) Two Days Notice to be given after Ne Recipiat-ur at Sit-tings.

Dunkly *versus* Wade. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

IN Case for negligently keeping his Fire, a Verdict was found for the Plaintiff, and a new Trial granted. But per Cur. Had a Verdict been for the Defendant, we would hardly have granted a new Trial, because 'tis a hard Action. (34.) Hard Action. Vide Ante 644, 648. Pl. 18.

Ford *versus* Tilly.

S. C. Farell, 156, 157.

AN Inquiry found four voluntary Escapes, for which Ford Warden of the Fleet, forfeited his Office: Issues hereupon were tried in B. R. at the Bar. One Escape was proved by a Witness, who was asked if he was never burnt in the Hand for stealing a Tankard; he answered, No. A new Trial was moved for upon producing the Record of the Conviction, and the Court denied the Motion, 1st, Because it was a Trial at Bar. 2dly, It is no Reason for a new Trial that you for the Defendant came not prepared; and the Chief Justice said Soams's Case was a hard Case. Vide 3 Keb. 365, 369. 2 Lev. 114. Postea Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R. Between Cockcroft and Smith. That the Party's Evidence was not ready, was held no Reason for a new Trial, tho' at Nisi Prius: And a new Trial was denied. (35.) New Trial not granted for Defect of Preparation. See 6 Mod. 22, 222, 242. Ante 645. 1 Salk. 258. 273. Hardr. 71, 121.

Trover and Conversion.

Ante 597.
1 Lev. 99.
2 Lev. 201.
3 Lev. 336.
5 Mod. 182,
8cc.
6 Mod. 151,
170, 212.
Vide 4 Mod.
156.
Yelv. 68.

(1.)
Trover de
scripto suo
obligatorio,
good.

Arnold *versus* Jeffreyson. Mich. 9 Will. III. C. B.

TROVER de scripto suo obligatorio per quod tent. & obligat. fuit cuidam J. S. In Arrest of Judgment after Verdict for the Plaintiff, it was held good; for it might be given to the Plaintiff, and so shall be intended, and then it was scriptum suum; and it is no Absurdity, tho' it were made by him to another; for it is only a Description of the Deed.

Hartford *versus* Jones. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
Special Plea
in Trover
must confess
a Conversion.
Lutw. 1538.
1 Mod. 244.

IN Trover and Conversion the Defendant pleaded, That the Goods were cast away, and they saved and detained them till they were paid for their Pains: On Demurrer Holt C. J. held, That they might retain for Payment, as a Carrier for his Hire; and Salvage is allowed by all Nations: He that serves another ought in Reason to be paid for his Service; but the Plea is naught; for if the Detainer be lawful, he does not confess a Conversion: I never knew but one Special Plea good in Trover, viz. Yelv. 198. And the Rule was in the principal Case, to waive the Plea and plead Not guilty. Vide 2 Cro. 68, 69, 3 Cro. 262.

Hartford *versus* Jones. Pasch. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
Trover for
20 Ounces of
Cloves and
Mace, well,
without
shewing how
much of each,
or that they
were mix'd,
ill in Detinue.
Quær. Vide
5 Mod. 181,
324.
1 Lev. 48.
3 Lev. 18.
2 Lev. 85,
176.
2 Vent. 67.

TROVER for twenty Ounces of Cloves and Mace; after a Writ of Enquiry on a Judgment by Default, Holt C. J. doubted whether it was good, because it was not said, how much Cloves and how much Mace; or that it was so many Ounces commixt; but he said these were Uncertainties, and that if this was compyled in another Action, this Recovery would be a good Plea in Bar. In Detinue, this would be ill for Uncertainty; for the Sheriff could not tell how much of one and how much of the other to deliver. The Court gave Judgment for the Plaintiff, without taking Notice of another Exception.

tion, viz. That it was also pro viginti parvis Instrument, carpentar', vocat. twenty small Carpenter's Tools. 2 Saund. 74. 2 Ven. 76. Style 345. 1 Mod. 289. 2 Ven. 677.

Farell. 142. 1 Mod. 46. 1 Sid. 445. 1 Vent. 317.

3 Mod. 70.
4 Mod. 324.
5 Mod. 324.
1 Sid. 80.
1 Lev. 48.
2 Saund. 74.

Anonymus. Trin. 3 Ann. Coram Trevor C. J. At Nisi Prius at Guildhall.

TROVER lies not against a Carrier for Negligence, as for losing a Bar, but it does for an actual Wrong; as if he break it to take out Goods, or sell it. Per Cur'. Pasch. 7 W. 3. B. R. And therefore Denial is no Evidence of a Conversion, if the Thing appears to have been really lost by Negligence; but if that does not appear, or if the Carrier had it in Custody when he denied to deliver it, it is good Evidence of a Conversion. Per Trevor C. J.

(4.)
Where Tro-
ver will lie
against a
Carrier.
1 Mod. 30, 31.
Comber. 333.
1 Danv. 21.
6 Mod. 212.

Where Denial is a Conversion. Vide 10 Co. 56. 1 Cro. 262. 1 Lev. 173. 1 Danv. 21. 2 Mod. 245. 3 Mod. 2. (1 Mod. 244.) 5 Mod. 426. 2 Show. Caf. 148, 175, 213.

T Y T H E S.

Hick *versus* Woodson. Hill. 8 Will. III. B. R.

In Attachment for Prohibition, the Plaintiff declared of a Custom in such a Hundred, to pay no Tithe for Agistment of Cattle barren: Issue was taken upon the Custom, and found for the Plaintiff, but Judgment was arrested with this Entry, Et quia apparet Curia Domini Regis hic quod consuetudo præd. nullius Vigoris in lege existit, ideo fiat Consultatio, &c. Et per Cur'.

1. Tithe of Agistment is due of common Right, because the Oals, &c. which is eaten, is de jure titheable, and must have paid Tithe if cut at Perfection: And the Court took this Difference, viz.

That a Hundred or a County cannot prescribe in a Non decimando, for a Thing that is in its Nature de jure titheable; for, as no one single Person, or his Estate, can; no more, by the same Reason, can the Hundred, which consists but of many single Persons Estates. Vide March 26. 1 Ro. Ab. 653.

See 1 Mod.
229.
6 Mod. 261.
4 Mod. 336,
&c.

S. C. 4 Mod.
336 to 341.

(1.)
County or
Hundred can-
not prescribe
in a Non de-
cimando for
Things tithe-
able of com-
mon Right;
otherwise if
not titheable
of common
Right,
Tithe of A-
gistment of
barren Cattle
due of com-
mon Right.
Vide Farell.
113, 137.
1 Saund.
141, 142.

But

4 Mod. 336
to 341.
Lutw. 1316.

Farell. 113,
137.

Vide 6 Mod.
261.
S. C. call'd
Leicester
vers. Foy.
Ante 554.

(2.)
Carth. 461.
S. C.
Modus to
pay a whole
Meal's Milk
such a Day,
and every
9th and 10th
Night and
Morning af-
ter, till a
young Lamb
yeaned be
heard to
bleat, in lieu
of Tithe of
Milk, ill.
Modus to
pay Part of
the very
Thing that
is Tithe, not
good, unless
payable in

But of Things which in their Nature are not titheable de jure, a Hundred or County may prescribe in a Non decimando, because they are discharged in such Case without a Custom to the contrary, and they do but insist on their ancient Right, and that Custom hath not prevailed against it: Ergo, the Case of Wood, 1 Ro. Ab. 654. Lit. Rep. 152, 153. and the Hearth-Penny, which is but a Modus for it, they allowed to be good Law; because Wood is not in its Nature titheable, nor within the Reason of titheable Things, which come not to Perfection every Year.

Hill *versus* Vaux. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

ON a Suit in the Spiritual Court for Tithe of Milk, the Plaintiff moved for a Prohibition, suggesting a Custom in the Parish, that the 9th Day of May at Night, and the 10th Day of May in the Morning, the Parson was to have the whole Meal's Milk, and so every 9th and 10th Night and Morning, till a young Lamb yeaned should be heard to bleat, in Lieu of all Tithe of Milk. And the Case of thirty Eggs, in Lieu of all Eggs, was cited 1 Ro. Ab. 648, 651. Et per Cur'. A Modus to pay one Thing for another, or a Part of the same Thing in another Manner, may be good; but a Prescription to pay Part of the very Thing that is Tithe, can never be a good Modus, unless payable in some other Manner, so that the Parson has a Benefit by it. 3 Cro. 609. 2 Cro. 47. 1 And. 799. Mod. 229. Hob. 250. Raym. 277. 3 Bulst. 326. As to the Case of the thirty Eggs, he is bound to pay that, whether he has Pens or no, and he must pay it at a certain Time. But by this Modus the Parson may have nothing; as suppose a Lamb be heard to bleat before the 9th of May.

Lat. 222. 6 Mod. 261. Raym. 277. 1 Mod. 229.

The Archbishop of York versus The Duke of Newcastle.
Mich. 3 Ann. In Scacc.

(3.)
Modus of ten
Fleeces of
Wool and
two Lambs,
for all Tithe:
Court divided whether
good, or not.
Vide 1 Mod.
321.

HPrescribed to pay ten Fleeces of Wool and two Lambs in Lieu of all Tithes; and Price and Bury Barons, were of Opinion this was an ill Modus; because 'tis one Species of Tithe for another, and there is great Uncertainty; for one Fleece may be twice as big, and three Times the Value of another. Vide 2 Lutw. 1052. 3 Cro. 786. 276. Mo. 909. 1 Ro. Ab. 649. Dy. 149. Hard. 174. Ward Chief Baron, and Smith Baron contra. 1st, A Modus is nothing but a real Composition, for or in Lieu of Tithes, or an annual Profit certain and permanent; and they held that the Payment of any one Chattel for Tithe, was or might be a good Modus as well as Money; for why might not the Par.

Parson originally agree to take ten Fleeces for his Tithe, as well as a Penny? They admitted that Payment of Tithe of one Species, or Payment of a Modus for one Species of Tithe, could not be a Discharge as to another Species; but they held that this was not a Payment of Tithe, nor a Payment for a Species of Tithe; because it was to be paid at all Events, whether there be Sheep or no: And they denied the Case of 1 Ro. Ab. 651. and held it no more uncertain than to pay a Modus of ten Cheeses, which may differ vastly, both in Nature, Quantity, and Value; and it tends to the Disquiet of the Country to break in upon Customs and Usages; and it ought not to be done but on plain and manifest Reason.

Payment of Tithe of one Species nor a good Modus for all.
Cro. El. 446.
Moor 277,
445.
Lutw. 1048,
1051.

Startupp *versus* Dodderidge. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

A Modus to pay 2s. in the Pound of the improved Rent in Lieu of all Tithes, was held naught; for that is to rise and fall as the Land is let, and the Parson cannot know it: And tho' a Custom to pay the double Value for a Fine may be good; yet that arises from a Man's Contract, which shall never be void where it may be reduced to any Certainty, and differs from this Case of a Modus, which ought to be as certain as the Duty, which is destroyed by it. Holt C. J. dubitante, upon a Motion for a Prohibition.

(4.)
Modus to pay 2s. in the Pound of the improved Rent; ill. Modus should be as certain as the Duty destroyed by it. See Lutw. 1043 to 1053, &c. *supra*. Ante 551.

V A.

VARIANCE.

Ante 434,
452.Bragg *versus* Digby. Pasch. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(1.)
Variance be-
tween Writ
and Count
notpleadable
without cra-
ving Oyer of
the Writ.
Oyer. Vide
ante 497. &
post 701.
3 Lev. 236.
1 Saund. 118.
6 Mod. 303.

IN Case on feveral Promises by Original, the Defendant without craving Oyer of the Writ, pleaded Variance be-
tween the Writ and the Count, shewing particularly where-
in : And the Plaintiff demurred, and it was adjudg'd that
the Defendant should answer over ; for he ought to have demand-
ed Oyer of the Writ before he could take Advantage of the Va-
riance ; because, tho' the Writ is in Court, yet being not upon
the same Roll with the Count, the Defendant cannot plead to it
without demanding Oyer.

Holman *versus* Borough. Trin. 1 Ann. B. R.

(2.)
Deed dated
in the Year
of our Lord
set forth with
the Year of
the King also,
no Variance.

IN Covenant, the Plaintiff declared of a Deed of Covenant,
bearing Date the 30th of March Anno Domini 1701. Annoq;
Regni Willi. tertii nunc Regis Angl', &c. Decimo tertio, and
makes a Profert of the Deed, with a Cujus dat. est eisdem die &
Anno ; Upon Oyer craved, the Deed was dated only thus, viz.
the 30th of March 1701. wanting Anno Domini, and likewise
Anno Regni. And tho' it was demurred to for the Variance, the
Court held it no Variance ; for it was Implicity in the Deed.
Vide 41 E. 3. 23.

S. C. Far. 87.

Incledon *versus* Crips. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

(3.)
In Debt
where the
Quantity of
the Duty de-
pends upon
the Deed,
Variance is
fatal, and-
cannot be
help'd by
Remittitur ;
otherwise
where on
Matter ex-
trinsic.

IN Debt, the Plaintiff declared on a Deed, whereby the Defen-
dant covenanted to pay the Plaintiff 35 l. per Hundred for
every Hundred of Wood in such a Place, and that he delibered
so many Hundreds and one half, which came to 182 l. 10 s. The
Defendant demurred. Et per Cur'.

There can be no Apportionment, and the Demand for the half
Hundred, is demanding more than can be due by the Contract ;
and then the Question was, Whether a Remittitur could be en-
tered for that, and Judgment given for the rest. Et per Holt C. J.

Where

Where the Sum demanded depends on the Deed it self, and on nothing extrinſical, as in Caſe of Debt or Covenant to pay 20 l. there can be no Remittitur; for the Variance which is made is inconfiſtent with the Deed upon which the Duty that is demanded entirely depends; otherwiſe where it may be more or leſſ by Matter extrinſick; as in Debt for Rent, or in the Caſe at Bar; in that Caſe, if more be demanded than is due, it may be remitted; for the Variance is not inconfiſtent with the Deed: And as the Plaintiff is to recover on Trial what appears on Evidence to be due; ſo on Demurrer he is to have Judgment for no more than he ought to recover, and may remit the reſt. Vide Hob. 178. Dy. 65. Yelv. 66. 10 H. 6. 5. 1 Saund. 206, 286.

1 Salk. 139.
1 Lutw. 459.
539.
2 Vent. 129.
1 Show. 8, 9.
Cro. Car. 137.
436, 437.
1 Saund. 282;
285.
Farell. 143,
148.
5 Mod. 213.
1 Sid. 407.

Chetley *verſus* Wood. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

Vide ante
564, 800.
6 Mod. 132.
Far. 120, 121.

IN Debt upon a Recognizance, the Plaintiff declared as on a Recognizance acknowledged in the Court of Common Pleas, coram G. Treby, Mil. & ſociis ſuis; Nul tiel Record was pleaded, and the Record produced was a Recognizance taken before M. Juſtice Nevil, at his Chambers in Serjeants-Inn, and by him brought and delivered into Court; and it was adjudged that the Plaintiff has failed of his Record: For the Record is ſuch as it is entered upon the Roll, and in Pleading muſt be ſo deſcribed: Accordingly the Court of King's Bench do enter all Recognizances as taken in Court, but the Common Pleas enter ſpecially; ſo that their Recognizances bind from the Caption, ours from the Time of Entry; alſo upon theirs a Scire facias lies in either County; But on a Recognizance in B. R. in the County of Middleſex only; therefore theſe differ in Subſtance; and as to the Uſage of declaring this Way, which was inſiſted on, the Chief Juſtice ſaid it was againſt Law.

(4.)
Recogni-
zance in C. B.
taken at a
Judge's
Chamber
pleaded aſtra-
ken in Court;
Variance.
Lutw. 1287.

Recogni-
zance of Bail
in C. B. binds
from the Cap-
tion, in B. R.
from the En-
try only.
Mod. Caſes
42. S. C.
1 Cro. 312.
Hob. 195.
Aley 12.

Roberts *verſus* Harnage. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

IN Debt upon a Bond, the Plaintiff declared, quod cum the Defendant apud London, viz. in Paroch. beatæ Mariæ de Arcubus in Warda de Cheape per ſcriptum ſuum obligatorium conceſſiſſet ſe teneri to the Plaintiff in 40 l. ſolvend. to the Plaintiff, &c. the Defendant craved Oyer, and the Bond was to the Plaintiff to pay 40 l. to his Attorney or his Assigns; and was dated at Port St. Davids in the Eaſt-Indies.

(5.)
Bond to A.
in 40 l. ſol-
vend. to his
Attorney, de-
clared on as
payable to
A. and no
Variance.

The Defendant pleaded theſe Variances in Abatement, and upon Demurrer the Court held,

1st, That the firſt was no Variance; for Payment to the Plaintiff or his Attorney is the ſame Thing: The Teperi made it a Debt

to the Plaintiff, and in Consequence it may be payed to him; a Solvendum to any Body else would be repugnant. Vide 4 Ed. 4. 29. Sid. 295. 2 Keb. 81. But Payment to the Plaintiff's Attorney or his Assignee, is the same Thing.

2dly, The second Variance was held fatal, tho' it was objected that the Place was only used as a Venue, for the Dating made the Bond local; and it is not a Bond dated at London, because there is an express Date at Port St. Davids; but the Plaintiff might have declared, that the Defendant apud Port St. Davids in the East-Indies, viz. apud London. in Paroch', &c. for that was only using London, &c. for a Place of Trial. Broderick pro Quer. Parker pro Def'.

Darby *versus* Anely. Trin. 4 Ann. B. R.

(6.)
Writ of Error
of a Record
per billam,
the Record
returned per
breve de Pri-
vilegio,
quash'd.

A Writ of Error was brought of a Judgment in C. B. reciting, quia in Recordo & processu ac etiam in redditione Judicii loquelæ quæ fuit in Curia nostra per billam. The Record was Attachiatus fuit per breve de Privilegio e Curia hic emanan. ad respondend. the Plaintiff an Attorney of that Court juxta libertates, &c. de placito transgr. super Casum; and the Writ of Error was quashed for this Variance. Vide Lutw. 1634, 1637, 905.

Domina Regina *versus* Dr. Drake, Mich. 5 Ann. B. R.

(7.)
Information
for a Libel,
Variance in
the Word *not*
for *not* held
fatal upon
Evidence.
See 6 Mod.
168.
Raym. 74.
Tenor im-
ports a true
Copy.
1 Keb. 531.
Farell. 101.
Hob. 59.
1 Sid. 148,
153, 217.

INFORMATION, for that the Defendant being evilly disposed to the Government, did make a Libel, in which Libel were contained divers scandalous Matters secundum tenorem sequent', and in setting forth a Sentence of the Libel, it was recited with the Word *not* instead of *not*; but note, the Sense was not altered thereby. The Defendant pleaded Not guilty, and this appearing upon Evidence, a Special Verdict was found. Et per Curiam; 1st, Cujus quidem tenor imports a true Copy. Vide Reg. 169. 8 Co. 78. Co. Ent. 508. 2 Saund. 121. in hac quæ sequitur forma, 5 Co. 53. Tenor is a Transcript, and implies the very same.

2dly, They held that this was not a Tenor, by Reason of this Variance; for *not* and *nor* are different; different in Grammar and different in Sense: And Powys J. held as to the Point where literal Omissions, &c. would be fatal, that where a Letter omitted or changed makes another Word, 'tis a fatal Variance; otherwise where the Word continues the same: And in the principal Case, no Man would swear this to be a true Copy.

3dly, The Court held, That there was a Difference between Words spoken and Words written; of the former there could not be a Tenor; for there was no Original to compare them with, as there is of Words written; and tho' there has been Attempts to plead a quorum Tenor of Words spoken, it has never been allowed; and therefore where one declares for Words spoken, Variance in the Omission or Addition of a Word, is not material; and it is sufficient if so many of the Words be proved and found as are in themselves actionable. Vide Dy. 75. 3 Cro. 503. Hard. 470. Otherwise in Debt upon a Bond; for upon Non est factum, any Variance is fatal.

In declaring for Words spoken, Variance in Omission or Addition not material, if the Words proved are actionable; otherwise in a Tenor of Words written.

4thly, Holt C. J. held, That in Pleading there were two Ways of describing a Libel or other Writing, by the Words or by the Sense: By the Words, as if you declare of a Libel, *cujus tenor sequitur*, &c. or *quæ sequitur* in his Anglicanis verbis sequentibus, you describe it by its particular Words, of which each is such a Mark, that if you vary, you fail in making good their Description. Vide Dy. 203. If a Man bring Trespas quare clausum fregit, and sets forth Abuttals and Bounds, and fails in proving them, he is gone; and yet he needed not to have described it after that Manner. 2dly, You may describe it by its Sense and Meaning; thus it is a good Information to shew, That the Defendant made a Writing, and therein said so and so, translating it into Latin; in which Case, Exactness in Words is not so material, because it is described by the Sense and Substance of it.

In pleading a Libel may be set forth in hæc verba, or by the Sense and Substance of it.

VERDICTS.

Vide Co. Lit.

226.

1 Saund. 230.

2 Saund. 97.

171, 255.

Raym. 193.

5 Mod. 351.

Buxendin *versus* Sharp. Pasch. 8 Will. III. C. B.

(1.)

THE Plaintiff declared that the Defendant kept a Bull that used to run at Pen; but did not say, Sciens by Scilenter, &c. This was held naught after a Verdict; for the Action lies not unless the Plaintiff knows of this Quality, and we cannot intend it was proved at the Trial, for the Plaintiff need not prove more than is in his Declaration.

Jenkins *versus* Turner. Mich. 8 Will. III. C. B.

(2.)

Action for
keeping a
Boar ad mor-
dend' anima-
lia consueta.
held well af-
ter Verdict.
1 Show. 539.
1 Lutw. 899.

THE Plaintiff declared that the Defendant kept a Boar ad mordend. animalia consueta, and knew of this Habit, and that the Boar did bite, &c. This was held good after Verdict, tho' it was objected that these Animals may be Frogs or Nicks, &c. for we must intend there was Proof of biting such Animals as will support the Action, otherwise the Judge and Jury would not have concurred in this Verdict, whereby the Plaintiff recovers Damages: And as to another Objection, viz. That the Defendant cannot know what Animals he is to defend against; 'twas answered, That no Evidence can be given of killing any Animals but what he has Knowledge of.

Acton *versus* Eels. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)

Damages gi-
ven in Tres-
pafs laid at a
Time not
come, it shall
be intended
another Time
was proved.
Vide 1 Mod.
292.

IN Trespafs for Assault and Battery, and Verdict for the Plaintiff, it was moved in Arrest of Judgment, that the Time laid in the Declaration was not yet come. Et per Cur'. Then it is a Time impossible, and the Jury must be supposed to give Damages for another Trespafs; and it is as if no Time had been alledged; otherwise if the Time had been laid after the Action, and before the Verdict, for that shall be intended to be the Trespafs that was given in Evidence, and that was after the Action brought; and so it is where it appears that the Jury give Damages for a Time not come, as in 2 Saund. 169. where the contrary cannot

be intended, 3 Keb. 304. in Point. Judgment for the Plaintiff. Then Northey moved that they might have Leave to enter the Judgment as of the preceding Term, because the Plaintiff died since the Verdict. Curia, Enter it as you can, but at your Peril, we give no Leave nor Directions about it.

Roe *versus* Gatehouse. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

ASSUMPSIT, quod cum the Defendant was indebted to him in 5 l. for Money lent, and promised to pay; Cumq; etiam at the Request of the Defendant the Plaintiff found Horse-meat for J. S. super se Assumpsit; and says not that the Defendant super se Assumpsit. A Verdict being for the Plaintiff and entire Damages, and a Writ of Error brought in B. R. relying upon 3 Cro. 913. and Noy 50. for J. S. might as well be the Person promising as the Defendant; and the Promise is the Gift of the Action; and an Incertainty in that cannot be cured by Intendment after Verdict. Sed per Cur. It being said positively at first, That the Defendant super se Assumpsit, and then cumq; etiam, &c. the same Nominative shall go to all the Promises; and by Reason of the Word Etiam, it cannot be intended of a Promise by J. S. for he had not promised before. Judgment affirmed. Vide Sid. 292, 306. Lutw. 125. 3 Co. 793.

(4.)
Incertaincy
in Count cu-
red by Ver-
dict.
Vide 1 Lutw.
899.
1 Saund. 154,
155.
2 Saund. 171.
2 Vent. 141,
142, 196.
Carth. 379.
S. C.
1 Lutw. 234.
6 Mod. 227,
268, &c.
Farell. 143.
6 Mod. 303.
3 Lev. 55.
1 Sid. 309.
1 Saund. 6, 7.

Prince *versus* Molt. Pasch. 9 Will. III. B. R.

IN Case the Plaintiff declared, Quod cum quer' 3 Julii posses-
sionat. fuisset de quodam clauso prati prædict. defend. 3 Au-
gusti erexit novum molendinum & aquam currentem fecit inun-
dare per quod inundavit clausum suum prædict. per quod totum
usum & proficuum inde eodem secundo die Julii usque tempus ex-
hibitionis billæ prædict. amisit: Verdict pro Quer. and entire Da-
mages; but Judgment was arrested; for an Erection on the 3d
Day of August, might make him lose a particular Gain or Pro-
fit from the 2d Day of July, as if he had laid in the Meadow
for Hay. But by an Erection on the 3d Day of August he could
never lose totum usum & proficuum from the 2d Day of July;
therefore he has recovered more Damages than he ought, and
this Case is not to be distinguished from Moor 887. Hob. 189.

(5.)
Judgment
arrested be-
cause more
Damages re-
cover'd than
ought.
Vid. 1 Saund.
154, 155.
2 Saund. 171.
1 Vent. 103.
Carth. 386.
S. C. call'd
Prince *versus*
Moulrin.
2 Mod. 154.
Comber. 442,
443. S. C.

- (6.) East *versus* Ellington. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R. Vide *this Case*, Title Bills of Exchange. Vol. 1. Pag. 130. pl. 14.

Crowther *versus* Oldfield. Hill. 4 Ann. B. R. Vide *this Case*, Title Jeofails. Vol. 1. Pag. 364. pl. 5.

- (7.) **W**HERE a Verdict will aid a Title defectively set forth, but not defective in itself.

Hadley *versus* Stiles. Mich. 9 Ann. B. R.

(8.)
In Debt on
single Bill
and Nil de-
bet pleaded,
the Jury find
Nil debet
to Part and
Debet to the
rest, well af-
ter Verdict.
Vide 1 Mod.
292.
1 Show. 539.
3 Lev. 55.
2 Saund.
255, 308.

DEBT on a Bill penal for 300 l. The Defendant pleaded Nil debet, and the Plaintiff took Issue thereon, and the Jury found Nil debet for 200 l. and Debet as to 100 l. Mr. Lutwyche urged, That this Plea, Issue and Verdict were immaterial, and that the Debt could not be apportioned. Et per Cur. The Plea was ill, but the Verdict has made it good: We will intend 200 l. paid, and an Acquittance under Seal produced in Proof thereof; and the Jury may as well apportion here as in Debt on a simple Contract, where they may find Nil debet for Part. Vide Mo. 957. 1 Rol. Rep. 257.

V I E W.

V I E W.

Vid. 1 Show.
3.
2 Lev. 117.

Kempster *versus* Deacon. Pasch. 8 Will. III. C. B.

A View is grantable, but that is only where the Title is in Question. (1.)

Anonymus. Trin. 10 Will. III. B. R.

It was ordered, That when in Order to a View, the last Juror is withdrawn, the Plaintiff shall take out a new Distringas, amoto the last Man of the Panel, to distrain the other twenty-three, with an apponas etiam decem tales. At the Trial of this Cause for Want of a full Jury upon the principal Panel, some Tales-Men were sworn and had the View, but the Distringas was returnable as an original Distringas, and so many of the principal Panel left out, who were not at the View; of which the Defendant complained, and would have set aside the Trial for Irregularity; but because no Venire appeared to the Court, and the Matter stood upon Record as an original Trial, and the Want of a Venire was helped by Verdict; and because the Cause was tried by those that were fittest, viz. those who had the View, the Court would do nothing in it, but ordered the other Course for the future. (2.)

Method of proceeding in Case of a View.
2 Saund. 254.
6 Mod. 211.
1 Keb. 279,
418.
6 Mod. 265.
3 Keb. 103,
254, 485.

Anonymus. Mich. 4 Ann. B. R.

PER Holt C. J. Before we make a Rule for a View, the Venire facias must be returned, and then we may make a Rule, that so many of the Panel shall view the Premises. (3.)

Villeins

Villeins and Villenage.

Smith *versus* Browne and Cooper.

(1.)
Indebitatus
Assumpsit for
a Negro sold.
Q. Whether
Inheritances,
or not ?

2 Vent. 4.
Ante 411,
510.
3 Mod. 161.

THE Plaintiff declared in an Indebitatus Assumpsit for 20 l. for a Negro sold by the Plaintiff to the Defendant, viz. in Parochia beatæ Mariæ de Arcubus in Warda de Cheape, and Accidia for the Plaintiff; and on Motion in Arrest of Judgment Holt C. J. held, That as soon as a Negro comes into England, he becomes free: One may be a Villein in England, but not a Slave. Et per Powell J. In a Villein the Owner has a Property, but it is as an Inheritance; in a Ward he has a Property, but 'tis a Chattel real; the Law took no Notice of a Negro. Holt C. J. You should have averred in the Declaration, that the Sale was in Virginia, and by the Laws of that Country, Negroes are saleable; for the Laws of England do not extend to Virginia, being a conquered Country, their Law is what the King pleases; and we cannot take Notice of it but as set forth; therefore he directed the Plaintiff should amend, and the Declaration should be made, That the Defendant was indebted to the Plaintiff for a Negro sold here at London, but that the said Negro at the Time of Sale was in Virginia, and that Negroes by the Laws and Statutes of Virginia, are saleable as Chattels. Then the Attorney-General coming in, said, they were Inheritances, and transferrable by Deed, and not without: And nothing was done.

Vide 5 Mod.
186, 187.

2 Lev. 201.

3 Lev. 336.

(2.)

Trover lies
not for a Negro;
but in Trespass
quare captivum
suum cepit, Plaintiff
may give in Evidence
that he was
his Negro.

Smith *versus* Gould. Mich. 4 Ann. B. R.

TROVER for several Things, and among the rest de uno Æthiope vocat. a Negro, and on Not-guilty pleaded Accidia was for the Plaintiff and several Damages; and as to the Negro 30 l. And it was moved in Arrest of Judgment, that Trover lay not for a Negro, for that the Owner had not an absolute Property in him; he could not kill him as he could an Dr. Contra, It was said Property implies the Right of having and enjoying, and disposing; but it does not always imply a Power to destroy; That this Power holds in Beasts, Fowl and fish,

fish, which were made the Property of Mankind by the Act of God, and have a natural Existence, but not in Things incorporeal, which consist in jure tantum; for this being a Property ex Instituto only, the Owner has only a Power according to the Measure of this instituted Right: And it was instanced in the Case of a Common, a Way and a Ward. On a Ca. Sa. the Plaintiff has an Interest in the Body of the Prisoner as a Pledge, not to sell, but to keep, and it goes to Executors. Hob. 61. In a Servant to work him; in a Captive to sell him. Reg. 102. F. N. Br. 88. a. B. N. C. 295. Bro. Property 38. 1 H. 6. c. 5. in Rast. 219. Cott. Abb. 460. That the Writ de Nativio habendo must lay the Explees of a Villein in working and taring him at Will. Co. Ent. 406. That by the Law of Moses a Man may be a Slave, and a Slave was a Chattel, his Master's Money, Exod. 20, 21. That by the same Reason there may be a Servus prædialis, i. e. a Villein. One may be a Servus personalis, and that first a Captive and afterwards a Villein. Hob. 97. Brownl. 78. A Villein in Gross is a Chattel, for he is of a perishable Nature, and cannot endure for ever. So is Fitzs. Discontinuance 16. Br. Villein 60. As Villeins are regardant to Land, it is a different Thing, and in that respect they are Inheritances, and so are the Charters. Every Villein is intended in Law regardant; the Writ in the Register therefore supposes him to be nativum suum, but before he was a Villein, he was a Captive, and then a Chattel. Lastly, It was insisted, That the Court ought to take Notice that they were Merchandize, and cited 2 Cro. 262. The Case of Monkeys, 2 Lev. 201. 3 Keb 785. 1 Inst. 112. If I imprison my Negro, a Habeas Corpus will not lie to deliver him, for by Magna Charta he must be Liber homo. 2 Inst. 45. Sed curia contra, Men may be the Owners, and therefore cannot be the Subject of Property. - Villenage arose from Captivity, and a Man may have Trespass quare captivum suum cepit, but cannot have Trover de gallico suo. And the Court seemed to think that in Trespass quare captivum suum cepit, the Plaintiff might give in Evidence that the Party was his Negro, and he bought him.

Difference between Property in Things having a natural Existence, and a civil Existence only. Vide ante 556, 637.

Servus prædialis and personalis.

3 Lev. 336. Hob. 283. Vid. March. 12. Raym. 16. Cro. Car. 19, 391, 545. Cro. El. 126, 545. Cro. Jac. 262, 463.

See 5. Mod. 19. 182.

1 Lev. 149.
2 Lev. 237.
Cro. Car. 278.
Raym. 67,
417, &c.

V I S N E.

Seaman *versus* Ling. Mich. 6 Will. III. B. R.

(1.)
If the Defen-
dant be a Bar-
rister or At-
torney, he
may change
the Venue to
Middlesex.
See 1 Mod.
64.
2 Show. 242,
176.
1 Vent. 1, 11,
16, 29, 298.

IF the Defendant be a Barrister, he may have the Visne changed to Middlesex. In Trin. 2 Ann. Wilcocks, an Attorney, was sued by Bill of Privilege, and the Action was laid in Suffolk; and upon Motion the Venue was changed into Middlesex; and vide 2 Vent. 47. If an Attorney being Plaintiff lay his Action in Middlesex, the Venue shall not be changed; otherwise if in London.

Anonymous. Pasch. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
When Mo-
tion to
change the
Venue ought
to be made.

PER Holt C. J. The Motion to change a Venue ought to be within eight Days after the Declaration delivered; but this Rule is not always strictly adhered to. But Trin. 7 W. 3. B. R. It was said by Aston, one might move to change the Venue at any Time before Judgment signed, which Holt C. J. deny'd, saying, heretofore it was never granted after the Rules for Pleading were out.

The Duke of Norfolk versus Alderton. Pasch.
9 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
Visne refus'd
to be chang'd
in an Action
of Scanda-
lum Magna-
tum.
Vide 1 Lev.
56, 149.
3 Keb. 39.
1 Lev. 307.
1 Vent. 364.

A Motion was made to change a Visne in an Action of Scandalum Magnatum upon the common Affidavit, insisting on my Lord Shaftsbury's Case, 2 Jo. 192, 198. but it was denied; for in my Lord Shaftsbury's Case, it was on an Affidavit of the vast Interest he had in the City, and the Unlikelihood of an impartial Trial; but we will not deprive the Plaintiff of that Benefit the Law gives him, of laying his Action where he pleases, for the Convenience of the Defendant. Vide 1 Lev. 56.

Note; At Common Law, all Actions were laid in the proper County, that there might be a Jury de vicineto.

Anonymus. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

IN an Action on the Case against the Drawer of a Bill of Exchange who lived at Bristol, and drew the Bill there, a Motion was made to change the Venue, but it was denied, for the Person upon whom the Bill was drawn, lived in London, and there was the Refusal, and that must be proved to make the first Drawer liable; for where Evidence necessary to support the Action arises in two Counties, the Plaintiff may chuse which he will; and this is the Ground of the Rule, That if the Plaintiff will be bound to give some material Evidence in the County where the Action is laid, the Court will never change the Venue. Et Pasch. 10 W. 3. B. R. In Trover and Conversion the Defendant had Leave to change the Venue, and the Plaintiff moved to set that aside, offering to be bound to give Evidence in the County where the Action was laid: Upon Enquiry the Court found the Plaintiff was Assignee of Commissioners of Bankrupts, and could prove the Assignment in that County: Per Holt C. J. The Whole is transitory. Et per Cur'. The Conversion is the Cause of Action; and not the Assignment; and you are in Place of the Commissioners, and the Venue was according to the Rule. And Pasch. 12 W. 3. B. R. it was held, That where a Rule is made to change a Venue, and afterwards the Plaintiff would bring it back again, the Rule must be, dare aliquam evidentiā de materia in exitu, in the County where the Action was brought.

(4.)
Where Evidence necessary to support the Action arises in two Counties, the Plaintiff may lay it in either.
Vide infra
7 Co. 2, 3, & 7. b.
Raym. 33.
1 Lev. 178, 207, 394.

Dominus Rex versus *The Mayor of Oxford*. Pasch. 13 Will. III. B. R.

IN Case for a false Return, the Action was laid in Suffolk, and the Defendant moved it might be laid in Middlesex; and gave as one Reason, That it would raise Heats in the County. The Court inclined to do it, but the Plaintiff insisted and would not consent, and therefore nothing was done; because he had a Right to lay it in either County.

(5.)
Vide supra.
Raym. 33.
Lutw. 345.
5 Co. 2, 3, 4, & 7. b.

Crockett's Case. Trin. 3 Ann. B. R.

S. C. 6 Mod. 175.

THE Plaintiff declared of a Promise in Staffordshire, and the Declaration was delivered in Easter-Term: Chetham moved to change the Venue. Et per Holt C. J. (The Motion being in Trinity-Term) Unless it appears upon the Face of the Declaration, That the Plaintiff was not entitled to a Plea to enter,

(6.)

enter, we expect an Affidavit when the Declaration was delivered, that thereby the Court may be ascertained.

Sir Samuel Gerard's Case. Mich. 3 Ann. B. R.

(7.)
Vid. 1 Saund.
229.
Raym. 33.

AN Action of false Imprisonment against the Sheriffs of London was laid in Middlesex, and upon the common Affidavit a Rule was made that the Venue should be changed to London; but then it was said that the Officer of the Counter was subject to the Sheriffs, and so there could be no good Trial, for which Reason it was removed back to Middlesex.

Heathcoat's Case. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

(8.)
Venue not
changed in
Action a-
gainst a
Lighterman,
&c. for
Goods lost.
Vide 1 Lev.
307.

AN Action against a Lighterman for not delivering Goods, was laid in London, where they were to be carried. It was moved to change the Venue, because the Damage and Neglect was in Kent; sed non allocatur; for the Neglect is transitory, and not material where it was, and the Court will never change a Venue for a Carrier, which is the same Case; otherwise perhaps in Deceit, or where there is an actual Mistake. Sed per Holt C. J. Mich. 10 W. 3. B. R. fuit dit, That in an Action of Escape, it is not the Course to change the Venue.

Knight versus Farnaby & al. Pasch. 5 Ann. B. R.

(9.)
Clerk of Af-
fize may lay
his Action in
Middlesex,
and the Ve-
nue shall not
be chang'd.
1 Lev. 207.
1 Mod. 37.
1 Saund. 247.
6 Mod. 82.
1 Sid. 326.
5 Mod. 223.

Practice of
changing the
Venue in tran-
sitory Actions
began in
K. James the
First's Time.

MR. Knight, Clerk of Assize of the Norfolk Circuit, brought an Action of Assault and Battery against Farnaby and others, for a Battery committed in Kent, and laid the Action in Middlesex: Upon the common Affidavit the Venue was changed, and upon the Motion of Mr. Knight, that Rule was set aside, and the Venue brought back again. Et per Holt C. J. In Strictness of Law, an Action for a transitory Matter, as Battery is, may be laid any where. If by Law the Place were material, the Defendant might give in Evidence, as he does, in criminal Prosecutions, that the Battery was done in another County: However it is now allowed and become the Course of the Court to change the Venue for the Defendant upon the common Affidavit; a Practice which, as he had heard by old Practisers, came up first in King James the First's Time; but that Rule had never obtained in Cases of privileged Persons, as Barristers, &c. who are to attend at Westminster; and therefore have the Liberty of laying their Actions in Middlesex: So it was held in the Case of Mr. Thompson against Soroggs. The Plaintiff is an Offi-
cer,

cer, he is bound to attend the Assizes at Norfolk, and to attend at Westminster to return the Postea's. By the Statute of Westminster Justiciarii habeant Clericos suos irrotulantes; and in Dyer, upon a Question who should return the Postea's in Case both the Justices of Assize died before the Day in Bank, 'twas held the Clerk of Assizes should return them. Therefore he is Clerk to the Judge of Assize, and a Minister here above to attend upon Trials ordered by superior Courts, upon Writs of Nisi Prius issuing out of those Courts. Et per Powel J. The Privilege extends to Judges Clerks, as well as to Serjeants at Law, Barristers, and Attorneys, for they are bound to be in Middlesex to attend their Masters, and so is the Clerk of Assize; that the Clerk of Assize was the Clerk of the Judge of Assize; and since the Statute has allowed the Judge of Assize to have a Clerk, they shall not be obliged to return the Postea's themselves, but the Clerk of Assize shall attend at Westminster to return them.

Clerks of Assize are by Stat. Westm.

Universities and Schools, &c.

Hinton *versus* Hern. Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

Vide ante 450.

HINTON was libelled against in the University Court of Oxford, reciting the Statute of E. 6. and Car. 2. And the Custom of the University to have but four Taverns, and that he sold Wine without their Licence, in Contempt of the Laws of the University and the said Statutes; and because a Penalty is inflicted by the said Statutes, and they cannot hold Plea for such Penalty, a Prohibition was granted.

(1.) Vice-Chancellor's Court cannot hold Plea for a Penalty of a Statute.

Matthews

S. C. ante
412, 453.

Matthews *versus* Burdett. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

(2.)
Argued whe-
ther a Prohi-
bition lay to
the Ecclesi-
astical Court
in a Suit for
teaching
School with-
out Licence
from the Or-
dinary, before
the Act for
preventing
the Growth
of Schism.
Quærc.

In a Prohibition the Plaintiff declared, That whereas by the Common Law it was lawful to teach others, and instruct them in any honest Art or Science, and that teaching est mere laica, &c. Yet that he was sued in the Spiritual Court for teaching Children in the Elements of Grammar, without Licence from the Ordinary: On Demurrer it was insisted for the Plaintiff.

1st, That the Law favours Means of Livelihood; that Grammar was equally useful to all literate Professions, and that a Lawyer or Physician could be no more without it than a Divine. That all the Colleges in the University were lay Corporations; that tho' the Members of the College might be all of them Spiritual Persons, yet the Corporation was Lay and Temporal; because the Institution and End was Temporal, viz. to advance Learning, which shews that a School-master is a Lay Employment, and was formerly under the Care of the Civil Magistrate: Stillingfleet's Orig. Britt. 210, 212, 213. That the Common Law takes no Notice of it but as Temporal. Vide 11 H. 4. 47. Poph. 170. Reg. 35. And that the only Mention of it before the Reformation, is Anno Domini 1408. Per Lyndewode 282. That School-masters permit not their Scholars to dispute of Religion, under Penalty of being censured for Heresy, to which every Body was liable; which Provision was to prevent the spreading of Wickliffe's Doctrine: That no Law or Canon required a Licence till the Council of Lateran, Anno Domini 1215. Decret 6. Tit. 5. cap. 1, 2, 3. and that is, That there shall be a School-master in every Cathedral, and that he shall be licensed by the Bishop. That the several Acts of Parliament which require the School-master's taking a Licence from the Bishop, shew it was not necessary before, nor was there any such Usage or Practice that can be made appear. Vide Stat. 23 Eliz. c. 2. 1 Jac. 1. c. 4. 14 Car. 2. c. 4.

2dly, That if this was a Calling which a Lay-Man might follow by the Common Law, a Canon cannot restrain him of the Liberty the Law gave him; the Common Law and Custom of the Realm cannot be altered or abrogated, but by Act of Parliament, and therefore a Canon cannot do it, tho' ordained by the King's Royal Licence, or afterwards confirmed by his Royal Authority. Vide 12 Co. 72. 2 Inst. 97, 647, 653, 657. 2 Ro. Ab. 454. Mo. 782. Ratio est, because being a Lay-Man, he is not represented, and therefore his Consent is not given, and a Man cannot be under the Obligation of a Canon without his Consent express or implied. In the Primitive Church the Laitie were pre-
sent

Ans. W. York in his opinion in his father the art of School-master was a lay employment, and was formerly under the Care of the Civil Magistrate. 165. in his opinion, the school-master was a lay corporation, with temporal rights.

sent at all Synods. When the Empire became Christian, no Canon was ever attempted without the Consent of the Emperor; and his Concurrence included the Assent of the whole Body of the People; because he had the sole legislative Power in him: But this is not the Case of our King; for he has not the whole legislative Power in him: Ergo, his Consent to a Canon in re Ecclesiastica, makes it a Law to bind the Clergy, but not to bind the Laity. Vide 20 H. 6. 13. Br. Ordinary 1. 2 Ro. Ab. 226. 2 Cro. 670. 2 Brownl. 38. Cro. Car. 588. Palm. 379.

3dly, Where the Common Law or a Statute gives a Remedy in foro seculari, whether the Matter be Temporal or Spiritual, the Cognizance of that Matter belongs to the King's Temporal Courts only, unless the Jurisdiction of the Spiritual Court be saved by that Statute which gives the Penalty; for otherwise one might be twice punished for the same Thing. Vide Litt. Sect. 136, 137. Cro. Car. 229. 1 Mod. 21, 22. 1 Jon. 320. Where a Crime which was punishable in the Ecclesiastical Court, is made Felony, their Jurisdiction is gone. For Note; The Nature of the Crime is altered. So 2 Leon. 53. 4 Leon. 92. That in this Case the Act of Parliament which gives a temporal Penalty, does not save the Jurisdiction of the Spiritual Court; which was urged as an Argument that the Parliament did not look upon it as an Ecclesiastical Matter; for in all Cases where Acts of Parliament have imposed farther Penalties in Ecclesiastical Offences, there is a Saving added for the Jurisdiction of the Ecclesiastical Court; so is 13 Eliz. cap. 5. Of Usury, 31 Eliz. c. 6. Of Simony. 4 Jac. 1. Of Drunkenness. 3 Car. 1. c. 1. Of the Observation of the Sabbath. 3 Jac. 1. c. 4. Of Recusancy. And they urged also that these Parliaments had not added these Savings, if they had been useless; but it was their Opinion, That imposing a Penalty made it a temporal Offence, and that their Jurisdiction would have been lost without such a Saving. And lastly this Difference was taken, That where an Act of Parliament gives a Remedy for a Spiritual Matter in the Temporal Courts, the Spiritual Jurisdiction is gone; but where an Act gives a Remedy in a Temporal Matter to be prosecuted in the Spiritual Court, yet the Remedy at Common Law remains, as pro violenta injectione manuum in Clericum. Note; In the Statute de circumspecte Agatis, the Words are, commissum fuit alias, which must be understood of a Jurisdiction before granted to them.

On the other Side it was said, That the Canon of Lateran before mentioned, was received in England as well as the other Canon of the same Council for Parochial Tithes, and that it appears by Custom, that the Bishop is to superintend the Education of Youth. Vide Lyndew. 1. 5. tit. De Magistris, and the several Statutes, requiring that he shall have a Licence from the Ordinary: And it was said, That the Toleration-Act did not influence

fluence this Case, for that did not exempt Men in Places of Trust from qualifying themselves according to the Rites of the Church of England.

Vide 3 Cro.
64, 65.
5 Co. 119.
2 Lev. 184,
243.

Void and Voidable.

Hall *versus* Biggs. Trin. 2 W. & M. B. R.

(1.)
Where an
Order of Ju-
stices is not
void but
voidable.

IN Debt on a Bond, with Condition to stand to and perform the Order of the Justices, the Defendant pleaded they made no Order; the Plaintiff replied and set forth the Order. The Defendant demurred, pretending it was a void Order, and that he was not bound to perform it, comparing it to the Case of an Award, but the Court did not think them alike; for the Order being a judicial Act is not absolutely void, but voidable, and continues an Order till avoided.

Prigg *versus* Adams & al'. Mich. 4 W. & M. B. R.

(2.)
Judgment of
a superior
Court is only
voidable.
Vide 2 Lev.
184 & 243.
3 Lev. 23.
Carth. 274.

IN Trespass and false Imprisonment, the Defendant justified as an Officer under a Ca. Sa. on a Judgment in the Court of Common Pleas upon a Verdict for 5 s. for a Cause of Action arising in Bristol. The Plaintiff replied, and set forth the private Act of Parliament for erecting the Court of Conscience in Bristol, wherein was a Clause, That if any Person bring such Action in any of the Courts at Westminster, and it appeared upon Trial to be under 40 s. that no Judgment shall be entered for the Plaintiff; and if it be entered, that it shall be void: Upon Demurrer the Question was, Whether the Judgment was so far void, that the Party should take Advantage of it in this collateral Action? And the Court held that it was not; but that it was only voidable by Plea of Error; as where one is taken on Outlawry and hath no Addition; (Vide 7 H. 5. c. 5. 8 H. 6. c. 10. Bend. 14, 88, 122, 132. 1 Inst. 259. Dy. 214. 5 Co. 119.) and said it was not like the Case of a Judgment vacated. 2 Sid. 225.

2 Sid. 125.
Raym. 73.

Thomp.

Thompson *versus* Leach. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R. S. C. ante 427 465, 476.

BOND of an Infant or Non compos is void, because the Law has appointed no Act to be done to avoid them; and the only Reason why the Party cannot plead Non est factum, is, because the Cause of Nullity is extrinſick, and does not appear on the face of the Deed. (3.) Bond of an Infant, or Non Compos, is void.

Uses and Trusts.

Vide ante 590. Lurw. 824. Vaug. 50.

Davies *versus* Speed. Hill. 3 W. & M. B. R. Rot. 261. S. C. 4 Mod. 153. Parliament Cases, 104.

HUSBAND ſeſſed in Right of his Wife : Husband and Wife covenant to levy a Fine to the Use of the Heirs of the Body of the Husband on the Wife begotten, Remainder to the Husband in Fee. They have Issue, the Wife dies, the Issue dies, and the Husband dies; and now the Question in Ejectment was, Whether the Heir of the Husband, or the Heir of the Wife ſhould have the Lands? Et per Cur'.

1st, Here can be no Estate for Life to the Husband by Implication; because the Estate was the Wife's, to which he is a Stranger.

2dly, This Limitation to the Heirs of the Body of the Husband, &c. was merely void; for taking it as a Remainder, there is no precedent Estate of Freehold to support it; and taking it as a springing Use, then it is a springing executory Use, to arise after a dying without Issue, which the Law will not expect; so that 'tis either Way void, and yet must be one of them: But in this Case the Chief Justice held, That a Feoffment to the Use of A. and his Heirs, to commence four Years from thence, was good as a springing Use, and that the whole Estate remained in the Feoffor in the mean Time; so it is if it were to commence

Vol. II.

M m

after

(1.) Husband and Wife covenant to levy a Fine of the Wife's Land to the Use of the Heirs of the Body of the Husband on the Wife begotten, the Limitation is void. Q. 5 Mod. 153. S. C. Carth. 262. 3 Cro. 334. 1 And. 328. 4 Leon. 293. 1 Vent. 372. 4 Mod. 380. 1 Vent. 272. 2 Lev. 75.

1 Mod. 98, after the Death of A. without Issue, if he die without Issue with-
121, 150, 237. in twenty Years.

Ld. Anglesey versus Ld. Altham. Pasch. 8 Will. III. B.R.

(2.)
Fine levied
and Common
Recovery
suffered,
wherein Co-
nusee was
Tenant, and
no Uses of
the Fine de-
clared. In-
tended to be
to the Use of
the Conusee,
in order to
make a Te-
nant of the
Freehold.
Vide infra,
& prox. pag.
Lutw. 273.
2 Vent. 361.

UPON the Trial of this Cause at Nisi Prius in Middlesex, before Holt C. J. a Case was made for the Opinion of the Court, viz. H. levied a Fine, and afterwards suffered a Common Recovery, wherein the Conusee was Tenant, and there being no Deed in the Case, it was objected, That the Use of the Fine resulted to the Conusor; and tho' the Intent of the Fine might be to make a Tenant to the Præcipe, yet no Use or Trust can be averred since 29 Car. 2. c. 3. Sed non allocatur; for at Common Law the Use was always intended to be to the Feoffee or Conusee, and in pleading, never was averred. Co. Ent. 114, 273. Plowd. 477. But if it be to the Use of the Feoffor or Conusor, then it must be averred.

2dly, The Court held the Party was in by the Fine immediately, and so there was a good Tenant to the Præcipe.

3dly, The Statute extends not to Uses by Operation of Law, but to such Uses as are to a third Person, and that neither the Conusor nor the Conusee could aver the Fine to the Use of a third Person since the Statute.

Tregame versus Fletcher. Hill. 8 Will. III. B.R.

(3.)
Where the
Uses of a Re-
covery are
declared by
a Deed sub-
sequent, the
Pleading
should be ge-
neral, that
the Recovery
was to such
Uses.
Vide prox.
pag.
Where Uses
may be a-
verred by Pa-
rol, and
where not.
Vide 1 Salk.
75.
2 Co. 77.
Lutw. 273.
1 Sid. 160.
6 Mod. 82.
1 Lev. 113.
2 Vent. 242.

ERROR of a Judgment in the Grand Sessions of Wales, in Replevin, where the Defendant made Conusance, That A. was seised in Fee-tail, and suffered a Common Recovery; and that by Deed made at a Time subsequent, 'twas agreed the Recovery should be to the Use of B. for the Security of a Rent-Charge, and that Rent was Arrear, for which he distrain'd. Judgment was for the Avowant. Holt C. J. held, 1st, That it was not well to plead the Uses were declared by a subsequent Deed; but he should plead, Quod recuperatio habita fuit, &c. quæ quidem recuperatio in forma prædict. habita fuit, to such and such Uses.

2dly, He held, That where the Uses of a Recovery are declared by Deed precedent, no new or other Use can be averred by Parol, unless there was some Variance between the Deed and the Recovery; and that in Case of a Deed precedent, if the Party set up other Uses, he must confess and avoid: But where they are by Deed subsequent, new or other Uses may be averred without shewing the Deed, tho' there be no Variance, &c. because there was an intermediate Time when there might be such Agreement made, and the Uses arise by the Recovery according

to that Agreement; and if a Deed subsequent be set up, the other may traverse those Uses. Adjournatur.

Jones *versus* Morley. Hill. 9 Will. III. B. R.

*J. C. 4. Mod. 261.
12. Mod. 159. Carth. 410.
Comb. 429. J. L. Raym. 207.
(4.) Carth. in Parl. 142.*

UPON a Special Verdict in Ejectment it was found, That Bowyer being seised in Fee, in Consideration of Marriage, by Indenture of Lease and Release conveyed to A. and B. to the Use of her self in Fee, till the intended Marriage with Edward Morley should take Effect, and until the said Morley should settle upon her a Jointure of 300 l. per Annum, and then to the said Morley and his Heirs: The Marriage took Effect, and on the 29th Day of January 1665, they covenanted and agreed to levy a Fine next Hillary-Term; and the Use thereof was declared by the same Deed to be to E. Morley and his Heirs. Afterwards, viz. the 31st Day of the same Month, by Indenture between the Husband and Wife, it was agreed and declared, That the Uses of the Deed of the 29th should be revoked. After this, the same Hillary-Term, a Fine was levied; and this Writing of the 31st being no Deed, it was resolved,

Wherea Conveyance to Uses enures by Way of Transmutation of Possession, the Uses may be declared or revoked without Deed.

Vide 2 Lev.

77.

1 Co. Reſtor of Chedington.

S. C. Carth. 410.

4 Mod. 261.

2 Lev. 149.

2 Keb. 366.

Raym. 239.

Show. Parl.

Caf. 140.

1 Vent. 278.

368.

2 Rol. Abr.

749.

2 Rep. 71. b.

1 And. 126.

Dyer 306.

1 And. 240.

Moor 789.

2 Rol. Abr.

251.

Bridg. 112.

1st, That by the Agreement of the 29th, the Parties meant a Fine to be levied Hillary-Term come twelve Months, and not the Hillary-Term then current, and therefore this Fine was not pursuant to that Covenant.

2dly, That if the Fine had been levied pursuant to that Covenant, no parol Averment could have been allowed to declare other Uses, or that the Fine was not to the Uses of that Deed, and all Parties had been estopped to aver the contrary by Parol; but by Deed subsequent, and before the Fine, other Uses may be averred.

3dly, That since the Fine is not according to the Deed, other Uses may be averred, tho' they were declared by Writing, and not by Deed; for by the Variance there is Room and Occasion given to enquire and receive Information, that the old Agreement was relinquished; and by the same Reason the Use of a Fine may be declared by Parol upon an original Agreement, it may now, as in this Case, where the original Agreement was relinquished: Yet without such Averment the Fine shall be intended to the Use of the first Agreement, notwithstanding the Variance.

4thly, That this is a good Revocation of the Uses of the first Deed, tho' it be but a Writing; for where the Conveyance enures by Way of Transmutation, the Use is according to the Intent of the Party, and 'tis no Matter how that Intent is manifested, so as it may be known; but where the Conveyance is by Way of Covenant to stand seised, there must be a valuable Consideration, or a binding Agreement by Deed.

S. C. Farell.

71.

Vide post pl.

7.

(5.)

If a Lease
and Release
be pleaded to
A. and his
Heirs, and
no Consider-
ation ap-
pears, nor
said to whose
Use it was, it
shall be in-
tended to be
to the Use of
the Releasee,
and his Heirs.
Dyer 146.

Shortridge *versus* Lamplugh. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

H. Brought Covenant as Assignee of a Reversion, and shew-
ed, That the Lessor in Consideration of 5 l. bargained and
sold to him for a Year, and afterwards released to him and his
Heirs, *virtute quarundam Indentur' barganiæ venditionis & relaxa-*
tionis necnon vigore Statuti de usibus, &c. he was seised in Fee:
And it was objected, That the Use must be intended to be to the
Relessee and his Heirs, because no Consideration of the Release
nor express Use appeared by the Pleading; so that without confi-
dering the Operation of the Conveyance, the Question was upon
the Pleading, Whether the Use shall be intended to the Relessee,
unless it be averred to be to the Releasee? Et per Holt C. J.
to which the rest agreed.

This Way of Pleading was certainly good before the Statute
27 H. 8. so is Plowd. 478. and many Precedents in Co. Ent. of
Feoffments averr'd in the same Manner; for the Use was a Matter
that was extrinsical to the Deed, and depended upon collateral
Agreements at Common Law, and then the Use might, as since
the Statute of Frauds by Writing, be averred by Parol, and
therefore in pleading the Conveyance was taken to the Use of
him to whom the Conveyance was made, till the contrary ap-
peared; if it were otherwise, it ought to come on the other
Side; and 27 H. 8. has not altered the Course of Pleading,
which is rather confirmed by the Statute; because, if now the
Use be construed to be to the Lessor or Feoffor, the Convey-
ance will be to no manner of Purpose, it being still the old Estate
to which the old Warranty and other Qualities remain annexed;
whereas before the Statute there might be some End in making
the Feoffment, viz. to put the Freehold out of him and prevent
Wardship; and Co. Lit. goes no farther, than where there is a
Feoffment to particular Uses and Estates, the Residue of the
Use shall be to the Feffor, which is reasonable; for the raising
those particular Estates appears a sufficient Reason for the Con-
veyance. And Powell J. doubted, Whether there could be a re-
sulting Use on a Lease and Release, unless where particular Uses
are limited; for this Way of Conveyance is grounded on the an-
cient Way of Releasing at Common Law, wherein there was a
Merger of Estate, which is a good Consideration, as where the
Lessor confirms to the Lessee and his Heirs. In Error of a Judg-
ment of C. B. which was affirmed.

Q. Whether
there can be
a resulting
Use upon a
Conveyance
by Lease and
Release?

Vide 1 Lev.

30.

2 Lev. 77.

Broughton *versus* Langley. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

Vide 1 Chan. R. 176. Lutw. 823.

ON E seised of Lands in Fee, devised them to Trustees and their Heirs, to the Uses, Intents and Purposes herein after mentioned, viz. to the Intent and Purpose to permit A. to receive the Rents and Profits for his Life, and after that the Trustees should stand seised of the Premises to the Use of the Heirs of the Body of A. with a proviso, that A. with the Consent of his Trustees, might make a Jointure for his Wife; and the Question was, Whether A. had an Estate-tail executed, or not? And it was adjudged he had. Holt C. J. pronounced the Judgment of the Court, and gave these Reasons: 1st, That this would have been a plain Trust at Common Law, and what at Common Law was a Trust of a Freehold or Inheritance is executed by the Statute, which mentions the Word Trust as well as Use; and the Case in 2 Vent. 312. Burchet and Durdant, is not Law; and that the Change of Expression in the principal Case by using the Word permit in the first Clause, which are Words of Trust; and afterwards making Mention of a Use, is immaterial, in regard Trusts at Common Law and Uses are equally executed by the Statute.

(6.) Devise to Trustees and their Heirs, on Trust, to permit A. to take the Profits for his Life; and afterwards to stand seised to the Use of the Heirs of A.'s Body, is a Use in A. and he has a Tail. 2 Vent. 311, 312. Whatever was or would have been a Trust at Common Law, is since the Statute a Use executed. 1 Vent. 232.

2dly, 'Twas held, That a Power to make a Jointure, does not necessarily exclude an Estate in Tail, or an Intent to give it; because Tenant in Tail, without discontinuing or barring the Tail, cannot make a Jointure, and so this Power has its Use.

Adams *versus* Tertenants of Savage. Hill. 1 Ann. B. R.

S. C. 1 Salk. 40. & ante 601 & 678.

IN a Scire facias on a Judgment against Tertenants, it was found by Special Verdict, That one Savage being seised in Fee, conveyed by Lease and Release to Trustees and their Heirs, to the Use of himself for 99 Years, Remainder to the Use of the Trustees for twenty-five Years, Remainder to the Heirs Male of his own Body, Remainder to his own right Heirs; the Question was, Whether Savage was Tenant in Tail, or only Tenant for Years? And the Court held the Limitation to the Heirs Male of the Body to be void, because there was no preceding Estate of Freehold limited to support it; and it shall not be implied contrary to the Intent of the Conveyance; and if it could be implied, it must be out of the Estate given to the Heirs of the Body, which cannot be, because this is a new Use; whereas a resulting Use is always from the old Estate, and parcel of the old Use; and here the Estate takes Effect by Transmutation of Possession out of the Seisin of the Trustees; and not like Fen-

(7.) Lease and Release by A. to Trustees and their Heirs to the Use of A. for 99 Years, Remainder to the Use of the Trustees for 25 Years, Remainder to the Heirs Male of A.'s Body: Remainder to the Heirs Male, is void, for want of a Freehold. 1 Chan. Rep. 239.

wick

Mod. Caf.
134, 199,
226.
Farell. 15.

wick and Milford's Case, where the Owner covenanted to stand seised to the Heirs of his Body: And yet per Powell J. Even in that Case, if there had been an express Estate limited to the Covenantor, it had been otherwise.

L.C. P. Wms 128. See in Ch. 300. Pye *versus* George. Mich. 9 Ann. In Canc'.

(8.)
Trustees join
to bar a con-
tingent Re-
mainder, it
is a Breach
of Trust.
Cro. Car. 312.
1 Chan. Rep.
28, 296.

TRUSTEES appointed to preserve contingent Remainders did join in a Conveyance to destroy the Remainder before a Son was born, and this was decreed a plain Breach of Trust, and that whoever claimed under this Conveyance, having Notice of the Trust, or by a voluntary Settlement, should be liable to make good the Estates. Per Harcourt, Lord Keeper.

Usury and Extortion.

Cro. Jac. 104,
509.
Cro. Car. 283,
253.
1 Leo. 54.
1 Hawk. cap.
68 & 82.

Domina Regina *versus* Smith. Pasch. 4 Ann. B.R.

(1.)
Justices of
Peace have
not Juris-
diction upon
the Statute
of Usury.

INDICTMENT was at the Sessions before the Justices of the Peace at Hicks's Hall for Usury, contra formam Statuti, and Judgment was against the Defendant, upon which a Writ of Error was brought in B. R. and the Judgment reversed; for the Justices of the Peace have no Jurisdiction in this Case.

Domina Regina *versus* Baynes. Pasch. 5 Ann. B.R.

(2.)
Charge of
Extortion
ought to be
particular,
and lay the
Defendant
took it ex-
tortive.
Mod. Caf.
192. S. C.

UPON a Certiorari this Order was removed, Whereas by Complaint in Writing at this Sessions, exhibited to this Court against R. B. Clerk of the Peace, R. B. was charged with divers Misdemeanors in his Office, viz. That he exacted of one A. the Sum of 8 s. for a Subpoena, and did compel one B. to pay him 9 s. more than his due Fee; and it doth appear upon Evidence, That the said R. B. misdeameaned himself in his Office

by extorting of the said A. by Colour thereof 5 s. more than was due, and of the said B. 9 s. more than was due; this Court doth discharge and remove him from the said Office of Clerk of the Peace.

Note; By 1 W. & M. Sess. 1. cap. 21. sect. 4. If any Clerk of the Peace do misdemean himself in his Office, and thereupon a Complaint and Charge in Writing of such Misdemeanors be exhibited against him to the Sessions, the Sessions shall discharge him. It was agreed by all, That if here was not a Charge of Extortion against R. B. then he was not removed; for the Justices have only a Special Authority to execute as the Statute appoints, and the Act shall be construed strictly, because it deprives the Defendant of the Benefit he has by Magna Charta, of being tried per Pares. The Justices of the Queen's Bench being divided, it was adjourned into the Exchequer-Chamber, where Gould and Powys Justices, Smith Baron, Ward C. B. and Trevor Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, held this a good Charge of Extortion, by Reason of the Viz. which particularizes the general Charge, and incorporates what follows after it with what went before it. Cited 2 Brownl. 151. 1 Vent. 37. West's Preced. 97, 130. 1 Sid. 91. 1 Keb. 357. Holt C. J. Powell and five more Justices contra. Before the Viz. all was mere Recital and also general, and no general Charge is by Law allowable in any Case but Barretty, which in its Nature must consist of a Heap and Multitude of Particulars; but that in this Case it ought to be certain and positive; because he is charged with a Misdemeanor, and ought to know what he is to answer to; he is not only to be fined, but to lose his Freehold; and where a Man is to lose any Part of his Property, he must have a certain Charge against him; the Act requires the Cause of Removal should be in Writing, that the Cause may appear, and that he may have the Benefit of Appeal; and these Articles are in the Nature of Informations; That what went before the Videlicet being only Matter of Recital, and a kind of Title to the Articles, the Charge begins at the Videlicet, and then it does not appear that these Misdemeanors relate to his Office: It is not said that he took those Sums extorsive & colore officii, and a Man cannot be charged for Extortion without charging him with adding extorsive, which are Words as necessary as Proditorie & Felonice. In this Case non constat but 8 s. was his Fee. The Charge should have been, either that 3 s. was his Fee; and that he, colore officii sui extorsive took 8 s. or generally, That he colore officii sui injuriose & extorsive took 8 s. pro feodo, &c.

Lastly, They held, That what followed upon Evidence before the Justices, does not help; because 'tis no Part of the Charge: And the Order was quashed. Note; This Report is only of the Effect of what was said in B. R.

Note; The Stat of Usury is not pleadable to a Bottomry-Bill or Bond, &c. 1 Lev. 54. 2 Lev. 7. 1 Show. 8.

Wager

In Convictions, what appears upon Evidence will not supply the Defects of the Charge.

Wager of Law.

1 Leon. Caf.

340.

2 Leon. Caf.

143.

Cro. El. 790.

3 Leon. Caf.

55.

2 Inst. 45.

Anonymus. Trin. 11 Will. III. B. R.

(1.)
Method of
performing
Wager of
Law.
2 Vent. 171.

Bro. Non-
suit 1.

ACTION of Debt was brought on a By-Law; the Defendant waged his Law, and a Day was given upon the Roll for him to come and make his Law; and now upon the last Day of the Term he came: And Northey for the Plaintiff insisted, That if he swear falsely or rashly, and without Reason, the Court is not bound to receive him to it, and prayed a Day to speak to that Point. Sed per Holt C. J. We can admonish him; but if he will stand by his Law, we cannot hinder it, seeing it is a Method the Law allows; and the Defendant was set at the right Corner of the Bar, without the Bar, and the Secondary asked him, If he was ready to wage his Law? he answered, Yes; then he laid his hand upon the Book, and then the Plaintiff was called; and a Question thereupon arose, Whether the Plaintiff was demandable? And a Diversity taken where he performs his Law instanter, and where a Day is given in the same Term, and when in another Term: As to the last, they held he was demandable, whether the Day given was in the same Term or another: Then the Court admonished him and also his Compurgators, which they regarded not so much as to desist from it; accordingly the Defendant was sworn, That he owed not the Honey modo & forma, as the Plaintiff had declared, nor any Penny thereof. Then his Compurgators standing behind him, were called over, and each held up his right hand, and then laid their hands upon the Book, and swore, That they believed what the Defendant swore was true. Per Northey, This will be a Reason for extending Indebitatus Assumpsit further than before. Holt C. J. We will carry them no farther.

Note; This seems to be the Case mentioned Pl. 2. to have happened in B. R. about two Years before, and not to be Law.

Mood versus The Mayor of London. 2 Martii, 1701. S. C. 1 Salk. 397.
At Guildhall Chamber. Vide 2 Lev. 106.

In Debt for the Penalty of a By-Law the Defendant waged his Law, and it was over-ruled in the Court of the Lord Mayor, and a Commission of Error sued out before Holt C. J. Ward Chief Baron, and other Commissioners. Et per Holt C. J. Debt on a By-Law made by a Corporation, is founded on the Wrong of the Party in not submitting to the Order of the Government of the Corporation, and it arises from his Contempt and Disobedience, and in such Cases no Wager ought to be allowed: So it is in Debt for an Escape, for it supposes a Wrong, and the Action lies not against the Executors: So it is in Debt for Subtraction of Tithes: So it is in Debt against an Executor on a Devastavit, because it supposes a Wrong, and therefore the same Action lies not against the Executor of the Executor.

By Common Law, if a Contract was secret and wanted Witnesses, it was a Privilege on the Plaintiff's Side as well as the Defendant's; for if the Contract was secret, the Plaintiff had the Privilege of putting the Defendant to his Oath. This appears from Magna Charta. Nullus ballivus ponet aliquem ad legem manifestam, nec ad sacramentum simplici loquela sua sine testibus fidelibus. Before this, the Plaintiff on his Declaration upon bare Affirmance, might make the Defendant swear there was nothing due. At this Day, if the Plaintiff produce Witnesses to prove his Demand, the Court may put the Defendant to wage his Law; and in such Case the Defendant is not at Liberty to cross-examine, no more than where the Plaintiff in a Prohibition produces Witnesses to prove his Suggestion.

No Wager lies but where the Debt arises from a simple Contract that is secret, and not where the Action is founded on any Thing that is notorious. In Accompt, if the Receipt was by the Defendant, the Defendant may wage, not if by the Hands of a third Person: It is true, the Law is otherwise in Detinue on a Bailment; for tho' the Bailment was by the Hands of a third Person, the Defendant may wage his Law; but here the Bailment is not traversable, but the Detainer, and that is the Point of the Action, and the Redelivery might be private.

In Debt on an Arbitrament (I intend where the Submission is by Parol) the Defendant may wage his Law; because, tho' the Arbitrators, who are Strangers, are concerned, yet the Submission might be secret; and that is the Foundation from whence the Debt arises.

In Debt for an Amerciament in a Court-Baron, the Defendant may wage his Law; the Reason is, because the Matter is of small Value which concerns the Lord only; transacted in Pais, which

(2.)
Wager of Law lies not in Debt on a By-Law, nor any Action where a Wrong is supposed.
1 Show. 75.
3 Keb. 337.
2 Lev. 142, 174.
9 Co. 87, 88.
1 Lev. 15.
2 Roll. Abr. 106.

Wager lies in Accompt if he received of the Plaintiff, not of a Stranger.

And in Detinue, whether his Receipt was of the Plaintiff or a Stranger. In Debt for Arbitrament.

In Debt for an Amerciament in a Court-Baron.

But not on
a Judgment
in a Court-
Baron.
2 Mod. 140.
2 Vent. 171.

Lies not in
Debt for
Rent, and
the Reason.

Not in Debt
by a Gaoler
for Meat and
Drink.

which might be without his Knowledge: But in Debt on a Judgment in a Court-Baron, the Defendant cannot wage his Law; for the Judgment could not be but by Confession of Verdict, and it was in a proper Court; all which the Defendant cannot by his bare Oath falsify, and the Authorities to the contrary are not Law: And so it is in Debt on a Judgment in a Court of Ancient Demesne. Br. Leygager 11, 34.

In Debt for Rent on a Lease-Parol, the Defendant cannot wage his Law, because his Occupation is notorious, which is a better Reason than because it labours of the Realty; and so it is in Accompt against a Bailiff for the same Reason, his Management and Transaction being notorious.

In Debt brought by a Gaoler against his Prisoner for Meat and Drink, the Defendant cannot wage, not because the Gaoler is obliged to find him Victuals, that is not true, as appears by Plowd. 68. a. but because the Defendant is in Durance, and the Plaintiff cannot take Security from him for Repayment; for a Bond will be void, so that he must be content with a Promise; And he did not deny the Case of 9 Co. 87. b. 88. a. which was Debt by a Labourer; it is but just that the Plaintiff should prove he was retained, rather than that the Defendant should be put to wage his Law.

In Debt on a By-Law made by a Company, the Defendant in a Case cited to be in B. R. about two Years before, waged his Law; but Holt C. J. said it was, because the Counsel for the Plaintiff did not challenge it; for he wondered at it then; but this is not so strong as Debt on a By-Law by a Corporation; for this obliges all Strangers without Notice; but the other only their own Members, till Notice: And the Chief Justice denied the Case in Co. Ent. 118. and the Case 2 Ro. Ab. 106. Pl. 9.

Note; A Bailiff may not wage his Law, but a Receiver may. Cro. El. 790.

W A R-

WARRANTY.

Vide 2 Inst.
276.
1 Co. 1.
Cro. Car. 483.
Cro. El. 72.
Lutw. 853.
Star. 4 & 5
Ann. c. 16.

Smith *versus* Tyndal. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

IN Ejectment, a Case was made for the Opinion of the Court: Maximilian Taylor being seised in Fee, made his Will in the Year 1674, and thereby gave several personal Legacies; and amongst others, four Coats to four poor Boys of the Parish of J. S. for ever; and then he devised all his Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments whatsoever, and likewise all his Goods, Chattels, Money, and personal Estate, to Margaret his Wife, and her Assigns, and made her Executrix, and left 1000 l. personal Estate. Margaret married Archibald Tyndal, and they two by Indenture covenant to levy a Fine to the Use of them two for their Lives, Remainder to Archibald and his Heirs with Warranty, and accordingly a Fine was levied.

1st, The Court held this Devise to Margaret was a Fee, because it was subject to a perpetual Charge.

2dly, That in Case it was not, yet that the Heir of Margaret was bound by this collateral Warranty.

3dly, That tho' a Cestuiq; Use is in the Possession and not in the Fee, yet he may take Advantage of a Warranty annexed to his Estate, according to Lincoln College Case; ratio est, because by the Statute of Uses, the Estate in Law in Possession, is transferred to his Use, and he is Tenant of the legal Estate, and has all Advantages that the Tenant had before to defend his Estate; therefore he may rebut, for that is to defend; but he cannot vouch, for that is to recover in Value for the Loss.

4thly, The Court held, That the Plaintiff in Ejectment may make Title by a collateral Warranty, and give it in Evidence as his Title, according to 10 Co. 97. So if a Disseisor dies after five Years quiet Possession, and the Disseesee enter, the Heir may maintain an Ejectment, for the Right of Possession belongs to the Heir, tho' the meer Right be in the Disseesee: So if a Man enters by Wrong and disseises another, and continues twenty Years in quiet Possession, yet in these Cases, if a Writ of Right were brought, and the Wile joined upon the meer Right, the Verdict must be for the Plaintiff, notwithstanding the Statute of Limitations in the one Case or the collateral Warranty in the other.

Cestuiq; Use may take Advantage of a Warranty annex'd to the Estate.
3 Co. 58, 59.
&c.
8 Co. 54.
Lutw. 853.

Plaintiff in Ejectment may make Title by a collateral Warranty.

Rights of Entry are bound by collateral Warranty. Vide Stat. 4. 5 Ann. c. 16. Warranty binds, nor extinguishes a Right.

5thly, That Rights of Entry are bound by collateral Warranty as well as Rights of Action.

6thly, That no Warranty extinguishes a Right, but only binds or bars it as long as the Warranty continues in Force; for if the Warranty be released, the ancient Right revives. Litt. §. 708.

Vid. Cro. El. 694. 9 Co. 28. 1 Keb. 509.

Waifs, Estrays, &c.

Henly *versus* Walsh. Mich. 4 Ann. B. R.

Owner of a Stray may seize it, tendering Satisfaction.

TRESPASS for his Horse: Defendant pleaded, That one Pooley was Owner of the Horse, and that the Horse estrayed out of his Possession, and came to the Hands of the Plaintiff, and that he by Command of Pooley demanded the Horse within a Year, &c. and tendered Amends, and that the Plaintiff refusing to deliver him, he took him; to this there was a frivolous Replication, and upon that a Demurrer. Et per Cur'.

1st, Without telling any Marks or making any Proof of Property (which may be done upon the Trial) the Owner may seize his Horse where he finds him. Vide Co. Ent. 40, 170. b. Rast. 680. 7 H. 6. 2. 44 E. 3. 14. Br. Estray 1.

And 2dly, Tho' the Defendant does not plead directly that he tendered Amends, but only that he demanded the Horse proferendo Satisfaction, yet the Court held this a direct Affirmation, like the Case of Warrantizando vendidit; where the Participle affirms as directly as a Verb; so dans plagam mortalem is well enough. Vide 2 Cro. 630. 4 Co. Long's Case.

And in Pleading it, he need not shew a certain Sum.

3dly, The Court held, That tho' it was said he tendered Amends generally, and did not express any certain Sum, yet that was good in this Case; and a Difference was taken between this Case and that of a Tender of Amends for a Trespass. In that of a Trespass if the Defendant pleads a Tender of Amends, he must shew what he tendered; for he must tender a certain Sum; and the Law puts this Difficulty upon him, because he is the Wrong-doer, and the other is confessedly a Party injured: But the

the Owner of the Stray is no Wrong-doer, and it is impossible he should know how long his Horse had been in the Lord's Custody, nor how much will make a proper Satisfaction.

Another Exception was, That the Defendant does not aver the Amends tendered was refused. Et adjournatur. Vide Cro. El. 888, 889. 1 Ro. Ab. 879. 2 Ro. 92. 1 Sid. 13.

Weights and Measures.

Vide Stat. 8.
Ann. c. 18.
Lamb. 356.
Dalt. 146,
155.
Baker's
Chron. 43.

Dominus Rex *versus* Flint. Mich. 10 Will. III. B. R.

The Defendant was indicted for not making his Bread of lawful Weight, and demurred to the Indictment; and Mr. Buxton took Exception, That it was only debitum pondus minime habens, not shewing how much debitum pondus was, and what was wanting; and this was agreed to be a fatal Exception by Holt C. J. And whereas it was said the Demurter had confessed a Deficiency, the Court held the Demurter confessed nothing but what was well pleaded.

In Indictment for making light Bread, it is not enough to shew that it had not due Weight, without shewing what is due Weight.

Wills.

Vide ante
570. & Tit.
Revocation,
592.

Wills and Testaments.

cited in
J. C. Laeth. Pl.

Shires *versus* Glascock. Pasch. 3 Jac. II. C. B.

The Attestation good within the Statute of Frauds if the Testator might see the Witnesses sign, if he pleas'd.

UPON a feigned Issue, the Question was, Whether the Will was made according to the Statute of Frauds? For the Testator had desired the Witnesses to go into another Room, seven Yards distant, to attest it, in which there was a Window broken, thro' which the Testator might see them. Et per Cur'. The Statute required attesting in his Presence, to prevent obtruding another Will in Place of the true one: It is enough if the Testator might see, it is not necessary that he should actually see them signing; for at that Rate if a Man should but turn his Back or look off, it would viciate the Will. Here the Signing was in the View of the Testator; He might have seen it, and that is enough. So if the Testator being sick should be in Bed and the Curtain drawn.

WITNESSES.

[*Vide Title Evidence and Proof, p. 555.*]Dominus Rex *versus* Crosby. Pasch. 7 Will. III. B. R.

On a Trial at Bar for High Treason, the Prisoner, Mr. Crosby, took Exception to Aaron Smith's Evidence, having stood in the Pillory upon a Judgment in an Information against him for a Libel. Mr. Solicitor and Mr. Cowper insisted, That the Infamy flowed from the Crime and not from the Punishment, and that Mr. Smith's Crime was not infamous, nor did it deserve such Punishment. Holt C. J. without determining this Point held, That Aaron Smith was restored by the general Pardon of 2 W. & M. which operated by Way of Restoration, and made him a new Creature. 3 Lev. 427. *Vide the Case of Chester versus Hawkins, That the Disability flows from the infamous Judgment, and not from the Nature of the Crime; for if a Man be convicted for a Cheat, and adjudged to stand in the Pillory, he cannot be a Witness; otherwise if he be not adjudged to stand in the Pillory: Also they held the Infamy was by the Judgment to stand in the Pillory, and not from the actually standing there, and that he was disabled to be a Witness, tho' he never stood. Nota; In these Cases the Disability is a Consequence, and the Pardon which makes him de cætero a new Creature, discharges all Consequences, Dependencies, &c. And therefore in the Case of the King and Weeden Ford, Mich. 12 W. 3. B. R. The Question being where the King could pardon the Disability, and where not? Holt C. J. took this Difference; Where the Disability is only the Consequence of the Judgment, the King may pardon it; but where the Disability is Part of the Judgment it self, the King's Pardon will not take it away; therefore if a Man be convicted of Perjury on the Statute, the King's Pardon will not restore; for it is not a Consequence, but Part of the Judgment, viz. Quod imposterum non sit receptus ut testis. Vide Co. Ent. 368. But a Pardon by Act of Parliament will restore him in that Case. Quod nota. Quære of a Perjury at Common Law; and if the Law*

S. C. 5 Mod.

15. L. R. 39.

3 Lev. 426,

427.

(1.)

3 Salk. 461.

5 Mod. 74, 75.

Whether the Infamy arises from the Crime or Judgment of the Pillory.

Q. Vide ante

461, 514.

Post pl. 3.

Farell. 191.

Hob. 59.

Raym. 74.

The King may pardon Disability where it is only Consequence of the Judgment; otherwise where Part of it; but in that Case a Statute pardon will.

be

be the same; for there the Disability is only a Consequence, and not Part of the Judgment; otherwise if a Jury be convict in an Attaint. Rast. 86. a.

Pitman *versus* Maddox. Hill. 11 Will. III. Coram Holt C. J. *At Nisi Prius in Middlesex.*

(2.)
Shop-Book
allow'd as
Evidence on
Proof of the
Servant's
Hand, who
made the
Entries, he
being dead.
Vide ante
281, 285,
555.
& Farell. 9.
6 Mod. 149.
1 Vent. 151.

INDEBITATUS ASSUMPSIT on a Taylor's Bill; at the Trial coram & per Holt C. J. a Shop-Book was allow'd for Evidence, it being proved that the Servant that writ the Book was dead, and this was his Hand, and he accustomed to make the Entries; and no Proof was required of the Delivery of the Goods; and the Chief Justice said, it was as good Evidence as the Proof of a Witness's Hand to an Obligation; and he held, That tho' the Stat. 7 Jac. 1. c. 12. says, A Shop-Book shall not be Evidence after the Year, &c. That it is not of it self Evidence within the Year.

6 Mod. 248. 1 Salk. 285, 286, 287. Farell. 129.

(3.)
Prisoner ha-
ving escaped
may be a
Witness to
prove the Es-
cape volun-
tary, upon
Traverse of
an Inquisi-
tion for the
Office against
the Gaoler.
Post pl. 5.

Dominus Rex *versus* Ford. Mich. 12 Will. III. B. R.

I. C. as it seems 12. Mod. 337.
UPON a Special Commission issued out of Chancery, an Inquisition was taken, which found, That Weedon Ford had committed five voluntary Escapes; Ford traversed, and upon the Trial, one who was suffered to escape, but was returned again, was produced to be a Witness: And it was objected, That this was to save his own Bond which he had given to be a true Prisoner, and would entitle him to an Action of false Imprisonment against the Marshal, and compared it to the Case of an usurious Bond. Sed per Cur'. The Bond given by the Prisoner is a collateral Matter to the Escape; and the Consequence of his Evidence as to that Bond, is not material to disable his being a Witness; and it is not like the Case of Usury; for that renders the Bond void; and this is a Matter privately transacted between the Party and the Officer, of which there can be no other Evidence.

2dly, That this Witness was convict of Barretry, and the Record produced; but the Judgment was, to be fined 500 Marks, and not to stand in the Pillory: On the other Side it was argued, That a bare Conviction of Perjury would take away one's Evidence, because it is an infamous Crime; but not so of Barretry, which was not of an infamous Nature, without an infamous Punishment, as the Pillory. Curia contra. He is disabled by the Conviction, for 'tis not the Nature of the Punishment, but the Nature of the Crime and Conviction that creates the Infamy.

The Nature
of the Crime
and Convi-
ction, not of
the Punish-
ment, makes
the Infamy.
Vide ante
pl. 1.

Then

Then 'twas insisted, That he was pardoned by the late general Pardon. Et per Holt C. J. If one be convicted of Perjury upon the Statute, he cannot be restored to his Credit by the King's Pardon; for by the Statute, 'tis Part of the Judgment, that he be infamous and lose the Credit of Testimony; but he may by a Statute Pardon. But in other Cases, where the Infamy is only the Consequence of the Judgment, the King's Pardon may restore the Party to his Testimony. Held upon a Trial at Bar.

Perjury.
Vide ante
513, 514, 689.
6 Mod. 168.
1 Hawk. P.
C. cap. 69.
100 222

Anonymus. Pasch. 13 Will. III. B. R.

If a Witness going to Sea be by Rule of Court examin'd upon Interrogatories before a Judge, and the Trial come on before he is gone, his Deposition shall not be read, but he must appear; for the Rule was made on Supposal of his Absence.

(4.)
Deposition of
a Witness ex-
amin'd before
a Judge, be-
cause going
beyond Sea, cannot be read if he be in England. Vide ante 555, &c. ib.

Inter Oxenden Bar. and Penderice. In Canc.

A Question was in Chancery, Whether a Legatee could be a Witness against a Will? Et per Cur', upon Debate, The Reason why a Legatee is not a Witness for the Will, is, because he is presumed to be partial in swearing for his own Interest: But the Legatee, when he swears against the Will, swears against his Interest, and so is the strongest Witness.

(5.)
Legatee may
be a Witness
against a
Will.
Vide ante
pl. 3.

Vide ante
548, 661.

Words in General.

Smith *versus* Wood. Mich. 5 W. & M. B. R.

(1.)
To call H.
Whore-ma-
ster, is suable
in the Spirit-
ual Court.
Vide 3 Lev.
17, 18, 119,
137.
2 Lev. 63.
3 Keb. 58.
1 Lev. 116.
1 Sid. 433.
1 Jo. 44.

So of Wittal.
2 Lev. 66.
1 Mod. 23.

Impudent
brazen-fac'd
Belzebub,
not suable.
Comber. 26,
28, 29.

LIBEL in the Spiritual Court for these Words; You are a Rogue, Rascal, Whore-master, and Son of a perjured Affidavit-Bitch. Selby moved for a Prohibition, and all the Words being waived but the Word Whore-master, he urged, That it was only a Word of Heat, and that Words of Passion were not defamatory, being regarded by the Hearers, no more than the Words of one Non Compos, or mad; Ira furor brevis est.

Holt C. J. To say Whore-master of a Man is the same with Whore of a Woman, which is an Ecclesiastical Slander. Et per Selby, The Reputation of a Man is not so nice; but the Court would not distinguish them, and therefore denied the Prohibition. Holt C. J. said, To call a Man Cuckold was not an Ecclesiastical Slander, but Wittal was; for it imports his Knowledge and Consent to his Wife's Adultery. Vide 1 Sid. 248. Cro. Car. 339.

Impudent brazen-faced Belzebub are not suable in the Spiritual Court, for they import Passion, but no Crime nor Discredit any more than Devil, or Prince of Darkness.

Coxeter *versus* Parsons. Hill. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(2.)
Suit lies not
in the Spirit-
ual Court
for Words,
charging an
Offence not
punishable
there.
Vide Farell.
31.

DOCTOR Parsons libelled against Coxeter in the Spiritual Court, for saying of him, He had no Sense, was a Dunce, and a Blockhead; and he wondered the Bishop would lay his Hands upon such a Fellow, and that he deserved to have his Gown pulled over his Ears: And a Prohibition was granted; for a Parson is not punishable in the Spiritual Court for being a Knave or a Blockhead, more than another Man; and whereas it was urged, That a Parson might be deprived for Want of Learning; the Chief Justice said, If that be the Case he must bring his Action at Law; for that was a temporal Damage: And a Prohibition was granted.

Acebery versus Barton. Pasch. 4 Ann. B. R.

A Woman libelled in the Ecclesiastical Court against another for these Words, You are a brandy-nosed Whore, You stink of Brandy: *M.* Earle moved for a Prohibition, insisting, they rather charged Intemperance than Incontinence. Vide 2 Ro. Ab. 296. Placito 15. 1 Jo. 44. 1 Cro. 110. 2 Keb. 334, 577, 581. 1 Sid. 433. 1 Mod. 23. 3 Lev. 119. But the Court denied a Prohibition.

(3.)
Words of In-
continence.

Words actionable or not actionable.

Tassan versus Rogers. Mich. 1 W. & M. B. R.

CASE for Words of a Butcher, on a Colloquium of the Cow and the Flesh, that the Cow died with calving, per quod he lost such and such Customers: Verdict and Judgment pro Quer' in the Marshalsea; but on a Writ of Error it was reversed here; for the Words are not actionable; and the Special Damage does not help it; for it is not said he could not sell the rest of his Cow, but that he lost Customers.

(1.)
Words of a
Butcher, that
the Cow died
of Calving,
per quod he
lost his Cust-
omers, not
actionable.
Comber. 161.

Byron versus Elmes. Mich. 8 W. III. B. R.

IN Case for Words, the Plaintiff declared, That she being a young Woman, the Defendant, to hinder her Marriage, said, What did you go to London for, but to drop your Slink? She went to London last Winter to lie in, and to my Knowledge several People have lain with her: And they were held not action-able; for it is not having a Bastard, but the Fornication is the Crime here, and that being punishable in the Spiritual Court,

(2.)
Charge of
Fornication
not action-
able with-
out special
Damage.
Comber. 391.

is not punishable here without a Temporal Loss: Having a Bastard was never actionable before the Statute; nor is it since, unless the Parties come within the Penalty of the Statute, which is only when the Parish is chargeable.

Anonymus Mich. 8 Will. III. B. R.

(3.)
She had a Bastard, not actionable because it don't appear that it was chargeable to the Parish.

1 Vent. 4.
Comber. 137.
S. C. 292.
1 Sid. 396.
2 Sid. 7, 21.
1 Roll. Abr. 34, 37, 38. Palmer 298.

CASE for these Words, She had a Bastard-Child, and Verdict for the Plaintiff; and the Court thought them not actionable, according to Salter and Brown's Cases. Cro. and denied Anne Davies's Case, 4 Co. 16. b. for she is not punishable at Common Law in the King's Temporal Courts for having a Bastard; nor is she punishable by 18 Eliz. unless her Bastard be likely to become chargeable to the Parish; the Statute only extends to such Bastards. In other Cases she is only punishable in the Spiritual Court for whoring, and may sue there, but cannot sue here too; for the Party would be doubly punished by that Means. Sed adjournatur.

S. C. 5 Mod. 398. Svage *versus* Robbery. Pasch. 10 Will. III. B. R.

(4.)
You are a Cheat, spoke of a Trader, not actionable without laying a Colloquium of his Trade,

1 Lev. 280.

THE Plaintiff declared that he was a Trader, and the Defendant said of him, You are a Cheat, and have been a Cheat for divers Years: Upon the first Motion, which was Mich. 9 W. 3. B. R. Holt C. J. held, the Words must be understood of his Way of Living, and that it needed no Colloquium. But Pasch. 10 W. 3. B. R. Mutata opinione, Judgment was arrested. Vide 1 Vent. 117, 263. 2 Saund. 307. Jones 156. Raym. 62, 169.

1 Lev. 280. Comber. 292. 3 Keb. 34. 2 Lev. 5, 62. Stil. 420. 3 Mod. 112.

How *versus* Prinn. Mich. 1 Ann. B. R.

(5.)
Don't vote for him, for he is a Jacobite, and for bringing in the Prince of Wales and Popery, to destroy our Nation, spoken of a Justice of Peace and Deputy-Lieutenant, held actionable.

2 Mod. 26.
3 Lev. 30.

THE Plaintiff declared, That being a Justice of Peace and Deputy-Lieutenant, and having served as Knight of the Shire for the County of Gloucester, and intending to stand Candidate again to be Knight of the Shire for the said County, the Defendant in Discourse with J. S. speaking of the Plaintiff and his standing Candidate; said; Do not vote for him, for he is a Jacobite, and for bringing in the Prince of Wales and Popery, to destroy our Nation: Verdict for the Plaintiff and intire Damages.

In Arrest of Judgment 'twas objected,

1st, That it was incertain where the Prince of Wales should be brought in.

2dly, That there was no such Person, and the Court could not take Notice of him. Farell. 107. S. C. Lutw. 1294.
 3dly, He might mean it legally by Act of Parliament.
 4thly, He is not charged with any Act.
 5thly, The Offices recited were not Offices of Profit. Sed per Cur'.

1st, The Words being spoken with respect to an Englishman, therefore the bringing in must be supposed to be into England; the rather, because 'tis said to be, to destroy our Nation; and the Defendant could not have been found guilty, if he had appeared upon Trial to be a Dutchman, as in Cromwell's Case, Thou art a Murderer; upon Evidence, it appeared to be spoke in the Sense, a Murderer of Horses; and the Defendant was acquitted.

2dly, We will take Notice of the Prince of Wales, not as really such, but pretendedly such, being mentioned in Acts of Parliament; and one may gain a Name by Reputation, as a Bastard does that of his reputed Father.

3dly, We cannot suppose he can mean to do this fairly; but if he does, 'tis Scandal; for the King and Government being Protestant, 'tis good Reason for them to displace him, as not fit to be trusted.

4thly, As to his not being charg'd with any Act, Inclination and Principles are sufficient without an Act. 3 Lev. 90. 1 Brownlow 5. March 4. 1 Ro. 86. Ellis's Case, and so was Sir Tho. Clargis's Case.

5thly, In Offices of Profit, Words that impute either Defect of Understanding, of Ability or Integrity, are actionable; but in those of Credit, Words that impute Want only of Ability, are not actionable, as of a Justice of Peace: He a Justice of Peace? He is an Ass, and a beetle-headed Justice: Ratio est, because a Man cannot help his Want of Ability, as he may his Want of Honesty; otherwise where Words impute Dishonesty or Corruption; as in this Case, where the Office is an Office of Credit, and the Party charged with Inclinations and Principles which shew him unfit, and that he ought to be removed, which is a Disgrace.

In Offices of Profit, Words imputing Want of Ability, are actionable; otherwise in Offices of Honour. Vide post 698. Cro. Car. 223.

Baker *versus* Peirce. Mich. 2 Ann. B. R.

S. C. 6 Mod. 23.

YOU stole my Box-wood, and I will prove it, were held actionable, for they tend to disgrace the Plaintiff with an Imputation of Felony, and may be so understood: These Sort of Actions stand upon their own Bottom, and are under no set Rule, but ought to be encouraged to prevent breaking the Peace: Thon

(6.) You stole my Box-wood and I will prove it, actionable. Vide prox. pag. 68. Jac. 39, 2.

6 Mod. 104. 1 Jon. 11, 68, 195, &c. Poph. 211. 1 Mod. 22. 1 Lev. 280. Cro. Jac. 39, 2. 43, 8. 312, 13. 231, 11. 442, 16.

2 Keb. 181. art a Thief and hast stoln my Wood, are actionable. There is no
 1 Lev. 205. Difference between and and for : To say a Man has the Pox, is
 1 Sid. 324. not actionable ; but to say farther, and got it of a yellow-haired
 3 Lev. 166. Wench in *Moorfields*, are actionable ; not that the Intendment is
 Hob. 305. necessary that he meant the French-Pox, but the Sense leaned that
 All. 11. con. way.
 Cro. Jac. 430.

Graves *versus* Blanchet. Pasch. 3 Ann. B. R.

(7.)
 See 4 Co. 17, 8cc.
 Mod. Caf.
 148. S. C.
 1 Vent. 4.
 1 Sid. 396.
 Hob. 256.
 1 Cro. 436.

ACTION for these Words, She is a Whore, and had a Bastard by her Father's Apprentice ; Judgment was arrested : The Court said they could not overthrow so many Authorities : The Reason of the Law is, that Fornication is a spiritual Offence ; and no Action lay at Common Law for what the Common Law took no Notice of, without special Damage.

Walmfley *versus* Ruffel. Trin. 3 Ann. B. R.

(8.)
 There goes your rare Chancellor to suborn Witnesses to swear against the Parson, not actionable.
 Vide 3 Lev. 166.
 1 Co. 55, 16.

IN Case for Words, the Plaintiff in his Declaration shewed, That he was Chancellor to the Bishop, and stood for Parliament-Man, and the Defendant to defame him said, There goes your rare Chancellor to suborn Witnesses to swear against the Parson. Powys and Gould Justices held them actionable, because they touched him in his Office, and suborning is to be taken in malam partem, and the Words were the saller if there was no Perjury or Swearing. Vide 3 Cro. 93. 1 Lev. 118, 180. 1 Cro. 14, 15, 190. Hard. 103, 501. Mo. 243. 1 Vent. 20. 1 Ro. 79. Holt C. J. and Powell J. contra, To say, a Man is forsworn is not actionable ; a fortiori, to say one suborned another to forswear : Suborning is not a Crime of it self, but as it relates to Perjury, and there cannot be a Subornation of Perjury or Swearing, but where there is Perjury and Swearing. Here is nothing said that relates to his Office, or touches it ; there goes your rare Chancellor, is only a Description of the Person.

S. C. 6 Mod.
 104.

Turner *versus* Ogden. Hill. 3 Ann. B. R.

(9.)
 Words subjecting H. to Punishment may not be actionable, unless scandalous.
 See 6 Mod. 23. & ante 695.
 1 Jon. 196.

THOU art one of those that stole my Lord Shaftsbury's Deer ; held not actionable ; for tho' Imprisonment be the Punishment in those Cases, yet per Holt C. J. It is not a scandalous Punishment. A Man may be fined and imprisoned in Trespas ; for there must not only be Imprisonment, but an infamous Punishment ; 'tis true, calling Papist has been held actionable, but that was only in respect of the Times.

Speed

2 Ven. 265. 1 Roll. Abr. 60. Yelv. 64.

Speed *versus* Perry. Trin. 4 Ann. B. R.

CASE for these Words, You are a Rascal and a Villain, you have forgot since you lived in the *Black bull* Yard, there you could procure broad Money for Gold, and clip it when you had so done, and then the Shears could go. Serjeant Darnell moved in Arrest of Judgment, because the Words imputed no Act, but a Power only. Sed per Cur'. Where the Matter imputed is confined to a particular Place, As you could in such a Place, they must be understood to imply an Act; for a Power is the same in all Places. And Powell cited the Case of Horne and Powell. Trin. 12 W. 3. C. B. You may well spend Money at Law, for you can coin Money out of Half-pence and Farthings, which was held to import an Act done, because by a bare Power he could never be able to spend Money at Law; and the Court denied. 1 Ro. 72. Placito 9.

(10.)
In Black-bull
Yard you
could pro-
cure broad
Money for
Gold, and
clip it; held
to import an
Act, and
actionable.

Words indictable and not in- dictable.

Domina Regina *versus* Langley. Hill. 2 Ann. B. R.

INDICTMENT for saying to the Mayor of Salisbury, You Mr. Mayor, I care not a Farthing for you; and at another Day, You are a Rogue and a Rascal: On Demurrer, Mr. Ward argued, they were not spoken while he was in the Execution of his Office, and that this is no Offence indictable. Vide 1 Ro. Rep. 79. 11 Co. 95. 3 Cro. 78, 689. Mo. 247. 1 Vent. 16. Vide cont. 1 Cro. 503, 504. 2 Bulst. 139, 140. 3 Mod. 139. Holt C. J. These Words are not indictable, for the Mayor was not in Execution of his Office nor a Patent Officer. It might be more doubtful if the Words were of a Patent Officer; for then it is an Aspersions to the Queen and Government

(11.)
Words of
Slander spo-
ken of a
Mayor, not
indictable;
otherwise if
written.
Comber. 46,
66.

Clause de
verborum
propalationi-
bus in the
Commission
of Oyer and
Terminer
what it re-
fers to.

ment that impleys him: Here it does not appear the Mayor was a Justice of Peace; at least not by Commission from the King; yet if these Words had been written, an Indictment would have lain. Vide 1 Sid. 270. 1 Lev. 139. Et per totam Curiam, Words that directly tend to the Breach of the Peace, as if one Man challenge another, are indictable; and the Commission of Oyer and Terminer de propalationibus verborum, is to be construed of Words against the Government, or Scandalum Magnatum, &c. But for these Petit Offences, which are contra bonos mores, the Law has another Provision; by requiring Surety for the Peace and Good Behaviour; in Default whereof the Magistrate may commit him, when spoken out of Court; and when in Court, then the Magistrate may proceed summarily against him, and fine him for the Contempt. Quashed.

Vide Cro.
Car. 223.

Domina Regina *versus* Wrightson. Pasch. 7 Ann. B.R.

(2.)
Words of a
Justice of
Peace: He is
a Fool, an Ass,
and a Cox-
comb, and
knows no
more than a
Slickhill, not
indictable,
but Cause to
bind to the
Good Beha-
viour.
Cro Jac. 56,
1. 58, 4. 240,
6. 484, 3.
557, 3.

INDICTMENT for saying of Sir Rowland Gwyn, who was a Justice of Peace, in Discourse concerning a Warrant made by him, Sir Rowland Gwyn is a Fool, an Ass, and a Coxcomb, for making such a Warrant, and he knows no more than a Slickhill, held naught on Demurrer: The Court held, That here was a Breach of Good Manners, and he might be bound to the Good Behaviour; but here was no indictable Offence: The Counsel urged, That tho' Words spoken of a Magistrate in the Execution of his Office, might be indictable as a Matter that disturbs the publick Peace; yet not when it refers to some particular Act. Vide 2 Keb. 494. Hutt. 131. 1 Cro. 362. 3 Mod. 139. 1 Vent. 169. And Domina Regina *versus* Soley. Mich. 4 Ann. B.R. Who was indicted for these Words, He is not fit to be a Justice; for if a Man is before him, he will give it right or wrong where his Affection is; and ruled the Indictment lay not. Et per Holt C. J. To say, a Justice is a Fool or an Ass, or a Coxcomb, or a Blockhead, or a Bufflehead, is not indictable; quod fuit concess. per Powell. Vide 2 Ro. Rep. 78. 4 Inst. 181.

Ante 695.

I

W R I T.

W R I T.

Vide ante
Mandamus,
Replevin,
Returns.
Hob. 83.

Touchin's *Case*. Mich. 12 Will. III. B. R. Vide *Title*
Amendment. S. C. 1 Salk.
48, 49.
6 Mod. 164.
& 276 to 287.

In all continued Writs the Alias must be tested the Day the
former was returnable. Vide ante 554. (1.)
State Trials,
659 to 706.

Dominus Rex *versus* The Mayor of Hertford. Mich.
11 Will. III. B. R.

INFORMATION in Nature of a Quo Warranto; a Venire
issued returnable in Easter-Term, and a Distringas in Trinity,
and an Alias Distringas fifteen Days from the Teste the same
Trinity-Term: It was objected, That this was irregular, for
that all Process on the Crown-Side is returnable de termino in
terminum, and not in fifteen Days, and the Precedents are so:
It was answered, That Process of Outlawry was the only Pro-
cess returnable de termino in terminum. Vide 2 Inst. 550. 1 Inst.
134. 9 Co. 119. b. [Note; These Authorities are general and
make no Distinction]. Holt C. J. said, There was no Question
but the Process might be sued out returnable in fifteen Days;
And Sir Samuel Astry reported the Practice according to this
Diversity. (2.)
Process out
of the Crown-
Office may be
returnable in
fifteen Days,
except of
Outlawry,
which must
be de termi-
no in termi-
num.

Dominus Rex *versus* The Mayor, &c. of Abingdon. S. C. Ante
Pasch. 12 Will. III. B. R. 431, 432.

A Mandamus was directed Jacobo Courteen Majori Ballivis
& omnibus principalibus Burgensibus Burgi de Abingdon,
who by the Constitution were to chuse the Mayor out of such
Persons as should be propos'd by the Commonalty, commanding
them to chuse accordingly: It was objected to the Writ, That
it was misdirected; for that this was but a Part of the Corpo-
ration, viz. Chief Burgesses; whereas the Name of the Corpo-
ration was, Mayor, Bailiffs and Burgesses; and it was urged,
that Persons constituting a Corporation could be considered, but
Vol. II. P p (3.)
Writ of Man-
damus ought
to be direct-
ed to the
Persons who
are to do the
Act.
Vide post
pl. 6.
Mod. Cases
133.

in one of these two Capacities, viz. their Corporate or their Natural; and that the Writ must be directed to them, either by their Names, or as a Corporation; and they cited Holt's Case, 2 Jones 52. in Point. Holt C. J. said, that Case was not Law, That Serjeant Pemberton, Sir William Jones, and all the learned Part of the Bar wondered at the Resolution: And tho' it should be true, that a mandatory Writ might be directed to the whole Corporation, yet it could not be necessary it should be directed to more than those, or that Part of the Corporation that was concerned in the Execution of the Thing required; for it is not in the Power of others to put the Command of the Writ in Execution: And the Writ was held good.

S.C. Farfl. 29.

Shirley *versus* Wright. Trin. 1 Ann. B. R.

(4.)
Writ of Execution returnable two Terms from the Terme, is well; mesne Process, void.

IN Debt for an Escape of one taken upon a Ca. Sa. which appeared to be returnable the Term next but one after the Terme, so that a Term intervened: After a Verdict for the Plaintiff, 'twas moved in Arrest of Judgment, that the Writ was merely void, and consequently there could be no Escape, and the Sheriff did well to let him go; and 3 Cro. 468. was cited as a Case in Point. On the other Side, to shew that a Writ may be faulty, and yet not void, were cited Poph. 271. Dy. 67, 175. 21 H. 7. 16. Sty. 339. 1 Ro. 247. 3 Cro. 188. Mo. 274. 1 Cro. 271. 2 Bull. 256. 2 Ro. Rep. 432. Per Holt C. J. Escape lies against the Sheriff; and there is a Difference between a Capias in mean Process, and a Capias in Execution. In mean Process, if a Term be omitted the Writ is void in all Actions personal, and the Sheriff shall not be charged; for the Cause is discontinued and out of Court by the Intermision; and by not having a Day in Court by the Return of the Writ as he ought, the Party may be at great Prejudice by Reason of the Imprisonment in the mean Time.

But in Executions a Ca. Sa. omitting a Term, is not void; for the Party is not to have a Day in Court; his Cause is at an End, and he must be in Prison, whether the Writ be returned, or not; nor is it necessary it should be returned. Per Curiam. The Plaintiff had Judgment, Nisi, &c.

1 Lev. 254.
1 Saund. 161, 162.
2 Lev. 109.
Writ bearing Terme out of Terme is void, but the Sheriff is justifiable.

And in the same Case Holt C. J. said, If a Writ of Execution bear Terme out of Terme, the Sheriff is justifiable, and yet shall not be liable to an Action of Escape, for 'tis a void Writ.

Helliot *versus* Selby. Trin. 2 Ann. B. R.

IN Replevin the Declaration was, that the Defendants Summoniti fuerunt ad respondend. de placito Captionis & injustæ detentionis averiarum ipsius, &c. The Defendant avowed, and the Plaintiff pleaded in Bar, and the Defendant made a naughty Rejoinder, upon which it was demurred; and now Wld took Exception to the Original, that it was Nonsense, and that there was no such Word as Averiarum. Holt C. J. If the Original had been averiarum, it had been naught; but this is only a Recital of an Original, and the Court will not judge upon a Recital; but the Way to take Advantage, is, to crave Oyer; for this Recital is only a short Intimation to the Court of what the Kind of the Plea is. Powell J. A Replevin may be by Plaint in the Country, as well as by Original here, because it is summonitus. And if this Case had been here by Error out of the Common Pleas, in which Case the Plaintiff could not have taken Advantage of this Fault by Oyer, then he must have alleged Diminution and pray'd a Certiorari; and if the Original returned had been so, the Court would have reversed the Judgment.

(5.) Defendant cannot take Advantage of an ill Original by the Recital, but upon Oyer or a Certiorari. Vide ante 497, 658. 6 Mod. 25.

Domina Regina *versus* The Mayor, &c. of Hereford.
Trin. 4 Ann. B. R.

A Mandamus to admit one to the Office of Town-Clerk was directed to the Mayor and Aldermen of Hereford; and Mr. Eyres urged the Writ ought to be directed to the Body Politick, in whom the Inheritance of the Franchise was, by the Name of Incorporation; and that was, Mayor, Aldermen and Citizens; and indeed the Writ was returned by the Mayor, Aldermen and Citizens in this Case, and cited 3 Bulst. 190. Holt C. J. denied that Case, and said, it is enough to direct the Writ to those that are to execute the Writ, or do the Thing required: Then it appeared the Mayor only was to admit; whereas the Writ was directed to the Mayor and Aldermen; and Holt C. J. thought the Word Aldermen was Surplusage, and the Writ well enough; Powell J. contra, Writs ought to be directed to those, and to those only that are to obey the Writ: How will People know who are to obey the Writ, if the Direction is insignificant or immaterial? If a Writ be directed to the Coroner and Sheriff where it ought to be to one only, it is naught: Powys and Gould Justices agreed, and the Writ was quashed.

(6.) Writ of Mandamus ought to be directed to the Persons who are to do the Act. Vide ante 431, 479 & 699. pl. 3. Mod. Cases 133, 309.

Anonymus. Trin. 13 Ann. In Canc.

(7.)
Ne exeat
Regnum to
stay H.'s go-
ing to Scot-
land since the

Union. Of Ne exeat Regnum, see 2 Inst. 54. 4 Mod. 179. Fard. 9. 3 Mod. 127, 169. 2 Show. 227, &c. 1 Chan. Cases, 115, 116. 2 Chan. Caf. 245. Of Homine Replegiando's & Withernams vide Tit. Replevin. Vide ante 581. Farell. 9.

A Ne exeat Regnum was granted to stay the Defendant from going to Scotland; for tho' that is not out of the Kingdom, yet it is out of the Reach of the Process of this Court, and within the same Mischief.

Note; A Writ of Covenant is not amendable either by Common Law or by Statute. 1 Salk. 53.

Placita

I

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Paschæ Anno Regni Domini
Willi. Tertii nunc Regis, &c. Septimo.
Rotulo 242.*

Sir John Dalston versus Janfon. Vide fo. 10.

London, ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilt. termino Sancti Hill.
ultimo præterito coram Domino Rege apud
Westm. venit Johan. Dalston Mil. & Bar.
per Johannem Prat. Attorn. suum & pro-
tulit in cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam
suam versus Joshuam Janfon communem Portatorem in Custod.
Mar. &c. de placito transgr. super casum. Et sunt pleg. de pro-
sequend. scilt. Johannes Roe & Richardus Doe. Quæ quidem billa
sequitur in hæc verba ff. London. ff. Johannes Dalston Mil. &
Barr. queritur de Joshua Janfon Comuni Portatore in Custod.
Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. pro eo vi-
delt. quod cum præd. Joshua decimo sexto die Martii Anno Do-
mini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio & diu antea & sem-
per postea fuit & hucusque existit communis Portator, Anglice
Carrier, bonorum & catallorum & pro ejus proficuo bona & ca-
talla quarumcunque personarum hujusmodi carriationem requirent.
a Wakefield in Com. Eborum usque ad London & a London
prædict. usque ad Wakefield præd. per totum idem tempus pro
mercede proinde habend. portare & carriare consuevit. Cumque per
legem & consuetudinem hujus Regni Angl. quilibet communis por-
tator bonorum & catallorum qui bona & catalla alicujus person.
recepit sic portand. eadem absque subtraction. & amission. custo-
dire & portare tenetur. Ita quod in defectu talis communis Por-
tator. seu servien. sui dampnum non eveniat ullo modo. Cumque
idem Johannes eodem decimo sexto die Martii Anno Domini
Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio supradicto apud London
prædict. videlt. in Paroch. beatæ Mariæ de Arcubus in Warda
de Cheape possessionat. fuit de bon. & catall. sequen. videlt. de
una Pyxide abiegna, Anglice, a Deal-Box, & Centum Peciis cu-
neat. auri vocat. Guineas legalis monetæ Angliæ ut de bonis &
catallis suis propriis. Et præd. Johannes sic inde possessionat exi-
sten. eodem decimo sexto die Martii Anno Domini Millesimo sex-
centesimo nonagesimo tertio supradicto apud London præd. vi-
delt. in Paroch. & Warda præd. Pyxidem præd. cum præd. Cent-
um Peciis auri cuneat. in eadem existen. præfat. Joshuæ ad eadem

Count upon
the Custom
of the Realm
against a
common
Carrier.

1 Keb. 870.
1 Rol. Abr. 6.
Trover laid
in the same,
held not join-
able.
5 Mod. 90.
1 Vent. 365.
1 Sid. 244.

a London præd. usque ad Wakefield præd. in Com. Eborum præd. pro mercede Salvo & secur. cariad. & ibidem eidem Johanni deliberand. deliberavit & præd. Joshua præd. Pyxidem & præd. Centum pecias auri in eadem existen. ad tunc & ibidem ad portand. & deliberand. in forma præd. recepit & habuit præd. tamen Joshua ad aliquod tempus postea hucusque Pyxidem prædict. cum præd. centum peciis auri in eadem existen. eidem Johanni non deliberavit sed pyxis præd. & præd. centum peciæ auri cuneat. in eadem existen. postea scilt. decimo septimo die Martii Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo, nonagesimo tertio supradicto apud London præd. in Paroch. & Warda præd. pro defectu bonæ custod. ipsius Joshuæ perdit. & amissa fuer. Cumque etiam præd. decimo sexto die Martii Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio supradicto apud London præd. videlt. in Paroch. & Warda præd. prædictus Johannes possessionat. fuit de al. bon. & catall. sequen. videlt de una pixide abiegna, Anglice, a Deal-Box, & centum Peciis cuneat. Auri vocat. *Guineas* legalis monet. Angl. ut de bon. & catall. suis propriis Et sic inde possessionat. existen. idem Johannes postea scilt. eodem decimo sexto die Martii Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio supradicto apud London præd. in Paroch. & Warda præd. bona & catalla illa extra manus & possession. suas casualiter perdidit & amisit Quæ quidem bona & catalla postea scilt. eodem decimo sexto die Martii Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio supradicto apud London. præd. in Paroch. & Warda præd. ad manus & possession. præd. Joshuæ per invention. devenit. præd. tamen Joshua sciens bona & catalla ult. præd. fore bona & catalla præd. Johannis propria & ad ipsum Johannem de jure spectare & pertinere machinans tamen & fraudulentè intendens præd. Johannem in hac parte callide & subdole decipere & defraudare bona & catalla ult. præd. eidem Johanni licet sapius requisit. &c. nondum deliberavit sed bona & catalla ult. mentionat. postea scilt. decimo septimo die Martii Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio supradict. apud London præd. in Paroch. & Warda præd. ad usum & commodum præd. Joshuæ propr. convertit & disposuit ad dampnum ipsius Johannis Centum & quinquagint. librar. Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Plea.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilt. diem Mercur. prox. post Quinden. Paschæ isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Joshua habuit licent. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend. &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. venit tam præd. Johannes Dalston Mil. & Barr. per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Joshua per Willielmum Midgeley Attorn. suum Et idem Joshua defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et dicit quod ipse non est inde culpabilis. Et de hoc pon. se super patriam. Et prædict. Johannes Dalston inde similiter, &c. Ideo ven. inde Jur. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. die Martis prox. post mensem Paschæ Et qui nec,

&c.

&c. ad recogn', &c. quia tam, &c. Idem dies dat. est partibus
prædictis ibidem, &c.

*Placita coram Domina Regina apud Westm.
de Termino Sancti Michaelis Anno Reg-
ni Domine Annæ nunc Regine Angliæ,
&c. Secundo. Rotulo 398.*

Comes Banbury *versus* Woods & Ux'. Vide fo. 5.

London, ff. **T**homas Woods Mercator & Maria Uxor ejus
attach. fuer. ad respondend. Carolo Comiti
Banbury & Mariæ Comitissæ Banbury Uxori
ejus de placito quare ipsam Comitissam ce-
per. & captam tenent, &c. Et unde iidem Comes & Comitissa
per Ric'm Longford Attorn. suum queruntur quod prædictus Tho-
mas Woods & Maria Ux. ejus Vicesimo die Aprilis Anno Regni
Domine Annæ nunc Regine Angliæ, &c. Secundo apud London
præd. videlt. in Paroch. Sanctæ Hellenæ in Warda de Bishopf-
gate ipsam Comitissam ceper. & ipsam adhuc captam tenent Unde
dicunt quod deteriorat. sunt & dampnum habent ad Valenciam
decem Mille Librarum Et inde produc. sectam, &c.

Count in ho-
mine repleg'.

Et prædictus Thomas Woods & Maria Ux. ejus per Richardum
Ash Attorn. suum ven. & pet. Audit. brevis Original. prædict. &
retorn. ejusdem brevis Et eis leguntur in hæc verba ff. Anna Dei
Gratia, Angliæ, Scotiæ, Franciæ & Hiberniæ Regina Fidei De-
fensor, &c. Vicecomitibus London salutem. Cum plur. vobis
præceperimus quod juste & sine dilatione repleg. fac. Mariam Ux.
Caroli Comitis Banbury quam Thomas Woods Mercator & Ma-
ria Ux. ejus ceperunt & captam tenent ut dicitur nisi capt.
fuit per speciale præceptum nostrum vel capitalis Justic. nostri vel
pro Morte hominis vel pro foresta nostra vel pro aliquo alio recto
quare secundum consuetud. Angliæ non est replegiabilis ne amplius
inde clam. audiamus pro defectu Justic. vel causam nobis signifi-
cetis quare mandat. nostrum alias vobis inde direct. exequi nolu-
istis vel non potuistis. Ac vos spretis nostris prædictis ut accepi-
mus

Oyer of the
Writ.

mus præd. Mariam Ux. præd. Comit. repleg. vel saltem causam quare id facere noluitis vel non potuistis nobis significare hæcenus non curaveritis in nostrum ac mandatorum nostrorum contemptum manifestum ac ipsorum Comit. & Comitissæ dampnum non modicum & gravamen de quo miramur quamplurimum & movemur Vobis adhuc præcipimus firmiter injungen. quod prædictā Mariam Uxor. prædict. Comit. repleg. faciat. juxta tenorem mandatorum nostrorum præd. prius vobis inde direct. vel vos ipsi sitis corā nobis à die Sancti Michaelis in unū Mense ubicunque tunc fuerimus in Angl. ostens. quare mandat. nostra præd. toties vobis inde direct. exequi contempsistis Et habeatis ibi hoc breve Teste me ipsa apud Westm. Vicefimo secundo die Junii Anno Regni nostri secundo (Cæsar) Virtute istius brevis nobis direct. certificamus quod null. aliud breve vel mandat. dictæ Domine Regine infrascript. de replegiando infra nominat. Mariam Ux. Caroli Comit. Banbury quam infra nominat. Thomas Woods & Maria Ux. ejus ceperunt & captam tenent prout interius specificat. quam istud breve de plur. repleg. præd. Mariam Ux. Caroli Comit. Banbury ad manus nostras devenerunt, nec eorum alterum dovenit, nec nobis deliberatum fuit Et ulterius dictæ Domine Regine certificamus quod prædicta Maria Uxor Caroli Comit. Banbury elongat. est ad loca nobis incogn. per præd. Thomam Woods & Mariam Ux. ejus per quod prædict. Mariam Ux. Caroli Comit. Banbury repleg. non possumus prout interius nobis præcipitur. Respons. Giberti Heathcote Militis & Josephi Wolfe Armigeri Vic. Quibus lectis & auditis iidem Thomas Woods & Maria Ux. ejus petunt judicium de brevi præd. quia dicunt quod per formam Statut. additio de Villa vel Hamlet. seu loco & Com. Comorand ipsius Thomæ in præd. brevi Original. præd. Caroli Comit. Banbury & Mariæ Comitissæ Banbury Ux. ejus contineri debuit Et hoc parati sunt verificare. Unde ex quo hujusmodi additio in eodem brevi non continetur iidem Thomas & Maria petunt judicium de brevi prædict. Et quod breve prædict. cassetur, &c.

Plea in Abatement.

Demurrer.

Et prædictus Carolus Comes Banbury & prædicta Maria Comitissa Banbury Ux. ejus dicunt quod per aliqua per prædictos Thomam & Mariam Ux. ejus superius in cassation. brevis placitat. breve ipsorum Comit. & Comitissæ cassari minime debet quia dicunt quod placitum præd. per præd. Thomam & Mariam Ux. ejus modo & forma præd. superius placitat. materiaq; in eodem content. minus sufficien. in lege existunt ad breve ipsorum Comit. & Comitissæ prædict. cassand. Ad quod quidem placitum ipsi iidem Comes & Comitissa necesse non habent nec per legem terræ tenentur aliquo modo respondere. Et hoc parati sunt verificare. Unde pro defectu sufficien. placiti ipsorum Thomæ & Mariæ Ux. ejus in hac parte ipsi iidem Comes & Comitissa petunt judicium & quod breve ipsorum Comit. & Comitissæ bon. adju-

dicetur

dicatur Et quod prædict. Thomas & Maria ad breve illud ulterius respondeant, &c.

Et prædicti Thomas Woods & Maria Ux. ejus dicunt quod placitum præd. per ipsos Thomam & Mariam modo & forma præd. superius placitat. materiaque in eodem content. bon. & sufficien. in lege existunt ad breve præd. ipsorum Comitissæ & Comitissæ cassand. quod quidem placitum materiamque in eodem content. ipsi iidem Thomas & Maria parati sunt verificare prout Cur', &c. Et quia præd. Comes & Comitissa ad placitum ill. non respond. nec ill. hucusque aliquo modo deduc. ipsi iidem Thomas & Maria ut prius petunt judicium de brevi præd. Et quod breve ill. cassetur, &c. Sed quia Cur. dictæ Domine Regine nunc hic de judicio suo de & super præmissis reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde datus est partibus prædictis coram Domina Regina usq; ubique &c. de judicio suo de & super præmissis ill. audiend. eo quod Cur. dictæ Domine Regine nunc hic inde nondum, &c.

*Placita coram Domina Regina apud Westm.
de termino Sancti Michaelis Anno Reg-
ni Domine Annæ nunc Regine Angl.
&c. Primo. Rot. 344.*

Haywood *versus* Davies, & al. Vide fo. 4.

Midd. ff.

Memorandum, Quod die Veneris prox. post tres septimanas Sancti Michaelis isto eodem termino coram Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. Rebecca Haywood per William Smyth

Attorn. suum Et profert in Cur. dictæ Domine Regine nunc hic quandam billam suam versus Margaret' Davis als. Davison & Mariam Bonner in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito transgr. Et sunt pleg. de proseguend. scilt. Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba scilt. Midd. ff. Rebecca Haywood queritur de Margareta Davis als. Davison & Maria Bonner in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domine Regine coram ipsa Regina existen. de eo quod ipsæ eadem Margaret. & Maria primo die Octobris Anno Regni Domine Annæ nunc Regine Angl', &c. primo

Trespass for breaking and entering the Plaintiff's Close and Yard, and disturbing the Possession.

Vol. II.

Q q

vi

vi & armis, &c. Clausum & aream ipsius Rebecca apud Paroch. de Stebunheath als. Stepney in Com. Middlesex prædict. freger. & intraver. & ipsam Rebeccam in quiet. usu & occupation. clausi & Areæ præd. adtunc & ibidem disturbaver. & impediver. necnon de eo quod ipsæ eadem Margareta & Maria postea scilt. die & Anno supradict. vi & armis, &c. al. clausum & aream ipsius Rebecca apud Paroch. & Com. præd. freger. & intraver. & quingent. ficalas aquæ Anglice vocat. *Pails of Water* & al. aquam ipsius Rebecca ad valenc. vigint. solid. a quodam fonte ipsius Rebecca apud Paroch. & Com. præd. existen. sine licenc. ipsius Rebecca & contra ejus voluntat. ab & ex fonte præd. adtunc & ibidem ceper. & asportaver. necnon de eo quod ipsæ eadem Margareta & Maria postea scilt. eisdem die & Anno ult. supradict. vi & armis &c. magnam quantit. cœni soli & aquæ in & super terram & al. Aream ipsius Rebecca apud Paroch. & Com. præd. adtunc & ibidem posuer. locaver. effund. & projecer. Et al. enormia eidem Rebecca adtunc & ibidem intuler. contra pacem dictæ Domine Reginae nunc Et ad dampnum ipsius Rebecca trigint. & novem solid. Et inde produc. sectam, &c.

Plea of Tenancy in Abatement.

Et prædict. Margareta & Maria in propriis personis suis ven. & defend. vim & injur. &c. Et petunt judicium de billa prædict. ipsius Rebecca & quod billa ill. cassetur quia dicunt quod clausum & area necnon loci in quibus supponitur transgr. præd. fieri sunt & dicto tempore quo, &c. fuer. una acra terræ quodque prædict. Rebecca dicto tempore quo, &c. nichil habuit in eadem acra terræ nisi insimul & pro indiviso cum prædict. Maria Bonner quæ in plena vita exist. apud Paroch. de Stepney in Com. Middlesex Et hoc parat' sunt verificare Unde petunt judicium de billa prædict. & quod billa ill. cassetur, &c.

Repl'.

Et præd. Rebecca dicit quod billa ipsius Rebecca præd. ratione præallegat. cassari non debet quia dicit quod ipsa separal. temporibus separal. transgr. præd. fieri supposit. fuit sola seiscita de præd. clauso & area in narr' ipsius Rebecca prius mentionat. Ac etiam de præd. clauso & area in Nar. præd. ipsius Rebecca secundo mentionat. & de præd. fonte in Nar. ill. similiter mentionat. Ac etiam de tertia Area in Nar. præd. ipsius Rebecca tertio mentionat. Quodq; præd. Margareta & Maria dict. separal. tempor. quibus, &c. separal. transgr. præd. fecer. prout eadem Rebecca per Nar. suam præd. superius versus eas queritur Absque hoc quod prædict. Maria Bonner respectivis tempor. præd. aut aliquo eorum aliquod habuit in præmiss. ill. aut eorum aliquo & hoc petit quod inquiratur per patriam.

Traverse of the Tenancy in Common.

Demurrer.

Et præd. Margareta Davis als. Davison & Maria Bonner dicunt quod placitum præd. per ipsam Rebeccam Haywood modo & forma præd. superius replicando placitat. materiaq; in eod. content. minus sufficien. in lege existunt ad ipsas Margaret. & Mariam ad billam præd. ipsius Rebecca respondere compellend. ad quod quidem placitum

placitum ipsæ eadem Margareta & Maria necesse non habent nec p legem terræ tenentur aliquo modo respondere. Et hoc paratæ sunt verificare Unde pro defectu sufficien. replication. ipsius Rebeccæ in hac parte ipsæ eadem Margareta & Maria ut prius petunt iudicium de billa præd. ipsius Rebeccæ Et quod billa ill. cassetur Et pro causis moration. in lege in hac parte juxta formam statuti in huiusmodi casu nuper edit. & provis. præd. Margaret. & Maria Cur. hic ostend. has causas subsequent. de eo quod placitum præd. ipsius Rebeccæ est duplex incertum & caret forma & concludit ad patriam.

Et præd. Rebecca Haywood dicit quod placitum præd. per ipsam Rebeccam modo & forma præd. superius repl. placitat. materiaq; in eodem content. bon. & sufficien. in lege exist. ad ipsas Margaret. Davis & Mariam Bonner ad billam præd. ipsius Rebeccæ respondere compellend' Quod quidem placit. materiamque in eodem content. eadem Rebecca parata est verificare & probare prout Cur. &c. Et quia præd. Margareta & Maria ad placitum ill. non respond. nec ill. hucusque aliqualit. dedic. eadem Rebecca petit iudicium & quod præd. Margareta & Maria ad bill. præd. ipsius Rebeccæ ulterius respondeant, &c. Sed quia Cur. dictæ Dominae Reginae nunc hic de iudicio suo de & super præmiss. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde datus est partibus prædictis coram Domina Regina apud Westm. usque diem Martis prox. post Octab. Sancti Martini de iudicio suo de & super præmiss. illis audiend. eo quod Cur. dictæ Dominae Reginae nunc hic inde nondum, &c.

Joinder in
Demurret.

Respondeas Ouster Agard.

Placita

*Placita coram Domina Regina apud Westm.
de Termino Sancti Michaelis Anno Reg-
ni Dominae Annae nunc Reginae Magnae
Britanniae &c. Quinto. Rotulo 439.*

Stroud *versus* Lady Gerrard. Vide fo. 8.

Middl. ff.

Memorandum, Quod die Mercur. prox. post
tres septiman. Sancti Michaelis isto eodem
Termino coram Dom. Regina apud Westm.
ven. Thomas Stroud per Daniel. Browne
Attorn. suum Et profert in curia dictae Dominae Reginae nunc
hic quandam billam suam versus Dominam Elizabetham Gerrard
als. Garret in Custod. Mar. &c. de placito transgression. super
casum Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilt. Johannes Doe & Ri-
chardus Roe quae quidem billa sequitur in hac verba ff. Middl. ff.
Thomas Stroud queritur de Domina Elizabetha Gerrard. als. Gar-
ret in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Dominae Reginae coram ipsa Regina
existen. pro eo videlt. quod cum praedict. Elizabetha decimo die
Octobris Anno Regni Dominae Annae nunc Reginae Angl. &c.
quinto apud Paroch. Sancti Clementis Dacorum in Com' Middl.
praed. indebitat. fuisset eidem Thomae in quindecim libr. legalis
Monet. Angl. tam pro diversis operibus lapidarii Anglice, *Masons
Works*, per ipsum Thomam pro praefata Elizabetha ad special. in-
stant. & requisition. ipsius Elizabethae ante tunc fact. & performat.
quam pro lapide & aliis materialibus & rebus necessar. in & circa
faction. & performance. operum praed. usis & impens. per ipsum
Thomam ad similes instant. & requisition. ipsius Elizabetha invent.
& provis. Et sic inde indebitat. existen. ipsa eadem Elizabetha in
Consideratione inde super se assumpsit & eidem Thomae ad tunc &
ibidem fideliter promisit quod ipsa eadem Elizabetha cum inde
postea requisit. esset praed. quindecim libr. eidem Thomae bene &
fidelit. solvere & contentare vellet Cumque etiam praed. Thomas
postea scilt. eisdem die & anno supradict. apud Paroch. praedict. in
Com. praed. ad similes instanc. & requisition. ipsius Elizabethae fe-
cisset & performasset pro praed. Elizabetha diversa alia opera lapi-
darii Anglice *Masons Works*, & invenisset & providisset & usus fu-
isset lapides & alias material. & res necessar. in & circa faction.
& performance. operum praed. ult. mentionat. praed. Elizabetha in
conf. inde postea scilt. eisdem die & anno supradict. apud paroch.
praed.

Indebitatus
Assumpsit for
Masons
Work and
Materials
found.

Quantum
meruit for
the same.

præd. in Com. præd. super se assumpsit & præfat. Thomæ adtunc & ibidem fideliter promisit quod ipsa eadem Elizabetha tam tantas denar. summas quant. idem Thomas pro præd. al. operibus lapidarii Anglice, *Masons Works*, ult. mentionat. tempore confection. & performance. eorundem rationabilit. habere meritus fuit, quam tant. denar. summas quant. præd. lapides & al. material. & res necessar. ult. mentionat. tempore invention. & provision. eorundem rationabilit. valebant eidem Thomæ cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fidelit. solvere & contentare vellet. Et prædict. Thomas in facto dicit quod ipse præd. Thomas pro prædictis alijs operibus lapidarii ult. mentionat. tempore confection. & performance. eorundem rationabiliter habere meritus fuit al. quindecim libr. similis legalis monet. Angl. quodque præd. lapides & al. material. & res necessar. ult. mentionat. tempore inventionis & provisionis eorundem rationabiliter valebant al. quindecim libr. consimilis legalis monet. Angl. scilt. apud paroch. præd. in Com. præd. unde præd. Elizabetha adtunc & ibidem notic. habuit Cumque etiam præd. Elizabetha postea scilt. eisdem die & anno supradict. apud paroch. præd. in Com. præd. indebitat. fuisset præfat. Thomæ in al. quindecim libr. similis legalis monet. Angl. tam pro al. opere arti lapidarii spectan. Anglice, *Masons Work*, pro præd. Elizabetha per eundem Thomam ad similes special. instant. & requisition. ipsius Elizabethæ ante tempus ill. operat. & fact. ac pro diversis materialibus & rebus necessar. in & circa idem opus usitat. per præd. Thomam ad similes instant. & requisition. ipsius Elizabethæ ante tunc empt. invent. & provis. quam pro divers. denar. summis pro præd. Elizabetha per eundem Thomam ad similes instant. & requisition. ipsius Elizabethæ ante tempus ill. erogat. & extraposit. Et sic inde indebitat. existen. eadem Elizabetha postea scilt. die & anno supradict. apud Paroch. præd. in Com. præd. in consideratione inde super se assumpsit & eidem Thomæ adtunc & ibidem fideliter promisit quod ipsa eadem Elizabetha præd. ult. mentionat. quindecim libr. præfat. Thomæ cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fidelit. solvere & contentare vellet Cumque etiam præd. Elizabetha postea scilt. eisdem die & anno supradict. apud paroch. præd. in Com. præd. in consideratione. quod prædict. Thomas ad similes special. instant. & requisition. ipsius Elizabethæ operasset & fecisset pro eadem Elizabetha al. opus arti lapidarii prædict. spectan. super se assumpsit & eidem Thomæ adtunc & ibidem fideliter promisit quod ipsa eadem Elizabetha vigint. libr. similis legalis monet. Angl. pro præd. ult. mentionat. opere per eundem Thomam pro præd. Elizabetha sic ut præfertur operat. & fact. eidem Thomæ cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fideliter solvere & contentare vellet Prædicta tamen Elizabetha sepe-ral. promission. & assumption. suas prædict. minime cpran. sed machinan. & fraudulent. intenden. eundem Thomam in hac parte callide & subdole decipere & defraudare prædict. sepe-ral. denar.

Indebitatus
Assumpsit
tam pro opere
lapidar.
quam pro
material.

Indebit. Ass.
pro opere.

summas seu aliquem inde denar. prædict. Thomæ nondum solvit (licet ad hoc faciend. præd. Elizabetha per præfat. Thomam postea scilt. duodecimo die Octobris Anno quinto supradict. apud Paroch. præd. in Com. præd. & sæpius postea requisit. fuit) sed ill. ei hucusque solvere omnino contradixit & adhuc contradicit Unde idem Thomas dicit quod ipse deteriorat. est & dampnum habet ad valenc. sexagint. librarum Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Misnoser
pleaded in
Abatement.

Et Domina Honoria Gerard versus quam prædict. Thomas exhibuit billam suam per nomen Domine Elizabethæ Gerard als. Garret in propria persona sua venit & defend. vim & Injur. &c. Et petit. Judic. de billa præd. quia dicit quod ipsa baptizat. fuit per nomen Honoriæ scilt. apud Paroch. Sancti Clementis Dacorum prædict. & per idem nomen semper a baptismatione sua hucusque cognit. & nominat. fuit Absque hoc quod ipsa eadem Honoria modo est vel unquam fuit cognit. vel nominat. per nomen Elizabethæ prout per billam præd. superius supponitur Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde petit judic. de billa prædict. Et quod billa illa cassetur, &c.

Traverse.

Repl.
Imparances
pro Quer.

Et prædict. Thomas Stroud petit diem ad placitum præd. interloquend. Et ei conceditur, &c. Et super hoc dies inde datus est partibus prædict. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. usq; diem Jovis prox. post Octab. Sancti Hillar. videlt. præfat. Thomæ ad placitum præd. interloquend. & tunc ad replicand. &c. Ad quem diem coram Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. tam prædict. Thomas Stroud per Attorn. suum prædict. quam præd. defend. in propria persona sua Et idem Thomas petit diem ad placitum præd. ulterius interloquend. Et ei conceditur, &c. Et super hoc dies ulterius inde dat. est partibus prædict. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. usque diem Mercur. prox. post quinden. Paschæ videlicet præfat. Thomæ ad Placitum præd. interloquend. & tunc ad replicand. &c. Ad quem diem coram Dom. Reg. apud Westm. ven. tam præd. Thomas Stroud per Attorn' suum præd. quam præd. defend. in propria persona sua Et idem Thomas dicit quod prædict. Domina Gerard als. Garret person. versus quam idem Thomas exhibuit billam suam præd. per nomen Domine Elizabethæ Gerard als. Garret ad placitum suum præd. in Cassation. billæ ipsius Thomæ præd. admitti non debet quia dicit quod ipsa præd. Domina Gerard als. Garret person. versus quam idem Thomas termino Sancti Michaelis ult. præterit' exhibuit billam suam præd. per nomen Domine Elizabethæ Gerard als. Garret isto eodem termino Sancti Michaelis imposuit Commune Ballium in Cur. hic ad sectam ipsius Thomæ in placito præd. per nomen Domine Elizabethæ Garret prout per record. inde in eadem Cur. dictæ Domine Regine coram ipsa Regina apud Westm. remanen. plenius liquet & apparet Et hoc parat. est verificare per record. ill. Unde pet. judic. si prædicta Domina Gerard als. Garret person. versus quam idem Thomas exhibuit billam suam præd. per nomen

Replication
by Way of
Estoppel,
that the
Defendant
put in Bail
by the Name
of Elizabeth.

Domina Elizabethæ Gerard als. Garret ad placitum suum præd. in cassation. billæ suæ prædict. contra record. prædict. admitti debeat, &c.

Et præd. Honoria Gerard versus quam prædict. Thomas exhibuit billam suam præd. per nomen Domina Elizabethæ Gerard als. Garret dicit quod placitum præd. per præd. Thomam modo & forma præd. superius replicando placitat. materiaque in eodem content. minus sufficien. in lege existunt ad ipsam Honoriam ad billam ipsius Thomæ præd. respondere compellend. Ad quod quidem placitum ipsa eadem Honoria necesse non habet nec per legem terræ tenetur aliquo modo respondere Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde pro defectu sufficien. replication. in hac parte ipsa eadem Honoria ut prius petit iudicium de billa præd. Et quod billa illa cassetur, &c.

Demurrer.

Et præd. Thomas dicit quod placitum præd. per præd. Thomam modo & forma præd. superius replicando placitat. materiaque in eodem content. bon. & sufficien. in lege existunt ad prædict. Elizabetham ad billam præd. ipsius Thomæ inde versus præd. Elizabetham respondere compellend. Quod quidem placitum materiamque in eodem content. idem Thomas parat. est verificare & probare prout Cur. &c. Et quia præd. Elizabetha ad placitum illud non respond. nec illud hucusque aliqualit. dedit ipse idem Thomas ut prius pet. iudicium & quod præd. Elizabetha ad billam ipsius Thomæ præd. respondere compellatur, &c. Sed quia curia dictæ Domina Regina nunc hic de iudicio suo de & super præmiss. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus prædict. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. usque diem prox. post de iudicio suo de & super præmiss. ill. audiend. eo quod Cur. dictæ Domina Regina nunc hic inde nondum, &c.

Joinder.

Declaration
for a
Bill
of
Parliament

Bill
of
Parliament
for a
Bill
of
Parliament
for a
Bill
of
Parliament

Placita

Placita
for a
Bill
of
Parliament
for a
Bill
of
Parliament
for a
Bill
of
Parliament

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Reg-
ni Domini Willi. tertii nunc Regis An-
glie &c. decimo. Rotula 763.*

Johnson versus Long. Vide fo. 10.

Declaration
for a Nu-
sance.

Possession al-
leged in an
old Work-
house, where-
in was a Win-
dow Time
out of Mind.

Defendant's
Possession in
a Piece of
Land adjoin-
ing thereto.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilicet termino Sancti Michaelis ult. præterit. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. Timotheus Johnson per Philippum Hodges Attorn. suum & protulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Johannem Long in Custod. Mar. &c. de placito transgressionis super casum & sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilicet Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe quæ quidem bill. sequitur in hæc verb. ff. Somerset ff. Timotheus Johnson queritur de Johanne Long in custod. Mar. Maresc. Domini Regis nunc coram ipso Rege existen. pro eo videlt. quod cum præd. Timotheus vicesimo primo die Aprilis Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angl. &c. octavo & semper postea hucusque possessionat. fuit & adhuc possessionat. existit de quadam antiqua officina Anglice, a *Workhouse*, situat. & existen. in Paroch. de Whatley in Com. præd. in qua quidem officina eodem vicesimo primo die Aprilis Anno octavo supradicto & a tempore cujus contrar. memoria hominum non exist. fuit quædam antiqua fenestra in occidental. parte ejusdem officinæ per quam aer saluberrimus & lumen exhilarans eodem vicesimo primo die Aprilis Anno octavo supradicto & a toto tempore supradict. intrabant & inferebantur & intrare & inferri consueverunt & solebant in magnum emolument. & commoditat. occupator. officinæ præd. Cumq; præd. Johannes vicesimo primo die Aprilis Anno octavo supradicto & semper postea hucusque possessionat. fuisset & adhuc possessionat. existit de quadam parcella terræ cum pertin. situat. jacen. & existen. in Paroch. de Whatley præd. in Com. præd. officinæ præd. contigue adjacen. & sic inde possessionat. existen. idem Johannes machinans & fraudulenter intendens eundem Timotheum multipliciter prægravare & Opprimere & ipsum Timotheum de Aere & Lumine quæ in officina præd. per fenestram prædictam intrare & inferri consuever. & solebant & officinam præd. horridis tenebris obstupare & præd. Timotheum de usu & commoditat. officinæ præd.

præd. penitus deprivare præd. vicesimo primo die Aprilis Anno octavo supradicto apud Whatley præd. in Com. præd. quendam parietem super præd. parcellam terræ ipsius Johannis tam prope officinam præd. de novo erexit & ædificavit ita quod per eandem erectionem parietis præd. fenestra præd. prædicto vicesimo primo die Aprilis & semper postea usque diem exhibitionis hujus billæ scilt. vicesimum tertium diem Octobr. Anno Regni dicti Domini Regis nunc nono multipliciter obstupat. & obscurat. fuit per quod idem Timotheus totam commoditat. & easiamen. præd. fenestr. & juvamen & salubritat. aeris & luminis in & super eandem fenestram ut præfertur intrare & inferri consuet. & totum usum & proficuum officinæ præd. a præd. vicesimo primo die Aprilis Anno octavo supradict. usque præd. vicesimum tertium diem Octobris Anno nono supradict. totaliter perdidit & amisit Unde idem Timotheus dicit quod ipse deterioratus est & dampnum habet ad valenc. quadragint. librarum Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Defendant
obstructed
the Light,
whereby the
Plaintiff lost
the Benefit of
his Shop.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilt. diem Veneris prox. post Crastinum Sanctæ Trin. isto eodem termino usq; quem diem præd. Johannes Long habuit licenc. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. tam præd. Timotheus per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Johannes per Jacobum Long Attorn. suum Et præd. Johannes defendit vim & injur. quando, &c. Et dicit quod præd. Timotheus actionem suam præd. inde versus eum habere seu manutenere non debet quia dicit quod præd. Timotheus als' scilt. termino Paschæ Anno Regni dicti Domini Regis nunc octavo in Cur. ipsius Domini Regis coram ipso Rege hic scilt. apud Westm. in Com. Middlesex implacitasset eundem Johannem Long in quodam placito transgr. super casum narrando versus eum quod cum idem Timotheus decimo die Octobris Anno Regni Domini Regis nunc septimo & semper postea usque tunc fuisset & adtunc possessionat. existerat de quadam antiqua officina Anglice, a *Work-house*, scituat. & existen. in Paroch. de Whatley in Com. præd. & quod in officina ill. eodem decimo die Octobris Anno septimo supradicto & a tempore cujus contrar. memoria hominum tunc non existerat fuisset quadam antiqua fenestr. in occidental. parte ejusdem officinæ & quod per eandem fenestram aer saluberrimus & lumen exhilarans eodem decimo die Octobris Anno septimo supradicto & a toto tempore supradict. intrabant & inferebantur & intrare & inferri consueverunt & solebant in magnum emolument. & commoditat. occupator. officinæ præd. quodq; præd. Johannes præd. decimo die Octobris Anno septimo supradict. & semper postea usque tunc possessionat. fuisset & adtunc possessionat. existerat de quadam parcell. terræ cum pertinentiis scituat. jacen. & existen. in Paroch. de Whatley in Com. præd. prædict. officinæ contigue adjacen. & sic inde possessionat. existen. idem Johannes machinan. & fraudulenter intenden. eundem Timotheum multipliciter

Imparlance.

Pleads another
Action
and Recovery
for the same
Nuisance in
Bar.
Setting forth
a former Declaration.

prægravare & opprimere & ipsum Timotheum de aere & lumine quæ in officinam præd. per fenestr. præd. inferri & intrare consuever. & solebant & officinam præd. horridis tenebris obstupare & præd. Timotheum de usu & commoditat. officinæ præd. penitus deprivare præd. decimo die Octobris Anno septimo supradicto apud Whatley præd. in Com. prædict. quendam parietem super præd. parcell. terræ ipsius Johannis tam prope officinam præd. de novo erexit & ædificavit ita quod per eandem erectionem parietis præd. fenestr. præd. præd. decimo die Octobris & semper postea usq; vicesimum diem Aprilis Anno Regni Domini Regis nunc octavo multipliciter obstupat. & obscurat. fuit per quod idem Timotheus totam commoditat. & easiam. prædict. fenestr. & juvenem & salubritat. aeris & luminis in & per eandem fenestram ut præfertur intrare & inferri consuet. & totum usum & proficuum officin. præd. a præd. decimo die Octobris Anno septimo supradict. usq; præd. vicesimum diem Aprilis Anno octavo supradict. totaliter perdidit & amisit Unde idem Timotheus tunc dixit quod ipse deteriorat. fuit & dampnum habuit ad valenc. quadragint. libr. Et inde tunc produxit sectam, &c. taliterque superinde in eadem Cur. hic scilt. apud Westm. præd. postquam idem Johannes placitasset adinde ibidem quod ipse non fuit inde culpabil. & per quandam jur. patriæ inde culpabil. invent. fuisset processum fuit quod postea scilt. termino Sancti Michaelis tunc prox. sequen. per eandem Curiam hic considerat. fuit quod præd. Timotheus recuperaret versus præfat. Johannem quatuordecim libr. pro dampnis suis quæ sustin. tam occasione præmiss. in eodem Record. mentionat. quam pro misis & custag. suis per ipsum circa sectam suam in ea parte apposit. prout per record. inde in eadem curia hic scilicet apud Westm. præd. reman. plenius liquet & apparet Et idem Johannes ulterius dicit quod præd. officin. fenestr. erectio & ædificatio parietis præd. in præd. recitat. record. mentionat. & præd. officin. fenestr. erectio & ædificatio pariet. prædict. in billa ipsius Timothei versus ipsum Johannem Long modo exhibit. superius mentionat. & express. sunt eadem officin. fenestr. erectio & ædificatio parietis & non alia neque diversa quodq; præd. Timotheus in præd. recitat. record. querens nominat. & præd. Timotheus superius in billa præd. querens nominat. sunt una & eadem persona & non alia neque diversa Et quod præd. Johannes in præd. recitat. record. defend. nominat. & præd. Johannes in billa prædict. superius defend. nominat. sunt una & eadem persona & non al. neque divers. Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde per. judicium si præd. Timotheus actionem suam prædict. versus eum habere seu manutenere debeat, &c.

Judgment in
the former
Proceedings.

Averment of
the Identity.

Demurrer.

Et præd. Timotheus dicit quod ipse per aliqua per præd. Johannem superius placitando allegat. ab actione sua præd. inde versus ipsum Johannem habend. præcludi non debet quia dicit quod placitum præd. per præd. Johannem modo & forma præd. superius placitat.

placitat. minus sufficien. in lege existunt ad ipsum Timotheum ab actione sua præd. inde versus præd. Johannem habend. præcludend. ad quod quidem placitum ipse idem Timotheus necesse non habet nec per legem terræ tenetur aliquo modo respondere Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde pro defectu sufficien. respons. in hac parte idem Timotheus pet. iudicium & dampna sua occasione præmissorum præd. sibi adjudicari, &c.

Et præd. Johannes dicit quod placitum præd. per ipsum Johan- Joinder.

nem modo & forma præd. superius placitat. materiaq; in eodem content. bon. & sufficien. in lege existunt ad præd. Timotheum ab actione sua præd. inde versus ipsum Johannem habend. præcludend. quod quidem placitum materiamque in eodem content. ipse idem Johannes parat. est verificare & probare prout Cur', &c. Et quia præd. Timotheus ad placitum ill. non respond. nec ill. hucusque aliqualit. deduc. ipse idem Johannes ut prius pet. iudic. & quod præd. Timotheus ab actione sua præd. versus ipsum Johannem habend. præcludatur, &c. Sed quia Curia dicti Domini Re- Continuance.

gis nunc hic de iudic. suo de & super præmiss. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus prædictis coram Domino Rege apud Westm. usque diem Mercur. prox. post octab. Sancti Martini de iudic. suo inde audiend. eo quod curia dicti Domini Regis nunc hic inde nondum, &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege apud. Westm. ven. partes præd. per Attorn. suos præd. super quo vis. & per Cur. Domini Regis nunc hic plenius intellectis omnibus & singulis præmissis muturaque deliberatione inde habita videtur Cur. Domini Regis hic quod placitum prædict. per præd. Johannem modo & forma præd. superius placitat. materiaque in eodem content. bon. & sufficien. in lege existunt ad ipsum Timotheum ab actione sua præd. versus præd. Johannem habend. præcludend. Ideo considerat. est quod præd. Timotheus nil capiat per billam suam præd. sed quod ipse pro falso clamore suo sit inde in Mi'a, &c. Et præd. Johannes eat inde sine die, &c. Et ulterius considerat. est quod præd. Johannes recuperet versus præfat. Timotheum sex libr. & decem solid. eidem Johanni pro Mis. & Custag. suis in & circa defension. suam in hac parte sustent. per Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc hic ex assensu suo juxta formam statuti. in hujusmodi casu edit. & provis. adjudicat. Et præd. Johannes habeat inde execution', &c.

Judgment
pro Def.

Placita

Placita coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. de termino Paschæ Anno Regni Domini Willi. & Domine Mariæ nunc Regis & Regine Angl', &c. Secundo. Rotulo 43.

Payne *versus* Partridge, & al'. Vide fo. 12.

Cantabr. ff. **M**emorandum, Quod als. scilt. termino Sancti Michaelis ult. præterit. coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. Isaacus Payne per Humfridum Ambler Attorn. suum & protulit in Cur. dict. Domini Regis & Domine Regine tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Edwardum Partridge & Willielmum Boulter in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito transgressionis super casum Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilt. Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba scilt. *Cantabr. ff.* Isaacus Payne queritur de Edvardo Partridge Armig. & Willielmo Boulter in Custod. Mar. Maresc. Domini Regis & Domine Regine coram ipsis Rege & Regina existen. pro eo videlt. quod cum villa de Littleport infra insulam Elien. in Com. præd. sit & a toto tempore cujus contrar. memoria hominum non existit fuit antiqua villa cumq; infra præd. villam de Littleport præd. sit & per totum idem tempus fuit antiquus Rivus vocat. *Wilney River*, & super eundem Rivum & ex transverso ejusdem a toto tempore supradict. fuit antiquum passagium apud boreal. oriental. latus ejusdem villæ de Littleport prope finem viculi Anglice, *a Lane*, vocat. *Ferry Lane*, ducen. a villa de Littleport præd. ad eundem rivum pro transitu & transportatione subditorum hujus Regni Angliæ trans & ultra Rivum ill. transire volen. scilicet a quodam loco vocat. *le Ferry Lane* ex boreal. oriental. parte ejusdem usque ad quendam locum vocat. *Adventerous Bank* ex boreal. oriental. parte ejusdem rivi ex transverso rivi ill. aut trorsum sive retrorsum ad eorum libitum pro transitu & transportatione equorum equarum & spadon. suorum Qui quidem transitus & asportatio a toto tempore supradicto usque nuper scilicet primum diem Maii Anno Regni Domini Caroli secundi nuper Regis Angl', &c. decimo quinto habit. & fact. fuer. in quodam pontone Anglice, *a Ferry-Baat*, per proprietarios & occupatores passagii præd. ibidem custodit. Ac proprietarii occupatores & custod. passagii & pontonis ill. pro tempore existen. ad ill. melius custodiend. & manutend.

Declaration
by an Inhabitant of Littleport against the Proprietor of a Ferry-boat, for not keeping it in repair.

Prescription
in a Ferry,
and Toll for
Passage.

nend. a toto tempore supradict. perceper. & percipere consuever. de dictis subditis hujus Regni Angliæ trans & ultra rivum præd. scilt. a præd. loco vocat. le *Ferry-Lane* ad prædictum locum vocat. *Adventerous Bank* ex transverso rivi sit transeundum & transportand. (al. quam de inhabitantibus ejusdem villæ de Littleport in antiquis mesuagiis vel antiquis Cottagiis ibid. commorantibus) quasdam rationabiles ratas ut Tolnet. sive custom scilt. un. obulum pro quolibet equo & homine superinde equitan. ac pro quolibet & qualibet equo equa seu spadone duct. unum quadrantem ac pro quolibet & qualibet equo equa seu spadone aliter onerat. unum denar. pro hujusmodi transitu & transportatione eorum ut præfertur habend. quolibet tempore transitus sui ultra rivum præd. apud passagium præd. aut trorsum sive retrorsum Cumque etiam infra eandem villam de Littleport habeatur & a toto tempore præd. a cujus contrar. memoria hominum non existit habebatur talis antiqua consuetudo videlicet quod inhabitantes villæ ill. in antiquis Mesuagiis vel antiquis Cottagiis ibidem commoran. habuer. & habere potuer. & a toto tempore præd. habere consuever. libertatem transeundi rivum præd. apud passagium prædict. ibidem pro seipsis equis equabus & spadonibus suis in pontone prædict. sic ut præfertur transportand. aut trorsum & retrorsum ad libertatem suam sine aliqua solutione quacunque pro hujusmodi transitu suo sic habend. Cumque etiam idem Isaacus primo die Maii Anno Regni Domini Jacobi secundi nuper Regis Angl', &c. secundo & diu antea & extunc postea hucusque fuit & adhuc existit unus inhabitan. præd. villæ de Littleport in quodam antiquo mesuagio ibidem tunc & adhuc commorans & ea de causa & ratione ipse idem Isaacus libertat. transeundi rivum præd. apud passagium præd. in pontone præd. pro seipso equis equabus & spadonibus suis in forma præd. sine aliqua solutione quacunque pro inde faciend. virtute dictæ consuetud. habere debuit & debet præd. tamen Edvardus & Willielmus præmissor. non ignari sed machinan. & malitiose intenden. ipsum Isaacum minus rite prægravare & magnopere dampnificare & de libertat. transitus sui præd. trans rivum præd. apud passagium præd. in pontone præd. ut præfertur habend. deprivare necnon eundem Isaacum ill. penitus amittere causare præd. primo die Maii Anno secundo supradict. & abinde usque diem exhibition. billæ præd. ipsius Isaaci (eisdem Edvardo & Willielmo adtunc ac antea ac postea hucusque proprietar. occupator. & custod. passag. & ponton. præd. existen.) nullum pontonem apud passagium præd. pro transitu subditorum hujus Regni ac equorum equarum & spadon. præd. trans & ultra rivum ill. transire volen. habuer. servaver. sive custodiver. sed ill. facere habere servare vel custodire per tempus præd. totalit. omiser. & neglexer. ac null. ponto ibidem per tempus præd. aut aliquam partem temporis ill. ibidem fuit vel adhuc existit licet iidem Edvardus & Willielmus præd. primo die Maii Anno secundo supradicto &

Exception of Inhabitants in ancient Mesuages from paying Toll.

The several Tolls taken.

Prescription of Exemption for the Inhabitants in the ancient Mesuages or Cottages, for free Passage.

That the Plaintiff is an Inhabitant in ancient Mesuage.

Breach in not keeping the Boat.

sæpius postea apud Littleport præd. hujusmodi ponton. ad passagium præd. habere & permittere ipsum Isaacum libertat. præd. ibidem habere per eundem Isaacum requisit. fuissent sic quod idem Isaacus de libertat. sua transeundi rivum prædict. apud passagium præd. in forma præd. juxta consuetud. præd. habend. a prædicto primo die Maii Anno secundo supradicto & extunc hucusque fuit & adhuc est impedit. & penitus deprivat. contra consuetud. præd. ad dampnum ipsius Isaaci quingentarum librarum & inde producit sectam, &c.

Imparlance.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilt. diem Mercur. prox. post quinden. Paschæ isto eodem termino usq; quem diem prædict. Edvardus & Willielmus habuer. licenc. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. tam prædict. Isaacus per Attorn. suum prædict. quam prædict. Edvardus & Willielmus per Josephum Sherwood Attorn. suum & præd. Edvardus & Willielmus defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. & dicunt quod prædict. Isaacus actionem suam præd. inde versus eos habere seu manutenere non debet Quia protestando

Protestando, that the Passage was not in a Boat.

quod transit. & portatio personar. equorum equarum & spadon. trans & ultra rivum præd. non habit. seu fact. fuer. in aliquo pontone Anglice, a Ferry-Boat, custodit. pro transitu & transportatione personarum seu averiorum in loco ubi ac modo & forma prout per Narration. præd. superius supponitur Protestandoque quod infra præd. vill. de Littleport non est nec unquam fuit aliqua talis consuetud. qual. in narration. præd. superius supponitur & allegatur Protestando etiam quod præd. Isaacus non est nec unquam fuit unus Inhabitant. præd. vill. de Littleport in aliquo antiquo mesuagio ibidem commorans modo & forma prout per narration. præd. superius supponitur pro placito iidem Edvardus & Willielmus dicunt quod diu antea præd. tempus in quo, &c. scilt. primo die Maii Anno Regni dicti nuper Regis Caroli secundi decimo quinto supradicto ipse idem Edvardus ad onera & custag. ipsius Edvardi propr. erexit ædificavit & locavit in & super rivum præd. & ex transverso ejusdem rivi apud passagium præd. quendam pontem ex ligno & lapidibus confect. pro usu easiamen. transitu & transportation. omn. & singularum personarum equorum equarum & spadon. ibidem venien. ac trans & ultra rivum ill. apud passagium præd. transire volen. quem quidem pontem sic ibidem erect. & locat. idem Edvardus ibidem de tempore in tempus & ad omnia tempora post confectio. inde hucusq; bene & sufficient. habuit servavit & manutenuit reparavit & custodivit & adhuc ibidem habet servat manutenet & custodit ita quod idem Isaacus & omnes & singulæ personæ equi equæ & spadon. ibidem venien. ac trans & ultra rivum præd. apud passagium præd. transire volen. in p & supra pontem prædict. trans & ultra rivum præd. absq; aliquo periculo apud passagium præd. tutius melius & celerius quam in pontone Anglice, a Ferry-Boat, ibidem ire redire & tran-

Protestando; no such Custom.

Protestando etiam, that the Plaintiff was not an Inhabitant in any old Mesuagio.

Plea that at their own Charge they built a Bridge in lieu of the Boat, and keep it in repair, more safe for Passage than the Boat.

transire de tempore in tempus & ad omnia tempora post confessionem. & location. pontis præd. ibidem hucusque potuer. & adhuc possunt Per quod iidem Edvardus & Willielmus nullum pontonem apud passagium præd. habuer. servaver. seu custodiver. sed ill. facere habere servare vel custodire omiser. & neglexer. prout eis ex causa præd. bene licuit Et hoc parat. sunt verificare Unde petunt judic. si præd. Isaacus actionem suam præd. inde versus eos habere seu manutenere debeat, &c.

For which Reason they omitted to keep the Boat.

Et præd. Isaacus dic. quod ipse per aliqua per præd. Edvardum & Willielmum superius allegat. ab actione sua præd. inde versus ipsos habend. præcludi non debet quia dicit quod idem Isaacus per aliquem pontem libertat. passagii præd. trans & ultra rivum præd. secundum consuetud. in nar. præd. mentionat. habere non permissus fuit contra consuetud. præd. Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde petit judic. & dampna sua occasione præmissorum sibi adjudicari, &c.

Reply, That he was not permitted to pass over any Bridge.

Et præd. Edvardus & Willielmus dicunt quod placitum præd. per præd. Isaacum modo & forma præd. superius replicando placitat. materiaque in eodem content. minus sufficien. in lege existunt ad actionem ipsius Isaaci præd. versus ipsos Edvardum & Willielmum habend. manutenend. ad quod iidem Edvardus & Willielmus necesse non habent nec per legem terræ tenentur aliquo modo respondere Et hoc parat. sunt verificare Unde pro defectu sufficien. replication. in hac parte iidem Edvardus & Willielmus ut prius petunt iudicium Et quod præd. Isaacus ab actione sua præd. versus ipsos Edvardum & Willielmum habend. præcludatur, &c.

Demurrer.

Et præd. Isaacus dicit quod placitum præd. per eundem Isaacum modo & forma præd. superius replicando placitat. materiaq; in eodem content. bon. & sufficien. in lege existunt ad action. ipsius Isaaci versus eosdem Edvardum & Willielmum habend. manutenend. quod quidem placitum materiamq; in eodem content. idem Isaacus parat. est verificare & probare prout Cur', &c. Et quia prædict. Edvardus & Willielmus ad placitum ill. non respond. nec ill. hucusque aliqualit. deducunt idem Isaacus ut prius petit judic. & dampna sua occasione præmiss. sibi adjudicari, &c. Sed quia Cur. dicti Domini Regis & dictæ Dominae Reginae nunc hic de iudicio suo de & super præmiss. reddend nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus prædict. coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. usq; diem Veneris prox. post crastinum Sanctæ Trinitat. de judic. suo de & super præmiss. ill. audiend. eo quod Cur. dict. Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic inde nondum, &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. partes præd. per Attorn. suos præd. Sed quia Cur. Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae de judic. suo de & super præmiss. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus præd. coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. usq; diem Jovis prox.

Joinder.

Continuances.

Judic. pro
Def.

prox. post tres septiman. Sancti Michaelis de judic. suo inde audiend. eo quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic inde nondum, &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. partes præd. per Attorn. suos præd. Sed quia Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic de judic. suo de & super præmiss. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus prædict. coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. usq; diem Veneris px. post Octab. Sancti Hillar. de judic. suo inde audiend. eo quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic inde nondum, &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. partes prædict. p Attorn. suos prædict. Sed quia Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic de judic. suo de & super præmiss. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus præd. coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. usque diem Mercur. prox. post quinden. Paschæ de judic. suo inde audiend. eo quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic inde nondum, &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. venerunt partes præd. per Attorn. suos prædict. Sed quia curia dicti Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic de judicio suo de & super præmissis reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde datus est partibus prædictis coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westmonasterium usque diem Sabbati prox. post Octab. Sanctæ Trinitat. de judicio suo inde audiend. eo quod Cur. dictorum Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic inde nondum, &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. partes prædict. p Attorn. suos præd. Super quo vis. & per Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic plenius intellectis omnibus & singulis præmissis maturaq; deliberatione inde habita videtur Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic quod placitum præd. per præd. Isaacum modo & forma præd. superius replicando placitat. materiaq; in eodem content. minus sufficien. in lege exist. ad action. ipsius Isaaci præd. versus præd. Edvardum & Willielmum habend. manutenend. Ideo considerat. est quod præd. Isaacus nil capiat per billam suam præd. Sed pro falso clamore suo sit inde in Misericordia, &c. Et præd. Edvardus & Willielmus eant inde sine die, &c.

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Sancti Hillarii Anno Regni
Domini Willi. tertii nunc Regis Angliæ,
&c. septimo. Rotulo 697.*

Hicks *versus* Downing. Vide fo. 13.

Somerſet, ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. ſcilt. termino Sancti Michaelis ultimo præterito coram Domino Rege apud Westm. venit Sara Hicks vid. per Thomam Callow Attorn. suum & protulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Johannem Downing in Custod. Mar. &c. de placito transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilt. Johannes Doe and Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba ff. Somerſet ff. Sara Hicks vidua queritur de Johanne Downing in Custod. Mar. Mareſc. Domini Regis coram ipſo Rege existen. pro eo videlicet quod cum præfat. Sara vicesimo sexto die Januarii Anno Domini Milleſimo ſexcentefimo nonageſimo ſecundo legitime poſſeſſionat. fuit de & in quodam Meſſuagio ſcituat. jacen. & existen. in Eaſt Dundry in Com. præd. pro quodam termino Annorum ad tunc & adhuc ventur. & inexpirat. Et ſic inde poſſeſſionat. existen. eadem Sara eiſdem die & anno ſupradictis apud Eaſt Dundry præd. dimiſit Meſſuagium præd. (inter alia) præfat. Johanni Downing habend. & tenend. eidem Johanni Downing a vicesimo quinto die Martii tunc prox. ſequen. pro termino trium Annorum ſi præd. Sara Hicks & Johannes Downing & quidam Thomas Downing & Editha Pater & Mater ipſius Johannis Downing tam diu viverent Virtute cujus quidem dimiſſion. præfat. Johannes Downing poſtea ſcilicet vicesimo ſexto die Martii Anno Domini Milleſimo ſexcentefimo nonageſimo tertio in Meſſuagium præd. intravit & inde (inter alia) poſſeſſionat. fuit pro dicto termino trium Annorum ſuperius ſibi dimiſſ. reverſione inde eidem Saræ pro reſid. dicti termini ſuperius primo mentionat. ſpectan. Ac eadem Sara in factò dicit quod tam præfat. Thomas Downing & Editha quam præd. Johannes Downing adhuc ſuperſtites & in plena vita exiſtunt ſcilicet apud Eaſt Dundry præd. prædictus tamen Johannes Downing præmiſſa ſatis ſcien. ſed. machinan. & malitioſe intend. ipſam Saram in hac parte multiplici. dampnificare & prægravare idem Johannes Downing vicesimo die Junii Anno Domini

Declaration
That ſhe was
lawfully poſ-
ſeſſ'd of a
Meſſuage for
a Term of
Years, and
demised it for
three Years to
the Defen-
dant, if ſuch
Perſons
ſhould ſo
long live.

Defendant's
Entry and
Poſſeſſion for
his Term, the
Reverſion be-
longing to
the Plaintiff.
Averment of
Lives.

Breach in
negligent
keeping his
Fire.

Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo quinto (existen. de Mesuag. su-
pradiet. sic ut præfertur possessionat. reversione inde eidem Saræ
in forma præd. spectan.) ignem suum in Mesuagio præd. tam negli-
gent. & improvide custodivit quod ratione inde Mesuagium ill.
adtunc combust. fuit & totalit. ruinat. existit unde eadem Sara di-
cit quod deteriorat. est & dampnum habet ad Valentiam quingent.
librarum Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Imparlance.

Non cul.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilt. diem Jovis prox. post Octabas Sancti
Hilarii isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Johannes
habuit licenciam ad billam præd. interloquendi & tunc ad respon-
dend', &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. venit tam præd.
Sara per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Johannes per Samuelem
Brewster Attorn. suum Et idem Johannes Downing defendit vim
& injur. quando, &c. Et dicit quod ipse non est inde culpabilis Et
de hoc pon. se super patriam Et prædicta Sara similiter, &c. Ideo
ven. inde Jur. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. die Mercur.
prox. post octabas Purification. beatæ Mariæ Et qui nec, &c. ad
recogn', &c. quia tam, &c. Idem dies dat. est partibus prædictis
ibidem, &c.

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Reg-
ni Domini Willi. tertii nunc Regis An-
glia, &c. nono. Rotulo 359.*

Turbervil *versus* Stamp. Vide fo. 13.

Dorset, ff.

M

Memorandum, Quod als. scilicet termino Paschæ
ult. præterit. coram Domino Rege apud
Westm. ven. Thomas Turbervile jun. Ar. per
Edvardum Lawrence Attorn. suum & pro-
tulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam
suam versus Johannem Stampe Gen. in Custod. Mar', &c. de pla-
cito transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilicet Jo-
hannes Doe & Richardus Roe quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc
verba ff. Dorset ff. Thomas Turbervile jun. Ar. queritur de Jo-
hanne

hanne Stampe Gen. in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. pro eo videlicet quod cum secundum legem & consuetud. hujus Regni Angl. hactenus usitat. & approbat. quilibet homo ejusdem Regni ignem suum die & nocte salvo & secure custodire teneatur ne pro defectu debit. Custod. ignis hujusmodi dampnum aliquod alicui de eodem Regno even. ullo modo Cumq; præd. Thomas sexto die Aprilis Anno Regni Domini Gulielmi tertii nunc Regis Angl', &c. nono possessionat. fuit de quodam clauso brueræ jacen & existen. in Paroch. de Stoke in Com. prædict. Cumque etiam præd. Johannes die & anno supradictis similiter possessionat. fuit de quodam al. clauso brueræ prox. adjungen. præd. clauso brueræ ipsius Thomæ in Paroch. & Com. præd. præd. Johannes die anno & loco supradictis tam negligenter & improvide custodivit ignem suum in præd. clauso brueræ ipsius Johannis præd. quod pro defectu debit. custod. ignis præd. bruera & Jampna Anglice, *Heath and Furzes*, ipsius Thomæ ad valenc. quadragint. librarum in præd. clauso brueræ ipsius Thomæ tunc crescen. & existen. combust. fuer. ad grave dampnum ipsius Thomæ & contra consuetud. præd. unde dicit quod deteriorat. est & dampnum habet ad valenc. quadragint. librar. Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Declaration upon the Custom of the Realm for negligent keeping a Fire in the Defendant's Close, whereby the Defendant's Corn was burnt.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilt. diem Veneris prox. post crastinum Sanctæ Trin. isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd' Johannes habuit licenc. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend. &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. tam præd. Thomas per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Johannes per Samuel Brewster Attorn. suum Et idem Johannes defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. & dicit quod ipse non est inde culpabilis & de hoc ponit se super patriam & præd. Thomas similiter, &c. Ideo ven. inde jur. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. die prox. post Et qui nec, &c. ad recogn', &c. quia tam, &c. idem dies dat. est partibus præd. ibidem, &c. Postea continuat. inde process. inter partes præd. de placito præd. per jurat. posit. inde inter eas in respect. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. usq; diem Sabbati prox. post tres septiman. Sancti Michaelis Nisi justic. Domini Regis ad Affisas in Com. Dorf. capiend. assign. prius die Jovis vicesimo secundo die Julii apud Dorchester in Com. præd. per formam statut. &c. ven. pro defectu Jur', &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege apud Westmonasterium ven. præd. Thomas Turbervile jun. per Attorn. suum præd. & præfat. Justic. coram quibus, &c. miser. hic record. suum coram eis habitum in hæc verba ff. Postea die & loco infracontent. coram Edvardo Ward Mil. Capital. Baron. Scaccarii Domini Regis & Thoma Rokeby Mil. un. justic. Domini Regis ad placita coram ipso Rege tenend. assign. justic. ejusdem Domini Regis ad Affisas in Com. Dorf. capiend. assign. per formam statut', &c. ven. infra nominat. Thomas Turbervile junior Armig. per Attorn. suum infracontent. & infra script Johannes Stampe Gen. licet solempniter exact. non ven. sed defalt. fec. Ideo jurat.

Non cul. and Issue.

Venire awarded.

Nisi prius.

Postea and Verdict.

Defendant's Default.

Verdict pro
Quer.

Judgment.

Damages de
incremento.

jurat. unde fit mentio capiatur versus eum per default Et jur. juratae ill. exact. quidam eorum videlicet Thomas Notting, Henricus Kelloway, Richardus Hombourne, Johannes Ford, David Haward, Marcus Dowland, Henricus Humber, Jacobus Squib, Robertus Woolfrays, vener. & in jur. ill. jurat. existunt Et quia resid. jur. ejusdem juratae non comperuer. Ideo al. de circumstantibus per Vic. Com. præd. ad hoc elect. ad requisition. præd. Thomæ Turbervile ac per mandat. justic. præd. de novo apponuntur Quorum nomina in pannello infrascript. affilantur secundum formam statut. in hujusmodi casu nuper edit. & provis. Ac jur. de novo apposit. videlicet Johannes Warren, Willielmus Bussel & Nathaniel Payne, exact. similiter vener. qui ad veritatem de infracontent. simul cum al. jur. præd. prius ad hoc impanellat. & jurat. dicend. elect. triat. & jurat. dicunt super sacramentum suum quod præd. Johannes Stampe est culpabilis de præmissis infrascript. interius ei imposit. prout præd. Thomas Turbervile interius inde versus eum queritur & assidunt dampna ipsius Thomæ occasione infrascript. ultra mis. & custag. sua per ipsum circa sectam suam in hac parte apposit. ad octodecim libr. & pro misis & custag. ill. ad quadragint. solid. Ideo consider. est quod præd. Thomas Turbervile recuperet versus præfat. Johannem Stampe dampna sua præd. per jur. prædict. in forma præd. assess. necnon undecim libras pro misis & custag. suis eidem Thomæ Turbervile per Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc hic ex assensu suo de incremento adjudicat. quæ quidem dampna in toto se attingunt ad trigint. unam libras Et præd. Johannes in Misericordia, &c.

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Reg-
ni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis
Angl', &c. decimo. Rotulo 162.*

Robins *versus* Robins. Vide fo. 15.

Cornub. ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilt. termino Paschæ ultimo præterito coram Domino Rege apud Westm. venit Stephanus Robyns gen. per Edvardum Hoblyn Attorn. suum Et protulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Johannem Robyns gen. in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito debiti Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilt. Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba ff. Cornub. ff. Stephanus Robyns gen. queritur de Johanne Robyns gen. in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. pro eo videlt. quod cum præd. Johannes nunquam habuisset aliquam legalem causam actionis versus eundem Stephanum ita quod per legem hujus Regni Angl. corpus ipsius Stephani proinde capi & in Prifona quousque idem Stephanus sufficien. manucaptores ad respondend. eidem Johanni in eadem causa inveniret detineri deberet præd. tamen Johannes præmissa satis scien. sed machinan. & malitiose intenden. ipsum Stephanum in hac parte minus rite prægravare opprimere & damnificare & credentiam & reputation. suas quantum in ipso fuit pejorare & detrahere idem Johannes vicesimo octavo die Maii Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angliæ, &c. nono apud Bodmyn in Com. præd. eundem Stephanum prætextu & colore cujusdam medii processus in lege arrestari causavit ac licet ipse præd. Stephanus semper parat. fuit ad comparend. super tali processu ad diem retorn. inde ad respond. eidem Johanni juxta exigenciam ejusdem processus dictus tamen Johannes eundem Stephanum die & anno supradict. apud Bodmyn præd. imprisonari & ibidem in Prifona per spacium sex Mensium pro eo tantum quod præd. Stephanus sufficien. manucaptor. ad respondend. eidem Johanni super process. præd. invenire non potuisset detineri malitiose procuravit & causavit per quod præd. Stephan. grandes denar. sum. pro sustentation. sua in prifona præd. expendere coact. fuit Ac negoc. ipsius Stephani necessar. per tempus ill. infecta remanserunt ac idem Stephanus in modo suo vivendi

Case for arresting a Man by Colour of Process, and holding him to Bail, where none was required by Law, after tendring a common Appearance.

magnopere deteriorat. fuit in magnam animi sui perturbation. & famæ & credentiæ suarum læsionem manifestam Unde præd. Stephanus dicit quod ipse deterioratus est & dampnum habet ad valenciam centum & quinquagint. librarum Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Not guilty
pleaded.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilt. diem Veneris prox. post crastinum Sanctæ Trinitatis isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Stephanus habuit licenciam ad billam præd. interloquendi & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. tam præd. Stephanus per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Johannes per Josephum Sherwood Attorn. suum & præd. Johannes defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. & dicit quod ipse non est inde culpabil. & de hoc pon. se super Patriam Et præd. Stephanus inde similiter Ideo ven. inde jur. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. die Mercur. prox. post tres septimanas Sanctæ Trinitatis Et qui nec, &c. ad recogn', &c. quia tam, &c. Idem dies datus est partibus prædictis ibidem, &c.

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Sancti Hillarii Anno Regni
Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis An-
gl', &c. nono. Rotulo 437.*

Iveson versus Moore. Vide fo. 15.

Ebor. ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilt. termino Sancti Michaelis ult. præterit. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. Henricus Iveson per Edmundum Barker Attorn. suum Et protulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Johannem Moore Armigerum & Rutham uxorem ejus Samuelem Bright, Jeremiam Loble, Henricum Smith & Petrum Blakely in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilt. Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba ff. Ebor. ff. Henricus Iveson queritur de Johanne Moore Ar. & Rutha Ux. ejus Samuel Wright,

Wright, Jeremia Loble, Henrico Smith & Petro Blakely in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. pro eo videlicet quod cum præd. Henricus Iveson decimo quarto die Maii Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angl', &c. nono & diu antea & semper postea hucusque possessionat. fuit & adhuc possessionat. existit pro quodam termino Annorum adtunc & adhuc ventur. & inexistat. de & in quadam carbonaria (Anglice Colliery) & miner. carbon. existen. subter solum & terram & in visceribus ejusdam clausi sive parcell. terræ scituat. & jacen. in Paroch. de Whitkirke alias Whitchurch in Com. præd. vocat. *Whitkirke* als. *Whitchurch-fields* & prope adjacent. communi altæ viæ Regiæ in Paroch. præd. ducent. ex boreal. parte a villa de Witherby in Com. præd. in per & trans quandam moram ibidem vocat. *Winmore* & abinde in per & trans quandam venellam ibidem vocat. *Alinshaw-Lane* & abinde in per & trans villam de Whitkirke als. Whitchurch præd. & sic retrorsum necnon de & in quadam al. carbonar. & miner. carbonar. existen. subter solum & terram & in visceribus ejusdam clausi moræ sive parcell. terræ in Paroch. præd. vocat. *Holton Moore* scituat. & jacen. & similiter prope adjacent. communi altæ viæ Regiæ præd. ducent. ex boreal. parte a villa de Wetherby præd. in per & trans præd. Moram *Winmore* & abinde in per & trans venellam præd. vocat. *Alinshaw-Lane* & abinde in per & trans villam de Halton in Com. præd. & sic retrorsum in per & trans quam quidem venellam vocat. *Alinshaw-Lane* Carbones è miner. præd. acquisit. & effossi a clausis præd. ad loca vicina & circumjacent. cariar. & conveyar. pro re nata solit. fuer. & intendebantur Cumque etiam eodem decimo quarto die Maii præd. Henricus Iveson magnam quantit. videlt. ducent. carectat. carbon. è miner. præd. effos. in clausis præd. separal' venditioni expon. parat. habuit prædicti Johannes, Rutha, Samuel, Jeremia, Henricus Smith & Petrus præmissorum non ignari sed machinan. & fraudulent. & malitiose intenden. eundem Henricum Iveson de usu & beneficio carbonar. suarum præd. impedire decipere & defraudare & emptor. carbon. extra carbonar. præd. effos. è carbonar. præd. alienar. & seducere ipsosque ad carbonar. prædict. Johannis Moore prope adjacent. in Paroch. præd. appropriar. & procurare postea scilt. præd. decimo quarto die Maii Anno Regni dicti Domini Regis nunc nono supradicto quatuor carectat. magnor. lapidum & unam radicem magni Fraxini in via præd. in venella præd. apud Paroch. præd. posuer. & locaver. & lapides & radicem Fraxini præd. ibidem remanere per spacium unius Mensis permiserunt & continuaverunt per quæ quidem lapides & radicem Fraxini præd. via præd. in per & trans venellam præd. in tant. obstruat. & obstruat. fuit quod carucæ & carriagia pro cariation. & asportation. carbon. è carbonar. & miner. præd. acquisit. & effos. in per & trans viam præd. per venellam præd. transir.

Declaration setting forth that he was possess'd of a certain Term of Years in a Colliery adjoining to the Highway.

And had great Quantities of Coals dug ready for Sale.

But the Defendants maliciously intending to hinder Buyers, stop'd up the Way.

Whereby the
Plaintiff lost
the Sale of
his Coals.

Impar lance.

Non cul.
pleaded.

transir. non potuer. per quod idem Henr. Iveson beneficium com-
modum & advantagium carbonar. suarum præd. per totum tem-
pus præd. totaliter perdidit & amisit & carbon. è carbonar. præd.
acquisit. pro defectu emptor. ex causa præd. sic impedit' & obstruct'
magnopere deteriorat' & depretiat. devener. ad dampnum ipsius
Henrici quinquaginta librarum. Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilicet diem lunæ prox. post Octabas
Sancti Hillarii isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Johan-
nes Moore & Rutha Ux. ejus Samuel, Jeremia, Henricus Smith
& Petrus habuerunt licenciam ad billam præd. interloquendi &
tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven.
tam præd. Henricus Iveson per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd.
Johannes Moore & Rutha Ux. ejus Samuel, Jeremia, Henricus
Smith & Petrus per Michaellem Johnson Attorn. suum Et idem
Johannes Moore & Rutha Samuel, Jeremia, Henricus Smith &
Petrus defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et dic. quod ipsi in
nullo sunt culpabiles de præmissis superius eis imposit. modo
& forma prout præd. Henricus Iveson superius queritur Et de
hoc pon. se super patriam Et præd. Henricus Iveson similiter,
&c. Ideo ven. inde jur. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. die
Sabbati prox. post Octabas Purification. beatæ Mariæ Virginis Et
qui nec, &c. ad recogn', &c. Quia tam, &c. Idem dies dat.
est partibus prædictis ibidem, &c.

Placita

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de Termino Sancti Michaelis Anno Reg-
ni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis
Angliæ, &c. decimo. Rotulo 125.*

Butcher *versus* Andrews. Vide fo. 23.

Essex, ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilt. termino Paschæ ultimo præterito coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. Thomas Butcher per Radulphum Cole Attorn. suum Et protulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Jacobum Andrews in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg. de proseguend. scilicet Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba scilicet Essex ff. Thomas Butcher queritur de Jacobo Andrews in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. pro eo videlicet quod cum præd. Jacobus primo die Augusti Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angliæ, &c. octavo apud Gestingthorpe in Com. præd. in consideration. quod idem Thomas Butcher ad special. instanc. & requisition. ipsius Jacobi mutuo daret & accommodaret cuidam Georgio Andrews filio ipsius Jacobi Andrews aliquam vel aliquas denar. summas & venderet & deliberaret eidem Georgio tal. bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. qual. ipse idem Georgius careret & requireret & fiduciaret, Anglice *Would Trust*, eidem Georgio pro eisdem ita quod præd. denar. summæ sic ut præfertur fore accommodand. & valor. præd. bonorum mercimon. & merchandiz. eidem Georgio Andrews per præd. Thomam Butcher deliberand. & vendend' in toto non excederent summam quinque librarum legalis monetæ Angliæ super se Assumpsit & eidem Thomæ Butcher adtunc & ibidem fidelit. promisit quod ipse præd' Jacobus non solum omnes tal. denar. summas sic per ipsum Thomam Butcher eidem Georgio Andrews mutuo dand. & accommodand. verum etiam omnes tal. denar. summas quant. bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. præd. tempore vendition. & deliberation. eorundem rationabilit. valerent eidem Thomæ Butcher cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fidelit. solvere & contentare vellet Et idem Thomas Butcher in facto dicit quod ipse idem Thomas Butcher postea scilt. eodem primo die Augusti Anno octavo supradicto apud Gestingthorpe præd. in Com. præd. ad præd. special.

Declares in Consideration if he would lend the Defendant's Son, a Sum not exceeding 5 l. or trust him for Goods to that Value, he would pay.

Averment.

Indeb. Assump. for 5 l. lent the Son at the Plaintiff's Request.

Indeb. Assump. for other 5 l. laid out, &c.

cial. instanc. ipsius Jacobi mutuo dedit & accommodavit eidem Georgio Andrews summam trigint. solid. legalis monet. Angl. ac eisdem die Anno & loco ultim. supradict. ad præd. special. instanc. & requisition. ipsius Jacobi vendidit & deliberavit eidem Georgio Andrews diversa bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. & fiduciavit præd. Georgio pro eisdem Quodque bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. præd. tempore vendition. & deliberation. eorundem rationabiliter valebant summam trium librarum & decem solidorum similis legalis monetæ Angliæ videlicet apud Gestingthorpe præd. in Com. præd. Unde præd. Jacobus postea scilicet eodem primo die Augusti Anno octavo supradicto apud Gestingthorpe præd. in Com' præd' notitiam per eundem Thomam Butcher adtunc & ibidem habuit Cumque etiam præd. Jacobus postea scilicet eodem primo die Augusti Anno octavo supradicto apud Gestingthorpe præd. in Com. præd. indebitat. fuisset eidem Thomæ Butcher in quinque libris similis legalis monet. Angl. pro tant. denar. summa ipsius Thomæ Butcher per præfat. Thomam Butcher ad similes special. instanc' & requisition. ipsius Jacobi eidem Georgio Andrews ante tempus illud mutuo dat. & accommodat. ac etiam indebitat. fuit eidem Thomæ Butcher in al. quinque libris similis legalis monetæ Angliæ pro diversis bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. per præfat. Thomam Butcher eidem Georgio Andrews ad similes special. instanc. & requisition. ipsius Jacobi ante tempus illud vendit. & deliberat. ipsoque Jacobo eidem Thomæ Butcher sic inde indebitat. existen. ipse præd. Jacobus in consideration. inde postea scilicet eodem primo die Augusti Anno octavo supradicto apud Gestingthorpe præd. in Com' præd. super se Assumpsit & eidem Thomæ Butcher adtunc & ibidem similiter fideliter promisit quod ipse præd. Jacobus præd. separal. summas quinque libr. & quinque librar. ult. mentionat. eidem Thomæ Butcher cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fidelit. solvere & contentare vellet Cumque etiam præd. Jacobus postea scilicet eodem primo die Augusti Anno octavo supradicto apud Gestingthorpe præd. in Com. præd. indebitat. fuisset eidem Thomæ Butcher in al' quinque libris similis legalis monet. Angliæ pro tant. denar. summis ipsius Thomæ Butcher per præfat. Thomam Butcher ad similes special. instanc. & requisition. ipsius Jacobi pro eodem Jacobo ante tempus ill. erogat. & extraposit. ipsoque Jacobo eidem Thomæ Butcher sic inde indebitat. existen. ipse præd. Jacobus in consideration. inde postea scilicet eisdem die & anno ult. supradict. apud Gestingthorpe præd. in Com. præd. super se Assumpsit ac eidem Thomæ Butcher adtunc & ibidem similiter fideliter promisit quod ipse præd. Jacobus præd. quinque libr. ult. mentionat. eidem Thomæ Butcher cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fideliter solvere & contentare vellet præd. tamen Jacobus sepeal. promission. & assumption. suas præd. in forma præd. fact. minime curans sed machinans & fraudulenter intendens eundem Thomam Butcher callide

callide & subdole decipere & defraudare præd. seperal. denar. summas seu aliquem inde denar. eidem Thomæ Butcher nondum solvit nec ei pro eisdem hucusque aliququaliter contentavit (licet ad hoc faciend. idem Jacobus postea scilicet secundo die Augusti Anno octavo supradicto apud Gestingthorpe præd. in Com. præd. per eundem Thomam Butcher requisit. fuisset) sed ill. ei hucusque solvere seu aliqualit. pro eisdem contentare omnino recusavit & adhuc recusat ad dampnum ipsius Thomæ Butcher trigint. librarum Et inde producit sextam, &c.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilicet diem lunæ prox. post tres septiman. Sancti Michaelis isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Jacobus habuit licenc. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. tam præd. Thomas per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Jacobus per Johannem Clarke Attorn. suum Et idem Jacobus defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et dicit quod ipse non Assumpsit super se modo & forma prout præd. Thomas superius versus eum queritur Et de hoc pon. se super patriam Et præd. Thomas similiter, &c. Ideo ven. inde jur. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. die prox. post Et qui nec, &c. ad recogn', &c. quia tam, &c. Idem dies dat. est partibus præd. ibidem, &c.

Non Assump-
sit.

*Placita coram Domina Regina apud Westm.
de termino Sancti Hillarii Anno Regni
Domine Annæ nunc Reginae Angl', &c.
Primo. Rot. 435.*

Coggs versus Bernard. Vide fo. 26.

Middl. ff. **M**emorandum, Quod als. scilt. termino Sancti Michaelis ultimo præterito coram Domina Regina apud Westm. venit Johannes Coggs per Josephum Sherwood Attorn. suum Et protulit in Cur. dictæ Domine Reginae tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Willielmum Bernard in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg. de prosequend' scilicet Johan-

Declaration
upon Defen-
dant's Pro-
mise to take
Hogsheads of
Brandy out
of one Cellar,
and safely put
them in ano-
ther; for
Negligence.

Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba fl. Middl. fl. Johannes Coggs queritur de Willielmo Barnard in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domina Regina coram ipsa Regina existen. pro eo videlicet quod cum præd. Willielmus decimo die Novembris Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angliæ, &c. decimo tertio apud Paroch. Sancti Clementis Dacorum in Com. Middlesex præd. assumpsisset salvo & secure elevare Anglice, *to take up*, diversos Cados, Anglice *Casks*, vini adusti, Anglice *Brandy*, ipsius Johannis tunc in quodam cellar. scituat. in quodam loco vocat. *Brooks-Market* in Paroch. Sanctæ Andreae Holborne in Com. præd. existen. Et assumpsisset super se salvo & secure eosdem deponere in quodam al. cellar. scituat. in quodam al. loco vocat. *Water-street* in Paroch. Sancti Clementis Dacorum in Com. præd. Idem Willielmus servientes & Agentes sui postea scilt. eisdem die & anno apud Paroch. Sancti Clementis Dacorum præd. Cados vini adusti præd. tam negligent & improvide tractaverunt in deponendo eos in cellar. ult. mentionat. quod pro defectu bonæ curæ præd. Willielmi servient. & agent. suorum unus eorundem cadorum vini adusti adtunc & ibidem confract. fuit Et magna quantitas scilicet Centum & quinquagint. lagenæ vini adusti in eodem cado ea occasione in terram diffusa & spoliat. fuit Cumque etiam præd. Willielmus postea scilicet eodem decimo die Novembris Anno decimo tertio supradicto apud Paroch. Sancti Clementis Dacorum præd. in Com. Middlesex præd. Assumpsisset salvo & secure elevare, Anglice *to take up*, diversos al. Cados, Anglice *Casks*, vini adusti, Anglice *Brandy*, ipsius Johannis tunc in quodam al. cellar. scituat in quodam loco vocat. *Brooks-Market* in Paroch. Sancti Andreae Holborne in Com. præd. existen. Et Cados ill. ibidem carro imponere cuidam al. cellar. scituat. in quodam al. loco vocat. *Water-street* in Paroch. Sancti Clementis Dacorum in Com. præd. fore vect. & eosdem cados ad cellar. ult. præd. scituat. in *Water-street* ult. præd. sic ut præferatur. vect. è carro præd. salvo & secure ibidem demittere & in cellar. ult. præd. deponere idem Willielmus servientes & agentes sui postea scilicet eisdem die & anno supradict. apud Paroch. Sancti Clement. Dacorum præd. cados vini adusti ult. præd. tam negligent. & improvide tractaverunt in deponendo eos in cellar. ult. mentionat. quod pro defectu bonæ curæ præd. Willielmi servient. & agent. suorum unus eorundem cadorum vini adusti ult. mentionat. adtunc & ibidem confract. fuit. Et magna quantitas scilicet Centum & quinquagint. lagenæ vini adusti ult. præd. in eodem cado ult. mentionat. existen. ea occasione adtunc & ibidem in terram diffusa & spoliat. fuit. Unde præd. Johannes dicit quod ipse deteriorat. est & dampnum habet ad valenciam Centum librarum Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilicet diem Sabbati prox. post octab. Sancti Hillarii isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Willielmus

lielmus Barnard habuit licentiam ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. venit tam præd. Johannes Coggs per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Willielmus Barnard per Willielmum Collier Attorn. suum. Et idem Willielmus Barnard defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et dicit quod ipse non est inde culpabilis. Et de hoc pon. se super patriam. Et præd. Johannes Coggs similiter, &c. Ideo ven. inde jur. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. die lunæ prox. post crastinum Purification. beatæ Mariæ. Et qui nec, &c. ad recogn', &c. quia tam, &c. Idem dies dat. est partibus prædictis ibidem, &c. Postea continuat. inde process. inter partes præd. de placito præd. per jur. posit. inde inter eas in respectum coram Domina Regina apud Westm. usque diem Jovis prox. post Octab. Purification. beatæ Mariæ extunc prox. sequen. Nisi dilectus & fidel. Domina Reginae Johannes Holt Mil. Capital. Justic. Domina Reginae ad placita in Cur. ipsius Domina Reginae coram ipsa Regina tenend. assign. prius die Mercur. prox. post Octab. Purification. beatæ Mariæ apud Westm. præd. in Com. Middlesex præd. in magna Aula placitorum ibidem per formam statut', &c. ven. pro defectu jur', &c. Ad quem diem coram Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. præd. Johannes Coggs per Attorn. suum præd. Et præd. Capital. Justic. Domina Reginae coram quo, &c. misit hic recordum suum coram eo habitum in hæc verba. Postea die & loco infracontent. coram Johanne Holt. Mil. Capital. Justic. infrascript. associat. sibi Johanne Ince Gen. per formam statut', &c. ven. tam infranominat. Johannes Coggs quam infranominat. Willielmus Barnard per Attorn. suos infracontent. Et jur. jurata ill. exact. similiter ven. qui ad veritatem de infracontent. dicend. electi triat. & jurat. dicunt super sacramentum suum quod præd. Willielmus Barnard est culpabil. de præmissis interius sibi imposit. modo & forma prout præd. Johannes Coggs interius inde versus eum queritur. Et assidunt dampna ipsius Johannis Coggs occasione inde ultra mis. & custag. sua per ipsum circa sectam suam in hac parte apposit. ad decem libr. & pro mis. & custag. ill. ad vigint. solid. Ideo consideratum est quod præd. Johannes Coggs recuperet versus præfat. Willielmum Barnard dampna præd. per jur. præd. in forma præd. assess. necnon viginti & un. libr. pro mis. & custag. suis præd. eidem Johanni Coggs per Cur. dictæ Domina Reginae nunc hic ex assensu suo de incremento adjudicat'. Quæ quidem dampna in toto se attingunt ad trigint. & duas libras. Et præd. Willielmus Barnard in Misericordia, &c.

Non cul'.

Venire awarded.

Postea returned.

Judgment pro Quer.

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Reg-
ni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis
Angliæ, &c. nono.*

Harrison *versus* Cage. Vide fo. 24.

Case against
Husband and
Wife upon a
Promise of
Marriage by
her whilst
sole.

Breach.

Cantabr. ff. **M**emorandum, Quod als. scilicet termino Paschæ Anno Regni Domini Gulielmi tertii nunc Regis Angl', &c. nono coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. Henricus Harrison Gen. per Michaelem Johnson Attorn. suum & protulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Adlard. Cage Gen. & Elizabetham Ux. ejus in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg. de proseguend. scilicet Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe. Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba ff. *Cantabr. ff.* Henricus Harrison Gen. queritur de Adlard. Cage Gen. & Elizabetha Ux. ejus in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. pro eo videlicet quod cum præd. Elizabetha dum ipsa sola fuit scilicet primo die Aprilis Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angl', &c. octavo apud Borough-green in Com' præd. (in consideration. quod idem Henricus tunc & adhuc cœlebs & minime maritat. existen. ad special. instanc. & requisition. ipsius Elizabethæ adtunc & ibidem agreeasset cum eadem Elizabetha ac super se assumpsisset & eidem Elizabethæ fideliter promississet quod ipse idem Henricus duceret in uxorem suam eandem Elizabetham) super se assumpsit & eidem Henrico adtunc & ibidem fidelit. promisit quod ipsa dicta Elizabetha caperet in visum suum ipsum Henricum ac licet idem Henricus promission. & assumption. præd. Elizabethæ præd. fidem adhiben. matrimon. cum aliqua alia Muliere contrahere penitus recusavit & adhuc cœlebs & minime maritat. existit ac semper a tempor. confession. promission. & assumption. præd. (dum eadem Elizabetha fuit sola) parat. fuit & sapius obtulit eandem Elizabetham in uxorem suam legitime ducere videlicet apud Borough-green præd. in Com. præd. præd. tamen Elizabetha dum ipsa sola fuit promission. & assumption. suas præd. minime curan. sed. machinan. & fraudulent. intenden. ipsum Henricum in hac parte callide & subdole decipere & defraudare ipsum Henricum in virum suum non cepit (licet ad hoc faciend. præd. Elizabetha post promission. & assumption. præd. fact. scilicet vicesimo nono die Aprilis Anno octavo su-

supradicto & sapius antea & postea apud Borough-green præd. in Com. præd. per eundem Henricum requisit. fuisset) sed ipsum in virum suum capere omnino recusavit ac postea scilicet primo die Octobris Anno octavo supradicto apud Borough-green præd. in Com. præd. cepit in virum suum præd. Adlardum contra promission. & assumption. ejusdem Elizabethæ præd. Cumq; etiam præd. Elizabetha dum ipsa sola fuit scilicet primo die Maii Anno octavo supradicto indebitat. fuisset eidem Henrico in trescentis libr. legalis monet. Angl. pro denar. per ipsum Henricum ad special. instanc. & requisition. ipsius Elizabethæ & pro eadem Elizabetha (dum ipsa sola fuit) ante tempus illud solut. & erogat. ac pro denar. per ipsam Elizabetham dum ipsa sola fuit de eodem Henrico ante tempus illud mutuat. & recept. Et sic inde indebitat. existen. eadem Elizabetha dum ipsa sola fuit eidem die & anno ult. mentionat. apud Borough-green præd. in Com. præd. in Cons. inde super se assumpsit & eidem Henrico ad tunc & ibidem fidelit. promisit quod ipsa dicta Elizabetha easdem trescen. libr. eidem Henrico bene & fideliter solvere & contentare vellet præd. tamen Elizabetha dum ipsa sola fuit & præd. Adlard. & Elizabetha post desponsal. inter eos celebrat. promission. & assumption. ipsius Elizabethæ præd. ult. mentionat. in forma præd. fact. minime curant. sed machinan. & fraudulent. intenden. ipsum Henricum in hac parte callide & subdole decipere & defraudare præd. trescen. libr. seu aliquam inde denar. eidem Henrico (licet sapius requisit.) non. solver. nec eorum alter solvit Sed ill. ei solvere seu pro eisdem aliquam contentare omnino recusaver. & adhuc recusant Unde idem Henricus dicit quod ipse deteriorat. est & dampnum habet ad valenc. trium mille librarum Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Request and Refusal.

And marrying the Defendant.

Indeb. Assump. for Money laid out for and lent to her whilst sole.

Breach.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilt. diem Veneris prox. post crastinum Sanctæ Trin. isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Adlard. & Elizabetha habuer. licenc. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. tam præd. Henricus per Attorn. suum prædict. quam præd. Adlard. & Elizabetha per Richardum Edwards Attorn. suum Et idem Adlard. & Elizabetha defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. & dicunt quod præd. Elizabetha non assumpsit super se modo & forma prout præd. Henricus superius versus eos queritur Et de hoc pon. se super patriam & præd. Henricus similiter, &c. Ideo ven. inde Jur. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. die Mercur. prox. post tres septiman. Sanctæ Trin' Et qui nec, &c. ad recogn', &c. quia tam, &c. idem dies dat. est partibus præd. ibidem, &c. Postea continuat. inde process. inter partes præd. de placito præd. per jurat. posit. inde inter eas in respect. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. usque diem Lunæ prox. post tres septiman. Sancti Michaelis ex tunc prox. sequen. Nisi justic' Domini Regis ad Assisas in Com. præd. capiend' assign. prius die Jovis undecimo die Augusti apud Castrum Cantabr.

Non Assumpsit pleaded.

Postea re-
turned.

Defendant's
Default.

Verdict pro
Quer.

Judgment.

Writ of Er-
ror in the
Exchequer-
Chamber.

Error as-
sign'd.

tabr. in Com. præd. per formam statut', &c. ven. pro defectu Jur', &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege apud Westmonasterium ven. præd. Henricus per Attorn. suum præd. & præfat. Justic. coram quibus, &c. miser. hic record. suum coram eis habitum in hæc verba ff. Postea die & loco infracontent. coram Edvardo Ward Mil. Capital. Baron. Scaccarii Domini Regis & Thomæ Knight Ar. hac vice associat. eidem Edvardo Ward & Thomæ Rokeby Mil. un. justic. dicti Domini Regis ad placita coram ipso Rege tenend. assign. justic. ipsius Domini Regis ad Assisas in Com. Cantabr. capiend. assign. per formam statut', &c. præsentia præfat. Thomæ Rokeby non expectat. virtute brevis dicti Domini Regis de si non omnes ven. infranominat. Henricus Harrison per Attorn. suum infracontent. & infra script. Adlard. Cage & Elizabetha Uxor ejus licet solempniter exact. non ven. sed default. fecer. Ideo jurat. unde infra fit mentio capiatur versus eos per default. super quo jur. juratæ ill. exact. similiter ven. qui ad veritat. de infracontent. dicend. elect. triat. & jurat. dicunt super sacramentum suum quod præd. Elizabetha assumpsit super se modo & forma prout prædict. Henricus interius versus præd. Adlard. & Elizabetham queritur & assidunt dampna ipsius Henrici occasione non performance. promission. & assumption. infraspacificat. ultra mis. & custag. sua per ipsum circa sectam suam in hac parte apposit. ad quadringent. libr. Et pro mis. & custag. ill. ad quadragint. solid.——Ideo considerat. est quod præd. Henricus Harrison recuperet versus præfat. Adlard. Cage & Elizabetham Ux. ejus dampna sua præd. per jur. præd. in forma præd. assess. necnon sexdecim libr. pro misis & custagiis suis præd. eidem Henrico per Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc hic ex assensu suo de incremento adjudicat. quæ quidem dampn. in tot. se attingunt ad quadringent. & octodecim libr' Et præd. Adlard. & Elizabetha in Misericordia, &c. Postea scilicet die Sabbati vicesimo sexto die Novembris Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angl', &c. decimo transcript. record. & process. præd. inter partes præd. de placito præd. cum omnibus ea tangen. prætextu cujusdam brevis dicti Domini Regis de error. corrigend. per præfat. Adlard. & Elizabetham in præmissis prosecut. coram justic. dicti Domini Regis de Communi Banco & Baron. de Scaccario dicti Domini Regis de gradu de le Coyse in Camera Scaccarii præd. juxta formam statut. in Parliament. Dominæ Elizabethæ nuper Regina Angl', &c. apud Westm. vicesimo tertio die Novembris Anno Regni sui vicesimo septimo tent. edit. a prædict. Cur. dicti Domini Regis hic coram ipso Rege transmiss. fuer. præd' que Adlard. & Elizabetha in eadem Cur. Camera Scaccarii comparentes quasdam materias pro error. in record. & process. præd. pro revocatione & adnullation. judic. præd' habend. assign. ad quas præd. Henricus in eadem Cur. Camera Scaccarii præd. similiter comparens placitavit quod nec in record. & process. præd. nec in redditione judic. præd. in ullo fuit errat' Postmodumque scilt.

scilicet die Martis vicesimo septimo die Junii Anno Regni Domini
 Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angl', &c. undecimo vis. & per Cur.
 Camerae Scaccarii præd. diligent. examinat. & plenius intellectis
 tam Record. & process. præd. ac judic' super eisdem reddit. quam
 præd. causis pro error. per præfat. Adlard. & Elizabetham assign.
 & allegat. videbatur eidem Cur. Camerae Scaccarii præd. quod re-
 cord. ill. in nullo vitiosum aut defectivum existit. Ac quod re-
 cord. ill. in nullo fuit errat. Ideo adtunc & ibidem per eandem
 Cur. Camerae Scaccarii prædict. cons. fuit quod judicium prædict.
 in omnibus affirmaretur & in omni suo robore staret & effectu di-
 ctis causis & materiis pro erroribus per præfat. Adlard. & Elizabe-
 tham assign. & allegat. in aliquo non obstantibus. Et ulterius ad-
 tunc & ibidem per eandem Cur. cons. fuit quod præd. Henricus re-
 cuperet versus præfat. Adlard. & Elizabetham decem libr. & decem
 solid. eidem Henrico ex assensu suo per Cur. ibidem juxta form.
 statut. inde edit. & provis. adjudicat. pro damnis mis. & custag.
 suis quæ habuit occasione dilation. execution. judic. præd. prætextu
 profection. dicti brevis de error. Ac superinde record. prædict.
 necnon process. præd. Justic' de Communi Banco præd. & Baron.
 præd. Scaccarii præd. coram eis in præmissis habit. coram Domino
 Rege ubicunque, &c. per eosdem Justic' & Baron. præd. adtunc re-
 mittebantur secundum formam statut', &c. Et in Cur. dicti Do-
 mini Regis hic coram ipso Rege jam Resident', &c. Postea scilicet die
 Mercur. prox. post tres septimanas Sanctæ Trin. Anno Regni Do-
 mini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angl', &c. decimo tertio coram
 dicto Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. prædictus Henricus Harri-
 son per Attorn. suum præd. Et cogn. se esse satisfact. per præd.
 Adlard. & Elizabetham de debito dampn. mis. & custag. præd. Ideo
 iidem Adlard. & Elizabetha de debito dampn. mis. & custag. ill.
 sint inde quiet', &c.

Judgment
affirmed.

Costs adjudg-
ed occasione
dilationis ex-
ecution. and
Remittance
of the Re-
cord.

Satisfaction
acknow-
ledged.

*Placita coram Domina Regina apud Westm.
de Termino Sancti Michaelis Anno Regni
Dominæ Annæ nunc Reginae Angl', &c.
Primo. Rotulo 223.*

Justin *versus* Ballin. Vide fo. 34.

Angl', ff.

Suggestion
setting forth
Statute of 13
Ric. 2. where-
by the Admi-
ralty have no
Cognizance
of any Thing
done upon
the Land.

Also another
Stat. of 15 R.
2. to the same
Purpose.

Another Stat.
2 H. 4. con-
firming the
former.

Memorandum, Quod die Veneris prox. post tres septimanas Sancti Michaelis isto eodem termino coram Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. Nicholas Justin in propria persona sua Et dat. Cur. dictæ Dominæ Reginae nunc hic intelligi & informari quod cum in Statuto in Parlamento Domini Richardi nuper Regis Angl. post conquestum secundi Anno Regni sui decimo tertio apud Westm. in Com. Middlesex. tent. inter al. ordinat. & inactitat. existit autoritate ejusdem Parliamenti quod Admiralli & eorum deputat. extunc deinceps de aliqua re facta infra Regnum Angl. nisi solummodo de re super Mare fact. prout tempore Domini Edvardi nuper Regis Angl. Avi ejusdem Domini Regis Richardi secundi debite usitat. fuer. nullatenus intromitterent prout in eodem statuto inter al. plenius continetur Cumque etiam per aliud statut. in Parliament. præd. nuper Regis Richardi secundi Anno Regni sui decimo quinto tent. apud Westm. præd. in præd. Com. Middlesex edit. inter al. declarat. ordinat. & stabilit. existit quod de omnibus contractibus placitis & querelis ac de omnibus al. rebus fact. sive emergen. infra corpora Com. tam per terram quam per Aquam acetiam de Wrecca Maris Cur. Admiralitatis nullam haberet cognitionem potestatem nec jurisdiction. sed quod omnia hujusmodi contract. placit & querel. ac omnia al. emergen. infra corpora Com. tam per terram quam per aquam ut præfertur acetiam Wrecca Maris terminat. triat. discuss. & remediatur forent per legem terræ & non coram Admirallo vel Admiral. lis nec per ejus locumtenen. quovismodo prout in eodem statut. etiam inter al. plenius continetur Cumque etiam in Parlamento Domini Henrici nuper Regis Angl' post conquestum quarto Anno Regni sui secundo tent. apud Westm. in Com. præd. edit. inter al. ordinat. & inactitat. existit quod prædictum statutum de prædicto Anno dicti nuper Regis Richardi secundi teneretur & custodiretur ac debitæ execution. demandaretur prout in eodem statut. inter al. continetur Cumque etiam omnia & singula placita & negotia tangen. sive concernen. validitat. explication. interpretation. con-

construction. five exposition. aliquorum statut. in quibuscunque Parliament. dictæ Domine Regine nunc vel progenitorum suorum nuper Regum five Reginarum Angl. edit. fact. & provis. ac omnia & singula placita & cognitiones placitorum pro aliquibus transgr. contract. transgr. super casum pro captione detentione five conversione aliquorum bonorum five catallorum vel pro aliqua al. causa quacunque tam super terram quam super aquam infra corpus aliqujus Com. infra hoc Regnum Angl. vel alibi super terram aliquibus persone five personis contingen. surgen. emergent. fact. five illat. ad Dominam Reginam nunc & coronam suam Regiam specialiter spectant & pertinent & per leges & statut. hujus Regni Angl. in Cur. Regine de recordo temporalibus coram Domina Regina nunc hic vel coram justiciariis five judicibus suis temporalibus & non coram Domino Admirallo Angl. nec per Admirallum Angl. vel ejus locumtenentem. vel deputat. quovismodo triari terminari & discuti debeant & temporibus retroact. semper hætenus consueverunt Quidam tamen Johannes Ballam & Gulielmus Hart præmissorum non ignari sed machinari. ipsum Nicholaum Justin contra debit. legis hujus Regni Angl. cursum ac contra formam separal. statut. præd. indebite prægravare opprimere & defatigare ac cognition. placiti quæ ad dictam Dominam Reginam nunc & coronam suam Regiam specialiter spectat & pertinet ad aliud examen in Cur. Admiralitatis coram præbonotando viro Domino Carolo Hedges Milite & legum Doctore supremo Cur. Admiralitatis Angl. judic. five locumtenentem. five surrogat. suo aut aliquo al. iudice in hac parte competen. virtute cujusdam processus è dicta Cur. Admiralitatis. ad prosecution. Navis vocat. *The Swan of Norway* cujus Berent Gertson nuper fuit sed Johannes Orce als. Ord alias Larwick ejus Magister ejusque apparatus & accessiones & præd. Nicholai Justin proprietariæ dictæ Navis emanant. de & concernent. diversis mercimoniis. & merchandiz. operibus & laboribus per præfat. Johannem Ballam & Gulielmum Hart pro Nave præd. vendit. deliberat. fact. invent. & provis. extra jurisdictionem Cur. illius minus juste traxit in placitum caute & subdole libellando & suggerendo versus Navem præd. & præd. Nicholaum Justin in eadem Cur. Admiralitatis. inter alia ut sequitur videlicet: Imprimis, *That in the Month of November, in the Year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred, and for several Months before and after, the said Berent Gertson of Norway was the Master and Commander of the said Ship the Swan, and had the Care and Government of her as Master, and was put in and appointed Master of her by her Owner, who was then an Inhabitant of Norway and a Subject of the King of Denmark, but is since dead, and so much the said Berent Gertson hath confessed and declared, and this was and is true, publick and notorious,* & ponit conjunctionem divisim & de quolibet Item, *That in the said Month of November in the Year of our Lord One thousand seven hundred, the said Ship the Swan, being upon the high and open Seas near*
the

That the Exposition of the Statutes belongs to the Temporal Courts.

Breach.

By Process out of the Admiralty for Goods and Labour.

Libel set forth.

the Port of London, within the Jurisdiction of the High Court of the Admiralty of England, and then standing in great need of a new Cable, a Coil of small Ratlin, a new Anchor and the other Things mentioned in the two Schedules hereunto annexed; the said John Ballam and William Hart did thereupon, at the special Instance and Request of the said Berent Gertson, then Master and Commander of the said Ship upon the high and open Seas, and within the Jurisdiction aforesaid, furnish and supply the said Ship with the Cable, Anchor, and other Things mentioned in the said two Schedules, at the respective Rates schedule, to wit, the said John Ballam furnished and supplied her with the Cable, small Ratlin, and the other Things mentioned in the first of the said Schedules, N^o 1, and at the Rates there set down, amounting in all to the Sum of One hundred and four Pounds fifteen Shillings Sterling; and the said William Hart furnished and supplied her with the Anchor and the other Things mentioned in the said other Schedule N^o 2, and at the Rates there set down, amounting in the whole to the Sum of nineteen Pounds eleven Shillings and Six pence Sterling; and the said Cable, Anchor, and other Things mentioned in the said two Schedules, were at the Time and Place aforesaid sent and delivered by the said John Ballam and William Hart, or their Order, on board the said Ship, and have ever since and now are belonging to her and applied to her Use, and the same were, at the Time of their being sent and delivered on board the said Ship, really and truly worth the respective Rates and Sums of Money schedule; and the like sort of Goods were then usually sold at the same Rates; and this was and is true, publick and notorious, and so much the said Berent Gertson hath confessed and declared to several Persons, ponit tamen de aliis bonis summis tempore & loco, &c. & ut supra: Item, That the said Ship the Swan, shortly after the said Cable, Anchor, and the other Things mentioned in the said two Schedules, was sent and delivered on board her as aforesaid, proceeded to Norway, and did then and ever, and now doth belong to that Place, and the said Berent Gertson her late Master did, and do all reside in Norway, and were and are Subjects to the King of Denmark, and this was and is true, publick and notorious; and so much the said John Orce, alias Ord, als. Larwick hath lately confessed and declared to several Persons & ponit ut supra. Item, That the said several Sums of Money mentioned in the said two Schedules, in all amounting in the Whole to the Sum of One hundred twenty-four Pounds six Shillings and Six pence Sterling, and are really and truly due to the said John Ballam and William Hart, for the said Cable, Anchor, and the other Things schedule; and the said John Orce, alias Ord, alias Larwick, the present Master of the said Ship, hath lately confessed and declared to several Persons that the aforesaid Sums of Money, are yet unpaid, and that it is so set down in Instructions given him by the said Nicholas Justin the Owner of the said Ship, or to that effect, ut supra: Item, That the said John Ballam and William Hart have several Times demanded the Sums of Money

ney due to them as aforesaid, of the present Master of the said Ship, personally, and of her said Owner by Letters, but they refusing or delaying to pay for the same, and the said John Ballam and William Hart having no Remedy for the Recovery thereof but by arresting the said Ship in the High Court of the Admiralty of England, have caused the same to be arrested by Virtue of a Warrant from the said Court, and all Persons having or pretending to have any Right, Title, or Interest therein, to be duly cited to appear in the said Court to answer to them the said John Ballam and William Hart, in a Cause or Causes civil and maritime, and the said Nicholas Justin hath there appeared by his Proctor, and submitted himself to the Jurisdiction of the said Court, and as Owner of the said Ship given Bail to answer the Action brought by the said John Ballam and William Hart against the said Ship, and to abide by the Judgment of the said Court, and to pay what shall be adjudged, together with Expences of Suit, and thereupon procured the said Ship to be released from the said Arrest, as by the Proceedings of the said Court, to which the Party proponent refers himself, doth appear.

N^o 1. Delivered for the Use of the Ship Swan of Longsoud, Mr. Berent Gertson Commander, November the 16th, 1700. per John Ballam.

C.	q.	lb.		l.	s.	d.
59	1	10	A Cable of 15, in full 120 Fathom.			
00	1	03	A Coil of small Ratlin.			
59	2	13	at 35 s. per Hundred is	104	6	8
			One Hand-line, 4 Skains of Marlin and Housen.	00	3	4
			Lighteridge on Board.	00	5	0
				104	15	0

N^o 2. Smith's Work to the Ship Swan, Berent Gertson Master, November the 25th, 1700. per William Hart.

	l.	s.	d.
For one new Anchor weighing 13 C. 24 l. per Contract.	19	00	4
For One hundred Five-Inch Nails.	00	4	2
For One hundred Four-Inch Nails.	00	2	6
For Two hundred of Nails.	00	3	0
For mending one Pump-Iron.	00	1	6
	19	11	6

Item quod præmissa omnia & singula fuerunt & sunt vera & notoria prout per veram copiam libelli præd. in Cur. dictæ Dominae Reginae coram ipsa Regina nunc hic habit. lect. & audit. inter alia plenius liquet & apparet ubi revera mercimon. & merchandiz.

Averment,
that the
Goods and
Work were
for the Ship
in the River
of Thames.

Plea in Ad-
miralty.

opera & labores præd. invent. provis. fact. vendit. & deliberat. fuer. pro Nave præd. in Rivo Thamefis videlicet in Paroch. Sancti Pauli Shadwell in Com. Middlesex. & non super altum Mare nec infra jurisdiction. Cur. Admiralitat. præd. prout per libellum præd. superius supponitur ad quæ omnia & singula iidem Johannes Ballam & Gulielmus Hart ipsum Nicholaum Justin in præd. Cur. Admiral. coram præfat. iudice ejusdem Cur. super præmissis comparere & eisdem Johanni Ballam & Gulielmo Hart de & super eisdem respondere minus juste astrinxer. ac licet idem Nicholaus Justin omnia & singula præmissa per ipsum superius suggest. & allegat. in præd. Cur. Admiralitat. coram præfat. iudice Cur. illius pro exoneration. & discussione sua abinde in hac parte superius placitavit & allegavit & ill. inevitabili testimonio & veritat. probare obtulit Idem tamen Judex Cur. illius placitum allegation. & probation. ill. admittere penitus recusavit & adhuc recusat Et præd. Johannes Ballam & Gulielmus Hart de & super præmiss. præd. ipsum Nicholaum Justin ad respondend. compellere & per sententiam & final. decret. Cur. Admiral. præd. in præmiss. condemnari totis suis viribus conatur & indies machinat. in dictæ Dominæ Reginæ nunc contemptum coronæ & dignitat. suarum exheredation. & ipsius dampnum depauperation. & gravamen manifestum ac contra legem & consuetudinem Regni dictæ Dominæ Reginæ nunc Angliæ necnon contra formam & effectum separal. statut. præd. in hujusmodi casu in forma præd. edit. & provis. Et hoc idem Nicholaus Justin parat. est verificare Unde idem Nicholaus auxilium & munificentiam Cur. dictæ Dominæ Reginæ coram ipsa Regina nunc humillime implorando pet. sibi remedium & breve dictæ Dominæ Reginæ hic prohibition. præfat. iudici Cur. Admiral. præd. vel alicui al. iudici in hac parte competen. cuiunque dirigend. ad prohibend. ipsum vel ipsos ne placitum præmissa præd. quovismodo concernen. seu tangen. coram ipso vel ipsis teneat, &c. Et ei conceditur, &c.

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Paschæ Anno Regni Domini
Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angliæ, &c.
duodecimo. Rotulo 108.*

Hilliard *versus* Cox. Vide fo. 37.

Berks, ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilt. termino Sancti Michaelis ultimo præterito coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. Daniel Hilliard Clericus Administrator omnium & singulorum bonorum & catallorum jurium & creditorum quæ fuer. Johannis Cox defunct. tempore mortis suæ qui obiit intestat. per Edvardum Chapman Attorn. suum Et protulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Thomam Cox in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilicet Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba scilicet Berks ff. Daniel Hilliard Clericus Administrator omnium & singulorum bonorum & catallorum jurium & creditorum quæ fuer. Johannis Cox defunct. tempore mortis suæ qui obiit intestat. queritur de Thoma Cox in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. pro eo videlt. quod cum præd. Thomas primo die Martii Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angliæ, &c. undecimo apud Farrington in Com. præd. Indebitat. fuisset præfat. Johanni in vita sua in Centum solid. legalis monetæ Angl. pro diversis bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. ipsius Johannis per præfat. Johanenm in vita sua eidem Thomæ ad special. instanc. & requisition. ipsius Thomæ ante tempus illud vendit. & deliberat' Et sic inde indebitat. existen. præd. Thomas in consideration. inde postea scilicet eisdem die anno & loco supradict. super se assumpsit & eidem Johanni in vita sua adtunc & ibidem fidelit. promisit quod ipse præd. Thomas præd. Centum solid. præfat. Johanni cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fidelit. solvere & contentare vellet Cumque etiam postea scilicet secundo die Martii Anno undecimo supradicto apud Farrington præd. in cons. quod præd. Johannes in vita sua ad similes instanc. & requisition. ipsius Thomæ vendidisset & deliberasset eidem Thomæ diversa al. bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. ipsius Johannis præd. Thomas super se assumps. & eidem Johanni adtunc & ibidem fideliter promisit quod ipse præd. Thomas tant. denar. sum-

Indeb. Assump. by an Administrator for Goods sold and delivered by the Intestate.

Quantum meruit.

Averment,
that the
Goods and
Work were
for the Ship
in the River
of Thames.

Plea in Ad-
miralty.

opera & labores præd. invent. provis. fact. vendit. & deliberat. fuer. pro Nave præd. in Rivo Thameſis videlicet in Paroch. Sancti Pauli Shadwell in Com. Middleſex. & non ſuper altum Mare nec infra juridiſtion. Cur. Admiralitat. præd. prout per libellum præd. ſuperius ſupponitur ad quæ omnia & ſingula iidem Johannes Ballam & Gulielmus Hart ipſum Nicholaum Juſtin in præd. Cur. Admiral. coram præfat. iudice ejuſdem Cur. ſuper præmiſſis comparere & eiſdem Johanni Ballam & Gulielmo Hart de & ſuper eiſdem reſpondere minus juſte aſtrinxer. ac licet idem Nicholaus Juſtin omnia & ſingula præmiſſa per ipſum ſuperius ſuggeſt. & allegat. in præd. Cur. Admiralitat. coram præfat. iudice Cur. illius pro exoneration. & diſcuſſione ſua abinde in hac parte ſuperius placitavit & allegavit & ill. inevitabili teſtimonio & veritat. probare obtulit Idem tamen Iudex Cur. illius placitum allegation. & probation. ill. admittere penitus reſuſavit & adhuc reſuſat Et præd. Johannes Ballam & Gulielmus Hart de & ſuper præmiſſ. præd. ipſum Nicholaum Juſtin ad reſpondend. compellere & per ſententiam & final. decret. Cur. Admiral. præd. in præmiſſ. condemnari totis ſuis viribus conatur & indies machinat. in dictæ Domine Regine nunc contemptum coronæ & dignitat. ſuarum exhæredation. & ipſius dampnum depauperation. & gravamen manifeſtum ac contra legem & conſuetudinem Regni dictæ Domine Regine nunc Angliæ necnon contra formam & effectum ſeparal. ſtatut. præd. in huiusmodi caſu in forma præd. edit. & provis. Et hoc idem Nicholaus Juſtin parat. eſt verificare Unde idem Nicholaus auxilium & munificentiam Cur. dictæ Domine Regine coram ipſa Regina nunc humillime implorando pet. ſibi remedium & breve dictæ Domine Regine hic prohibition. præfat. iudici Cur. Admiral. præd. vel alicui al. iudici in hac parte competen. cuiunque dirigend. ad prohibend. ipſum vel ipſos ne placitum præmiſſa præd. quoviſmodo concernen. ſeu tangen. coram ipſo vel ipſis teneat, &c. Et ei conceditur, &c.

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Paschæ Anno Regni Domini
Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angliæ, &c.
duodecimo. Rotulo 108.*

Hilliard *versus* Cox. Vide fo. 37.

Berks, ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilt. termino Sancti Michaelis ultimo præterito coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. Daniel Hilliard Clericus Administrator omnium & singulorum bonorum & catallorum jurium & creditorum quæ fuer. Johannis Cox defunct. tempore mortis suæ qui obiit intestat. per Edvardum Chapman Attorn. suum Et protulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Thomam Cox in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilicet Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba scilicet Berks ff. Daniel Hilliard Clericus Administrator omnium & singulorum bonorum & catallorum jurium & creditorum quæ fuer. Johannis Cox defunct. tempore mortis suæ qui obiit intestat. queritur de Thoma Cox in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. pro eo videlt. quod cum præd. Thomas primo die Martii Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angliæ, &c. undecimo apud Farringdon in Com. præd. Indebitat. fuisset præfat. Johanni in vita sua in Centum solid. legalis monetæ Angl. pro diversis bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. ipsius Johannis per præfat. Johanenm in vita sua eidem Thomæ ad special. instanc. & requisition. ipsius Thomæ ante tempus illud vendit. & deliberat' Et sic inde indebitat. existen. præd. Thomas in consideration. inde postea scilicet eisdem die anno & loco supradict. super se assumpsit & eidem Johanni in vita sua adtunc & ibidem fidelit. promisit quod ipse præd. Thomas præd. Centum solid. præfat. Johanni cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fidelit. solvere & contentare vellet Cumque etiam postea scilicet secundo die Martii Anno undecimo supradicto apud Farringdon præd. in cons. quod præd. Johannes in vita sua ad similes instanc. & requisition. ipsius Thomæ vendidisset & deliberasset eidem Thomæ diversa al. bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. ipsius Johannis præd. Thomas super se assumps. & eidem Johanni adtunc & ibidem fideliter promisit quod ipse præd. Thomas tant. denar. sum-

Indeb. Assump. by an Administrator for Goods sold and delivered by the Intestate.

Quantum meruit.

summas pro bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. præd. ult. mentionat. quant. bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. ill. tempore vendition. & deliberation. eorundem rationabilit. valebant eidem Johanni cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fidelit. solvere & contentare vellet Et idem Daniel in facto dicit quod bon. mercimon. & merchandiz. præd. ult. mentionat. tempore vendition. & deliberation. eorundem rationabiliter valebant al. centum solid. similis legalis monet. Angl. videlicet apud Farringdon præd. Et inde idem Thomas adtunc & ibidem notitiam habuit præd. tamen Thomas separal. promission. & assumption. suas præd. in forma præd. fact. minime curans sed machinans & fraudulent. intendens eundem Johannem in vita sua & præfat. Daniel. post ipsius Johannis mortem in hac parte callide & subdole decipere & defraudare præd. separal. denar. sum. præfat. Johanni in vita sua seu præfat. Daniel. post ipsius Johannis mortem (cui quidem Daniel. administratio omnium & singulorum bonorum & catallorum jurium & creditorum quæ fuer. præfat. Johannis tempore mortis suæ per Josephum Woodward legum Doctor. ac Domini Archini. Archinat. Berks officialis legitime constitut. post mortem ejusdem Johannis scilicet decimo die Aprilis Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo nono apud Farringdon præd. debito modo commissæ fuit cui quidem Official. commissio Administration. præd. in hac parte de jure pertinuit) nondum solvit nec eis seu eorum alteri pro eisdem aliquant. contentavit licet ad hoc faciend' præd. Thomas post mortem ipsius Johannis & post Administration. præd. in forma præd. commissæ scilicet vicesimo die Aprilis Anno undecimo supradicto apud Farringdon præd. per præfat. Danielem requisit. fuit sed ill. præfat. Johanni in vita sua & præfat. Danieli post ipsius Johannis mortem solvere aut aliquant. pro eisdem contentare omnino recusavit & præfat. Daniel. adhuc recusat Unde idem Daniel dicit quod ipse deteriorat. est & dampnum habet ad valenc. decem libr. Et inde producit sectam, &c. Et profert hic in Cur. idem Daniel literas Administrator. præd. Josephi Woodward quæ commission. Administration. prædict. in forma præd. testantur quarum dat. est die & anno supradicti, &c.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilicet diem Mercur. prox. post quinden. Paschæ isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Thomas Cox habuit licenc. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm' ven. tam præd. Daniel Hillyard per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Thomas Cox per Edvardum Serle Attorn. suum Et idem Thomas defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et petit audit. præd. literarum Administration. præd. modo hic in Cur. prolat. & in narration. præd. superius specificat. & ei legitur in hæc verba ff. Josephus Woodward legum Doctor ac Domini Archini. Archinat. Berks official. legitime constitut. dilecto nobis in Christo Daniel. Hillyard Clerico principal. creditor. Johannis Cox dum vixit de Newbery infra Com. & Archi-

Breach.

Letters of
Administra-
tion com-
mitted.

Request.

Profert of the
Letters of
Administra-
tion.Pet. Oyer of
the Letters
of Admini-
stration.

Archi'natum Berks præd. Grocer nuper defunct. salutem in Domino Cum dict. Johannes Cox sic ut præmittit. defunct. nuper ab intestato decesserit nos igitur affectan' ut bona jura & credita ejusdem defunct. bene & fideliter administrentur & in pios usus convertantur & disponantur ad administrand. igitur bona jura & credita præd. dict. defunct. ad bene & fideliter disponend' de eisdem necnon credita quæcunq; dict. defunct. petend. colligend. levand. & exigend. & quæ dict. defunct. dum vixit & mortis suæ tempore pertinuerunt ac de solvend. ei alienum in quo dict. defunct. hujusmodi mortis suæ tempore extit. obligat. quatenus bona jura & credita hujusmodi ad hoc extendunt juxta ratam eorundem tibi de cujus fidelitat. in hac parte confidimus permittitur de bene & fideliter administrando eadem ac de pleno & fidel' inventario omnium & singulorum bonorum jurium & creditorum dict. defunct. conficiend. illudque in Registrum Domini Archi'ni Berks præd. citra vel ante primum diem mensis Junii prox. futur. exhibend. necnon de pleno & vero Compoto calcul. sive ratiocinio de ac super additione tua citra vel ante primum diem Martii qui erit in Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo nono inde reddend. ad Sancta Dei Evangelia in debita juris forma rite jurat. plenam tenore præsentium committimus potestatem Teque Administrator. omnium & singulorum bonorum jurium & creditorum dict. defunct. ordinamus deputamus & constituimus per præsentem Anna Cox vidua relicta ejusdem defunct. literis Administrator. bonor. &c. in scriptis prius renuncian' Dat. apud Oxon. sub sigillo officii nostri præd. decimo die Aprilis Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo nono Quibus lectis & auditis idem Thomas dicit quod præd' Daniel actionem suam præd. inde versus eum habere seu manutenere non debet quia dicit quod præd' Thomas tempore mortis præd. Johannis Cox & tempore Commission. Administration. præd. & diu ante fuit inhabitans & commorans in civitat. Oxon. in Com. Oxon. quæ quidem civitas est & semper fuit infra Diocesin de Oxon. & extra Archidinat. Berks præd. & jurisdiction. Archi'ni Archidinat. ill. qui quidem Archidinat. præd. & tot. Com. Berks præd. sunt & semper fuerunt infra Diocesin de Sarum & non infra Diocesin de Oxon. per quod commissio Administration. omnium & singulorum bonorum & catallorum jur. & creditorum quæ fuer. præfat. Johannis Cox tempore mortis suæ ad Thomam providentia Divina adtunc & adhuc Cantuar. Archiepiscopum ratione prærogativæ suæ & non ad præd. Archidiaconum Archinat. Berks vel aliquem alium inferiorem judicem de jure pertinuit ac præd. literæ Administration. hic in Cur. prolat. sunt vacuæ & nullius valoris in lege & hoc parat. est verificare unde pet. judic. si præd. Daniel actionem suam præd. inde versus eum habere seu manutenere debeat, &c.

Pleas that the Intestate at the Time of his Death lived in another Diocese.

Et præd. Daniel Hilliard dicit quod ipse per aliqua per præd. Thomam Cox superius placitando allegat' ab actione sua præd. inde

Demurres with special Causes.

inde versus ipsum Thomam habend. præcludi non debet quia dicit quod placitum præd. per ipsum Thomam modo & forma præd. superius placitat. materiaq; in eodem content. minus sufficien. in lege existit ad ipsum Daniel. ab actione sua præd. inde versus ipsum Thomam habend. præcludend. Ad quod quidem placitum modo & forma præd. superius placitat. ipse idem Daniel necesse non habet nec per legem terræ tenetur aliquo modo respondere Et hoc parat. est verificare unde pro defectu sufficientis placiti in hac parte idem Daniel petit iudicium & dampna sua occasione præmissorum sibi adjudicari, &c. Et pro causis morationis in lege secundum formam statut. in huiusmodi casu edit. & provis. idem Daniel ostendit & Curia hic demonstrat has causas subsequen. videlicet eo quod non apparet per placitum præd. quod præd. Thomas Cox non fuit commorans infra Diocesim Episcopi Sarum tempore mortis prædicti Johannis Cox quodque placitum illud incertum est & caret forma, &c.

Joinder.

Et præd. Thomas Cox dicit quod placitum præd. per ipsum Thomam modo & forma præd. superius placitat. materiaque in eodem content. bon. & sufficien. in lege exist. ad ipsum Daniel. ab actione sua præd. inde versus ipsum Thomam habend. præcludend. quod quidem placitum materiamque in eodem content. idem Thomas parat. est verificare & probare prout Cur', &c. Et quia præd. Daniel ad placitum illud non respondit nec ill. hucusq; aliqualit. dedic. idem Thomas ut prius pet. iudic. & quod præd. Daniel ab actione sua præd. inde versus ipsum Thomam habend. præcludatur, &c. Sed quia Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc hic de iudicio suo de & super præmiss. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus præd. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. usq; diem
 prox. post de iudicio suo inde audiend. eo quod curia dicti Domini Regis nunc hic inde nondum, &c.

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Reg-
ni Domini Willi. tertii nunc Regis An-
glia, &c. duodecimo. Rotulo 369.*

Gidley *versus* Williams. Vide fo. 37.

Cornub. ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilicet termino Paschæ ult. praterito coram Dom. Reg. apud Westm. ven. Thomasina Gidley vidua Administratrix omnium bonorum & catallorum Richardi viri sui nuper defunct. per Petrum Champion Attorn. suum & protulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Pethericum Williams als. dict. Petherick Booth *of the Parish of Withiel in the County of Cornwall Yeoman*, in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito debiti Et sunt pleg. de proseguend' scilicet Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba ff. Cornub. ff. Thomasina Gidley vidua & Administratrix omnium bonorum & catallorum Richardi Gidley viri sui nuper defunct. queritur de Petherico Williams als. dict. Petherick Booth *of the Parish of Withiel in the County of Cornwall Yeoman*, in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. de placito quod reddat ei viginti libras legalis monetæ Angl' quas ei DEBET ET injuste detinet pro eo videlt. quod cum præd. Pethericus decimo die Junii Anno Millesimo sexcentesimo octogesimo quinto apud Saint Collumbe Major. in Com. præd' per quandam billam suam obligator. sigillo ipsius Petherici sigillat. Cur. quæ dicti Domini Regis nunc hic ostens. cujus dat. est eisdem die & anno cognovit se debere & indebitari eidem Richardo Gidley in vita sua in integra summa decem libr. bonæ & legalis monetæ Angliæ solvend. eidem Richardo in vita sua Executoribus & Administratoribus sive assign. suis apud vel super decimum diem Decembris prox. sequen' dat. billæ obligator. præd. & pro vera solutione inde obligavit se Executores & Administratores suos in plena summa viginti libr. legalis Anglicanæ monetæ Et præd. Thomasina in facto dicit quod præd. Pethericus non solvit eidem Richardo in vita sua præd. summam decem libr. apud vel super decimum diem Decembris prox. sequen. dat. billæ obligator. præd. quas eidem Richardo super eundem diem solvisse debuit secundum formam & effectum billæ obligator. præd. per quod actio accrevit eidem Richardo

Declaration by an Administrator upon a Bill obligatory to the Intestate.

N.B. The Defendant not having demurred, the bringing this Action in the Debet and Detinet is cured by the Verdict. 1 Sid. 342, 379. Show. 37.

The granting
the Letters of
Administra-
tion ought to
have been
here inserted.

chardo in vita sua & præd. Thomasinæ post mortem præd. Richardi ad exigend. & habend. de præfat. Petherico præd. summam viginti librar. præd. tamen Pethericus licet sæpius requisit', &c. præd. viginti libr. eidem Richardo in vita sua seu eidem Thomasinæ post mortem præd. Richardi solvere omnino hucusque recusavit & adhuc eidem Thomasinæ solvere recusat ad dampnum ipsius Thomasinæ viginti & quinque librar. Et inde producit sectam, &c. Et præd. Thomasina profert hic in Cur. literas Administratorias præd. Richardi per quas satis liquet Cur. hic præd. Thomasinam fore Administratric. præd. Richardi & inde habere Administration', &c.

Imparlance.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilicet diem Veneris prox. post crastinum Sanctæ Trinitatis isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Pethericus habuit licenciam ad billam præd. interloquendi & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westmonasterium venit tam præd. Thomasina per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Pethericus per Josephum Hawkey Attorn. suum Et idem Pethericus defendit vim & injur. quando, &c. Et dicit quod ipse de debito præd. virtute billæ obligator. præd. onerari non debet quia dicit quod billa illa præd. non est factum suum Et de hoc pon. se super patriam Et præd. Thomasina similiter, &c. Ideo ven. inde jur. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. die Mercurii prox. post tres septimanas Sanctæ Trinitatis Et qui nec, &c. ad recogn', &c. Quia tam, &c. Idem dies datus est partibus prædictis ibidem, &c.

Non est factum pleaded.

Postea continuat. inde process. inter partes præd. de placito præd. per jur. posit. inde inter eas in respectum coram Domino Rege apud Westm. usque diem Mercurii prox. post tres septimanas Sancti Michaelis extunc prox. sequen. Nisi justic. Domini Regis ad assisas in Com. præd. capiend. assign. prius die Veneris nono die Augusti apud Launceston in Com. præd. per formam statut', &c. ven. pro defectu jur', &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege apud Westm. venit præd. Thomasina per Attorn. suum præd. Et præfat. justic. Domini Regis coram quibus, &c. miser. hic recordum suum coram eis habitum in hæc verba Postea die & loco infracontent. coram Johanne Powell Mil. un. Justic' Domini Regis de Banco justic. ejusdem Domini Regis ad Assisas in Com. Cornub. capiend. assign. & Francisco Swanton Ar. eidem Johanni Powell hac vice associat. per formam Statuti, &c. ven. infranominat. Thomasina Gidley vidua per Attorn. suum infracontent. & infrascript Pethericus Williams licet solempniter exact. non ven. sed default. fecit Ideo jur. unde infra fit mentio capiatur versus eum per default' Et jur. juratæ illius exact. vener. qui ad veritat' de infracontent. dicend. elect. triat. & jurat. dicunt super sacramentum suum quod billa obligatoria infra specificat. est factum præd. Petherici Williams prout præd. Thomasina interius versus eum narravit Et assidunt dampna ipsius Thomasinæ occasione detentionis debiti infrascript. ultra mis. & custag. sua per ipsam circa sectam suam in hac parte apposit. ad duos denar. Et pro mis. & custag. ill. ad quadraginta solid.

Venire awarded.

Return of the Postea.

Default.

Verdict. pro Quer'.

solid. Ideo consideratum est quod præd. Thomasina recuperet
versus præfat. Pethericum debitum suum præd. ac dampna præd.
per jur. in forma præd. assel. necnon tresdecim libr. & decem so-
lid. pro mis. & custag. suis præd. eidem Thomasinæ per Cur. dicti
Domini Regis nunc hic ex assensu suo de incremento adjudicat.
Quæ quidem dampna in toto se attingunt ad quindecim libr. de-
cem solid. & duos denar. Et præd. Pethericus capiatur, &c.

Capiatur.

*Placita coram Domina Regina apud Westm.
de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Reg-
ni Domine Annæ nunc Reginæ Angliæ,
&c. tertio. Rotulo 90.*

Slater versus May. Vide fo. 42.

London, ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilt. termino Paschæ
ultimo præterito coram Domina Regina
apud Westm. venit Johannes Slater Admi-
nistrato omnium & singulorum bonorum
jurium & creditorum quæ fuer. Christophori Youlden defunct.
tempore mortis suæ per Thomam Moore Attorn. suum Et protulit
in Cur. dictæ Domine Reginæ tunc ibidem quandam billam
suam versus Johannem May in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito
transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg. de proseguend. scilicet Johan-
nes Doe & Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hac ver-
ba ff. London ff. Johannes Slater Administrator omnium & singu-
lorum bonorum jurium & creditorum quæ fuer' Christophori Youl-
den defunct. tempore mortis suæ queritur de Johanne May in Cu-
stod. Mar. Marefc. Domine Reginæ coram ipsa Regina existen. pro
eo videlicet quod cum prædictus Johannes May vicesimo tertio die
Januar. Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo nono
apud London videlt. in Paroch. beatæ Mariæ de arcubus in Warda
de Cheape indebitat. fuisset eidem Christophoro in vita sua in tri-
ginta libr. legalis monetæ Angl. pro tant. denar. summa ipsius
Christophori in vita sua per ipsum Christophorum in vita sua
præd. Johanni May ad special. instanc. & requisition. ipsius Jo-

Declaration
by an Admi-
nistrato dur-
ring the Ab-
sence of Exe-
cutor, for
Money lent
by the Inte-
state.

Indeb. As-
sump.

Vol. II.

D d d

hannis

Another In-
deb. assump.
for Money
had and re-
ceived to the
Intestate's
Use.

Breach.

Letters of
Administra-
tion set forth.

Request.

hannis May ante tempus illud mutuo dat. & accommodat. Et sic inde indebitat. existen ipse præd. Johannes May in consideratione inde postea scilicet eisdem die & anno supradict. apud London præd. in Paroch. & Warda præd. super se assumpsit & eidem Christophoro in vita sua adtunc & ibidem fideliter promisit quod ipse præd. Johannes May præd. triginta libr. eidem Christophoro cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fideliter solvere & contentare vellet Cumque etiam præd. Johannes May postea scilicet eisdem die & anno supradict. apud London præd. in Paroch. & Warda præd. indebitat. fuisset eidem Christophoro in vita sua in al. triginta libr. similis legalis monetæ Angliæ pro tal. denar. summa ipsius Christophori in vita sua per ipsum Johannem May pro eodem Christophoro & ad usum ipsius Christophori ante tempus illud habit. & recept. Et sic inde indebitat. existen. prædict. Johannes May postea scilicet eisdem die & anno supradict. apud London præd. in Paroch. & Warda præd. in consideratione inde super se assumpsit & eidem Christophoro in vita sua adtunc & ibidem fideliter promisit quod ipse præd. Johannes May præd. trigint. libr. ult. mentionat. eidem Christophoro cum inde postea requisit. esset bene & fideliter solvere & contentare vellet Præd. tamen Johannes May separal. promission. & assumption. suas præd. in forma præd. fact. minime curans sed machinas & fraudulent. intendens eundem Christophorum in vita sua & præd. Johannem Slater post ipsius Christophori mortem (Cui quidem Johanni Slater Administratio omnium & singulorum bonorum jurium & creditorum quæ fuer. præd. Christophori Youlden tempore mortis suæ cum testamento suo annexo in usum beneficium & durante absentia Elizabethæ Vittery Executric. in testamento præd. nominat. per Thomam providentia divina Cantuar. Archiepiscopum totius Angliæ primat. & metropolitan. decimo quinto die Octobris Anno Domini Millesimo septingentesimo tertio apud London præd. in Paroch. & Warda præd. debita juris forma commissa fuit) de præd. separal. denar. summis in hac parte callide & subdole decipere & defraudare præd. separal. denar. summas seu aliquem inde denar. eidem Christophoro in vita sua seu præd. Johanni Slater post ipsius Christophori mortem non solvit nec eis pro eisdem hucusque aliququaliter contentavi. (licet ad hoc faciend. præd. Johannes May per ipsum Christophorum in vita sua & per præd. Johannem Slater post ipsius Christophori mortem & Commission. Administration. præd. apud London præd. in Paroch. & Warda præd. requisit. fuit) sed ill. eidem Christophoro in vita sua solvere seu pro eisdem aliququalit. contentare omnino recusavit & præd. Johanni Slater solvere adhuc recusat ad dampnum ipsius Johannis Slater quadragint. libr. Et inde produc' sectam, &c. Et profert hic in Cur. idem Johannes Slater literas administratorias præd. Archiepiscopi præd. quæ commission. administration. præd. Johanni Slater in forma præd. testantur quarum dat. est eisdem die & anno in ea parte superius mentionat, &c.

Et

Et modo ad hunc diem scilicet diem Veneris prox. post crastinum Sanctæ Trinitatis isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Johannes May habuit licenc. ad billam præd. interloquendi & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. venit tam præd. Johannes Slater per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Johannes May per Richardum Gates Attorn. suum Et idem Johannes May defendit vim & injur. quando, &c. Et dicit quod narratio præd' materieque in eadem content. minus sufficien. in lege existit ad præd. Johannem Slater ad actionem suam præd. inde versus ipsum Johannem May habend. manutenend. Quodq; ipse ad narrationem illam modo & forma præd. declarat. necesse non habet nec per legem terræ tenetur aliquo modo respondere Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde pro defectu sufficien. narrationi. in hac parte idem Johannes May petit judicium si præd. Johannes Slater actionem suam præd. versus ipsum Johannem May habere debeat, &c. Et pro causis moration. in lege in hac parte idem Johannes May secundum formam statuti in hujusmodi casu nuper edit. & provis. ostend. & Cur. hic demonstrat has causas subsequen. videlicet quod narratio præd. est omnino incerta duplex insensibilis insufficien. in lege & caret forma, &c.

Demurrer to the Declaration.

Et præd. Johannes Slater dicit quod per aliqua per præd. Johannem May superius præallegata Nar. præd. ipsius Johannis Slater cassari minime debet quia dicit quod narratio præd. materieque in eadem content. bon. & sufficien. in lege exist. ad ipsum Johannem Slater ad actionem suam præd. inde versus ipsum Johannem May habend. manutenend. quam quidem narrationem materiamque in eadem content. idem Johannes Slater parat. est verificare & probare prout Cur', &c. Et quia præd. Johannes May ad narr. ill. non respond. nec ill. hucusque aliqualit. deduc. idem Johannes Slater petit judicium & dampna sua occasione præmiss. sibi adjudicari, &c. Sed quia Cur. dictæ Domine Regine nunc hic de judicio de & super præmiss. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus præd. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. usque diem prox. post de judicio suo de & super præmiss. ill. audiend. eo quod Cur. dictæ Domine Regine hic inde nondum, &c.

Joinder.

Placita

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Sancti Michaelis Anno Reg-
ni Domini Willi. tertii nunc Regis An-
gl', &c. undecimo. Rotulo 377.*

Bishop of Salisbury versus Phillips. Vide fo. 43.

Writ of
Error.

DOMINUS REX Mandavit dilecto & fideli suo Georgio Treby Militi Capitali Justiciario suo de Banco breve suum clausum in hæc verba scilicet Guilielmus tertius Dei gratia Angliæ Scotiæ Franciæ & Hiberniæ Rex fidei defensor, &c. Dilecto & fideli suo Georgio Treby Militi Capital. Justic. suo de Banco salutem. Quia in recordo & processu acetiam in redditione judicii loquelæ quæ fuit in Curia nostra coram vobis & sociis vestris Justic. nostris de Banco prædicto per breve nostrum inter Willielmum Phillips Executor. testamenti Willielmi Phillips generosi Patris sui nuper defunct. & Gilbertum Episcopum Sarum & Johannem Berrow Clericum de eo quod iidem Episcopus & Johannes permetterent ipsum Willielmum Phillips modo querent. præsentare idoneam personam ad Ecclesiam de Stanton als. Stanton Fitz-Warren als. Stanton Fitz-Herbert in Com. Wilts quæ vacabat & ad suam spectabat donationem ut dicebatur Error intervenit manifestus ad grave dampnum ipsorum Episcopi & Johannis sicut ex querela sua accepimus nos errorem si quis fuit modo debito corrigi & eisdem Episcopo & Johanni plenam & celerem justitiam fieri volentes in hac parte vobis mandamus quod si judicium inde reddit. sit tunc recordum & processum prædicta cum omnibus ea tangen. nobis sub sigillo vestro distincte & aperte mittatis & hoc breve ita quod ea habeamus a die Paschæ in quinque septimanas ubicunque tunc fuerimus in Angl. ut inspectis recordo & processu prædictis ulterius inde pro errore ill. corrigend. fieri fac. quod de jure & secundum legem & consuetud. Regni nostri Angl. fuerit faciend. Teste me ipso apud Westm. vicesimo sexto die Aprilis Anno Regni nostri undecimo.

Hale.

Respons. Georgii Treby Mil. Capital. Justic. infranominat.
Record. & process. loquelæ unde infra fit mentio cum omnibus
ea tangen. coram Domino Rege ubicunque, &c. ad diem infracon-
tent. mitto in quodam Recordo huic brevi annex. prout interius
mihi præcipitur.

Geo. Treby.
Placita

Placita irrotulat. apud Westm. coram Georgio Treby Mil. & Sociis suis Justic. Domini Regis de Banco de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Regni Domini Gulielmi tertii Dei gratia Angliæ Scotiæ Franciæ & Hiberniæ Regis Fidei Defensoris, &c. decimo. Rotulo 1562.

Wilt. ff. Gilbertus Episcopus Sarum & Johannes Berrow Clericus sum. fuerunt ad respondend. Willielmo Phillips generoso executor. testamenti & ult. voluntat. Willielmi Phillips generosi patris sui nuper defuncti. de placito quod permittant ipsum Willielmum Phillips modo quer. præsentare idoneam personam ad Ecclesiam de Stanton als. Stanton Fitz-Warren als. Stanton Fitz-Herbert quæ vacat & ad suam spectat donationem, &c. Et unde idem Willielmus Phillips modo quer. per Dionysium Russel Attorn. suum dicit quod cum quidam Richardus Organ & Johannes Organ fuer. seifiti ut de feodo & jure de advocacione præd. Ecclesiæ ut de uno grosso Et sic inde seifiti existen. præd. Richardus Organ & Johannes Organ vicesimo sexto die Junii Anno Regni Domini Jacobi nuper Regis Angl. primi decimo tertio apud Stanton præd. in Com. præd. per quandam indentur. suam inter præd. Richardum Organ per nomen Richardi Organ de Damborne in Com. Berks Gen. ex una parte & præd. Johannem Organ per nomen Johannis Organ de Stanton infra Hundred' de Highworth in Com. Wilt. Gen. ex altera parte fact. cujus alteram partem sigillo præd. Johannis Organ signat. idem Willielmus Phillips modo quer. hic in Cur. profert cujus dat. est eisdem die & anno conclusus. & agreaver. inter se quod ipsi præd. Richardus Organ & Johannes Organ forent deinde seifiti. in Communi non conjunctim de advocacione Ecclesiæ præd. scilicet quod dictus Richardus Organ staret & effet seifiti. & haberet teneret & gauderet unam medietat. dictæ advocacion. sibi & hæred. suis & quod dictus Johannes Organ staret & effet seifiti. & haberet teneret & gauderet alteram medietat. dictæ advocacion. sibi & hæred. suis Et quod dicti Richardus Organ & Johannes Organ & eorum separal. hæred. toties quoties dicta Ecclesia de Stanton deveniret vacua separalit. & respective præsentarent per separal. turnos alternis vicibus modo & forma sequen. videlicet quod dictus Richardus Organ & hæred. ejus poterint præsentare ad dictam Ecclesiam in primo turno adinde quando dicta Ecclesia contingeret primo & prox. vacare & dictus Johannes Organ & hæred. sui poterint præsentare ad dictam Ecclesiam quando eadem tunc prox. vacaret secunda vice, Anglice *The second Time*, & sic dicti Richardus Organ & Johannes Organ separaliter & eorum separales & respectivi hæredes in eorum separalibus turnis alternis vicibus sicut eadem Ecclesia aliquo tempore extunc deinceps deveniret vacua præsentarent vel possent præsentare clericos suos juxta ordinem & cursum, Anglice *Course*, præantea ut prefertur conclus. & agreat. prout per eandem indentur. inter al. plenius apparet per quod iidem Richardus & Johannes fuer. seifiti. de advocacion. præd. ad præsentand. ad eandem Ecclesiam in forma præd.

Quare Impedit by the Executors of Will. Phillips.

Declares upon an Agreement by Indenture between Jointenants to present by Turns.

Profert of Indenture.

First Pre-
sentment.Vacancy per
mortem.Second Pre-
sentment.Descent of
one Moiety.Another A-
greement by
Indenture.Confidera-
tion.Settled in
Tail to the
Uses decla-
red.

præd. Et sic inde seisit. existen. præd. Richardus Organ post con-
fection. indentur. præd. ad eandem Ecclesiam vacan. incipiend.
prædict. præsentavit quendam Johannem Woodbridge Clericum
suum qui ad præsentation' ipsius Richardi Organ fuit ibidem ad-
miss. & institut. in eadem tempore pacis tempore Domini Jacobi
nuper Regis Angl. primi Ac postea Ecclesia præd. vacavit per mortem
præd. Johannis Woodbridge ibidem per quod præd. Johannes ad
eandem Ecclesiam sic vacan. ut in secundo turno apud Stanton
præd. præsentavit quendam Thomam Hotchkis Clericum suum qui ad
præsentation. ipsius Johannis Organ fuit admitt. & institut. in eadem
tempore pacis tempore Domini Caroli nuper Regis Angl. primo præ-
dictoque Richardo Organ & Johanne Organ sic de advocacione præd.
seisit. existen. prædictus Richardus Organ postea apud Stanton
præd. obiit de tali statu suo inde seisit. per & post cujus mortem
medietas advocacion. præd. cuidam Johanni Organ ut fratri & hæ-
red. Richardi Organ descendebat per quod idem Johannes Organ
frater de medietat. advocacion. præd. seisit. fuit ut de feodo & jure
ad præsentand. in forma præd. Et sic inde seisit. existen. postea
scilicet vicesimo quinto die Augusti Anno Regni dicti nuper Regis
Caroli primi decimo quarto per quandam indentur. inter ipsum
Johannem Organ ex una parte & quendam Richardum Hippeley
de Stone Easton in Com. Somerset Gen. consanguin. nepot.
Anglice *Nephew*, præd. Johannis Organ patris videlicet secundus
filius Elizabethæ Hippeley Viduæ soror. naturalis dicti Johannis
Organ fratris ex altera parte fact. apud Stanton præd. in Com.
præd. cujus alteram partem sigillo præd. Johannis Organ fratris
signat. idem Willielmus Phillips modo quer. hic in Cur. profert
cujus dat. est eisdem die & anno ult. supradictis & in considera-
tion. naturalis amoris & affectus quos habuit & gessit erga dictum
Richardum Hippeley ac in consideratione sanguinis inter eos &
melior. præferement. & advancement. mantenentia & sustenta-
tion. Anglice *Livelihood*, dicti Richardi Hippeley & fratris ejus in
eadem indentur. postea nominat. ipse præd. Johannes Organ Fra-
ter pro se & hæred. suis convenisset concessisset & agreasset ad &
cum dicto Richardo Hippeley & hæred. suis quod ipse dictus Jo-
hannes Organ frater hæred. & assign. sui & quilibet eorum ac
omnis & quælibet al. persona & personæ & eorum hæred. qui tunc
fuer. vel extunc imposterum starent & essent seisit. de & in dicta
medietat. advocacion. præd. starent & seisit. essent de eadem ad opus
& usum dicti Richardi Hippeley & hæred. de corpore dicti Richardi
Hippeley legitime procreand. & pro defect. tal. exitus ad opus &
usum Roberti Hippeley fratris ipsius Richardi Hippeley & hæred.
de corpore dicti Roberti Hippeley legitime procreand. & pro de-
fect. tal. exitus ad opus & usum dicti Johannis Organ fratris &
hæred. & assign. suorum imperpetuum & ad null. al. usus inten-
tion. vel proposita quæcunque prout per indentur. præd. ultimo
men-

mentionat. plenius apparet Virtute cujus quidem indentur. ac vigore cujusdam Actus in Parlamento Domini Henrici nuper Regis Angl. octavi apud Westm. in Com. Middlesex quarto die Februar. Anno Regni sui vicesimo septimo tent. edit. & provisi. de usibus in possession. transferend. præd. Richardus Hippesley fuer. seisit. de medietat. advocacion. præd. ut de feodo taliat. & jure remaner. inde in forma præd. scilicet ad præsentand. in forma præd. spectan. prædictoque Richardo Hippesley sic inde seisit. existen. postea apud Stanton præd. in Com. præd. obiit per & post cujus mortem dicta medietas advocacion. præd. descendebat cuidam Richardo Hippesley Ar. ut filio & hæred. de corpore prædicti Richardi Hippesley per quod idem Richardus Hippesley fil. de dicta medietat. advocacion. præd. seisit. fuit ut de feodo talliato & jure Et idem Richardus Hippesley filius sic inde seisit. existen. postea apud Stanton præd. obiit sine exitu de corpore suo exeunt. per & post cujus mortem dicta medietas advocacion. præd. descendebat cuidam Johanni Hippesley Ar. ut al. filio & hæred. de corpore prædicti Richardi Hippesley primo nominat. per quod præd. Johannes Hippesley de dicta medietat. advocacion. præd. seisit. fuit ut de feodo & jure Et præd. Johannes Hippesley sic inde seisit. existen. idem Johannes Hippesley postea scilicet decimo sexto die Januar. Anno Regni Domini Caroli nuper Regis Angl. secundi vicesimo quarto apud Stanton præd. in Com. præd. per quoddam scriptum suum quod idem Willielmus Phillipps modo quer. sigillo præd. Johannis Hippesley signat. hic in Cur. profert cujus dat. est eisdem die & Anno dedit & concessit Francisco Symes Sen. de Kelmescott in Com. Oxon. Gen. & Willielmo Phillipps testatori de Eaton Hastings in Com. Berks Gen. Exec. & Assign. suis primam & proximam advocacionem donation. nomination. præsentation. & liberam dispositionem præd. Ecclesiæ parochial. de Stanton als. dict. Stanton Fitz-Herbert als. Stanton Fitz-Warren volens ac per idem scriptum suum concedens quod liceret & licitum foret ad & pro dictis Francisco Symes & Willielmo Phillips testator. Executor. & assign. suis ad dictam Ecclesiam de Stanton Fitz-Herbert als. Stanton Fitz-Warren quomodocunque vel quomocunque per mortem resignationem deprivationem cession. permutation. dismission. aut alio quocunque modo per quem eadem Ecclesia tunc primo & prox. contingeret vacare ullum honestum & doctum Clericum præsentare pro tunc prox. turno tantum prout per scriptum præd. plenius apparet Virtute cujus concessio. iidem Franciscus Symes & Willielmus Phillips testator de advocacion. Ecclesiæ de Stanton præd. possessionat. fuer. scilt. ad præsentand. ad eandem Ecclesiam cum tunc primo & prox. vacare contingeret Et sic inde possessionat. existen. prædictus Franciscus Symes postea apud Stanton præd. in Com. præd. obiit & præd. Willielmus Phillips testator supervixit & fuit de advocacione præd. solus possessionat. per jus accrescendi, &c. prædictoque Willielmo Phillips testatore sic inde possessionat. existen. Ecclesia præd. in vita præd. Willielmi Phillipps testator. vacavit per

Grant of the next Avoidance to the Testator and Fr. Symes, their Executors and Assigns.

Fr. Symes, one of the Grantees dies, and the Plaintiff's Testator survives.

The Vacancy
in the Testa-
tor's Life.

Testator
made his
Will, and the
Plaintiff his
Executor
and died.

Will prov'd.

Avers the
Life of the
Grantor and
the Iden-
tity of the
Church.

Profer of the
Letters testa-
mentary.

Plea, Parson
Imparsonce.

Admit the
Vacancy and
that after
six Months
the Bishop
presented
by Lapse.

per mortem præd. Thomæ Hotchkis & adhuc vacan. existit. Quæ quidem vacatio Ecclesiæ præd. per mortem præd. Thomæ Hotchkis est prima & proxima vacatio Ecclesiæ præd. post concession. præd. eidem Francisco Symes & Willielmo Phillips per præfat. Johannem Hippesley in forma præd. fact. & ea ratione ad prædictum Willielmum Phillips testator. in vita sua idoneam person. ad Ecclesiam præd. sic vacan. pertinuit præsentare Et præd. Episcopus & Johannes Berrow ipsum Willielmum Phillips testator. injuste impediver. prædictusque Willielmus Phillips testator Ecclesia præd. sic vacan. ut præfertur existen. postea scilicet vicesimo primo die Junii Anno Regni dict. Domini Regis nunc & Domine Mariæ nuper Reginæ Angl', &c. sexto apud Stanton præd. in Com. præd. condidit testamentum & ult. voluntat. suam ac per eandem constituit & ordinavit præd. Willielmum Phillips modo quer. Executor. testamenti sui præd. & postea ibidem obiit post cujus quidem Willielmi Phillips testator. mortem præd. Willielmus Phillips modo quer. accepit super se on. & execution. testamenti præd. & testamentum illud in debita juris forma probavit videlt. apud Stanton præd. & ea ratione ad ipsum Willielmum Phillips modo quer. idoneam person. ad Ecclesiam præd. sic vacan. ad præsens pertinet præsentare & præd. Episcopus & Johannes Berrow ipsum Willielmum Phillips modo quer' injuste impediunt unde idem Willielmus Phillips modo quer. dicit quod deteriorat. est & dampnum habet ad valenciam sexcent. libr. Et inde produc. sectam, &c. Cum hoc quod idem Willielmus Phillips modo quer. verificare vult quod præd. Johannes Hippesley adhuc superstes & in plena vita existit videlicet apud Stanton præd. in Com. præd. Et quod Ecclesia de Stanton als. Stanton Fitz-Warren als. Stanton Fitz-Herbert est una eademque Ecclesia & non alia neque diversa Et profer hic in Cur. literas testatorias præd. Willielmi Phillips testator. per quas satis liquet Cur. hic ipsum Willielmum Phillips modo quer. fore Executor. testamenti præd. & inde habere administrationem, &c.

Et prædictus Gilbertus Episcopus Sarum & Johannes Berrow Clericus per Johannem Carpenter Attorn. suum ven. & defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et præd. Johannes Berrow dicit quod ipse est parson. imparsonat. Ecclesiæ prædict. ex collatione præd. Episcopi Et præd. Episcopus & Johannes Berrow ulterius dicunt quod præd. Willielmus Phillips executor actionem suam præd. versus eos habere non debet quia dicunt quod præd. Ecclesia de Stanton als. Stanton Fitz-Warren als. Stanton Fitz-Herbert vacavit per mortem præd. Thomæ Hotchkis vicesimo die Septembris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio & sic vacan. existebat usque vicesimum tertium diem Aprilis Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo quarto quo die apud Stanton præd. prædictus Episcopus eo quod ad tunc sex menses post vacationem ejusdem Ecclesiæ plene elaps. devolut. fuer. ordinarius loci ill. existen. præd. Johannem Berrow Clericum ad Ecclesiam ill.

tunc

tunc vacan. contulit prout ei bene licuit Et hoc parat. sunt verificare Unde pet. judic. si præd. Willielmus Executor actionem suam præd. versus eos habere debeat, &c.

Et prædictus Willielmus Phillipps Executor dicit quod ipse per aliqua præallegat. ab actione sua præd. habend. præcludi non debet quia dicit quod bene & verum est quod prædicta Ecclesia de Stanton als. Stanton Fitz-Warren als. Stanton Fitz-Herbert præd. per mortem præd. Thomæ Hotchkis præd. vicesimo die Septembris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio supradict. vacavit prout præd. Episcopus & Johannes Berrow placitando allegaver. sed idem Willielmus Phillipps Executor ulterius dicit quod post præd. vicesimum diem Septembris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio supradict. & ante præd. vicesimum tertium diem Aprilis Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo quarto infra sex menses post mortem præd. Thomæ Hotchkis scilicet decimo sexto die Octobris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio præd. Willielmus Phillipps testator per scriptum suum sigillo suo sigillat. cujus dat. est eodem decimo sexto die Octobris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio apud Stanton præd. in Com. præd. præd. Episcopo adtunc præd. loci de Stanton als. Stanton Fitz-Warren als. Stanton Fitz-Herbert Ordinario præsentavit quendam Johannem Symes Artium Magistrum Clericum suum & præd. Episcopum adtunc & ibidem requisivit admittere & instituere eundem Johannem Symes ad Ecclesiam præd. sic vacantem per mortem prædict. Thomæ Hotchkis quem quidem Johannem Symes præd. Episcopus ad Ecclesiam præd. ad præd. præsentation. præd. Willielmi Phillipps testator. adtunc & ibidem admittere & instituere penitus recusavit ac ipsum Willielmum Phillips testator. ad præsentand. impedivit Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde pet. judic. & dampna occasione impedimenti prædict. necnon breve præd. Episcopo sibi adjudicari, &c.

Replies and admits the Time of that Vacancy.

But says that within the six Months, to wit, 16th Oct. 1693, the Testator by Writing presented J. Symes and requested the Bishop to admit him, who refused.

Et præd. Episcopus & Johannes Berrow dicunt quod bene & verum est quod præd. Willielmus Phillipps testator per scriptum suum sigillo suo sigillat. præsentavit præfat. Johannem Symes prout idem Willielmus Executor superius replicando allegavit Sed iidem Episcopus & Johannes Berrow ulterius dicunt quod postea & infra sex menses post vacation. Ecclesiæ præd. scilicet prædicto decimo sexto die Octobris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio supradicto præd. Johannes Symes apud Stanton præd. tulit præsentationem præd. præd. Episcopo & requisivit præd. Episcopum dare prædict. Johanni Symes paucos dies ad se præparand. fore examinat. de sufficientia sua in literatura ad habend. Ecclesiam præd. quodque prædict. Episcopus superinde super requisitionem præd. Johannis Symes adtunc & ibidem dedit licenc. præd. Johanni Symes abire & ibidem redire præd. Episcopo infra tres dies prox. sequen. fore examinat. de sufficientia sua præd. qui quidem

Rejoin and admit the Presentation, but say that J. Symes took it away, and desired Time to prepare himself for Examination, and never returned.

tres dies diu ante finem sex mensium post vacation. Ecclesiæ præd. per mortem prædict. Thomæ Hotchkis scilicet per spatium unius mensis finit. fuer. scilicet apud Stanton præd. Et præd. Episcopus & Johannes Berrow ulterius dicunt quod ipse idem Episcopus per præd. tres dies postea ibidem parat. fuit ad examinand. præd. Johannem Symes de sufficientia sua præd. quodque præd. Johannes Symes nec infra prædict. tres dies nec unquam postea revenit nec se obtulit prædicto Episcopo fore examinat. de sufficiencia sua præd. per quod prædict. Ecclesia remansit vacua per spatium sex mensium & ultra post præd. vacationem per mortem præd. Thomæ Hotchkis super quo idem Episcopus contulit prædict. Johannem Berrow ad Ecclesiam ill. sic vacan. qui quidem Johannes Berrow postea in eadem Ecclesia debita juris forma induct. fuit absque hoc quod præd. Episcopus præd. Johannem Symes ad Ecclesiam præd. ad præsentationem præd. Willielmi Phillipps testator. admittere & instituere penitus recusavit prout præd. Willielmus Phillipps Executor superius allegavit Et hoc parat. sunt verificare Unde pet. judic. & quod præd. Willielmus Executor ab actione sua prædicta præcludatur, &c.

Traverses his
Refusal to ad-
mit J. Symes.

Surrejoinder
and Issue up-
on the Tra-
verse.

Continuances
per non mis.
breve.

Return of
the Postea.

Et prædict. Willielmus Phillipps Executor ut prius dicit quod præd. Episcopus præfat. Johannem Symes ad Ecclesiam præd. ad præsentationem præfat. Willielmi Phillips testator. admittere & instituere penitus recusavit prout idem Willielmus Phillips Execu- tor superius inde replicando allegavit Et hoc pet. quod inquiratur per patriam Et præd. Episcopus & Johannes Berrow similiter, &c. Ideo præcept. est vic. quod Venire fac. hic a die Sanctæ Trin. in tres septimanas duodecim, &c. per quos, &c. Et qui nec, &c. ad recogn., &c. Quia tam, &c. Ad quem diem hic ven. partes, &c. Et vic. non mis. breve ideo sicut prius præcept. est vic. quod Venire fac. hic a die Sancti Michaelis in tres septiman. duodecim, &c. ad recogn. in forma præd., &c. Ad quem diem hic ven. partes, &c. Et vic. non mis. breve ideo sicut prius præcept. est vic. quod Ve- nire fac. hic in Octab. Purification. beatae Mariæ duodecim, &c. ad recogn. in forma præd., &c. Ad quem diem jurata inter partes præd. de prædicto placito posit. fuit inde inter eas in respectum hic usque ad hunc diem scilicet a die Paschæ in quindecim dies tunc prox. sequen. Nisi Justic. Domini Regis ad Assisas in Com. prædicto capiend. assign. per formam statuti, &c. die Sabbati un- decimo die Martii præteriti apud Novum Sarum in Com. præd. prius ven. Et modo hic ad hunc diem ven. prædictus Willielmus per Attorn. suum prædictum & præfat. Justic. ad Assisas coram, &c. mis. hic recordum suum in hæc verba Postea die & loco infracontent. coram Thoma Rokeby Mil. un. justic. Domini Regis ad placita co- ram ipso Rege tenend. assign. & Johanne Powel Mil. un. Justic. dict. Domini Regis de Banco Justic. ipsius Domini Regis ad Assisas in Com. Wilts capiend. assign. per formam statuti, &c. ven. tam infra-

infranominat. Willielmus Phillipps Gen. Executor testamenti & ult. voluntat. Willielmi Phillipps Gen. patris sui nuper defunct. quam infra script. Gilbertus Episcopus Sarum & Johannes Berrow Clericus per Attorn. suos infracontent. Et jur. jurata unde infra fit mentio exact. similiter vener. qui ad veritatem de infracontent. dicend. elect. triat. & jurat. dicunt super sacramentum suum quod prædict. Gilbertus Episcopus Sarum infranominat. Johannem Symes ad Ecclesiam infra mentionat. ad præsentationem præfat. Willielmi Phillipps testator. admittere & instituere penitus recusavit prout præd. Willielmus Phillipps Executor interius inde replicando allegavit Et jurator. præd. super sacramentum suum ulterius dicunt quod Ecclesia præd. est plena de præd. Johanne Berrow ex collatione præd. Gilberti Episcopi Sarum prout interius per ipsum allegatur quodque Ecclesia præd. est & tempore quo, &c. fuit valoris Centum & viginti librar. per annum in omnibus exitibus ultra reprisas Et jurator. præd. assidunt dampna ipsius Willielmi Phillipps Executor. pro mis. & custag. suis per ipsum circa secta suam in hac parte apposit. ad quadragint. solid. Ideo null. habito respectu ad prædictos quadragint. solidos de dampnis & mis. & custag. præd. per jur. præd. occasione impedimenti præd. assess. quia hujusmodi dampna aut mis. per legem terræ in hoc casu nullatenus sunt adjudicand. conf. est quod prædictus Willielmus Phillipps Executor recuperet versus præfat. Episcopum Sarum & Johannem Berrow præsentationem suam Ecclesiæ præd. & dampna sua ad valorem Ecclesiæ ill. pro dimid. unius anni quæ se attingunt ad sexagint. libr. per jur. præd. in forma præd. assess. Et habeat breve Archiepiscopo Cantuar. totius Angl. Primat. & loci ill. Metropolitan. eo quod prædictus Episcopus Sarum est pars, &c. quia prædictus Johannes Berrow ad eandem Ecclesiam ad collationem prædicti Episcopi Sarum admissus & institutus ac in eadem inductus existit ad eundem Johannem Berrow ab Ecclesia ill. amovend. & ad Ecclesiam ill. ad præsentationem præd. Willielmi Phillipps Executor. idoneam personam admittend. Et prædict. Episc. Sarum & Johannes Berrow in Misericordia, &c. Postea scilicet die lunæ prox. post tres septiman. Sancti Michaelis isto eodem termino coram Domino Rege apud Westmonasterium ven. præd. Episcopus & Johannes Berrow per Adrian. Moore Attorn. suum & dicunt quod in record. & process. præd. ac etiam in reddition. judic. præd. manifeste est erratum in hoc videlicet quod per record. præd. apparet quod judicium præd. in forma præd. reddit. reddit. fuit pro præfat. Willielmo Phillipps versus præfat. Episcopum & Johannem Berrow ubi per legem terræ hujus Regni Angl. judicium ill. reddi debuisset pro præfat. Episc. & Johanne Berrow versus eundem Willielmum Phillipps ideo in eo manifeste est erratum Erratum est etiam in hoc videlicet quod record. præd. coram Domino Rege nunc hic missum defectivum existit in hoc videlicet quod breve originale & retorn. ejusdem inter partes præd. in placito præd. extra

Find the Bishop did refuse to admit upon the Plaintiff's Presentation, and the Church full upon the Bishop's Collation, and the yearly Value 120. l.

Judgment and awarding a Writ to the Bishop.

Misericordia.

Errors assign'd.

tra

Certiorari.

Quare Impe-
dit returned.

tra record. ill. totaliter omittuntur & in Custodia Custodis brevium dicti Domini Regis nunc de Banco adhuc remanent eidem Domino Regi minime certificat. Et pet. iidem Episcopus & Johannes Berrow breve dicti Domini Regis nunc Custod. brevium dicti Domini Regis nunc de Banco prædict. dirigend. ad breve præd. unacum retorn. ejusdem brevis dicto Domino Regi certificand. Et eis conceditur, &c. per quod mandat. est Willielmo Thursby Armig. Custod. brevium Domini Regis de Banco præd. quod scrutat. brevibus original. Com. Wilts de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Regni dicti Domini Regis nunc octavo in Custodia sua de recordo existen. quid de brevi præd. in eisdem invenerit unacum integro retorn. ejusdem brevis adeo plene & integre prout in Custodia sua remanent Domino Regi indilate ubicunque, &c. mittat unacum brevi dicti Dom. Regis sibi inde direct', &c. Qui quidem Custos brevium virtute brevis illius sibi inde direct. eidem Domino Regi certificavit quod scrutatis brevibus originalibus Com. sui Wilts de præd. termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Regni sui octavo in Custodia sua de recordo affilat. habetur quoddam breve originale inter partes præd. de placito. præd. in Custodia sua de præd. termino affilat. tenor cujus quidem brevis unacum retorn. ejusdem sequitur in hæc verba Gulielmus tertius Dei Gratia Angl. Scotiæ Franciæ & Hiberniæ Rex Fidei Defensor, &c. Vic. Wilts salutem Præcipe Gilberto Episcopo Sarum & Johanni Berrow Clerico quod juste & sine dilatione permittant Willielmum Phillips Executor. testamenti Willielmi Phillipps patris sui nuper defunct. præsentare idoneam personam ad Ecclesiam de Stanton quæ vacat & ad suam spectat donationem ut dicit & unde queritur quod prædicti Episcopus & Johannes eum injuste impediunt Et nisi fecerit & prædictus Executor fecerit te secur. de clam. suo prof. tunc sum. per bonos sum. prædictos Episcopum & Johannem quod sint coram Justic. nostris apud Westm. a die Sanctæ Trinitatis in quindecim dies ostens. quare non fecerint Et habeas ibi sum. & hoc breve Teste Thoma Archiepiscopo Cantuar. & cæteris Custodibus & Justic. Regni apud Westm. vicesimo octavo die Maii Anno Regni nostri octavo Hale Esson. pro Episcopo adjorn. usque tres Michaelis Idem dies pro Clerico Esson. pro Clerico adjorn. usque crastinum Martini Idem dies pro Episc. W. Hall pleg. de prof. Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe sum. Tho. Eyre Jo. Ruffel Edvardus Somner Ar. Vic. Quod quidem breve de Certiorari unacum retorn. inde inter Record. sine die istius termini affilatur Et super hoc præd. Willielmus Phillipps per Josephum Sherwood Attorn. suum statim hic in Cur. similiter ven. Et superinde præd. Episcopus & Johannes Berrow (ut prius) dicunt quod in record. & process. præd. acetiam in redditione judicii præd. manifeste est erratum allegando Error. præd. per ipsos in forma præd. superius allegat. Et pet. quod judicium præd. ob error. præd. & al. in record. & process. præd. existen. revocetur adnulletur & penitus pro nullo habeatur Et quod ipsi

ipsi ad omnia quæ occasione iudicii prædicti. amiser. restituantur
Et quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc hic procedat ad examina-
tion. tam record. & process. præd. quam materiarum præd. supe-
rius pro erroribus assign. quodque præd. Willielmus ad errores
præd. rejunget. Super quo idem Willielmus Phillipps dicit quod nec
in record. & process. præd. nec in redditione iudicii præd. in ullo
est erratum Et similiter pet. quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc
hic procedat ad examination. tam record. & process. præd. quam
materiarum prædicti. superius pro error. assign. Et quod iudicium
præd. in omnibus affirmetur Sed quia Cur. dicti Domini Regis
nunc hic de iudicio suo de & super præmissis reddend. nondum
advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus præd. coram Domino Rege
usque ubi- Iudicium af-
firmetur.
cunque, &c. de iudicio suo inde audiend. eo quod Cur. dicti Do-
mini Regis nunc hic inde nondum, &c.

*Placita coram Domina Regina apud Westm.
de Termino Sancti Michaelis Anno Regni
Dominae Annae nunc Reginae Angliæ, &c.
tertio. Rotulo 144.*

Goddard *versus* Smith, & al'. Vide fo. 21.

Middl. ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilt. termino Sanctæ
Trinitatis ultimo præterito coram Domina
Regina apud Westm. venit Richardus God-
dard per Henricum Wright Attorn. suum
Et protulit in Cur. dictæ Dominae Reginae tunc ibidem quandam
billam suam versus Richardum Smith & Christophoro Preston
in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg.
de prosequend. ff. Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe quæ quidem
billa sequitur in hæc verba ff. Middlesex ff. Richardus Goddard
queritur de Richardo Smith & Christophoro Preston in Custod.
Mar. Marefc. Domina Regina coram ipsa Regina existen. pro eo
videlicet quod cum præd. Richardus Goddard bonus verus fidel.

Vol. II.

G g g

pa-

Declaration
in Case upon
Conspiracy,
for a false and
malicious In-
dictment of
Barretry.
Inde acquie-
tat. fuit.

Indictment at
Hick's Hall.

pacificus & honest. Dominæ Reginae nunc subdit. & ligeus existit & bonorum nominis famæ reputation. conversation. gestur. condition. fuit ac ut bonus verus fidel. pacificus & honest. ligeus & subdit. dictæ Dominæ Reginae nunc absque ullo scandalo imputation. sine macula existen. Et ut Barectator seu pacis dictæ Dominæ Reginae perturbator seu suspicion. hujusmodi criminis a tempore natiuitat. suæ hucusque inter vicinos suos & al. subdit. dictæ Dominæ Reginae quibus idem Richardus Goddard cognit. fuit se minime gessit & gubernavit & ratione honest. & quiet. conversation. suæ præd. per totum tempus prædict. magnam credenc. & æstimation. necnon diversa grandia lucra & proficua licite & honeste pro sustentation. sua & familiæ suæ de vicinis suis & al. dictæ Dominæ Reginae subdit. cum quibus idem Richardus Goddard commercium habuisset lucravit & acquisiuit Dicti tamen Richardus Smith & Christophorus præmissorum non ignari sed machinantes & malitiose intendentes ipsum Richardum Goddard non solum de bon. nomine fama & æstimation. suis præd. deprivare verum etiam ipsum Richardum Goddard in scandalum ignominiam & opprobrium publicum inducere ut ea ratione subdit. dictæ Dominæ Reginae a consortio ipsius Richardi Goddard se subtraherent & cum eo aliquantulum intromittere sine commercium habere omnino cessarent & abstinerent decimo tertio die Septembris Anno Regni dicti Dominæ Annæ nunc Reginae Angl', &c. primo apud Paroch. Sancti Jacobi Clerkenwell in Com. præd. conspiracy. inter se adtunc & ibidem præhabita falso & malitiose absque aliqua causa seu colore hujusmodi criminis per ipsum Richardum Goddard perpetrat. ad ipsum Richardum Goddard ut Barectator. & publicum pacis dictæ Dominæ Reginae perturbator. indictari causand. iidem Richardus Smith & Christophorus apud Paroch. præd. in Com. præd. in prosecution. & execution. malitiose intention. necnon conspiracy. præd. ad general. quarterial. Session. pacis Dominæ Reginae tent. pro Com. Middlesex apud Hicks's Hall in St. John street in Com. præd. coram Johanne Bennet, Henrico Hawley & Josepho Offley Ar. & al. sociis suis Justic. dictæ Dominæ Reginae ad pacem in Com. præd. conservand. necnon ad diversa felon. transgr. contempt. & malefacta in eodem Com. perpetrat. audiend. & terminand. assign. eundem Richardum Goddard ea intention. ad defamand. eundem Richardum Goddard absque ulla legitima vel vera causa per nomen Richardi Goddard nuper de Paroch. Sancti Jacobi Clerkenwell in Com. Middlesex Yeom. de eo quod idem Richardus Goddard primo die Januarii Anno primo suprascripto & diversis al. diebus & vicibus tam antea quam postea apud Paroch. præd. in Com. præd. fuisset & adtunc fuit communis Barectator ac communis assiduus & publicus pacis dictæ Dominæ Reginae nunc perturbator necnon communis & turbulent. calumpniator ac litium & discordiarum inter vicinos suos quiet. & honest. serminator adeo ut divers. lites controversias necnon jurgia rixas & pugnas apud Paroch. præd. in Com. præd. & alibi

alibi in dicto Com. Middlesex inter divers. dictæ Domine Regine ligeos & subdit. movisset procurasset & incitasset in magnam pacis dictæ Domine Regine nunc perturbation. in malum exemplum omnium aliorum in hujusmodi casu delinquen. ac contra pacem dictæ Domine Regine nunc coron. & dignitat. suas, &c. falso & malitiose indictari fecer. & procuraver. ac indictment. ill. versus ipsum Richardum Goddard falso & malitiose prosecut. fuer. & prosecut. esse causaver. quousque dicta Domina Regina nunc indictment. ill. coram ea postea certis de causis venire fec. terminand. & præcept. fuit vic. Com. præd. quod non omitt', &c. quin eum venire fac. ad respondend', &c. & ipse idem Richardus Goddard postea scilicet termino Sancti Michaelis Anno Regni dictæ Domine Regine nunc secundo in Cur. Domine Regine coram ipsa Regina eadem Cur. apud Westm. existen. secundum legem & consuetudinem hujus Regni Angl. debito & legitimo modo inde exonerat. fuit Quorum quidem præmissorum versus ipsum Richardum Goddard per præd. Richardum Smith & Christophorum Preston in forma præd. publicat. fact. exhibit. & prosecut. prætextu idem Richardus Goddard non solum in bonis nomine fama credenc. & reputation. suis præd. multipliciter læsus & deteriorat. & in corpore suo inquietat. & debilitat. existit verum etiam diversas ingentes denar. summas in & circa seipsum de indictment. ill. acquietand. & exonerand. & innocenc. suam vindicand. expendere & erogare coact. fuit in discredenc. maximam ac extremam depauperation. ipsius Richardi Goddard & ad dampnum ipsius Richardi Goddard viginti librarum Et inde produc. sectam, &c.

Remov'd by
Certiorari.

Discharged.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilicet diem Lunæ prox. post tres septimanas Sancti Michaelis isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Richardus Smith & Christophorus habuer. licenc. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. venit tam præd. Richardus Goddard per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Richardus Smith & Christophorus per Edmundum Butler Attorn. suum Et iidem Richardus Smith & Christophorus defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et dicunt quod ipsi in nullo sunt culpabiles de præmissis superius eis imposit. prout præd. Richardus Goddard superius versus eos queritur Et de hoc pon. se super patriam Et præd. Richardus Goddard similiter, &c. Ideo ven. inde jur. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. die Lunæ prox. post crastinum animarum Et qui nec, &c. ad recogn', &c. quia tam, &c. idem dies dat. est partibus præd. ibidem, &c.

Not guilty
pleaded.

Placita

*Placita coram Domina Regina apud Westm.
de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Reg-
ni Domine Annæ nunc Regine Angliæ,
&c. tertio. Rotulo 211.*

Tenant *versus* Gouldwin. Vide fo. 37.

Middl. ff.

Case for not
repairing a
Partition
Wall, where-
by the Plain-
tiff was pre-
judic'd.

Averment of
the Usage.

Breach.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilicet termino Pas-
chæ ultimo præterito coram Domina Re-
gina apud Westm. venit Robertus Tenant
per Johannem Rice Attorn. suum Et pro-
tulit in Cur. dictæ Domine Regine tunc ibidem quandam billam
suam versus Lucam Gouldwin in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito
transgr. super casum Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilicet Johannes
Doe & Richardus Roe. Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba ff.
Middlesex ff. Robertus Tenant queritur de Luca Gouldwin in
Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domine Regine coram ipsa Regina existen.
pro eo videlicet quod cum ipse idem Robertus primo die Octobris
Anno Regni Domine Annæ nunc Regine Angl', &c. primo & ab-
inde semper hucusque possessionat. fuisset & adhuc possessionat. ex-
istit de uno Mesuagio scituat. jacen. & existen. in Frith-street in
Paroch. Sanctæ Annæ infra libertat. Westm. in Com. Middlesex
præd. pro quodam termino annorum nondum finit. ac in cellario
suo partell. Mesuag. sui præd. reponere & conservare solebat car-
bon. ac illupulat. cervisie copias pro usu familie sue necnon ad
vendend. & merchandizand. diversis personis quæ de ipso commo-
ditat. præd. emere solebant in Mesuagio suo præd. ad ipsius Ro-
berti non modicum proficuum & utilitat. Quod quidem cellarium
contigue adjacet & per totum tempus præd. contigue adiacebat
Mesuagio præd. Lucæ in Paroch. præd. & de forica parcell. præd.
Mesuagii præfat. Lucæ separari & vallari solebat per murum cras-
sum & compactum qui ad dictum Mesuagium præfat. Lucæ pertinet
& per præfat. Lucam per totum tempus præd. de jure debuit repa-
rari præfat. tamen Lucas præmissorum non ignarus sed machinans
& fraudulent. intendens ipsum Robertum in hac parte minus rite
prægravare & ipsum Robertum de usu & commoditate cellarii Me-
suagii sui præd. totaliter deprivare & de proficuo commercii sui præd.
impedire eodem primo die Octobris Anno Regni dictæ Domine Re-
gine supradicto & abinde semper hucusque murum præd. licet
sæpius requisit. eundem reparare scilicet per ipsum Robertum eodem
primo

primo die Octobris in Paroch. præd. tam negligent. custodivit & reparavit quod ob defectum debit. curæ & reparation' ejusdem Muri fœditates & sordida foricæ præd. de eadem forica per decasus & fraction' muri præd. in cellar. præd. ejusdem Roberti fluebant & cellarium præd. inundabant scilicet in Paroch. præd. per totum tempus præd. per quod ipse idem Robertus usum cellarii sui & proficuum commercii sui præd. per totum tempus præd. perdidit & amisit Unde idem Robertus dicit quod ipse deteriorat. est & dampnum habet ad valenciam centum librarum Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Plaintiff
damned.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilicet diem Veneris prox. post crastinum Sanctæ Trinitatis isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. Lucas habuit licentiam ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. venit præd. Robertus per Attorn. suum præd. Et petit quod præd. Lucas ad narrationem suam præd. respondeat Et præd. Lucas licet ad eundem diem solempniter exact. non ven. nec aliquid dicit in bar-ram five præclusionem actionis prædictæ Roberti præd. per quod idem Robertus ramanet inde versus eum indefens. Ob quod prædictus Robertus dampna sua versus præfat. Lucam recuperare debeat Sed quia Cur. dictæ Domine Regine nunc hic coram ipsa Regina incognit. existit quæ dampna prædictus Robertus occasione præmissorum in hac parte sustinuit—Ideo præcept. est vic. quod per sacramentum duodecim proborum & legalium hominum de balliva sua diligent. inquirat quæ dampna prædictus Robertus tam occasione præmissorum quam pro misis & custagiis suis per ipsum circa sectam suam in hac parte apposit. sustinuit Et inquisition. quam inde ceperit Domina Regina apud Westm. die Lunæ prox. post tres septiman. Sancti Michaelis sub sigillo suo & sigillis eorum per quorum sacram. inquisition. ill. ceperit mittat unacum brevi Domine Regine ei inde direct. idem dies dat. est eidem Roberto ibidem, &c.

Judgment per
Nil dicit.

*Placita coram Domina Regina apud Westm.
de termino Sancti Hillarii Anno Regni
Dominae Annae nunc Reginae Angl', &c.
tertio. Rotulo 102.*

Brook *versus* Hustler. Vide fo. 56.

Angl'. ff.

DOMINA REGINA mandavit dilecto & fidei suo Thomæ Trevor Mil. Capital. Justic. suo de Banco breve suum clausum in hæc verba ff. Anna Dei Gratia Angliæ Scotiæ Franciæ & Hiberniæ Regina Fidei Defensor, &c. Dilecto & fidel. suo Thomæ Trevor Milit. Capital. Justic. suo de Banco salutem. Quia in recordo & processu acetiam in redditione iudicii loquelæ quæ fuit in Cur. nostra coram vobis & sociis vestris Justic. nostris de Banco per breve nostrum inter Willielmum Hustler Richardum Osbaldeston Mil. & Willielmum Osbaldeston Armig. & Thomam Brook nuper de Overflocton in Com. Eborum Yeom. de debito septuagint. & novem solid. & undecim denar. quod iidem Willielmus Richardus & Willielmus a præfat. Thoma exigunt ut dicitur Error interven. manifest. ad grave dampnum ipsius Thomæ sicut ex querela sua accepimus Nos error. si quis fuit modo debito corrigi & partibus præd. plenam & celerem justic. fieri volentes in hac parte vobis mandamus quod si iudicium inde reddit. sit tunc record. & process. præd. cum omnibus ea tangen. nobis sub sigillo vestro distincte & aperte mittatis & hoc breve ita quod ea habeamus a die Paschæ in quindecim dies ubicunque tunc fuerimus in Angl. ut inspect. record. & process. præd. ulterius inde pro error. illo corrigend. fieri fac. quod de jure & secundum legem & conf. Regni nostri Angl. fuerit faciend. Teste me ipsa apud Westm. secundo die Martii Anno Regni nostri secundo.

Hungerford.

Responf. Thomæ Trevor Mil. Capital. Justic. infranominat. Record. & process. loquelæ unde infra fit mentio cum omnibus ea tangen. coram Domina Regina ubicunque, &c. Ad diem infracentent. mitto in quodam recordo huic brevi annex. prout interius mihi præcipitur.

Tho. Trevor.

Placita Irrotulat. apud Westm. coram Thoma Trevor Mil' & sociis suis Justic. Dominae Reginae de Banco de termino Sancti Hilarii Anno Regni Dominae Annae Dei Gratia Angliae Scotiae Franciae & Hiberniae Reginae Fidei Defensor', &c. secundo. Rotulo 1228.

Ebor' ff. Thomas Brook nuper de Overflocton in Com. praed. Yeom. sum. fuit ad respondend. Willielmo Hustler Mil. Richardo Osbaldeston Mil. & Willielmo Osbaldeston Armig. de placito quod reddat eis septuagint. & novem solid. & undecim denar. quos eis debet & injuste detinet, &c. Et unde iidem Willielmus Hustler Richardus & Willielmus Osbaldeston per Robertum Hopkinson Attorn. suum dicunt quod Dominus Jacobus primus nuper Rex Angl. fuit seifit. in jure coronae suae Angl. ut de feodo & jure de & in Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. cum pertin. & tot. & quolibet quod ad Cur. let. seu vis. franc. pleg. pertinebat seu quovismodo spectabat seu pertinere aut spectare debuit infra maner. sive Domin. Vill. seu Hamlet. de West-Bretton Cawthorne Overflocton & Nether-Flocton in praed. Com. Eborum ac infra praecinct. eorundem maneriorum sive Dominic. Vill. & Hamlet. & eorum cujlibet in praed. Com. Ebor. existen. vel non existen. parcell. Ducat. Lancastr. de omnibus residen. & inhabitan. infra maner. sive Dom. Vill. & Hamlet. praed. ac infra praecinct. eorund. maner. sive Dom. Vill. & Hamlet. & eorum cujlibet sive eorum alicujus praed. Cur. let. vis. & franc. pleg. praed. bis per ann. tenend. infra maner. sive Dom. Vill. & Hamlet. praed. aut eorum aliquod vel infra praecinct. eorundem maneriorum sive Dom. Vill. & Hamlet. vel eorum alicujus ad tal. dies & tempora in anno qual. praedictus Dominus Rex Jacobus primus haered. vel assign. sui vider. seu vider. opportun. convenien. & necessar. secundum legem & consuetud. hujus Regni Angl. coram Seneschallo ejusdem Domini Regis Cur. illius aut deputat. hujusmodi Seneschalli pro tempore existen. Et sic inde seifit. existen. nuper Rex Jacobus primus postea per litteras suas paten. sub magno sigillo Angl. ac sub sigillo Ducat. Lancastr. similit. confect. geren. dat. apud Westm. in Com. Middlesex vicesimo nono die Junii Anno Regni sui Angl. decimo tertio ac Scot. quadragesimo octavo quas iidem Willielmus Hustler Richardus & Willielmus Osbaldeston hic in Cur. proferunt tam pro & in conf. sum. viginti solid. legalis monetae Angl. ad recept. Scaccarii sui apud Westm. ad usum suum per dilect. subdit. suum Georgium Wentworth de Bulkiffe in West-Bretton in Com. Eborum Gen. bene & fidelit. solut. Unde idem nuper Dominus Rex fatebatur se plenarie esse satisfact. & persolut. quam pro diversis al. bonis causis & conf. eundem Dom. Regem specialit. moven. de gra. sua speciali ac ex certa scientia & ex mero motu suis dedit & concessit pro se haered. & successor. suis praefat. Georgio Wentworth haered. & assign. suis de cetero imperpetuum quod haberent tenerent & gauderent ac habere tenere & gaudere valerent & possent infra

Declaration
for an Amer-
ciament in a
Court-Leet.

Seifin of King
James I. in a
Court-Leet.

Grant there-
of to Went-
worth by
Letters Pa-
tent under
the Dutchy-
Seal.

To be held
twice a Year
at such Days
as the Gran-
tee should
think fit.

Grantee seis'd
and held se-
veral Courts,
and died.

Discent to
his Son and
Heir, who
was seis'd,

And died
without Issue,
whereby they
descended to
his Brother.

infra maneria five Dom. Vill. seu Hamlet. de West-Bretton Cawthorne Over-Flocton & Nether-Flocton & eorum quodlibet in dicto Com. Eborum ac infra præcinct. eorundem maneriorum five Dominic. Vill. & Hamlet. & eorum cujuslibet in dicto Com. Eborum existen. vel non existen. parcell. Ducat. Lancastriæ præd. Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. de omnibus tenent. residen. & Inhabitan. & al. residen. venien. infra Dominia five maneria Vill. & Hamlet. præd. ac infra præcinct. eorundem maneriorum five Dominiorum Vill' & Hamlet. & eorum cujuslibet five eorum alicujus præd. Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. bis per ann. de tempore in tempus tenend. infra maneria five Dominia Vill. & Hamlet. præd. aut eorum aliquod vel infra præcinct. eorundem maneriorum five Dominiorum Vill. & Hamlet. vel eorum alicujus eisdem locis diebus & temporibus quibus præd. Georgius Wentworth hæred. vel assign. sui vider. seu vider. opportunum convenien. & necessar. secundum legem & consuetudinem hujus Regni Angl. coram Seneschallo ejusdem Georgii Wentworth hæred. & assign. suorum pro tempore existen. seu coram Deputat. hujusmodi Seneschalli pro tempore existen. Et tot. & quicquid ad Cur. let. seu vis. franc. pleg. pertinet seu quoquomodo spectabat seu pertinere aut spectare debuit quovismodo Acetiam omnia & singula amerciamenta fines forisfactur. pœnas pœnalitat. perquisit. profic. libertat. præheminen. privileg. jura & jurisdiction. quæcunque ad præd. Cur. let. seu vis. franc. pleg. ad dictum Dom. Regem aut Successor. suos quoquomodo pertinere poterint aut deberent Quarum quidem literarum patentium prætextu præd. Georgius Wentworth seisit. fuit ut de feodo & jure de & in Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. Et diversas Cur. & vis. franc. pleg. infra Maneria five Dominia Vill. seu Hamlet. de West-Bretton Cawthorne Over-Flocton & Nether-Flocton præd. secundum donation. & concess. præd. in literis Paten. præd. content. tenuit Et præd. Georgius sic de Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. seisit. existen. postea scilicet secundo die Julii Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo tricesimo octavo apud Nether-Flocton præd. obiit post cujus mortem præd. Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. descendebant Willielmo Wentworth Gen. ut filio & hæred. ipsius Georgii per quod præd. Willielmus Wentworth filius & hæres præd' Georgii seisit. fuit ut de feodo & jure de & in Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. Et sic inde seisit. existen. idem Willielmus postea scilicet quarto die Martii Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo tricesimo nono apud Nether-Flocton præd. obiit sine aliquo exitu de corpore suo exeun. post cujus mortem. Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. descendebant Thomæ Wentworth Ar. postea Bar. ut fratri & hæred. præd. Willielmi Wentworth per quod præd. Thomas Wentworth ut frater & hæres præd. Willielmi Wentworth fuit seisit. de & in Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. ut de feodo & jure Et sic inde seisit. existen.

existen. idem Thomas Postea scilicet primo die Aprilis Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo septuagesimo sexto apud Nether-Floston præd. obiit sine aliquo exitu de corpore suo exeun. post cuius mortem præd. Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. cum pertin. discendebant Matthæo Wentworth Bar. ut fratri & hæred. præd. Thomæ Wentworth per quod præd. Matthæus ut frater & hæres præd. Thomæ Wentworth fuit seisit. de & in præd. Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. ut de feodo & jure Et sic inde seisit. existen. idem Matthæus postea scilicet secundo die Augusti Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo septuagesimo octavo apud Nether-Floston præd. obiit post cuius mortem præd. Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. cum pertin. discendebant Matthæo Wentworth Bar. ut filio & hæredi præd. Matthæi Wentworth fratris per quod prædictus Matthæus Wentworth filius fuit. seisit. de & in præd. Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. cum pertin. ut de feodo & jure Et præd. Matthæus sic inde seisit. existen. postea scilicet octavo die Decembris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio per quandam indentur. barganiæ & vendition. inter ipsum Matthæum Wentworth filium ex una parte & præd. Willielmum Hustler Richardum Osbaldeston & Willielmum Osbaldeston ex altera parte apud Nether-Floston præd. fact. cuius quidem alteram partem sigillo ipsius Matthæi Wentworth filii sigillat. iidem Willielmus Hustler Richardus & Willielmus Osbaldeston hic in Cur. proferunt cuius dat. est eisdem die & anno in consideration. quinque solid. ei præmanibus solut. per præd. Willielmum Hustler Richardum & Willielmum Osbaldeston bargainizavit & vendidit eisdem Willielmo Hustler Richardo & Willielmo Osbaldeston præd. Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. cum pertin. habend. & tenend. eisdem Willielmo Hustler Richardo & Willielmo Osbaldeston Executor. Administrator. & Assign. suis a die dat. Indentur. præd. usque finem & terminum unius Anni extunc prox. sequen. & plenar. complend. & finiend. Virtute cuius quidem barganiæ & vendition. ac vigore statuti de usibus in possession. transferend. in ea parte edit. & provis. iidem Willielmus Hustler Richardus & Willielmus Osbaldeston fuer. possession. de Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. pro termino illo Et sic inde possessionat. existen. prædictoque Matthæo Wentworth filio de reversione Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. ut de feodo & jure seisit. existen. postea scilicet nono die Decembris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio supradicto apud Nether-Floston. præd. per quandam aliam indentur. inter ipsum Matthæum Wentworth filium ex una parte & prædicto Willielmum Hustler Richardum Osbaldeston & Willielmum Osbaldeston ex altera parte fact. cuius quidem alteram partem sigillo ipsius Matthæi Wentworth filii sigillat. iidem Willielmus Hustler Richardus & Willielmus Osbaldeston hic in Cur. proferunt cuius dat. est eisdem die & anno supradictis ipse idem Matthæus Wentworth filius relaxavit eisdem Willielmo Hustler Richardo

Who died also without Issue, whereby they descended to his Brother,

After whose Death they descended to his Son, who entered.

And by Lease and Release conveyed to the Plaintiffs.

Plaintiffs
seis'd.

Defendant an
Inhabitant
within the
Jurisdiction
of the Leet,
and owed
Suit.

Court held
April 24.

Whereof No-
tice was gi-
ven to the
Inhabitants.
Defendant
made Default
in not ap-
pearing,
whereby the
Jury present-
ed him.

And he was
amerced by
the Court,
and affeer'd
by two Affee-
rors chosen
and sworn,
at 39 s. 11 d.
whereof he
had Notice.

Per quod
actio accre-
vit quer.

Mutuatus
for 40 s.

chardo & Willielmo Osbaldeston hared. & assign. suis tot. statum
jus titulum & interesse ipsius Matthæi Wentworth filii de & in
Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. Quorum quidem
præmissorum prætextu præd. Willielmus Hustler Richardus & Wil-
lielmus Osbaldeston fuer. & adhuc existunt seist. de & in Cur. let. &
vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. ut de feodo & jure præd. quodque
Thomas Brook vicesimo quarto die Aprilis Anno Domini Mille-
fimo septingentesimo tertio & diu antea fuit residen. & inhabitan.
apud Over-Flocton præd. infra jur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. &
debit sectam facere ad Cur. vis. franc. pleg. præd. ipsisque Wil-
lielmo Hustler Richardo & Willielmo Osbaldeston de Cur. let. &
vis. franc. pleg. præd. cum pertin. sic ut præfertur seist. existen.
Cur. let. & vis. franc. pleg. præd. tent. fuit apud Nether-Flocton
præd. infra Maneria & Dom. præd. dicto vicesimo quarto die Apri-
lis Anno Domini Millefimo septingentesimo tertio coram Richar-
do Witton Armig. Seneschallo eorundem Willielmi Hustler Ri-
chardi & Willielmi Osbaldeston Cur. illius de qua quidem Cur. sic
tenend. debita notitia dat. fuit. residen. & inhabitan. infra Maner.
Dom. Vill. & Hamlet. præd. scilicet apud Nether-Flocton præd.
quodque præd. Thomas Brooke ad Cur. ill. sectam suam non fec.
nec comperuit sed default. fec. per quod ad eandem Cur. per Jura-
tores qui ad inquirend. & præsentand. ea quæ ad Cur. let. & vis.
franc. pleg. pertinuer. in eadem Cur. jurat. & onerat. fuissent præ-
sentat. fuit super sacramentum suum quod præd. Thomas Brooke
adtunc fuit residen. & inhabitan. infra Maneria præd. & infra jurif-
diction. Cur. vis. franc. pleg. præd. & debuit sectam facere ad Cur.
vis. franc. pleg. ill. & quod prædictus Thomas Brooke licet adtunc
solempniter exact. fuit non comperuit sed default. fecit ratione cu-
jus idem Thomas Brooke adtunc & ibidem per eandem Cur. amer-
ciat. fuit quod quidem amerciammentum per Josephum Senior &
Timotheum Roads adtunc residen. & inhabitan. infra Maneria
prædicta afferatores per Cur. ill. adtunc & ibidem elect. & jurat.
adtunc in Cur. illa debito modo afferat. fuit. ad triginta & novem
solid. & undecim denar. legalis moneta Angliæ Unde præd. Tho-
mas Brooke postea scilicet die & anno ult. mentionat. apud Ne-
ther-Flocton præd. notitiam habuisset per quod actio accrevit eis-
dem Willielmo Hustler Richardo & Willielmo Osbaldeston ad
exigend. & habend de præd. Thoma Brooke præd. trigint. & no-
vem solid. & undecim denar. parcell. Cumque etiam præd. Tho-
mas Brooke postea scilicet primo die Julii Anno Domini Millefimo
septingentesimo tertio apud Nether-Flocton præd. mutuat. fuisset de
Willielmo Hustler Richardo & Willielmo Osbaldeston quadragint.
solid. de præd. septuagint. & novem solid. & undecim denar. resid.
solvend. eisdem Willielmo Hustler Richardo & Willielmo Osbal-
deston cum inde requisit. fuisset prædictus tamen Thomas Brooke
licet sæpius requisit. præd. septuagint. & novem solid. & undecim
denar. nec aliquem inde denar. eisdem. Willielmo Hustler Richar-
do

do & Willielmo Osbaldeston seu eorum alicui nondum reddidit sed ill. eis aut eorum alicui hucusque reddere contradixit & adhuc contradic. Unde dic. quod deteriorat. sunt & dampnum habent ad valenc. centum solidorum Et inde produc. sectam, &c.

Et prædictus Thomas Brooke per Henr. Wood Attorn. suum ven. & defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et nichil in barram five præclusion. action. præd. Willielmi Hustler Richardi & Willielmi Osbaldeston præd. dic. per quod iidem Willielmus Hustler Richardus & Willielmus Osbaldeston reman. versus præfat. Thomam Brooke inde indefens. Ideo consideratum est quod præd. Willielmus Hustler Richardus & Willielmus Osbaldeston recuperent versus præfat. Thomam Brooke debitum suum præd. & dampna sua occasione detention. debiti ill. ad octo libr. eisdem Willielmo Hustler Richardo & Willielmo Osbaldeston ex assensu suo per Cur. hic adjudicat. Et idem Thomas Brooke in Misericordia, &c.

Nil dicit.

Judgment.

Misericordia.

Postea scilicet die Martis prox. post Octab. Sancti Hillarii isto eodem termino coram Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. præd. Thomas Brooke per Thomam Harvey Attorn. suum & dicit quod in recordo & processu præd. acetiam in redditione judicii præd. manifeste est erratum in hoc videlicet quod narr. præd. in record. præd. mentionat. & super qua judicium præd. in forma præd. reddit. exist. materiaque in eadem content. minus sufficien. in lege exist. ad judic. ill. in forma præd. superinde reddit. habend. seu manutenend' Et sic judic. præd. superinde in forma præd. reddit. erroneum & vacuum in lege exist. Ideoq; in eo manifest. est errat. Errat. est etiam in hoc quod ubi per record. præd. apparet quod judicium præd. in forma præd. reddit. reddit. fuit pro præfat. Willielmo Hustler Mil. Richardo Osbaldeston Mil. & Willielmo Osbaldeston versus ipsum Thomam Brooke ubi per legem terræ hujus Regni Angl. judicium in placito præd. reddi debuisset pro præfat. Thoma Brooke versus ipsos Willielmum Hustler Mil. Richardum Osbaldeston Mil. & Willielmum Osbaldeston Ideoq; in eo manifeste est errat. Et pet. quod judic. ill. ob. error. ill. & al. in record. & process. præd. existen. revocetur adnulletur & penitus pro nullo habeatur quodque idem Thomas Brooke ad omnia quæ occasione judicii præd. amisit restituatur Et quod præd. Willielmus Hustler Mil. Richardus Osbaldeston Mil. & Willielmus Osbaldeston ad error. præd. rejangant, &c. Et præd. Willielmus Hustler Mil. Richardus Osbaldeston Mil. & Willielmus Osbaldeston per Adrian. Moor Attorn. suum ven. & statim dic. quod nec in record. & process. præd. nec in redditione judicii præd. in ullo est erratum Et pet. quod Cur. dictæ Domine Regine nunc hic procedat ad examination. tam record. & process. præd. quam mater. præd. per ipsum Thomam Brooke superius pro error. assign. quodque judic. præd. in omnibus affirmetur.

Errors assign'd.

Joinder in Errors.

Judicium affirmetur.

Placita

Placita coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Regni Domini Willi. & Domine Mariæ nunc Regis & Regine Angliæ, &c. tertio. Rotulo 166.

Barker *versus* Winch. Vide fo. 56.

Berks, ff.

S Imo Winch nuper de Bray in Com. præd. Gen. attach. fuit ad respondendum Thomæ Barker Gen. de placito quare vi & armis sex Mesuag. sex Cottag. sexagint. acr. terræ sexagint. acr. prati trescent. acr. pastur. & quadragint. acr. bosci cum pertin. in Paroch. de Bray quæ Willielmus Yeildall & Rebecca Uxor ejus eidem Thomæ demiser. ad termin. qui nondum præteriit ac al. sex Mesuagia sex al. Cottagia sexagint. al. acr. terræ sexagint. al. acr. prati trescent. al. acr. pasturæ & quadragint. al. acr. bosci cum pertin. in Paroch. de Bray præd. quæ Johannes Lidgold jun. eidem Thomæ Barker etiam dimisit ad terminum qui nondum præteriit intravit & ipsum a firmis suis prædictis ejecit & alia enormia ei intulit ad grave dampnum ipsius Thomæ & contra pacem Domini Regis & Domine Regine nunc, &c. Et unde idem Thomas Barker per Willielmum Turbill Attorn. suum queritur quod cum præd. Willielmus Yeildall & Rebecca Ux. ejus primo die Aprilis Anno Regni Domini Regis & Domine Regine nunc Angl', &c. tertio apud Paroch. de Bray dimisissent eidem Thomæ præd. sex Mesuagia sex Cottag. sexagint. acr. terræ sexagint. acr. prati trescent. acr. pasturæ & quadragint. acras bosci cum pertinentiis habendum & occupand. eadem tenementa cum pertin. eidem Thomæ Barker & assign. suis a vicesimo quinto die Martii tunc ult. præterito usque plenum finem & terminum quinque annorum extunc prox. sequen. plenar. complend. & finiend. Virtute cujus quidem dimission. idem Thomas Barker in eadem tenementa cum pertin. ult. supradict. intravit & fuit inde possessionat. Ac etiam quod cum præd. Johannes Lidgold eodem primo die Aprilis Anno tertio supradict. apud Paroch. de Bray præd. dimisisset eidem Thomæ Barker præd. sex al. Mesuagia sex al. Cottag. sexaginta al. acr. terræ sexagint. al. acr. prati trescent. al. acr. pastur. & quadragint. al. acr. bosci cum pertin. habend. & occupand. tenementa ill. cum pertin. eidem Thomæ & assign. suis a præd.

Ejection in B.R. per Original upon two several Demises.

Declaration upon the first.

Second Demise.

præd. vicesimo quinto die Martii tunc ult. præterit. usque plen. finem & terminum quinque annorum extunc prox. sequen. & plenar. complend. & finiend. virtute cujus dimission. idem Thomas in eadem tenementa cum pertin. ult. supradict. intravit & fuit inde possessionat. Et sic inde possessionat. existen. & de præd. separal. tenementis cum pertin. ut præfertur etiam possessionat. existen. præd. Simo Winch postea scilicet præd. primo die Aprilis Anno tertio supradict. vi & armis, &c. in præd. tenementa cum pertin. quæ præd. Willielmus & Rebecca Uxor ejus eidem Thomæ Barker in forma præd. dimisissent ad terminum præd. superius primo specificat. qui nondum præteriit ac in præd. tenementa cum pertin. quæ præd. Johannes Lidgold eidem Thomæ Barker in forma prædicta dimisisset ad terminum præd. superius ult. specificat. qui nondum præteriit intravit Et ipsum Thomam Barker in forma prædict. ejecit & al. enormia, &c. ad grave dampnum, &c. Et contra pacem, &c. Unde dicit quod deteriorat. est & dampnum habet ad valenciam Centum libr. Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Et præd. Simo Winch per Johannem Sandwell Attorn. suum ven. & dicit quod tenementa in narration. præd. superius specificat. sunt & a tempore cujus contrar. memoria hominum non existit fuer. parcell. Manerii de Bray in Com. præd. de quo quidem Manerio Dominus Rex & Domina Regina seifit. sunt in jure coron. Quodque Manerium præd. est de antiquo Dominico Coron. Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae Quodque præd. tenementa sunt placitabil. & placitat. fuer. in Cur. Manerii præd. per parvum breve Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae de recto clauso a tempore cujus contrar. memoria homin. non existit Et hoc parat. est verificare prout Cur. consideraverit, &c. Unde pet. judic. si Cur. Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae hic placitum inde cogn. velit, &c.

Pleads in Abatement, that the Lands are parcel of a Manor in ancient Demesne.

And only impleadable in the Court of the Manor by Writ of Right close.

Et præd. Thomas Barker dicit quod per aliqua per præd. Simonem Winch superius placitando allegat. Cur. Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae hic a cognitione placiti præd. habend. præcludi non debet quia dicit quod placitum præd. per ipsum Simonem modo & forma præd. superius placitat. materiaque in eodem content. minus sufficient. in lege existunt ad prædictam Curiam Domini Regis & Dominae Reginae nunc hic a cognition. placiti prædicti habend. præcludend. ad quod quidem placitum materiamque in eodem content. idem Thomas Barker necesse non habet nec per legem terræ tenetur aliquo modo respondere Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde pro defectu sufficien. respons. in hac parte idem Thomas pet. judic. & terminum suum præd. adhuc ventur. de & in tenementis præd. cum pertin. unacum dampnis suis occasione transgression. & ejection. firmæ præd. sibi adjudicari, &c. Et pro causis moration. in lege super placito ill. idem Thomas juxta formam statuti in hujusmodi casu inde nuper edit. & provis. ostend. & Cur. hic demonstrat has causas subsequen. videlicet eo quod præd. Simo in placito suo præd. non ostend. Cur. hic nec allegavit quod tenementa præd. cum

Demurrer.

cum pertin. in narr. præd. mentionat. nec aliqua eorum parcell. tenentur de præd. Domino Rege & Domina Regina nunc de Manerio suo de Bray præd. Et quia placitum præd. est insensibil. incert. & caret forma.

Joinder.

Continuances.

Et præd. Simo dicit quod placitum præd. per ipsum Simonem modo & forma præd. superius placitat. materiaque in eodem content. bon. & sufficien. in lege existunt ad prædictam Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Domina Regina nunc hic a cognition. placiti præd. habend. præcludend. quod quidem placitum materiamque in eodem content. ipse idem Simo parat est. verificare & probare prout Cur', &c. Et quia præd. Thomas ad placitum ill. non respondit nec ill. hucusque aliqualit. deduc. ipse idem Simo ut prius pet. judic. si Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Domina Regina nunc hic placitum præd. cogn. velit aut debeat Sed quia Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Domina Regina nunc hic de judicio suo de & super præmiss. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus prædictis coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina usque a die Sanctæ Trinitatis in tres septimanas ubicunque, &c. de judicio suo inde audiend. eo quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Domina Regina nunc hic inde nondum, &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. partes præd. per Attorn. suos præd. Sed quia Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Domina Regina nunc hic de judicio suo de & super præmissis reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus præd. coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina in crastinum animarum ubicunque, &c. de judicio suo inde audiend. eo quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Domina Regina nunc hic inde nondum, &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. partes præd. per Attorn. suos præd. Super quo vis. & per Cur. dictorum Domini Regis & Domina Regina nunc hic plénius intellectis omnibus & singulis præmissis maturaque deliberatione inde habita pro eo quod videtur Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Domina Regina nunc hic quod præd. placitum per præd. Simonem modo & forma præd. superius placitat. materiaque in eodem content. minus sufficien. in lege existunt ad præd. Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Domina Regina nunc hic a cognitione placiti præd. habend. præcludend. dictum est per Cur. præfat. Simoni quod ulterius respondeat ad nar. præd. Et super hoc præd. Simo solemniter. exact. per præd. Johannem Sandwell Attorn. suum ven. & defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et dicit quod ipse idem Simo in nullo est culpabil. de transgr. & ejection. præd. prout præd. Thomas superius versus eum queritur. Et de hoc pon. se super patriam Et præd. Thomas similiter, &c. Ideo præcept. est vic. quod venire fac. coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina in Octab. Purification. beatæ Mariæ ubicunque, &c. duodecim, &c. per quos, &c. Et qui nec, &c. ad recogn. &c. quia tam, &c. Idem dies dat. est partibus præd. &c. De quo die jurat. præd. inter partes præd. de placito præd. posit. fuit inde inter eos

Judgment
quod respon-
deat Ouster.

Non cul.
pleaded.

Awarding
Venire upon
a Trial at bar,
and Continu-
ance over pro
defectu Jur'.

in respectum coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina usque a die
Pasche in unum mensem extunc prox. sequen. ubicunque, &c. pro
defectu jur., &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege & Domina
Regina apud Westm. ven. partes præd. per Attorn. suos præd. Et
jur. jurata ill. exact. similiter vener. qui ad veritat. de præmissis di-
cend. electi triat. & jurat. fuer. Et quoad transgression. & ejection.
præd. de & in præd. sex Mesuag. sex Cottag. sexagint. acr. terræ
sexagint. acr. prati trescent. acr. pastur. & quadragint. acr. bosci
cum pertin. in narration. præd. per præd. Willielmum Yeildhall &
Rebeccam Uxorem ejus præfat. Thomæ Barker ut præfertur dimiss.
dicunt super sacramentum suum quoad unam quartam partem de
trigint. & duabus acr. terræ inde cum pertin. tent. de Manerio de
Lords Lands & existen. in tenur. cujusdam Willielmi Whitehand
quod præd. Simo Winch est culpabil. de transgression. & ejectione
præd. prout præd. Thomas superius versus eum queritur Et quoad
resid. præd. sex Mesuag. sex cottag. sexagint. acr. terræ sexagint.
acr. prati trescent. acr. pasturæ & quadragint. acr. bosci cum per-
tin. per præd. Willielmum Yeildhall & Rebeccam præfat. Thomæ ut
præfertur dimiss. iidem juratores super sacramentum suum præd.
dicunt quod præd. Simo non est culpabil. de transgr. & ejectione
præd. prout præd. Simo superius placitando allegavit Et quoad
transgr. & ejection. præd. de & in præd. sex al. Mesuag. sex al.
Cottag. sexagint. al. acr. terræ sexagint. al. acr. prati trescent. al.
acr. pasturæ quadragint. al. acr. bosci cum pertin. in narratione
prædict. per prædict. Johannem Lidgold jun. præfat. Thomæ
Barker ut præfertur dimiss. iidem jurat. dicunt super sacramentum
suum præd. quoad unam quartam partem de trigint. & duabus
acr. terræ tent. de Manerio de Lords Lands & existen. in tenur.
præd. Willielmi Whitehand quod præd. Simo Winch est culpabil.
de transgression. & ejection. præd. prout præd. Thomas superius
versus eum queritur Et assidunt dampna ipsius Thomæ occasione
præmiss. præd. ultra mis. & custag. sua per ipsum circa sectam suam
in hac parte apposit. ad vigint. solid. & pro misis & custagiis ill.
ad trigint. solid. Et quoad resid. præd. sex al. Mesuag. sex al. Cot-
tag. sexagint. al. acr. terræ sexagint. al. acr. prati trescent. al. acr.
pastur. & quadragint. al. acr. bosci cum pertin. in narratione præd.
per prædictum Johannem Lidgold ut præfertur dimiss. iidem jura-
tores super sacramentum suum prædictum ulterius dicunt quod
præd. Simo Winch non est culpabil. de transgr. & ejection. præd.
prout præd. Simo superius placitando allegavit Ideo consideratum
est quod præd. Thomas Barker recuperet versus præd. Simonem
Winch terminum suum prædictum adhuc ventur. de & in præ-
dicta quarta parte præd. trigint. duarum acrarum terræ cum pertin.
tent. de Manerio de Lords Lands & existen. in tenur. præd. Wil-
lielmi Whitehand parcelle præd. sex Mesuag. sex Cottag. sexagint.
acr. terræ sexagint. acr. prati trescent. acr. pasturæ & quadragint.
acr. bosci cum pertin. per prædictum Willielmum Yeildhall & Re-
beccam

Verdict as
to the first
Demise.

As to part for
the Plaintiff,
and not guil-
ty as to o-
ther Part.

And as to the
second De-
mise, find as
to part for the
Plaintiff and
part for the
Defendant.

Judgment
quoad what
Defendant is
found guilty.

Capiatur.

Quiet. & eat
fine die
quoad resid'.

beccam Ux. ejus præfat. Thomæ Barker ut præfertur dimiss. unde per jur. præd. superius compert. exist. prædictum Simonem fore culpabil. de transgr. & ejection. præd. & præd. al. terminum suum adhuc ventur. de & in præd. al. quarta parte præd. trigint. & duarum acrarum terræ cum pertin. tent. de Manerio de Lords Lands præd. in tenura præd. Willielmi Whitehand parcell. præd. sex al. Mesuag. sex al. Cottag. sexaginta al. acr. terræ sexaginta al. acr. pratri trescent. al. acr. pastur. & quadragint. al. acr. bosci cum pertin. per prædictum Johannem Lidgold jun. præfat. Thomæ Barker ut præfertur dimiss. unde per jur. præd. superius similiter compert. exist. prædictum Simoneum fore culpabil. de transgr. & ejection. præd. & dampna sua præd. per jur. præd. in forma præd. assess. necnon nonagint. & novem. libr. pro misis & custag. suis præd. eidem Thomæ Barker per Cur. dicti Domini Regis & Domine Regine nunc hic ex assensu suo de incremento adjudicat. quæ quidem dampna in toto se attingunt ad centum & un. libr. Et præd. Simo Winch capiatur, &c. Et similiter prædict. Thomas Barker in misericordia pro falso clamore seu versus prædictum Simonem Winch pro resid. transgr. & ejection. præd. Unde præd. Simo per jur. præd. in forma præd. acquietat. exist. & præd. Simo eat inde fine die, &c.

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Reg-
ni Domini Jacobi secundi nunc Regis
Angliæ, &c. tertio. Rotulo 1019.*

*Countess of Plymouth versus Throgmorton & Ux.
Videl fo. 65.*

Writ of Er-
ror.

DOMINUS REX mandavit dilecto & fideli suo Ed-
vardo Herbert Militi Capital. Justic. suo de Banco
breve suum clausum in hæc verba ff. Jacobus secundus
Dei Gratia Angliæ Scotiæ Franciæ & Hiberniæ Rex. Fi-
dei Defensor, &c. Dilecto & fidel. suo Edvardo Herbert Mil. Ca-
pital. Justic. suo de Banco salutem Quia in recordo & processu
acetiam

acetiā in redditione iudicii loquelæ quæ fuit in Cur. nostra coram vobis & sociis vestris Justic. nostris de Banco per breve nostrum inter Thomam Throckmorton Ar. & Dorotheam Ux. ejus Executricem Testamenti Edvardi Pick Mil. & Brigittam Comitissam Dotissam Plymouth Executricem Testamenti Caroli Comitis Plymouth de eo quod eadem Brigitta Comitissa Dotissa Plymouth redderet præfat. Thomæ & Dorotheæ septuaginta quinque libras ut dicitur Error intervenit manifestus ad grave dampnum ipsius Brigittæ Comitissæ Dotissæ Plymouth sicut ex querela sua accepimus Nos Error. si quis fuerit modo debito corrigi & partibus præd. plenam & celere justitiam fieri volentes in hac parte vobis mandamus quod si iudicium inde reddit. sit tunc record. & process. præd. cum omnibus ea tangen. nobis sub sigillo vestro distincte & aperte mittatis & hoc breve ita quod ea habeamus a die Sanctæ Trinitatis in tres septimanas ubicunque tunc fuerimus in Anglia ut inspect. record. & process. præd. ulterius inde pro error. illo corrigend. fieri faciamus quod de jure & secundum legem & consuetud. Regni nostri Angl. fuerit faciend. Teste me ipso apud Westm. octavo die Junii Anno Regni nostri tertio.

Santhey.

Responf. Edvardi Herbert Mil. Capital. Justic. infranominat. Record. & process. loquelæ unde infra fit mentio cum omnibus ea tangen. coram Domino Rege ubicunque &c. Ad diem infracent. mitto in quodam recordo huic brevi annex. prout interiorius mihi præcipitur.

Edw. Herbert.

Placita apud Westm. coram Edvardo Herbert Mil. & sociis suis justic. Domini Regis de banco de termino Paschæ Anno Regni Domini Jacobi secundi Dei Gratia Angliæ Scotiæ Franciæ & Hiberniæ Regis Fidei Defensoris &c. tertio. Rotulo 616.

Middlesex ff. Brigitta Comitissa Dotissa Plymouth Executrix testamenti & ult. voluntat. Caroli Comitis Plymouth sum. fuit ad respondend. Thomæ Throckmorton Ar. & Dorotheæ Uxori ejus Executrici testamenti & ult. voluntat. Edvardi Picke Mil. de placito quod reddat eis septuagint. & quinque libras quas eis injuste detinet, &c. Et unde iidem Thomas & Dorothea per Carolum Draper Attorn. suum dicunt quod cum prædictus Comes in vita sua scilicet tertio diē Maii Anno Regni Domini Caroli secundi nuper Regis Angliæ &c. tricesimo secundo apud Ilington per quoddam factum suum quod iidem Thomas & Dorothea hic in Cur. proferunt cujus dat. est eisdem die, & anno dedit auctoritatem eidem Edvardo requirere & recipere omnes & omnimod. denar. summam & summas quæ tunc fuer. vel in futuro ad aliquod tempus vel tempora infra tres annos tunc prox. sequen. deven. debet.

Declaration upon a Warrant of Attorney to the Testator, by an Executrix and her Husband.

Salary of
100 l. per An-
num allow'd
to the Attor-
ney, to be
deducted out
of what he
receives.

The Attor-
ney demands
several Sums
of Money,
but none
paid.

Whereby the
Action ac-
crues.

Breach.

Profert of the
Letters testa-
mentary.

Judgment per
Nil dicit.

& solubil. eidem Comit. super aliqua compota quæcunque & sol-
vere & disponere easdem ut ipse prædictus Comes de tempore in
tempus ordinaret vel appunctuaret eidem Edvardo per aliquod
scriptum vel scripta sub manu sua duran. prædictis tribus annis Et
pro cura & labore prædicti Edvardi in præmissis præd. Comes
obligavit se per idem scriptum solvere præfat. Edvardo centum li-
bras per annum legalis monetæ Angliæ duran. præd. tribus annis
aut aliter quod licit. foret præd. Edvardo Picke retinere & solvere
sibi ipsi præd. centum libras per ann. duran. præd. tribus annis ex
talibus pecuniis qual. ipse reciperet virtute ejusdem facti prout
per idem factum plenius apparet Et præd. Thomas & Dorothea
in facto dicunt quod prædictus Edvardus postea & per spacium
trium quatteriorum anni extunc prox. sequen. apud Illington
præd. de tempore in tempus sollicitavit & requisivit diversas per-
sonas adtunc debitores præfat. Comit. ad solvend. multas denatio-
rum summas adtunc ab eisdem debit. & solubil. eidem Comit. Sed
duran. præd. tribus quatter. anni vel ad aliquod tempus postea
præd. Edvardus non recepit aliquas denariorum summas virtut.
ejusdem facti unde se solvisse potuit præd. centum libras aut ali-
quam partem inde per quod actio accrevit eidem Edvardo in vita
sua ad exigend. & habend. de præfat. Comit. præd. septuaginta &
quinque libras præd. tamen Comes in vita sua & præd. Comitissa
post ipsius Comit. mortem licet sæpius requisit. præd. septuagint.
& quinque libras eidem Edvardo in vita sua seu præfat. Dorotheæ
post ipsius Edvardi mortem dum ipsa sola fuit seu præfat. Thomæ
& Dorotheæ post desponsalia inter eos celebrat. seu eorum alicui
nondum solvet. nec eorum alter solvit Sed ill. eidem Edvardo in
vita sua & præfat. Dorotheæ post ipsius Edvardi mortem & eisdem
Thomæ & Dorotheæ post desponsalia inter eos celebrata reddere
omnino contradixit. eademque Comitissa ill. eisdem Thomæ &
Dorotheæ adhuc reddere contradic. & injuste detinet in retarda-
tion. fidel. execution. testamenti prædict. Edvardi præd. & ad
dampnum ipsorum Thomæ & Dorotheæ decem librarum Et inde
producunt sectam &c. Et proferunt hic in Cur. iidem Thomas &
Dorothea literas testamentar. præd. Edvardi per quas satis liquet
Cur. hic ipsam Dorotheam fore Executricem testamenti præfat. Ed-
vardi & inde habere administration' &c.

Et prædicta Brigitta per Willielmum Rymer Attorn. suum ven.
& defend. vim & injur. quando &c. Et nichil in barram sive
præclusionem actionis prædictorum Thomæ & Dorotheæ præd. di-
cit quod iidem Thomas & Dorothea reman. versus præfat. Brigit-
tam inde indefens' Ideo conf. est quod præd. Thomas & Dorothea
recuperent versus præfat. Brigittam debitum suum præd. & damp-
na sua occasione detentionis debiti illius ad quinquaginta solid.
eisdem Thomæ & Dorotheæ ex assensu suo per Cur. hic adjudicat.
de bonis & catallis quæ fuer. præd. Comit. tempore mortis suæ in
manibus ipsius Brigittæ administrand. existen. levand. si tantum in
manibus

manibus suis administrand. habeat & si non habeat tunc dampna prædicta de bonis & catallis præd. Brigittæ propr. levand' Et prædicta Brigitta Comitissa Plymouth in Misericordia, &c.

Misericordia.

Et prædicta Brigitta Comitissa Dotissa Plymouth per Henricum Doughty Attorn. suum ven. & dic. quod in record. & processu præd. necnon in redditione judicii præd. manifest. est errat. in hoc videlicet quod narratio præd. materiaque in eadem content. minus sufficien. in lege exist. ad actionem præfat. Thomæ & Dorotheæ inde versus ipsam Comitissam habend. manutenend' Ideo in eo errat, est manifest. Errat. est etiam in hoc quod per record. præd. apparet quod judicium præd. modo & forma præd. reddit. reddit. fuit pro præd. Thoma & Dorothea versus ipsam Comitissam in placito præd. ubi per legem terræ hujus Regni Angl. judic. illud. reddi debisset pro eadem Comitissa versus præfat. Thomam & Dorotheam ideoque in eo similiter errat. est manifest. Et præd. Comitissa ulterius dicit quod errat. est etiam in hoc videlicet quod non habetur aliquod Warrant. de Attorn. affilat. de record. in præd. Cur. de Comuni Banco inter partes præd. de placito præd. ad Warrantizand. Carolum Draper fore Attorn. pro præd. Thoma & Dorothea versus præd. Comitissam in placito præd' Ideo in eo similiter errat. est manifeste Unde eadem Comitissa pet. breve Domini Regis de Certiorari Capitali Justic. dicti Domini Regis de Banco dirigend' &c. Et ei conceditur &c. per quod præcept. est Edvardo Herbert Mil. Capital. Justic. de Banco præd. quod scrutat. rotulis & al. memorand. de Warr. Attorn. Com. Middlesex de termino Paschæ Anno Regni dicti Domini Regis tertio in custod. sua de record. existen. & quid inde in eisdem invenerit dicto Domino Rege ubicunque &c. sine dilatione certificet una cum brevi dicti Domini Regis sibi inde direct' &c. Qui quidem Capital. Justic. de Banco præd. dicti Dom. Reg. respondit quod executio brevis præd. patebat in quadam Scheda eidem brevi annex. in qua quidem Scheda continentur titulus Rotulorum de Warrant. Attorn. affilat. de præd. termino Paschæ in brevi præd. specificat. in custod. ipsius Capital. Justic. de record. existen. & recordum cujusdam Warrant. Attorn. inter partes præd. de præd. placito in eadem forma qua idem Warrant. Attorn. in eisdem Rotul. de recordo intrat. existit. quæ quidem titulus & warrant. Attorn. sequuntur in hæc verba Rotula de Attorn. recept. coram Edvardo Herbert Mil. Capital. Justic. Domini Regis de Banco & sociis suis de termino Paschæ Anno Regni Domini Jacobi secundi Dei Gratia Angliæ Scotiæ Franciæ & Hiberniæ Regis Fidei Defensoris &c. tertio.

Errors assigned.

No Warrant of Attorney, and Certiorari pray'd.

Entry of the Certiorari.

Return of the Chief Justice.

Middlesex ff. Thomas Throckmorton Ar. & Dorothea Ux. ejus Executrix testamenti & ult. voluntat. Edvardi Picke Mil. pon. loco suo Carolum Draper Attorn. suum versus Brigittam Comitissam Dotissam Plymouth Executricem testamenti & ult. voluntat. Caroli Comitis Plymouth de placito debiti Quod quidem breve inter

Warrant of Attorney.

Defendants
rejoin in Er-
ror.

Continuance.

Judgment
reversed.

inter record. sine die affilatur &c. Et super hoc prædict. Thomas & Dorothea per Michaelen Johnson Attorn. suum gratis hic in Cur. ven. & prædict. Brigitta Comitissa Dotissa Plymouth ut prius dicit quod in record. & process. necnon in redditione judicii præd. manifeste est errat. allegando errores prædict. per ipsam in forma præd. allegat. & pet. quod judicium prædict. ob errores ill. & al. in record. & process. prædict. existen. revocetur adnulletur & penitus pro nullo habeatur Et quod ipsa ad omnia quæ occasione judicii præd. amisit restituatur &c. Et quod præd. Thomas & Dorothea ad error. prædict. rejangant &c. Et quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis hic procedat ad examination. tam record. & process. præd. quam meteriar. præd. superius pro error. assign' &c. Et præd. Thomas & Dorothea dicunt quod nec in record. & process. præd. nec in redditione judicii præd. in ullo est errat. Et pet. similiter quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis procedat ad examination. tam record. & process. præd. quam materiar. præd. superius pro error. assign. & quod judicium præd. in omnibus affirmetur &c. Et quia Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc hic de judicio inde reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus præd. coram dicto Domino Rege usque a die Sancti Michaelis in tres septimanas tunc prox. sequen. ubicunque &c. de judicio suo inde audiend. eo quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis hic inde nondum &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. partes præd. per Attorn. suos præd' Sed quia Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc hic de judicio suo de & super præmiss. præd. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus prædict. coram Domino Rege usque in Octab. Sancti Hillarii ubicunque &c. de judicio suo inde audiend. eo quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc hic inde nondum &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. partes præd. per Attorn. suos præd' Sed quia Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc hic de judicio suo de & super præmiss. reddend. nondum advisatur dies inde dat. est partibus præd. coram Domino Rege usque in quinden. Pasche ubicunque &c. de judicio suo inde audiend. eo quod Cur. dicti Domini Regis hic inde nondum &c. Ad quem diem coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. partes prædict. per Attorn. suos præd. super quo vis. & per Cur. dicti Domini Regis hic plenius intellectis diligenterq; examinat. tam record. & process. præd. ac judicium super eisdem reddit. quam præd. causis & materiis superius pro error. assign. pro eo quod videtur Cur. dicti Domini Regis nunc hic quod in record. & process. necnon in redditione judicii præd. manifest. est errat' Conf. est quod judicium præd. ob error. præd. & al. in record. & process. præd. existen. revocetur adnulletur & penitus pro nullo habeatur Et quod præd. Brigitta Comitissa Dotissa Plymouth ad omnia quæ occasione judicii præd. amisit restituatur &c.

Placit

*Placita coram Domino Rege apud Westm.
de termino Paschæ Anno Regni Domini
Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angliæ, &c.
octavo. Rotulo 291.*

Freeman & al'. *versus* Bernard & al'. Vide fo. 69.

London, ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilicet termino Sancti Hillarii Anno Regni Domini Willii tertii nunc Regis Angliæ, &c. sexto coram eodem Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar per Benjamin Mould Attorn. suum Et protuler. in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus Samuel. Bernard & Thomam Rodbard in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito transgr. super casum, &c. Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilicet Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe Quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba ff. London ff. Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar queruntur de Samuele Bernard & Thoma Rodbard in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. pro eo videlicet quod cum octavo die Augusti Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo tertio apud London præd. videlicet in Paroch. beatæ Mariæ de Arcubus in Warda de Cheape agreeat. fuit inter ipsos Thomam Freeman & Thomam Haggar & præfat. Samuel. & Thomam Rodbard modo & forma sequen. videlicet quod prædict. Samuel Bernard & Thomas Rodbard deliberarent præfat. Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar sexdecim baggas bon. novorum lupulorum de tunc prox. provention. Anglice *next Growth*, bon. mercimon. pandoxatorum, Anglice *Good Brewers Ware*, ad trigint. & quatuor solid. per centum & quod deliberat. forent ad vel ante vicesimum quintum diem Decembr. tunc prox. sequen. Ac superinde in conf. quod præd. Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar solvissent præd. Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard un. peciam auri cuneat. vocat. *a Guinea*, legalis monetæ Angl. in parte solution. inde ac ad tunc & ibidem ad special. instanc. & requisition. ipsorum Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard super se assumpsissent & eisdem Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard fidelit. promississent ad performand. agreement. præd. in omnibus ex parte ipsorum Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar performand. seu perimplend. secundum formam & effectum agreement. præd. ipsi iidem Samuel & Thomas Rodbard super se assumer. & præfat. Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar ad tunc & ibi-

Vol. II. M m m dem

Declaration upon an Agreement to deliver sixteen Bags of Hops before Decemb. 25.

Mutual Promises to perform the Agreement.

Breach in not
delivering
the Hops.

Second Count
upon another
Agreement
in Writing
set forth, to
deliver other
sixteen Bags
of Hops, and
left in the
Hands of a
third Person.

A Colloqui-
um touching
the Agree-
ment.

Mutual Pro-
mises.

dem fidelit. promiser. quod ipsi iidem Samuel & Thomas Rodbard agreement. præd. in omnibus ea parte ipsorum Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard performand. seu perimplend. bene & fidelit. performare & perimplerè vellent præd. tamen Samuel & Thomas Rodbard promission. & assumption. suas prædict. minime curan. sed machinan. & fraudulent. intenden. eosdem Thomam Freeman & Thomam Haggar in hac parte callide & subdole decipere & defraudare præd. sexdecim baggas lupulorum seu aliquam inde parcell. super vel ante præd. vicesimum quintum diem Decembris prox. sequen. post confection. agreement. præd. seu ad aliquod tempus postea hucusq; eisdem Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar seu eor. alteri non deliberaver. Sed ill. eis hucusq; deliberare omnino recusaver. & adhuc recusant Cumque etiam postea scilicet præd. octavo die Augusti Anno Domini supradict. apud London præd. in paroch. & Warda præd. quoddam al. agreement. habit. & fact. fuit in scriptis inter præd. Samuel. & Thomam Rodbard & eosdem Thomam Freeman & Thomam Haggar in forma sequen. videlicet quod præd. Samuel & Thomas Rodbard deliberarent eisdem Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar sexdecim al. baggas bon. novorum lupulorum de tunc prox. provention. Anglice *next Growth*, bon. mercimon. pandoxatorum, Anglice *good Brewers Ware*, ad ratam trigint. & quatuor solidorum per centum, Anglice *at thirty-four Shillings per Hundred*, & quod deliberat. forent super vel ante vicesimum quintum diem Decembr. tunc prox. sequen. quod quidem script. agreement. relict. fuit in manibus cujusdam Thomæ Ruck Cumque postea scilicet eodem octavo die Augusti Anno supradicto apud London præd. in Paroch. & Warda præd. quoddam colloquium habit. & mot. fuit inter præd. Samuel. & Thomam Rodbard & eosdem Thomam Freeman & Thomam Haggar de & concernen. agreement. præd. ult. mentionat. & de & concernen. deliberation. duorum onerum lupulorum eisdem Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar per præd. Samuel. & Thomam Rodbard fiend. & super colloquium ill. inter præfat. Samuel. & Thomam Rodbard & eosdem Thomam Freeman & Thomam Haggar duo al. onera lupulorum secundum agreement. præd. ut præfertur relict. in manibus præd. Thomæ Ruck quodque ipsi iidem Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar acceptarent lupulos præd. ult. mentionat. secundum præd. prius agreement. ult. recitat. ac superinde in conf. quod ipsi iidem Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar ad tunc & ibidem ad special. instanc. & requisition. præd. Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard solvissent præd. Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard. un. al. peciam auri cuneat. vocat. *a Guinea* legalis monetæ Angl. in parte solution. pro eisdem lupulis ult. mentionat. ac super se assumpsissent & eisdem Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard fidel. pmis. ad performand. agreement. præd. in omnibus ex parte ipsorum Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar performand. & perimplend. secundum formam & effectum agreement. præd. ult. mentionat. ipsi iidem Samuel & Thomas Rodbard super se assumpser. & eisdem

eisdem Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar adtunc & ibidem fidelit. promiser. quod ipsi iidem Samuel & Thomas Rodbard agreement. præd. ult. mentionat. in omnibus ex parte ipsorum Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard performand. & perimplend. bene & fidelit. performare & perimplere vellent Prædict. tamen Samuel & Thomas Rodbard promission. & assumption. suas præd. ult. mentionat. in forma præd. fact. minime curantes sed machinantes & fraudulent. intendentes eosdem Thomam Freeman & Thomam Haggar in hac parte callide & subdole decipere & defraudare præd. duo onera lupulorum ult. mentionat. seu aliquam inde parcellam eisdem Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar super vel ante præd. vicesimum quintum diem Decembris prox. sequen. post confection. promission. & assumption. prædict. ult. mentionat. seu ad aliquod tempus postea eisdem Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar seu eorum alteri non deliberaver. seu eorum alt. deliberavit. Sed ill. eis hucusque deliberare omnino recusaver. & adhuc recusant Unde iidem Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar dicunt quod ipsi deteriorat. sunt & dampnum habent ad valenc. ducent. librarum Et inde producant sectam, &c.

Breach.

Et modo adhunc diem scilicet diem Mercur. prox. post Quinden. Paschæ usque quem diem præd. Samuel. & Thomas Rodbard habuer. licenc. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm̄ veni tam præd. Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar per Attorn̄ suū prædict. quam præd. Samuel & Thomas Rodbard per Johannem Bernard Attorn. suum Et iidem Samuel & Thomas Rodbard defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et dicunt quod præd. Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar action. suam præd. inde versus eos habere seu manutenere non debent quia dicunt quod post confection. separal. promission. & assumption. superius in narr. præd. specificat. scilicet secundo die Novembris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo quarto apud London præd. scilicet apud Paroch. beatæ Mariæ de Arcubus in Warda de Cheape præd. tam. præfat. Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar quam præd. Samuel & Thomas Rodbard submiser. & posuer. seipsos in arbitrium ordination. & iudicium Johannis Parsons Mil. & Richardi Hammond Pandoxator. Arbitratorum tam ex parte præd. Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar quam ex parte eorum Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard indifferent. nominat. & elect. ad arbitrand. ordinand. adjudicand. & determinand. de & super & concernen. omnes & omnimodas action. & actiones causam & causas actionis sectas debita obligationes billas specialitat. executiones computa summam & summas monetæ differentias controversias transgr. dampna clamea & demand. quæcunq; ad aliquod tempus ante tunc habit. mot. commensat. prosecut. fact. promiss. commiss. vel dependen. in secta controversia questione sive demand' per vel inter præfat. Thomam Freeman & Thomam Haggar & præd. Samuel. & Thomam Rodbard pro vel ratione ali-

Plead a Submission to Arbitration:

cujus

To be made
in Writing
before the 1st
of December.

Arbitrators
make Award.

cujus materiæ causæ sive rei cujuscunque ita quod p̄d. arbitratores facerent & darent arbitrium & determination. suam de & concernen. præmiss. in scripto indentat. sub manibus & sigillis suis parat. deliberari præd. Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar Samueli & Thomæ Rodbard sive alteri vel eorum aliquibus apud vel in domum mansionalem Tobia Winne Scriptor. in platea vocat. *Bartholomew-Lane*, prope Regale Excambium Lond. ante primum diem Decembris tunc prox. sequen. Quodque postea scilicet vicesimo nono die Novembris Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo quarto supradicto apud domum mansional. præfat. Tobia Winne in platea vocat. *Bartholomew-Lane*, præd. prope Regale Excambium in London scilicet in Paroch. Sancti Bartholomæi Exchange in Warda de Broad-street London præfat. Johannes Parsons & Richardus Hammond arbitrator. præd. accept. super se onere arbitrii præd. per quoddam script. indentat. sub manibus & sigillis arbitratorum illorum ac parat. ad deliberand. præd. Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard sive alteri vel aliquibus eorum arbitraver. fecer. & deder. arbitrium & determination. suam de & concernen. præmiss. quod præd. Samuel & Thomas Rodbard acetiam prædict. Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar sive separal. respectivi Executor. & Administrator. præd. Samuel. & Thomæ Rodbard Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar vicissim signarent ac sigillarent ac ut actum & factum sua deliberarent ad & pro usu alterius & alterorum Executor. sive Administrator. suorum respectivè plenas & sufficientes general. relaxation. & exoneration. de omnibus actionibus factis comput. debitis & demand. quibuscunque in Lege sive Equitate de & sive concernen. præmiss. dictis arbitrator. ut præfertur submiss. in aliquo modo Ac præd. Samuel & Thomas Rodbard dicunt quod ipsi a tempore confectio. arbitrii illius hucusque semper parat. fuer. & existunt signare sigillare ac ut acta & facta sua eisdem Thomæ Freeman & Thomæ Haggar deliberare tal. relaxationes & exonerationes qual. per arbitratores præd. superius arbitrantur si præd. Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar relaxation. & exoneration. ill. de præfat. Samuel. & Thoma Rodbard accipere vellent sive voluerint Et hoc parat. sunt verificare Unde iidem Samuel & Thomas Rodbard petunt judicium si præd. Thomas Freeman & Thomas Haggar action. suam præd. inde versus eos habere seu manutenere debeant &c.

To this the Plaintiffs demurr'd, and the Defendants join'd in Demurrer.

Placita coram Domino Rege & Domina Regina apud Westm. de termino Sanctæ Trinitatis Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nunc Regis Angl', &c. Septimo. Rotulo 176.

Bacon. *versus* Debary. Vide fo. 70.

London, ff.

Memorandum, Quod als. scilicet termino Paschæ ult. præterit. coram Domino Rege, apud Westm. ven. Josiah Bacon per Willielmum Baker Attorn. suum Et protulit in Cur. dicti Domini Regis tunc ibidem quandam billam suam versus David Debary als. dict. David Debary de Lond. Mercator. in Custod. Mar', &c. de placito debiti Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilicet Johannes Doe & Richardus Roe quæ quidem billa sequitur in hac verba ff. London ff. Josiah Bacon queritur de David Debary als. dict. David Debary de Lond. Mercator. in Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domini Regis coram ipso Rege existen. de placito quod reddat ei sexcent. libr. legalis Monet. Angl. quas ei debet & injuste detinet pro eo videlicet quod cum prædict. David octavo die Novembr. Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo quarto apud London videlicet in Paroch. beatæ Mariæ de Arcubus in Warda de Cheape per quoddam scriptum suum obligator. sigillo ipsius David. sigillat. Cur' que dict. Domini Regis nunc hic ostens. cujus dat. est eisdem die & anno cogn. se teneri & firmiter obligari præfat. Josiah in præd. sexcent. libr. solvend. eidem Josiah cum inde requisit. esset præd. tamen David licet sæpius requisit' &c. præd. sexcent. libr. præfat. Josiah nondum solvit sed ill. ei hucusque solvere omnino contradixit & adhuc contradic. Ad dampnum ipsius Josiah Centum librar. Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Declaration upon a Bond of Arbitration.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilicet diem Veneris prox. post Crastinum Sanctæ Trinitatis isto eodem termino usque quem diem præd. David habuit licent. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domino Rege apud Westm. ven. tam præd. Josiah pet Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. David per Johannem Green Attorn suum. Et idem David defend. vim & injur. quando, &c. Et per audit. script. Obligator. præd. & ei legitur, &c. pet. etiam audit' Condition' ejusdem script. obligator. prædict. Et ei legitur in hac Verba ff. *The Condition of this Obligation is such, That if the above-bounden David Debary for and on the Behalf of Jacob*

Plea.

Oyer.

Dernyter of Hamborough, Merchant, his Executors and Administrators, do and shall well and truly stand to, obey, abide, observe, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Arbitrament, Order, final End, Determination and Judgment of Maurice Williams, Nicholas Cutler, and Michael Milford of London, Merchants, or any two of them, Arbitrators, as well on the Part and Behalf of the abovenamed Josiah Bacon as of the said David Debary; and by their mutual Assents and Consents indifferently elected, named, and chosen to arbitrate, award, order, judge, determine, and a final End to make, of, for, upon and concerning all, and all Manner of Action and Actions, Cause and Causes of Action, Suits, Debts, Accompts, Reckonings, Sum and Sums of Money, Covenants, Contracts, Promises, Trespasses, Damages, Bonds, Bills, Specialties, Judgments, Extents, Executions, Trespasses, Strifes, Differences, Controversies, Matters, Claims and Demands whatsoever, as now are, or at any Time before the Date abovewritten, have been moved, stirred up, or depending between the said David Debary, as Attorney to the said Jacob Dernyter of the one Part, and the said Josiah Bacon of the other Part, for, touching or concerning certain Accompts between the said Josiah Bacon and the said Jacob Dernyter, so as the said Award, Arbitrament, Order, final End, and Determination and Judgment of the said Arbitrators, or any two of them, of and upon the Premises, be made and set down in Writing indented under their Hands and Seals, and be delivered or ready to be delivered up unto the said Parties, respectively in Difference, requiring the same, at or in the now Dwelling-House of John Chambers, Scrivener, situate in Lombard-street, London, on or before the one and twentieth Day of this instant November, then this Obligation to be void, or else to stand in full Force and Vertue. Quibus lectis & audit. idem David Debary dicit quod præd. Josiah Bacon action. suam præd. inde versus eum habere seu manutenere non debet quia dicit quod. præd. Mauric. Williams, Nicholaus Cutler & Michael Milford in Condition. præd. nominat' vel duo eorum non fecer. aliquod arbitrium in script. indent. sub manibus & sigillis suis de pro & concernen. præmissis præd. in Condition. præd. superius specificat. super vel ante vicesimum primum diem Novembr. in Condition. præd. mentionat. secundum formam & effectum Condition. præd. Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde petit Judicium si præd. Josiah Bacon action. suam præd. inde versus eum habere seu manutenere debeat, &c.

Nul Award
pleaded.

Replies an
Award.

Et præd. Josiah Bacon dic. quod ipse per aliqua per prædict. David Debary superius placitando allegat. ab actione sua præd. inde versus ipsum David habend. præcludi non debet quia dicit quod post confection. script. obligator. prædict. scilicet super vicesimum primum diem Novembr. Anno Domini Millesimo sexcentesimo nonagesimo quarto in Condition. præd. spec. apud London. prædict' in Paroch. & Warda præd. præd. Nicholaus Cutler & Michael Milford duo Arbitrator. in Condition. præd. superius spec. acceper. super se onus arbitrand' & ordinand. de & super præmissis in Condition.

Condition. præd. superius mentionat. & fecerunt Arbitrium suum in script. indentat. sub manibus & sigillis suis inter partes & de & super præmiss. in Condition. præd. mentionat. per quod quidem Arbitrium hic in Cur. prolat. iidem Arbitrator. recitand. quod cum præd. David pro & ex parte Jacob DERNYTER de Hamborough Mercator. & præd. Josiah per mutuas obligationes, Anglice *interchangeable Obligations*, geren. dat. octavo die tunc instant. Novembris Obligat. fuer. alt. alterutro in sexcent. libr. conditionat. ad perstand. arbitrium, Anglice, *to stand to the Award*, dict. Mauricii Williams Nicholai Cutler & Michaelis Milford vel duorum eorum Arbitratorum aliquorum mutualit. inter se elect. ad judicand. determinand. & finalit. finiend. de & in omnia & omnimod. Action. & Actiones Causam & Causas Action. sect. debit. Comput. Computation. summam & summas denar. convention. contract. promission. transgression. dampn. obligation. bill. specialit. judic. extent. execution. litem different. controvers. mater. clam. & demand. quæcunque quæ tunc fuer. vel ad aliquod tempus ante dat. script. obligatorii præd. mot. fuissent excitat. vel dependen. intr. præd. David Debary (ut Attorn. dict. Jacob DERNYTER) & prædict. Josiam Bacon tangen. Comput. inter prædict. Josiah Bacon & prædict. Jacob DERNYTER ita quod Arbitrium & determinat. dict. Arbitrator. vel aliquor. eorum duorum fact. fuit in script. indentat. sub eorum vel aliquor. eorum duorum manibus & sigillis parat. deliberand. præd. partibus in different. idem requiren. ad vel in tunc & nunc domum Mansional. Johannis Chambers scriptor. situat. in Lombard-street, London. super vel ante dict. vicesimum primum diem Novembr. prout per dict. obligat. & Condition. eorundem plenius apparet præd. Nicholaus Cutler & Michael arbitraver. & ordinaver. præd. David Debary Execut. Administrator. vel assign. suos ex parte præd. Jacob DERNYTER ad solvend. vel solvi causand. præd. Josiah Execut. Administrator. vel assign. suis summam trescent. quadragint. quinque libr. sex. solid. & decem denar. legalis monet. Angl. super vel ante secundum diem Januar. tunc prox. & ulterius arbitraver. & ordinaver. quod præd. Josiah Bacon & præd. David Debary ex parte prædict. Jacobi DERNYTER super solution. præd. denar. sum. ut præfertur signarent & sigillarent & legalit. execut. forent & deliberarent ad vel in usum eor. alterius bonam & sufficiens. relaxation. omnis & omnimod. action. & actionum causæ & causarum action. sect. debitor. comput. computat. summæ & summar. denar. convention. contract. promission. transgr. dampn. obligation. bill. specialitat. judicior. extent. execution. litium different. controvers. material. clam. & demand. quarumcunque dic. Compa. tangen. prout per Arbitrium præd. apparet. Et idem Josiah in facto dic. quod arbitrium præd. in forma præd. fact. postea scilicet præd. vicesimo primo die Novembris Anno supradict. apud London. prædict. in Paroch. & Warda præd. deliberat. fuit. tam præfat. Josiah quam præd. David

Award set forth.

In default of award.

Award delivered.

secun-

Protestando.

Breach assigned.

secundum formam & effectum Condition. script. obligator. præd. Idemque Josiah ulterius dic' quod licet ipse idem Josiah a tempore confection. Arbitrii præd. hucusque bene & vere observavit performavit & custodivit omnia & singula in arbitrio præd. content. ex parte ipsius Josiah performand. & perimplend. secundum formam & effectum Arbitrii ill. protestando etiam quod præd. David Debary a tempore confection. ejusdem Arbitrii hucusque non observavit performavit seu perimplevit Arbitrium præd. in aliquibus performand. & perimplend. secundum formam & effectum arbitrii ill. in facto idem Josiah dic. quod præd. David Debary non solvit vel solvi causavit præd. Josiah præd. summam trescent. quadragint. & quinque libr. sex solid. & decem denar. super vel ante præd. secundum diem Januar. quas ei adtunc solvisse debuit secundum formam & effectum Arbitrii præd. Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde pet. Judicium & debitum suum præd. unacum dampnis suis occasione detention. debiti ill. sibi adjudicari, &c.

Demurrer & Joinder, & Jud. pro Def.

*Placita coram Domina Regina apud Westm.
de termino Sancti Hillarii Anno Regni
Dominae Annæ nunc Reginae Angliæ,
&c. secundo. Rotulo 450.*

Winter *versus* Garlick. Vide fo. 75.

Civit. Bristol. ff.

M

Memorandum, Quod als. scilicet Termino

Paschæ ultimo præterito coram Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. Edmundus

Winter per Richardum Longford At-

torn. suum Et protulit in Cur. dict. Dominae Reginae tunc ibi-

dem quandam billam suam versus Edvardum Garlick als. dict. Ed-

vardum Garlick de Civit. Bristol Pharmacopola in Custod. Mar',

&c. de placito debiti Et sunt pleg. de prosequend. scilicet Johannes

Doe & Richardus Roe quæ quidem billa sequitur in hæc verba ff.

Civit. Bristol. ff. Edmundus Winter queritur de Edvardo Garlick

als. dict. Edvardum Garlick de Civit. Bristol. Pharmacopola in

Custod.

Declaration
upon Arbitration-
Bond.

Custod. Mar. Marefc. Domina Regina coram ipsa Regina existen. de placito quod reddat ei Centum libr. legalis monetæ Angliæ quas ei debet & injuste detinet pro eo videlicet quod cum prædict. Edvardus decimo nono die Augusti Anno Regni Domini Willielmi tertii nuper Regis Angl', &c. decimo tertio apud Civit. Bristol. in Com. ejusdem Civit. per quoddam script. suum Obligatorium sigillo ipsius Edvardi sigillat. Cur'que Domina Regina nunc hic ostens. cujus dat. est eisdem die & Anno cogn. se teneri & firmit. obligari præfat. Edmundo in præd. Centum Libr. solvend. eidem Edmundo cum inde postea requisit. esset præd. tamen Edvardus licet sæpius requisit', &c. præd. Centum libr. eidem Edmundo nondum solvit sed ill. ei hucusque solvere omnino contradixit & adhuc contradicit ad dampnum ipsius Edmundi decem Librar. Et inde producit sectam, &c.

Et modo ad hunc diem scilicet diem Lunæ prox. post Octab. Plea. Sancti Hillarii isto eodem Termino usque quem diem præd. Edvardus habuit licent. ad billam præd. interloquend. & tunc ad respondend', &c. coram Domina Regina apud Westm. ven. tam præd. Edmundus per Attorn. suum præd. quam præd. Edvardus per Johannem Till Adam Attorn. suum Et idem Edvardus defendit vim & injur. quando, &c. Et pet. audit. scripti obligator. præd. & ei legitur, &c. pet. etiam auditum Condition. ejusdem scripti & ei legitur in hæc verba ff. *The Condition of this Obligation is such, That if the above bounden Edward Garlick, his Heirs, Executors and Administrators, for his and their Parts and Behalfts, do and shall in all Things well and truly stand to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrement, final End and Determination of John Hind of the City of Bristol, aforesaid, Gentleman, and John Packer of the same City, Bell-founder, Arbitrators indifferently named, elected, and chosen, as well on the Part and Behalf of the above bounden Edward Garlick, as of the above-named Edmund Winter, to arbitrate, award, order, judge, and determine of and concerning all and all Manner of Action and Actions, Cause and Causes of Action, Suits, Bills, Bonds, Specialties, Judgments, Executions, Extents, Quarrels, Controversies, Trespasses, Damages and Demands whatsoever, at any Time or Times heretofore had, made, moved, brought, commenced, prosecuted, done, suffered, committed or depending by and between the said Parties, or either of them, so as the said Award be made in Writing, and ready to be delivered to either of the said Parties, requiring the same on or before the eighth Hour in the Afternoon of this present Day: But if the said Arbitrators do not make such their Award of and concerning the Premises by the Time aforesaid, That then if the said Edward Garlick, his Executors and Administrators for his and their Parts and Behalfts, do in all Things well and truly stand to, obey, abide, perform, fulfil and keep the Award, Order, Arbitrement, Umpirage, final End and Determination of Robert Godfrey, Gent. of and concerning the Premises, so as the said Umpire do make his*

Oyer of
Condition.

Pleads no Award made by the Arbitrators, but that the Umpire did.

Umpirage set forth that the Defendant should pay Costs of a Suit.

Tender and Refusal.

Award or Umpirage of and concerning the Premises in Writing, and ready to be delivered to either of the said Parties requiring the same, on or before the eighth Hour in the Afternoon of the Day next ensuing the Date of these Presents, then this Obligation to be void or else to remain in full Force, Strength and Virtue. Quibus lectis & auditis idem Edvardus dic. quod præd. Edmundus action. suam præd. inde versus eum habere seu manutenere non debet quia dic. quod præd. Johannes Hind & Johannes Packer arbitrator. in condition. præd. nominat. nullum fecer. arbitrium & ordination. arbitrament. final. conclusion. Anglice, *final End*, seu determination. de & super præmissis in condition. præd. superius mentionat. ad vel ante octavum horam post meridiem præd. decimi noni diei Augusti anno decimo tertio supradicto existen. die dat. scripti obligator. præd. Sed idem Edvardus ulterius dicit quod præd. Robertus Godfrey umpirator in eadem Condition. similiter nominat. accepto super se onere arbitrandi de & super præmissis in Condition. præd. super specif. postea & ante horam octavum post Meridiem diei prox. sequen. dat. scripti obligator. præd. in ead. Condition. specificat. scil. ad horam octavam ante meridiem ejusdem diei apud Civit. Bristol. præd. in Com. ejusdem Civit. fecit Umpirag. suum in scriptis de & super præmissis præd. adtunc & ibidem parat. deliberand. partibus præd. modo & forma sequen. videlicet quod omnes sect. ad legem tunc penden. inter partes præd. cessarent & non ulterius prosequerent. quodq; præd. Edvardus Garlick solveret præd. Edmundo Winter apud tunc domum mansional. Samuel. Fitfall. situat. in Castle-street in Bristol. præd. summam decem solid. & Custag. legis quæ præd. Edmundus Winter sustinisset, Anglice, *had been at*, in sect. ill. Et quod post solution. dictæ summæ decem solid. in modo supradicto ipsi præd. Edmundus Winter & Edvardus Garlick darent alt. alteri eor. general. relaxation. omnium action. sectar. controversiar. & demand. a principio mundi usque ad decimum nonum diem tunc instant. Augusti in communi forma Et idem Edvardus ulterius dicit quod post confection. umpirag. præd. & ante diem exhibitionis billæ ipsius Edmundi præd. scilicet vicesimo primo die Augusti anno decimo tertio supradicto apud dictam domum mansional. præfat. Samuel Fitfall situat. in Castle-street in Bristol. præd. ipse idem Edvardus parat. fuit & obtulit ad solvend. eidem Edmundo adtunc & ibidem præsentem existen. præd. decem solid. & ad sigilland. & ut factum suum eidem Edmundo deliberand. scriptum general. relaxation. omnium actionum sectarum controversiarum & demand. a principio mundi usque ad præd. decimum nonum diem Augusti in umpirag. præd. mentionat. in communi forma sed præd. Edmundus præd. decem solid. & scriptum relaxation. ill. de eodem Edvardo recipere seu acceptare adtunc & ibidem penitus recusavit Et hoc parat. est verificare Unde pet. judicium si præd. Edmundus action. suam præd. inde versus eum habere seu manutenere debeat, &c.

Et

Et prædictus Edmundus dicit quod ipse per aliqua per prædict. Edvardum superius placitando allegat. ab actione sua prædict. inde versus eum habend. præcludi non debet quia dicit quod prædictus Edvardus ante præd. tempus confession. scripti obligatorii præd. apud Civit. Bristol. præd. in Com. ejusdem Civit. ac infra jur. Cur. dicti nuper Domini Regis Civitat. suæ de Bristol. præd. tent. coram Majore & Alderman. ejusdem Civitat. falso & maliciose dixisset & propalasset de eodem Edmundo diversa falsa ficta scandalosa & maliciose verba idemque Edmundus pro obtentione & recuperation. dampnor. occasione diction. & propalation. verborum illorum ante præd. tempus confession. scripti obligatorii præd. levasset in præd. Cur. dicti nuper Domini Regis Civitat. Bristol. præd. tent. coram Majore & Alderman. Civitat. præd. quandam querelam versus præd. Edvardum de placito transgress. super Casum ac superinde talit. processum fuit quod ipse idem Edmundus apud Civit. Bristol. præd. in Com. ejusdem Civit. solvit erogavit & exposuit in prosecution. querelæ præd. versus præd. Edmundum summam quatuor libr. quinque solid. & decem denar. legalis monet. Angl. ac superinde iidem Edmundus & Edvardus pro determination. sectæ ill. & omnium al. demandorum quorumcunque postea scilicet præd. decimo nono die Augusti anno regni dicti nuper Domini Regis decimo tertio supradicto apud Civit. Bristol. in Com. ejusdem Civit. per separal. scripta obligatoria sua per alterum alteri eorum mutualit. sigillat. & deliberat. submiser. se ipsos performare & perimplere arbitrium præd. Johannis Hinde & Johannis Packer seu in defectu inde umpirag. præd. Roberti Godfrey scilicet præd. Edvardus per scriptum suum obligatorium in narratione præd. superius mentionat. Et idem Edmundus in facto dicit quod ipse idem Edmundus post confession. umpiragii præd. per præd. Robertum & ante diem exhibition. bill. ipsius Edmundi præd. scilicet præd. vicesimo primo die Augusti anno regni dicti nuper Domini Regis decimo tertio supradicto apud Civit. Bristol. præd. in Com. ejusdem Civit. notic. præd. Edvardo dedit quod ipse præd. Edmundus in prosecution. sectæ præd. solvisset & expendisset præd. summam quatuor libr. quinque solid. & decem denar. & denar. ill. de eodem Edvardo adtunc & ibidem demandavit quodque præd. Edvardus easdem quatuor libr. quinque solid. & decem denar. eidem Edmundo ad aliquod tempus hucusque non solvit secundum formam & effectum umpiragii præd. Et hoc parat. est verificare, Unde pet. judicium & debitum suum præd. unacum dampnis suis occasione detention. debiti illius sibi adjudicari, &c.

Demurrer & Joinder.

Replies and sets forth the Cause of Action, and levying a Plaintiff.

Averment of his Expences in the Suit.

And their Submission to the Award by the said Bond.

Notice of his Expences and the Defendant's refusing to pay.

The End of the Second Volume.

My dear Sir,
I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th inst. in relation to the proposed amendment to the Constitution of the State, and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration. I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Yours, &c.
J. B. Planchette

The End of the Second Volume

THE TABLE TO THE PLEADINGS.

A.

Abatement.

1. **I**N an *Hom. repleg'* the Defendant on *Oyer* of the Writ pleads Want of Addition in Abatement Page 705
2. By Tenancy in Common in one of the Defendants 708
3. By Misnomer in the Defendant's Christian Name 712
4. For that the Defendant at the Time of the Intestate's Death was Commorant in another Diocese 747
5. By Recovery in a former Action 715
6. Ancient Demesne pleaded in Abatement. 775

Action on the Case on Assumpsit.

1. For Mafons Work done and Materials found for the same by the Plaintiff 710
2. In Consideration that the Plaintiff would lend the Defendant's Son any Sum not exceeding 5*l.* or trust him

with Goods to that Value, the Defendant promis'd to pay Page 731

Against B. for Money lent A. at B's. Request 732

For Money laid out *ibid.*

3. In Consideration that the Plaintiff promised to marry the Defendant, the *dum sola* promis'd to marry him 736

For Money laid out for and lent a *Feme dum sola* 737

4. By an Administrator for Goods sold and delivered by the Intestate 745

Simile on a *Quant' meruit* for Goods sold by the Intestate *ibid.*

5. *Simile* by an Administrator *durante absentia* of the Executor for Money lent, and for Money had and received 751

6. On an Agreement to deliver sixteen Bags of Hops before such a Day 783

For Misfeasance.

1. Against a Carrier on the Custom of the Realm for losing Goods deliver'd him to carry 703
2. For

P p p

The TABLE to the PLEADINGS.

2. For erecting a Wall so near the Plaintiff's Work-room that it darkened his Window, whereby he lost the Benefit of his Work-room Page 714

3. Against a Lessee for Years for negligently keeping his Fire 723

4. *Simile* on the Custom of the Realm for negligently keeping Fire in a Close whereby the Plaintiff's Corn was burnt 724

5. For holding to Bail, after a common Appearance tender'd, where by Law no Bail was requir'd 727

6. For stopping up an Highway leading to the Plaintiff's Colliery 728

7. For taking up an Hoghead of Brandy out of one Cellar and laying it in another so negligently that it was stay'd 733

For Nonfeasance.

1. Against the Owner of a Ferry for not keeping a Ferry-Boat 718

2. For not repairing a Partition-Wall 768

For a Conspiracy.

1. By causing the Plaintiff to be indicted as a common Barretor 763

Administrator.

Vide { *Assumpsit* 4, 5.
Debt 1.
Demurrer Special 2.
Monstrans des Faits 1, 2.
Oyer 2.

1. The Pleading of Commitment of Administration by an Official 716

2. *Simile* by the Archbishop of Canterbury *durante absentia* of the Executor 752

4

Ancient Demesne.

Vide *Abatement* 6.

Arbitrament.

Vide { *Averment* 6.
Bar 2, 3.
Debt 4.
Monstrans des Faits 4.
Oyer 3.

Averment.

1. That the Work-room, &c. mention'd in the Record of the Judgment, and the Work-room, &c. mention'd in the present Suit, are the same; and that J. and B. mention'd in the Record of the Judgment, and the now Plaintiff and Defendant, are the same Persons, &c. Page 716

2. That *Cestuy que vie* is in full Life 723

3. Of the Life of the Grantor of the next Avoidance of a Church, and of the Indentity of the Church 758

4. That the Materials and Work were found and perform'd for the Ship in the River *Thames*, and not within the Jurisdiction of the Admiralty 744

5. That the Defendant *solebat & de Jure* ought to repair a Wall 766

6. Of the Delivery of an Award 790

B.

Baron and Feme.

Vide { *Assumpsit* 3.
Debt 3.

Bar.

1. To an Action against a Ferry-Man for not keeping a Ferry-Boat: That

The TABLE to the PLEADINGS.

That he had built a Bridge in lieu of the Boat, and kept it in Repair, &c.

Page 720

2. By Arbitrament 785
3. To an Arbitration-Bond by *nul Agard fait* 788, 792

C.

Continuance.

Per Cur. advisare vult.

1. On a Demurrer to a Plea in Abatement 707, 717, 776
2. ——— to a Replication 709, 713, 721
3. ——— to a Narr' 753
4. On *in nullo est Errat'* pleaded 761, 782

Certiorari.

1. Awarded to the *Custos brevium* to certify an Original 762
2. Pleading of a *Certiorari* to remove an Indictment 765
3. To the Chief Justice C. B. to certify a Warrant of Attorney 781

D.

Debt.

1. By an Administrator on a Bill penal 749
2. For an Amercement in a Court-Leet 769
3. By an Executrix and her Husband (on a Letter of Attorney made to the Testator) for a Salary 779
4. On an Arbitration-Bond 787, 790

Demurrer general.

1. To a Plea in Abatement 706, 716, 775
2. To a Replication 713, 721
3. To a Narr' 753

Demurrer Special.

1. To a Replication for that it concludes to the Country Page 709
2. To a Plea in Abatement that the Defendant at the Time of the Intestate's Death was resident in another Diocese; for that it don't appear that the Intestate was not commorant in the Diocese where Administration was granted 748

Discent.

1. Pleaded of the Moiety of an Advowson 756
2. Of a Court-Leet to the Son and Heir of the Grantee by Letters Patent 770

E.

Ejectment.

1. On two several Demises, brought by Original in B. R. 774

Error.

Vide { Judgment 1.
Return 2.

Errors assign'd in B. R. 763, 773, 781

Estoppel.

1. For that the Defendant put in Bail by the Name mention'd in the Declaration 712

Executor.

Vide { Debt 3.
Judgment 5.
Monstrans de Faits 2.

1. That C. made his Will and thereby constituted D. Executor, who took

The TABLE to the PLEADINGS.

took upon him the Execution thereof,
and prov'd it Page 758

G.

Grant.

1. Pleaded of the next Avoidance of a Church 757
2. Of a Court-Leet by Letters Patent under the Dutchy Seal 770
3. *Simile* by Lease and Release 771

H.

Homine Repleg'.

Narr' in an Hom' Repleg' 705

I.

Imparlance.

1. Pray'd by the Plaintiff to a Plea in Abatement 712
2. By the Defendant to a Narr' 704, 715, 720, 724, 728, 730, 733, 737, 746, 750, 765, 787, 791

Inquiry.

A Writ of Inquiry awarded on a Judgment by *Nil dicit*, in B. R. 767

Issue General.

1. In *Assumpsit* by *Non Assumpsit* 704, 733, 737
2. In Case by *Non Culp'* 724, 725, 728, 730
3. In Debt to a Bill penal by *Non est factum* 750
4. In Conspiracy by *Non Culp'* 765
5. in Ejectment by *Non Culp'* 776

Judgment.

1. In B. R. affirmed in *Camera Scac'* 738

2. For the Defendant on a Demurrer to a Plea in Abatement Page 717

3. *Simile* to a Replication 722

4. For the Plaintiff by *Nil dicit* in Case, B. R. 767

5. By *Nil dicit* in Debt, C. B. 773, 780

6. For the Plaintiff on a Demurrer to a Plea in Abatement 776

7. On a Verdict in Ejectment whereby the Defendant as to part is found Guilty, and to the Residue Not guilty 777

8. In C. B. reversed in B. R. 782

M.

Monstrans des faits.

1. The Plaintiff brings into Court the Letters of Administration 746, 752

2. The Plaintiff brings into Court the Letters Testamentary 758, 780

3. The Plaintiff brings into Court an Indenture of Agreement between Jointenants to present by Turns 755

4. The Plaintiff brings into Court an Award 789. An Umpirage 792

O.

Oyer.

1. Prayed of a Writ of *Homine Repleg'* 705

2. Of Letters of Administration 746

3. Of the Condition of an Arbitration-Bond 787, 791

P.

Prescription.

1. For a Ferry and Toll for a Passage 718
2. For

The TABLE to the PLEADINGS.

2. For the Inhabitants to pass Toll-free
Page 719

Prohibition.

1. Suggestion for a Prohibition to the Admiralty on the Statutes of 13 and 15 R. 2. and 2 H. 4. 340

Possession and Seisin.

1. Pleading of Possession of Lessee by Virtue of a Demise for Years determinable on Lives 723

2. Pleading of a Seisin in Tail by Virtue of the Statute of 27 H. 8. of Uses 757

3. Seisin of a Court-Leet in the King *Jure Coronæ* pleaded 769

4. *Simile* in the Grantee by Virtue of Letters Patent 770

5. *Simile* by Virtue of a Lease and Release 772

Protestation.

1. That the Passage was not by Boat; that there was no such Custom, and that the Plaintiff was not an Inhabitant of any ancient Messuage 720

Q.

Quare Impedit.

1. On an Agreement by Indenture between Jointenants to present by Turns, the Executor of the surviving Grantee of the next Avoidance. *Plea* by the Bishop, That he presented by Lapse. *Replic'* That the Intestate presented J. S. by Writing, and that the Bishop refus'd. *Rejoin'd* That J. S. took it away and desir'd Time to prepare himself, but never return'd, &c. *Absque hoc* that he refus'd. *Surr'* Issue on the Traverse. *Verdict* for the

Plaintiff, and a Writ awarded to the Abp. of Canterbury, &c. Page 755, &c.

R.

Replication.

1. That the Plaintiff is sole seised, *Absque hoc* that the Defendant *aliquid habuit* 708

2. That the Plaintiff was not admitted to pass over the Bridge 721

Respond Ouster.

Vide Judgment 6.

Return.

1. Of an *Homine Repleg'* 706

2. Of a Writ of Error 754, 779

3. Of a *Postea* with a *Tales* 725

4. *Simile* without a *Tales* 738, 750, 760

5. Of a *Certiorari* awarded to the *Custos brevium* 762

6. *Simile* to the Chief Justice C. B. 781

S.

Satisfaction.

The Form of acknowledging Satisfaction on Record 739

Seisin.

Vide Possession.

Survivorship.

Pleading of Survivorship of the next Avoidance to one of the Grantees 357

The TABLE to the PLEADINGS.

T.

Traverse.

1. That the Defendant is Tenant in Common Page 708
2. That the Defendant is nam'd as in the Bill 712

Trespass.

For breaking and entring the Plaintiff's Close and Yard, and disturbing his Possession 707

V.

Venire Facias.

1. Ven. Fac' (in B. R.) awarded 704, 725, 730, 733, 735, 737, 750, 765

2. Simile in C. B. Page 760
3. Continued per Vic' non misit breve ibid.
4. Simile pro defectu Jur' on a Trial at Bar 776

Verdict.

1. For the Plaintiff on Non culp' with a Tales 725
2. Simile without a Tales 735
3. Simile on Non est Factum 750
4. Simile in a Quare Impedit 761

Uses.

Covenant to stand seised to Uses pleaded 756

The Form of acknowledging said action on record

Quare Impedit.

THE
On an Agreement by Indenture between Joineants to present by Tames, the Executor of the surviving Joineant of the next Avoidance, the Bishop, That he presented by the Bishop, That the Indenture pre- sented by Writing, and that the Joineant refused. Refused That J. S. took it away and desired Time to pre- sent himself, but never returned. He refused that he refused. Sum. It was on the Traverse. Verdict for the

THE TABLE.

A

Abatement of Actions and Writs.

1. **P**RIVILEGE of the Defendant not pleadable in Abatement after Imparlanee Page 1

2. In Actions *qui tam*, Privilege as Attorney of C. B. may be pleaded in Abatement 30

3. Privilege of C. B. may be pleaded in Abatement, tho' the Narr' be against him in *Custodia*, and Bail given 1, 2

4. But if he be in actual Custody in B. R. he can't plead his Privilege of C. B. *ibid.*

5. And where he is sued as Executor or Administrator he can't plead such Privilege 2

6. And for a joint Cause of Action against one privileged, and another not, both must be arrested, and declared against in *Custodia*. 544

7. If a Declaration be deliver'd against one in Custody, he has the whole Term to plead in Abatement 515

8. Privilege as Attorney of C. B. pleaded, but not saying *fuit tempore Brevis, Respond' ouster* 1

9. So a Plea *quod suscepit ordinem Militarem*, held ill, because not said he was a Knight *tempore Billæ, &c.* 6

10. Declaration against J. G. Knt. he pleads in Abatement that he is Knight and Baronet Page 50

11. Privilege of C. B. pleaded and that he was not to be sued *alibi sans* consent; Replication that he did consent, held ill for want of a *Venue* 4

12. Yet any Matter that concerns the Person need not be pleaded with a *Venue* 6

13. To a Plea of Misnomer which traverses the Name of Baptism, Plaintiff may reply, he was known by the Name in the Writ *ibid.*

14. For the Traverse of the Name in the Writ is the Point of the Plea, but both that and the Inducement are material *ibid.*

15. A Feme Covert after Arrest and Bail-Bond given, or Common Bail filed, may plead Misnomer, &c. 7, 8

16. After Bail-Bond forfeited Defendant can't plead in Abatement to the Original Action 519

17. Death of either Party before the *Nisi prius* Day, abates the Suit, and is not aided by the Statute; *aliter* if after the Commission read, tho' before Trial 8

A

18. Actions

The T A B L E.

18. Actions brought by a Mayor and Commonalty are abated by Death of the Mayor Page

19. Where Jointenancy is pleaded in Abatement, the Life of the other Jointenant must be averr'd 32

20. Want of Addition, viz. (*Junior*) pleaded in Abatement, held ill because not shewn that *Senior* was in Custod' Mar. 7

21. And any Matter that distinguishes the Persons, renders that Addition unnecessary ibid.

22. *Alienee* is pleadable in Bar or Abatement, and triable where the Writ is brought 2

23. And if pleaded in Abatement, the Replication must conclude to the Country; *aliter* if in Bar ibid.

24. A Writ or Bill shall not be abated if not prayed by a proper Conclusion of the Plea 298

25. In Replevin *prisel in auter lieu* is good in Abatement; but if concluded with Prayer of a Return, 'tis ill 3

26. Matter of Disability pleadable to the Action, shall not be pleaded to the *Scire Facias* on the Judgment 2

27. Action by two Executors, and Probate only by one pleaded in Abatement, but *Resp' ouster*. 3

28. In Debt against an Executor, Plea that he is Administrator is not in Bar, but Abatement. 296

29. In *Assumpsit*, no Plea to say he was Bailiff, and that Account lay and not Case 9

30. In Trespass, Defendant can't plead Tenancy in Common in himself in Abatement, but may in a Stranger 4

31. In Replevin Property in a Stranger may be pleaded either in Bar or Abatement 94

32. And where in Replevin the Plea in Abatement is to the Point of the Action, as Property is, the Defen-

dant shall have a Return without Avowry Page 94

33. But where any collateral Matter is pleaded in Abatement, no Return shall be *sans Avowry* 94

34. In Assize, if the Defendant plead in Abatement, he must plead over in Bar at the same time 83

See also *Addition, Misnomer, Privilege, Variance.*

Abatement of Nuisances, vide Tit' Nuisance.

Acceptance, vide Tender and Refusal.

Accessory, vide Principal.

Account.

1. Where an express Promise is by a Bailiff to render Account of Money, &c. *Assumpsit* will lie as well as Account 9

2. *Indebitatus assump'* for Money received *ad computandum*: Moved in Arrest of Judgment, that Account lay and not *Assumpsit*, and held aided after Verdict ibid.

3. In Account the Defendant may wage his Law, if the Receipt was by his own Hands, but not if by the Hands of another 683

4. In Action of Account against one as Bailiff, the Defendant is to have Allowances made him upon the Account 9

5. Since the Statute 22 Car. 2. an Administrator is bound to account without Citation 315

6. And one entitled to a Distribution by that Statute may sue an Administrator to prove his Account 316

Actions

The TABLE.

Actions in General.

1. Infinity or Multiplicity of Actions for the same Cause are to be avoided Page 12

2. Therefore Case lies not for a publick Nuisance, except some particular Damages accrue thereby 12, 16

3. And none can have an Action without a particular Injury or particular Right 16

4. Yet a single Act may be a Ground for several Actions where divers are injured thereby 32

5. Also a Person may be twice sued for the same Act, which may include divers Offences 552

6. As for an Assault, &c. Indictment lies for Breach of the Peace, and Action for Damages to the Party *ibid.*

7. So for Adultery, Suit lies in the Spiritual Court, and Action at Common Law for Battery of the Wife *ibid.*

8. Bringing an Action is not actionable, except some special collateral Wrong be expressly shewn 14

9. But if one not concern'd procure A. to sue B. without Cause, B. may have an Action against him *ibid.*

10. So if one maliciously cause another to be indicted, &c. whereby he is damnified, &c. vide *Actions on the Case* 14, 15

11. In all Cases where an *Indebit' assump'* lies, Debt also lies at Election 23

12. The Master of a Ship for taking and detaining her, *per quod impeditus fuit*, &c. may have Case for his special Damage or Trover 11

13. The Plaintiff in one Action can't join his own Right and another's 10

14. *Indebitatus* to the Testator and *in simul comput'* to the Administrator are not joinable *ibid.*

15. A Contract and a Tort can't be joined in the same Action 10

16. Therefore *Assumpsit* on the Cu-

stom of the Realm and Trover can't be joined against a Carrier Page 10

17. Where two joint Merchants make B. their Factor, and one dies leaving an Executor, the Executor and Survivor can't join in an Action 444

18. Tenants in Common can't join as Plaintiffs in Ejectment. 423

19. Divers Members of a Corporation, &c. can't join in a *Mandamus* to be restored 436

20. After a Recovery for erecting a Nuisance one can't have a new Action for the same Erection, tho' laid at a different Day.

21. But one may have a new Action for continuing the same Nuisance. For every new Dropping, &c. is a new Nuisance. 10, 11

22. Said, That as a Matter *ex post* may defeat an Action, so it may give a new one (*sed Quere*) 11

23. For after Recovery in Assault and Battery, tho' it prove a Mayhem in Consequence, one can't have Battery and Mayhem *ibid.*

24. Yet a Matter *ex post* may abate an Action, as where one beats my Servant, and he dies *ibid.*

25. For in that Case *Actio moritur cum Persona* 12, 210

26. But where a Tort is to a Man's Property or Possession *Actio non moritur*, &c. 210, 314

27. Where a Statute gives a Right, the Common Law gives an Action to recover it 415

28. Where two joint Merchants are, and one dies, the Action survives to the other, but not the Duty or Interest 444

29. Where one releases his Right he can't pursue his Action or Remedy: But if he has a Right and several Remedies, the Discharge of one does not discharge the other 422

30. At common Law all Actions were to be laid in the proper County,

The T A B L E.

ty, that the Jury might be *de vicineto*
Page 688

Action on the Case.

1. If one undertakes to do a thing without Hire or Reward, no Action lies for the Nonfeasance 26
2. But *contra* where he enters upon the doing it, and any Misfeasance be thro' his Neglect or Mismanagement *ibid.*
3. As where one assumes to take up a Hoghead of Wine in one Cellar and lay it down in another, and lays it down so negligently that it is staved, &c. *ibid.*
4. It lies not by particular Persons for a Publick Nuisance without special Damage (but Indictment, &c. does) 12, 16
5. As where a common Ferry is at L. for the Inhabitants to pass Toll-free, an Action lies for taking Toll, but not for keeping up the Ferry 12
6. It lies for not repairing the Partition-Wall of a Privy, *pro defectu cuius* Filth ran into the Plaintiff's Cellar 22, 360
7. It lies by the Owners for arresting a Ship by Process of the Admiralty *infra Corp' Com'*, whereby his Voyage was lost 31
8. But for stopping a Way leading to the Plaintiff's Colliery with Intent to deprive him of the Profits, &c. tho' a special Damage was shewn, the Court were divided 16, 17
9. For 'tis not sufficient to say Customers would not come, but must shew they were coming and were hindered thereby 17
10. Lies not by a Burgefs against a Constable for refusing to take his Vote for a Parliament Man, *per 3 contra Holt* 19, 20
11. Nor against an Officer for a false Return to Parliament, but on the Sta-

tute 7, 8 W. 3. *Vide Page 21*, 503, 504

12. Nor against a Postmaster for Exchequer-Bills lost out of a Letter deliver'd at the Post-Office; *per 3 contra Holt.* 17
13. But, *per Holt*, an Officer is responsible both for himself and Deputies, whether his Trust arise by the Common Law, or by Statute 18
14. As Innkeepers, Carriers, &c. taking Rewards, are answerable for those that act under him *ibid.*
15. And whoever takes a publick Employment is bound to serve the Publick, &c. therein *ibid.*
16. And a Deputy is also chargeable as a Wrong-doer, and his Act may forfeit the Office of the Principal *ibid.*
17. It lies by an Executor against an Officer for a false Return of a *Fi. Fa.* in the Testator's Life-time within 4 E. 3. c. 7. 12
18. For by the Execution, a Right was vested in the Testator, & *non moritur*, &c. *Contra* of Mesne Process *ibid.*
19. It lies for negligent keeping his Fire in a Field, whereby another's Corn is burnt, or other Damage done 13
20. But not for negligent keeping Fire, &c. against a Lessee at Will, by a Lessor seized in Fee 19
21. *Secus* if the Lessor has only a Term for Years or Life, or if a Stranger is damnified 19
22. If a Lessee assigns his whole Term, and the Assignee burns the House by Negligence, that Action lies not 13
23. *Contra* where he assigns only Part thereof, and it appears he has a residuary Interest *ibid.*
24. It lies where a Person not concern'd, procures one to sue another without Cause 14, 15
25. So for maliciously causing one to be indicted, whereby he is damnified

The T A B L E.

fied either in Person, Reputation or Property Page 14, 15

26. As for maliciously causing &c. *per quod* he was put to great Expence, tho' the Indictment was insufficient 15

27. So for maliciously causing one to be indicted of a Riot, &c. after Acquittal by Verdict 13

28. But *Nolle prosequi* is not sufficient Evidence to maintain a Declaration in Case of such Acquittal 21

29. It lies not by an Administrator under the King's Letters Patents, for maliciously putting in Caveats, *per quod*, &c. 13

30. Nor for bringing an Action where nothing is due, without some special collateral Wrong, which must be shewn 14

31. In case for maliciously holding to special Bail, the Declaration ought to shew the Sum due, and the Process specially, and that the Action is determined 15

32. Where the Grantee of Estovers may have Case against the Grantor, and where *Trespass*; Vide 638

Action of the Case on Assumpsits.

1. *Indebitatus Assumpsit* lies in no Case but where Debt lies 23

2. But where one receives Money to another's Use, it lies against the Receiver 24, 27, 28

3. And where one pays Money by Mistake on an Account, or by any mere Deceit, he may have this Action 22

4. But not where it is paid knowingly upon an illegal Consideration *ibid.*

5. *Ergo* not where Money is paid by an Obligor upon any usurious Bond ibid.

6. Nor for Money deliver'd to a Solicitor, &c. to bribe Custom-House-Officers, &c. and laid out accordingly ibid.

7. It lies for one that pays Money on a Policy of Insurance, believing the Ship lost when it is not Page 22

8. It lies on a Promise to pay so much new Money in Consideration of so many Pieces receiv'd of old Money 25

9. So, on a Promise to pay 50*l.* in Consideration of a Note of 50*l.* under a third Person's Hand, deliver'd by the Plaintiff to the Defendant *ibid.*

10. For the Note being Evidence of a Debt due, the parting with it is a good Consideration *ibid.*

11. So, in Consideration that the Plaintiff would receive *A.* &c. into his House *ut Hospites*, and find 'em Meat, &c. with an Averment that he did receive, &c. tho' not said, *ut Hospites* ibid.

12. So in Consideration the Plaintiff would accept the Defendant to be his Debtor, instead of *A.* with Averment that he did accept, &c. and held good after Verdict tho' no express Averment that *A.* was discharged 29

13. But not against *B.* for Money lent *A.* at *B.*'s Request, because the Promise is only collateral 23

14. Yet it lies against a Stranger on his Promise to pay the Debt if the Officer would restore Goods taken on a *Fi Fa'* 28

15. It lies on a conditional Promise, as, Prove it, and I will pay you; if sued within six Years 29

16. It lies by an Executor against one that receives Money by Order of an Administrator, *i. e.* after Administration repealed 27

17. So by an Executor on a Promise to himself for a Debt to the Testator, if he declares accordingly 28

18. But if he declares on a Promise to the Testator, and the Statute of Limitations is pleaded, he can't give in Evidence a Promise to himself *infra sex Annos.* ibid.

B

19. A.

The TABLE.

19. *A.* living a former Wife, marries *B.* and receives her Rents, &c. *B.* may have *Indeb' Assumpf.* against *A.* for so much Money receiv'd to her Use Page 28

20. It lies where in Consideration the Plaintiff promis'd to marry the Defendant, she promis'd to marry him, because mutual Promises 24

21. But if the Promise be on one Side only, or if that on either Side is not binding, the whole is *Nudum Pactum* *ibid.*

22. If one assumes, &c. as Action on the Case, Numb. 3. and see *Baron* and *Feme*, Numb. 8.

See also *Bills of Exchange* and *Quantum meruit*. And for Actions on the Case for Words, vide *Words*.

Actions Popular.

1. An Action *qui tam* on a Penal Statute will not lie against an Attorney of *C. B.* in any other Court 30

2. For an Informer cannot sue where he pleases, tho' the King himself may *ibid.*

3. And Prosecutors *qui tam* are look'd on as common Informers *ibid.*

4. Where a Statute gives a Penalty to a Stranger, and he sues, he is a common Informer, and shall pay Costs on 18 *El. c.* *ibid.*

5. But where it is to the Party griev'd he is not a common Informer nor liable to Costs by that Statute *ibid.*

6. All popular Actions on penal Statutes made before 21 *Jac. 1. c. 4.* must be brought and prosecuted in the proper County 373

Additions.

1. Addition of Place, &c. is not necessary in a Writ of *Homine Reple-*

2

giando on the Statute 1 *H. 5. c. 5.* Page 5, 6

2. Nor in any Case where Process of Outlawry lay not at Common Law 5

3. *Ergo* not in Replevin where such Process is only given by Statute 25 *E. 3. c. 17. 2.* *ibid.*

4. And the Statute 1 *H. 5.* touching Additions, is to be construed strictly *ibid.*

5. *Ergo* it extends not to a *Novel Disseisin* (or other mixt Action) tho' the King shall have a Fine, and Exigent lies *ibid.*

6. And *Original Writ* in that Statute intends such Original as the Court proceeds on *ibid.*

7. *Ergo* not *Vicountiels*, as Replevins, &c. where the Proceedings are only on the Pluries *ibid.*

8. And where the Addition is not in the first Writ, if it be in the second or the Pluries, it vitiates the Writ 6

9. Any Matter that distinguishes the Persons makes the Addition of *Senior* or *Junior* unnecessary 7

10. As where there are two *A. Bs.* and the Writ is against *A. B. in Custod' Mar'*, 'tis well, except shewn that both are *in Custod' Mar'* *ibid.*

11. And where no such Addition is, the Father (or *Senior*) is *prima facie* intended *ibid.*

12. A Peer's eldest Son can't in legal Proceedings have the Addition of his Father's second Title, tho' such Addition is allow'd him in common Par- lance 451

Administrations, &c.

1. Who may grant Administrations: Every Ordinary and Peculiar may 40

2. And every Peculiar is an Ordinary for this Purpose: And see the Nature and Kinds of Peculiars 41

3. Admi-

The T A B L E.

3. Administration originally belonged to the Bishop, and *Henslo's* Case in 9 Co. deny d. 2. Page 37

4. It may be granted by the King, for he is supream Ordinary. 41

5. Where granted by the Lord of a Manor, and how to declare thereupon *ibid.*

6. Narr' upon Administration granted per Official' peculiar' &c. debito modo commissa, is good sans Averment that he had Jurisdiction of Administrations 40

7. For where one grants Administration virtute Officii, the Plaintiff need not aver his Authority; aliter if by special Commission 41

8. So in Narr' by an Administrator, want of alledging by whom committed is cured by pleading *Non est factum*, or any Plea in Chief 37, 38

9. But ill on Demurrer, for it might be by a Peculiar; and then it must be averr'd, *cui Administrationis Commissio de Jure pertinuit, &c.* 38

10. To a Narr' on Administration committed per Ep' L. Plea that he was resident in another Diocese tempore mortis, is a good Bar 37

11. Where one has two Houses in divers Dioceses, it shall be granted by the Ordinary where he died (2. if *Bon' notab'*) *ibid.*

12. Where *Bon' notab'* are in several Dioceses in the same Province the Archbishop thereof must grant Administration 39

13. But where in one Diocese in one Province, and in another Diocese in another Province, each Bishop must grant it *ibid.*

14. Administration granted in *Dorset* gives no Title to Administer a Judgment had in any Court in *Westminster* 40

15. Administration is void if granted by a wrong Ordinary, but voidable only if granted to a wrong Person 38

16. If the Intestate dies *sans* Kindred, the Property is in the Ordinary till Administration granted Page 37

17. And in that Case the Ordinary might dispose *in pios usus*, except Letters Patents procured as usual *ibid.*

18. Where a Person dies Intestate, the Ordinary shall commit Administration by Stat' 31 E. 3. c. 11. 41

19. Spiritual Court may grant Administration to which they will of Kindred in equal Degree 38

20. Or part to one and part to another: But of an entire Debt it must be to one only 36

21. Administration was granted to the *Grandmother* and a *Mandamus* prayed to the Spiritual Court by the *Aunt*, but denied 38

22. Administration of the Wife's Goods must be granted to the Husband only 36

23. But of the Husband's Goods, it may be either to the Wife or next of Kin, &c. *ibid.*

24. A Man marrying a *Feme Executrix*, &c the Administration is devolved on him; and he may bring *Assumpsit* on a Promise to himself without the Wife 117

25. Administration can't be granted where there is a Will and Executor, tho' the Will be concealed or the Executor refuse 301

26. Nor can it be granted tho' the Executor becomes Bankrupt, &c. *contra* if he becomes *Non compos* 36

27. Where it is committed to a Debtor the Action is only suspended (*Vide Executors*) 303

28. And if to an Obligor, tho' he has a Right to receive, and is to pay the Money himself, 'tis no Extinguishment 306

29. Also since the Statute 22 Car. 2. an Administrator is bound to account without Citation 315

30. And

The T A B L E.

30. And one intituled to a Distribution by that Statute may sue an Administrator to prove his Account
Page 316

31. But a Creditor can't sue the Administration-Bond for Nonpayment of a Debt, for the Statute does not extend to it *ibid.*

32. Administration granted *durante Minoritate* of an Administrator ceases not till 21. But of an Executor it ceases at 17. 39

33. Administration may be granted during the Absence of B. but the Declaration must aver that B. is absent 42

34. The Cause of Action accrues to the Administrator from the Time of Administration granted 421

35. For if A. receives the Interest Money, and afterwards Administration is granted to B. B. has six Years from the Administration granted to bring his Action (*contra* of an Executor, *Vide* 21.) *ibid.*

36. Yet if he consents to the Defendant's retaining a Chattel before, he can't have Trover after Administration granted, *per 2 contra Holt* 295

37. If sued as Executor, he may plead he is Administrator, and need not traverse that he intermeddled before Administration granted 298, 317

38. But where sued as Administrator, if he pleads he is Executor, he must also traverse the dying Intestate 297

39. Where an Administrator is charged as Assignee, the Judgment is *De bonis propriis* 309

40. But where charged as Administrator, tho' he might have been charged as Assignee, 'tis only *De bonis Testatoris* 316

41. A Judgment against him as Executor may be pleaded in Bar to another Action brought against him as Administrator 296

42. On a Writ of Inquiry, after an Interlocutory Judgment reviv'd by *Sci' Fa'* on 8, 9 *W.* 3. the final Judgment must be against the Administrator and not the Intestate Page 42

43. Administrator under Letters Patents can't have *Case* for maliciously hindring him, by putting in *Caveats*, &c. 37

44. An Administrator impowers A. to receive the Intestate's Debts, but a Will appearing the Administration is repealed; the Executor may have *Assumpsit* against A. as for Money received to his Use 27

See also *Executors.*

Admiralty.

1. Mariners Wages due by Parol, are suable there, tho' the Contract on Land 31

2. *Aliter* if due by Deed or special Agreement *ibid.*

3. The Mate of a Ship may sue the Master thereof there for his Wages 33

4. But the Master can't sue there on his Contract with the Owners *ibid.*

5. So where an Executor of a Master sued there for the Master's Wages, a Prohibition was granted. *ibid.*

6. For tho' Suit there for Mariners Wages is allow'd by mere Indulgence, yet it never was for the Masters *ibid.* and 424

7. By their Law every Contract with the Master implies an Hypothecation of the Ship 34

8. But not so by the Common Law, without an express Agreement *ibid.*

9. And the Master by their Law may Hypothecate the Goods as well as the Ship *ibid.*

10. And on such Hypothecation the Master is suable there, but not the Owners. 35

11. The

The T A B L E.

11. The Statute of Limitations is pleadable there to a Suit for Seamen's Wages Page 424

12. Where it has Jurisdiction their Sentences bind, and Common Law Courts must observe their Determinations 32, 33

13. Tho' the Admiralty-Court deny a Copy of the Libel, yet no Prohibition till Appearance. *Contra* of the Spiritual Court 553

14. Prohibition can't be granted to try the Validity of their Process for Appearance, before Libel or Appearance 35

15. But if their Process be in Nature of an Execution, Prohibition may be before Appearance 31, 35

16. In a Suit there the Principal died before Sentence, and they proceeded on the Stipulation against the Sureties. *Quere* if a Prohibition may be 33

17. See before, in Actions of the Case. Case lies by the Owner of a Ship for arresting her by Admiralty-Process, &c.

See also *Master and Servant, post.*

Advowson, vide Presentation, and Quare Impedit.

Affidavits, vide Oaths.

Age.

1. The Minority of an Executor ceases at the Age of 17. But of an Administrator not till 21 39

2. The Age for making a Will to dispose of Goods, Chattels and Personal Estate, may be at 14

3. But Lands cannot be dispos'd of by Will till the Age of 21 44

4. Yet if one be born 1 Feb. at Eleven at Night, he may make his Will

of Lands at one in the Morning no the last of January in his 21st Year, because the last Day of his full Age is then begun Page 44

Agreement and Disagreement.

1. An Agreement may be either by Record, or by Deed, or by Parol (*Vide Presentation*) 33

2. A Policy of Insurance altered by Agreement after it was underwritten, yet good 444

3. And in some Cases a Parol Agreement may avoid a Writing if made at the same Time 445

4. A Goldsmith's Note, or Bill of Exchange, not to be taken as Cash, except so expressly agreed by the Receiver 124, 442

5. See what Agreements are good on Parol Leases for Tithes, &c. 414, 657

See also *Bargain and Sale of Goods.*

Aiders, vide Principal and Accessary.

Alehouses.

1. To forfeit 10 s. to the Poor if they permit any Inhabitant to sit Tippling above an Hour 45

2. Before 5, 6 Ed. 6. any Person might keep an Alehouse *sans* Licence, being a lawful Means of Living *ibid.*

3. But if Disorderly kept it was indictable as a Nuisance, and by that Statute two Justices (*Quor' unus*) may suppress it *ibid.*

4. And none are now to keep Alehouses unless licensed and Recognizance given *ut ibid.* *ibid.*

5. And if any does, he may be committed for three Days, and Bound with Sureties to appear at the Sessions *ibid.*

C

6. That

The TABLE.

6. That Statute does not extend to Inns being for entertaining Travellers, &c. unless they degenerate to Alehouses Page 45

7. But one that keeps an unlicensed Alehouse is not to be indicted, because punishable by the Statute otherwise ibid.

8. See there the Difference between suppressing a licensed and unlicensed Alehouse 45, 46

9. The Sessions can't suppress an Alehouse licensed by two Justices 470, 471

Aliens and Denizens.

1. *Turks and Infidels* are not *perpetui inimici* to us, &c. and Justice *Brook's* Opinion denied 46

2. For tho' they differ from us in Religion, that does not oblige us to be Enemies to their Persons ibid.

3. They are the Creatures of God, of the same Species with us, and 'tis a Sin to hurt their Persons ibid.

4. If an *Alien Enemy* come hither *sub salvo Conductu*, he may maintain an Action ibid.

5. So may an *Alien Amy* that lives here under the King's Protection, tho' a War afterwards happen between the two Nations ibid.

6. So an *Alien Enemy* that lives here in Peace under Protection, may sue a Bond, &c. ibid.

7. For suing is but a consequential Right of Protection; *aliter* of one Commorant beyond Sea ibid.

8. Also, the Feme Covert of an *Alien Enemy*, she living here under Protection, is chargeable as a Feme Sole 116

Allegiance, vide Laws.

Amends, vide Tender, &c.

Amendment.

1. While the Declaration, &c. is in Paper, the Court may amend at Pleasure, because not within the Statutes of Amendments Page 47, 520

2. But when it comes to be in Parchment, the Court can mend no further than those Statutes allow, for 'tis then a Record ibid.

3. Stat' 14 E. 3. c. 6. and 8 H. 6. c. 12, 13. are the only Statutes of Amendments, the others are Statutes of Jeofails 51

4. Declaration, &c. cannot be amended on Demurrer, after Entry on the Roll 50

5. But on a Plea to the Right, or in Abatement, it may be reasonable to amend ibid.

6. Yet Declaration against J. G. Knt. on Plea in Abatement that he is Knt. and Bart. denied to be amended ibid.

7. A Judgment enter'd on the Plea-Roll was amended by the Paper-Book signed by the Master ibid. and 51

8. Variance between the Writ of Error and the Record refused Amendment, tho' the Curfitor's Note was right 49

9. For a Writ of Error is a Commission to the Judges, and the Court can't amend their own Commission ibid.

10. At Common Law no Difference as to Amendments between Civil and Criminal Cases; *contra per Stat'* 47, 51

11. A Writ of Covenant for a Fine, being an Original, is not amendable by Common Law or Statute 52, 53

12. No Difference *quoad hoc* between Actions amicable and adversary, and 5 Co. 45 Gage's Case denied 53

13. Variance between the *Scire Fa'* and the Judgment not amendable, &c. *Vide bis* 52

14. Where

The T A B L E.

14. Where the *Nisi prius*-Roll may be amended by the Plea-Roll, and where not Page 48, 49

15. *Venire Ret'* 23 Octob. *Distringas* Tested 24. a Discontinuance, and not amendable 51

16. In the *Distringas* the Day of *Nisi prius* was appointed after the Day in Bank, and after Verdict held not amendable by the Plea-Roll; because the Judges Authority was confined to that Day 48, 49

17. Ejectment against seven, all join in the Common Rule, and the Issue was right in the Plea-Roll, &c. But the *Nisi prius*-Roll was against five only, and after Verdict *pro Quer'* this amended by adding the two others 48

18. A Demise in Ejectment laid 1697 for 96, not amendable after Verdict, because it would be another Title ibid.

19. A Verdict either general or special may be amended by the Clerk of Assizes Notes in Civil Cases, but not in Criminal 47, 53

20. A special Verdict has been amended by the Notes of the Counsel in the Cause, and that after Error brought 47, 53

21. An Information may be amended after Plea pleaded, and *per Holt* after the Record sealed up. 2. 47

22. A Plea to Indictment of Murder amended after Replication and before Entry on the Roll ibid.

23. An Information of Forgery amended in ten Places (not material) without Costs or Imparlance 50

24. If a Record in *B. R.* be amended by the Record in *C. B.* the Costs (if any) must be given below 49

25. A *Distringas* with a Blank for *Debiti*, i. e. the Cause of Action, amended after Verdict, as no *Distringas* 454

26. For, Want of a *Distringas* or no *Distringas* is aided by Verdict; *contra* of an ill *Distringas* Page 454

27. If the Plaintiff moves to amend his Declaration the same Term the Defendant's Plea comes in, he need not give new Rules to plead 520

28. After a Plea to Issue or Demurrer joined, while in Paper only, the Party may amend his Plea, or wave his Demurrer ibid.

29. See an Appeal amended by striking out of the Count *per Attornatum suum* 64

30. After Judgment by Default, and Error brought, the Declaration was amended by the Warrant of *Attorn'* on the Top of the same Roll 88

Amerciaments, V. Fines & 54.

Amicus Curia, vid. 78, 447, 448.

Antient Demesne.

1. Tenants in Antient Demesne are free as to their Persons, but not their Estates 57

2. The Privileges arise from the Constitution and Nature of the Thing, coeval with the Government it self ibid.

3. They are supposed to commence by Act of Parliament; for they can't now be created by Grant ibid.

4. When triable by the Record of *Domesday-Book*, and when by the Country ibid.

5. Antient Demesne is Land under the Title of *Terra Regis* in that Book, and none other ibid.

6. Antient Demesne Lands held of a Manor in Antient Demesne, are only impleadable in the Lord's Court there 56

7. But where Parcel of the Manor only is antient Demesne, there the parti-

The T A B L E.

particular Lands may be impleaded in the King's Court Page 56

8. By a Recovery at common Law, Antient Demefne becomes Frank Fee, until reversed 57

9. Deceit lies for levying a Fine of Antient Demefne Lands 210

Annuity and Pension.

1. The King can't grant an Annuity to charge his Person, for his Person is not chargeable therewith, tho' a Subject's is 58

2. But he may grant it out of his Excise or other Branch of his Revenue, which shall be charged therewith ibid.

3. A Pension out of an Appropriation, tho' by Prescription, is suable in the Spiritual Court ibid.

4. For it could not begin but by the Grant and Institution of Spiritual Persons ibid.

5. And whether granted by the Bishop's Ordinance, or by his Concurrence with the Patron, the Church it self is charged ibid.

6. Yet such Annuity, &c. can't be released to the Ordinary, because it is Temporal ibid.

Appeal.

1. The Plaintiff in Appeal of Murder, &c. must count in Person, and cannot by Attorney 62, 64

2. And if he be not present, he may be demanded and nonsuited ibid.

3. Yet such Nonsuit is not peremptory, because before Appearance ibid.

4. An Appeal is to be arraigned in French; but the Roll deliver'd in is to be in Latin ibid. and 61

5. But tho' it must be commenced in Person it may be prosecuted by Attorney, unless where Wager of Battail lies 62

6. And as the Plaintiff can't count, neither can the Defendant therein appear or plead by Attorney Page 59

7. *Nul tiel* Parish is a good Plea therein, but not pleadable by Attorney ibid.

8. The Parish may be named and not the Vill; for a Parish is not intended to contain more than one Vill. (2.) ibid.

9. Erroneous Procefs therein is aided by the Defendant's Appearance and Pleading to Issue ibid.

10. *Aliter* where he challenges the Defect by demurring in Abatement, &c. ibid.

11. And see *Numb. 1. ib.* That an Adjournment after a void Plea receiv'd discontinues the Appeal ibid.

12. What is sufficient Certainty in a Count on an Appeal 60

13. On an Appeal of Murder the Party may be bailed by B. R. tho' convicted of Manslaughter 61, 62

14. And on such Conviction may be allowed his Clergy, but shall not be discharged thereupon 61

15. For his Recognizance is to stand till he has discharged the Appeal by *Sci. Fa.* against the Appellant ibid.

16. And see there the proceeding on such *Sci. Fa.* and the arraigning of the Appeal thereon *de Novo* ibid.

17. Tho' the Appeal was put *sine die*, yet no Discontinuance, for the *Certiorari* continues it 62

18. Said, An Appeal either by Writ or Bill, is always arraigned on the Plea-Side, unless it come in by *Certiorari*, and then on the Crown-Side ibid.

19. The Recognizance for Bail in Appeal may be either to the King, or Appellant, but best to the King ibid.

20. See the Manner of pleading the former Conviction, &c. on arraigning the Appeal *de Novo* ibid.

21. And that a Conviction of Manslaughter is a good Bar therein, tho' Clergy

The I A B L E.

Clergy not had by Default of the Court Page 63

22. In a Writ of Appeal, Want of fifteen Days *inter the Teste & Ret'* cured by pleading in Chief *ibid.*

23. See the Case of an Infant suing an Appeal by Guardian, and the Under-Sheriff committed and fined for delivering the Writ to him 176, 177

Appearance.

1. Formerly, tho' a Writ was not return'd, yet the Defendant might appear at the Day, either to save a Penalty or his Inheritance 64

2. A Nonsuit before Appearance is not peremptory in Appeal, &c. *ibid.*

Appendant, vide Incident.

Apportionment and Division.

1. Where *A.* by Contract subjects himself to one Action only, it can't be divided so as to subject him to two 65

2. *Ergo* Indorsee of Part of a Sum in a Bill of Exchange can't have Action for that Part *sans* shewing the other Part to be satisfied *ibid.*

3. So where the Contract is at first entire, it cannot afterwards be divided in an Action *ibid.*

4. As where the Contract is to pay 100 *l.* *per Annum.* for his Service, Action lies not for 3 Quarters *ibid.*

5. So of a Lease for Years at 20 *l.* *per Ann'*, it lies not for any less Term than a Year *ibid.*

6. And so of other Contracts for Annuities, Wages, Debts, &c. the Contract can't be apportioned *ibid.*

7. But if in a Lease the *Elabendum* be for Years, yet the Rent may be apportioned according to the *Reddendum* 141

Apprentices.

1. An Apprentice cannot be bound nor discharged without Deed Page 68

2. He is not assignable over (except by the Custom of *London* to one of the same Trade) 66, 68

3. Nor is the Master's Executor bound to provide for an Apprentice in Husbandry, on 5 *El.* 66

4. Yet the Executor is liable in Covenant, if he does not instruct an Apprentice or find him another Master 66, 68

5. Whatever the Apprentice gains belongs to a Master, and he may have an Action for it 68

6. A Covenant between the Master and a third Person, the Servant being no Party, makes no Apprenticeship 479

7. Service as Apprentice beyond Sea, qualifies one to use a Trade in *England* within 5 *El.* *Vide bis* 67

8. And following a Trade for seven Years is sufficient without any Binding, &c. and 5 *El.* a hard Law 613

9. Justices may compel a Master to take an Apprentice, &c. 67

10. Justices may discharge an Apprentice, and also order a Restitution of the Money given with him *ibid.* and 490

11. So the Sessions may discharge him by original Order there, and order the Money to be returned 68, 491

12. An Apprentice may gain a Settlement (tho' the Master has none) as an hired Servant, by 14 *Car.* 2. 533

13. An Order by four Justices for discharging an Apprentice, &c. good, tho' the Master does not appear 490

14. But their Power to discharge Apprentices extends only to such Trades as are named in the Statute 471, 490

15. And the Order of Discharge must be under the Hands and Seals of four Justices of Peace 470

D

16. But

The T A B L E.

16. But in *Certiorari* to remove such Order the very Discharge need not be returned, but only the Substance thereof Page 470

See also *Indictments, Orders and Sessions.*

Arbitrament.

1. Where an Award creates a new Duty, the old is extinguished 69

2. But if it only ordains a Release to discharge the old Duty, 'tis otherwise *ibid.*

3. An Award may be good tho' no Time appointed for Performance, *per Holt*, for the Law supplies the Time *ibid.*

4. For whether it be to be on Request or Tender, or not, the Law says it shall be in convenient Time *ibid.*

5. An Award that the Party or his Executors shall release, &c. is good, for either may be used for Nonperformance *ibid.*

6. An Award that a Suit in *Chancery* shall be dismissed, is good 75

7. An Award that all Suits shall cease, is good and final; but an Award to be Nonsuit is not 74, 75

8. An Award may be good in part and void in part 74, 83

9. As an Award to make general Releases of all Demands to the Time of the Award is good for so much as goes to the Time of the Submission, and void for the Residue 74

10. An Award to pay the Costs of such a Suit is uncertain, *contra* if to pay such Costs as the Master shall tax 75

11. An Award that *A.* shall beg *B.*'s Pardon in such Manner and Place as *B.* shall appoint, is void 71

12. *Quere* if an Award of Money to be paid to a third Person be good, unless it appear to be for the Benefit of one of the Parties 74

13. An Award of a Collateral thing in Satisfaction, held a good Plea without shewing Performance Page 76

14. Money paid on a void Award may be pleaded or taken as Accord with Satisfaction 71

15. An Award pleaded as made *de & super Premissis*, is not enough, unless it appear to be so *in se* 70

16. *Quere* if an Award unperformed, tho' it gives a new Duty, can be a good Plea after time of Performance elapsed 69

17. A Parol Award may be pleaded, ready to be deliver'd, &c. 75

18. If an Award be pleaded without Date, it must be computed from the Delivery 76

19. A Submission by *A.* as Attorney for *B.* concerning Accounts *inter B. and C.* good to bind *A.* but not *B.* 70

20. A Submission to an Award made a Rule of Court, tho' the Consent was only conditional 72

21. An Award made under a Rule of Court is *quasi* Part of the Rule 71

22. And on Breach of such Award, the Party may proceed both by Action and Attachment at the same time 73, 83

23. Service of a *Subpœna* held a Breach of a Rule of Reference made at *Nisi prius*, and Attachment granted, *Vide Attachment* 73

24. What a good Cause to set aside an Award made by Rule of Court, and what not 71

25. Appointment of an Umpire by Arbitrators before the Time expires for making their Award is void 70

26. Yet see where an *Umpirage* may be made before such Time is expired, or not 72

27. In what Cases such Appointment of an Umpire is revocable, or not 70

28. On

The T A B L E.

28. On a Reference to three Foremen of the Jury, the Regularity of their Proceedings examin'd into
Page 73, 84

29. In Debt on Bond to perform an Award, Omission in the Republication of a void Part of the Award, is no Variance; *aliter* if not void 72
See also *Attachment*.

Arrest of Judgment.

1. There are two sorts of Matter for which Judgment may be arrested, *viz.* Intrinsic and Extrinsic 77

2. Intrinsic, when some Matter appears on the Record it self which renders the Judgment erroneous *ibid.*

3. Extrinsic, when some foreign Matter is suggested, which renders the Writ not only abatable but abated *ibid.*

4. The Difference between the old Method of Pleading and moving in Arrest, &c. 77, 78

5. And see *Numb. 4.* The Method at this Day of moving in Arrest, &c. 78

6. The Party has commonly four Days after the *Postea* brought in to move in Arrest 77

7. But if the *Distringas* be returnable within Term, so that there are not four Days between the Trial and the End of the Term, Judgment may be entered, if not arrested that Term 77

8. After a *Capiatur pro Fine* issued, no Arrest of Judgment 78

9. Judgment arrested because more Damages recover'd than there ought 663

10. See the Entry of an Arrest of Judgment on a Prohibition, where a Verdict was for the Plaintiff 655

11. On Motion in Arrest and Rule to stay Judgment *quousque*, &c. and afterwards the Court being divided, no Judgment could be had 17

12. In *Assumpsit*, after Verdict, Judgment arrested because *nudum pactum* Page 364

13. See a Judgment arrested because more Damages given than there ought 663

See also *Judgments*, *Trials*, and *Verdicts*.

Arrest of the Body.

1. Arrest on *Sunday* is void, and the Party may have false Imprisonment 78

2. No Arrest can be without actually touching the Body 79

3. But if the Bailiff be prevented from touching, by the Party's offering to strike with a Weapon, 'tis an Assault *ibid.*

4. And if the Bailiff once touch him in the Arrest, he may pursue and break open the House to take him *ibid.*

5. Or he may have an Attachment, or Return a Rescue against him *ibid.*

6. Where there are two Sheriffs the Arrest or Neglect of one is the Arrest or Neglect of both 152

See also *Escape*, and 274

Assault, vide Trespass and Arrest 3.

Assemblies unlawful, vide Riots, &c.

Assets.

1. If *A.* take a Bond for another in Trust, and dies, this is not Assets in the Hands of *A.*'s Executors 79

2. So if the Obligee assigns over a Bond, and covenants not to revoke, and dies, this is not Assets in the Hands of the Obligee's Executor *ibid.*

3. If the Executor of Lessee for Years enter, &c. no Part of the Profits but what is above the Rent is Assets *ibid.*

4. For

The T A B L E.

4. For the Rent is receiv'd by the Executor as Tertenant, and as appropriated to the Use of the Lessor
Page 79

5. *Quære* the Distinction between Legal and Equitable Affets, and how far either are liable 507

6. And how far Lands are liable to pay Debts as Affets 80

7. Outlawry upon Mesne Process does not make the Debt a Lien upon the Land, *ibid.*

8. And bringing Debt upon a Judgment is no Waiver of the Lien created by the Judgment *ibid.*

9. Where a Debtor pays the Testator's Debt to A. with Consent of Executor, 'tis Affets; *aliter* if without Consent 207

10. Unless the Executor brings Action, and recovers, and then 'tis Affets even before Execution *ibid.*

See also *Executors and Legacies.*

Assignment.

1. Where the Lessor himself can't maintain Covenant, &c. his Assignee shall not 81

2. *Ergo* the Assignee of a Covenant can't sue in *England* for Rent reserv'd on Lands in *Ireland*, tho' payable in *London* 80, 81

3. The Assignee of a Reversion, tho' by Fine, can't have Covenant for the Rent, &c. without Attornment. 2 82

4. But see now the Statute 4, 5 *Annæ, c. 16.* for Amendment of the Law in this Particular.

5. Lessee assigns to A. A. assigns to B. *sans* Notice to the Lessor, yet Lessor can't have Covenant against A. for Arrears incurred after the Assignment of A. 2 81

6. And *Kighly's Case* in 1 *Sid.* 338. *Raym.* 162. 2 *Keb.* 260. denied *ibid.*

4

7. But admitted he may have Covenant against A. for Rent due before Assignment
Page 338
See also *Covenant.*

Affize.

1. The Writ in Affize may be returnable at any Common Day, or Return-Day; also the Affize may be adjourn'd 82

2. The Affize is to be arraigned in *French*, but can't be proceeded in before the Recognitors appear *ibid.*

3. The Demandant nonsuited because not ready to count *instante* on the Tenant's Demand *ibid.*

4. But such Nonsuit not peremptory; for the Demandant may have a new Affize *ibid.*

5. If the Defendant in Affize plead in Abatement, he must plead over in Bar at the same time 83

6. No Imparlance allowed therein without a good Cause, for it is *Festinum remedium* *ibid.*

7. And where several are Defendants it shall be taken by Default against such of 'em as do not appear the first Day *ibid.*

Assumpsits, vide ante in *Actions on the Case*, &c. and *Breach*, &c. post.

Attachment.

1. In Cases of Awards, tho' not legally good, Attachment lies for Non-performance 83

2. *Aliter* if impossible, but the Party is excused only as to that Part which is impossible, 74, 83

3. It lies not for not performing an Award (tho' made on a Rule of Court) without a personal Demand 83

4. On Award of three Foremen (tho' Verdict given for Security) Attachment for the Breach 73, 84

5. For

The TABLE.

5. For contemptuous Words of the Court, Attachment without a Rule to shew Cause Page 84

6. When one is taken on Attachment for such Contempt, &c. he enters into Recognizance to answer Interrogatories ibid.

7. On Rule to put off Trial on Payment of Costs, no Attachment for Nonpayment 83

8. Attachment for a Rescue is never granted upon Affidavits only; but it must be returned on the Writ 586

9. See an Attachment against a Judge of a Corporation Court, and what a Contempt is 201

See also Sheriff, and Page 260

Attainder.

1. Attainder of High Treason by Commission on 28 H. 8. c. 15. works Corruption of Blood 85

2. But not Attainder of Piracy, or Treason, &c. before the Constable and Marshal, or Admiral ibid.

3. One attainted for counterfeiting the Coin on 8, 9 W. 3. forfeits Lands, but Corruption of Blood is saved ibid.

4. So that the Forfeiture and the Corruption are distinct Parts of the Penalty, and one may be, and the other not ibid.

5. In Attainders of Felony the Forfeiture to the Lord is only by way of Escheat *pro defectu Tenentis* ibid.

6. And the not descending is but the Consequence or Effect of such Incapacity ibid.

7. But in Treason the Lands come to the Crown as an *immediate Forfeiture*, and not as an Escheat ibid.

8. An Executor may bring Error to reverse the Attainder of the Testator 295

See also Treason.

Attorney and Solicitor.

1. The Stat. 3 Jac. 1. c. 7. extends only to Attornies of the Courts at Westminster, and not to Attornies of inferior Courts Page 86

2. That Statute may be pleaded to Debt and *indebit' assump'* unless a special Promise laid, but then not, nor to an *Insimul Comp'* ibid.

3. An Attorney is not compellable to appear for any one, unless he takes his Fee or backs the Warrant 87

4. Where an Attorney appears for any, the Court will not question his Authority, but leaves the Party to his Action 86

5. Nor shall a Judgment be set aside for that he appear'd without Warrant, if he be sufficient, *contra* if insufficient 88

6. And his Consent to accept an Issue &c. after Judgment sign'd, binds the Client, tho' contrary to his express Order 86

7. Where an Attorney's Bill on Action brought by his Executor shall be referred to the Master or not, *vide* 89

8. Where Writings come to his Hands as an Attorney, the Court will on Motion make a Rule to redeliver them 87

9. But where they come to his Hands in any other Manner, the Party must resort to his Action ibid.

10. A Warrant of Attorney for the Plaintiff in the principal Action can't extend to the Suit against the Bail, but there must be a new Warrant 89

11. A Judgment by Confession upon a Warrant of Attorney may be enter'd in the Vacation as of the Term precedent, tho' the Defendant died in the Vacation 87

12. But a *post Terminum* Roll can't be filed without Leave of the Court ibid.

E

13. A

The T A B L E.

13. A *Memorandum* was amended by the Warrant of Attorney on the same Roll Page 88

14. Till a Warrant be filed or entered 'tis not a Matter of Record, but one may appoint an Attorney in Court upon Record ibid.

15. See the ancient Practice of entering Warrants of Attorney on a distinct Roll, and when altered ibid.

16. A *Remittit dampna* may be by Attorney, but a *Retraxit* must be in *propria persona* 89

17. An Attorney Defendant may have the Venue changed from any other County to *Middlesex* (*Vide Barrister*) 668

18. See an Attorney fined 515
See also *Abatement, Privilege, Rules of Court, &c.*

Attornment.

1. Lessor makes a second Lease, and before the first expires levies a Fine. Attornment by the first Lessee to the Conusee is sufficient 90

2. In pleading a Feoffment of a Manor, 'tis not necessary to shew the Attornment of the Tenants 91

3. Attornment is pleadable without a Venue, but triable only where the Land lies ibid.

4. Upon Issue *Non concessit*, Attornment need not be given in Evidence 90

5. Assignee of a Reversion tho' granted by Fine, could not have Action for Rent without Attornment 82

6. But this now remedied by the Statute 4 & 5 *Ann. c. 16.* for Amendment of the Law.

Audita Querela.

1. This in it self is no *Superfedeas*, and therefore Execution may be taken

2

forth, unless a *Superfedeas* be actually sued Page 92

2. And if the *Audita Querela* be founded on a Deed, that must be proved in Court before a *Superfedeas* shall be granted ibid.

3. If an *Audita Querela* be founded on a Record, or the Party be in Custody, the Process upon it is a *Sci' Fa'* ibid.

4. But if grounded on a Matter of Fact, or the Party not in Custody, the Process is a *Venire* and Distress infinite ibid.

5. Where the Party is in Execution, he may either have a *Sci' Fa'* or *Venire* ibid.

6. Where two *Nichils* are return'd the Court will relieve on Motion without an *Audita Querela* 93

7. A. on Judgment against him renders himself, but never gives Notice to the Plaintiff, nor gets the Bail discharged, and the Plaintiff on *Sci' Fa'* gets Judgment against the Bail, the Court would not relieve them on Motion, but put them to their *Audita Querela* 101

8. One taken in Execution on an *Audita Querela* may be bailed 105
See also *Page* 264

Averments.

1. Where Promises are mutual, Performance or Tender, &c. must be aver'd 112, 172

2. So where one thing is to be done as the Consideration of the other in Contracts, &c. 113, 171

3. Where and in what Cases Considerations in *Assumpsits* must be averr'd, *vide* 23, 24, 25, 29

4. Where the Replication must conclude to the Country, and where with an Averment 2

5. Where Jointenancy is pleaded in Abatement, the Life of the other Jointenant not named must be averr'd 32
6. On 6. On

The T A B L E.

6. On Administration granted to A. during the Absence of B. the Narr' must aver that B. is absent Page 42

7. Where an Award is pleaded to be made before the Day, *Ready to be deliver'd* need not be averr'd 69

8. A Parol Award may be pleaded with Averment of *Ready to be deliver'd*, &c. 75

9. Where Uses may be averr'd by Parol or not, *Vide* 676

10. No Averment can be admitted of a Trust to superstitious Uses by the Statute of Frauds 162

11. Any Matter out of a Deed that alters the Case can't be averr'd 197

12. Nor is any Averment to be receiv'd against the express Words of a Deed or Will 227

13. Where a Matter is averr'd to be within the Jurisdiction, the Defendant must plead to the Jurisdiction, or else is estopp'd 202

14. See of Averments in Indictments on 5 *Eliz.* that it was a Trade at the Time of the Act 611

15. Where Uses may be averr'd *Vide* 676, 678

See also *Declarations, Estoppel, and Pleadings.*

Avowry, vide Replevin.

Authority.

1. It is essential to a Deputy to have the same Power with his Principal 95

2. And a Covenant or Condition to restrain such Power is void 96

3. And he may do all Acts that his Principal could, except making a Deputy *ibid.*

4. But tho' he can't make a Deputy as to his whole Power, yet he may empower him to do particular Acts *ibid.*

5. As a Deputy-Steward of a Court-Baron may empower another

to hold a Court, take Surrenders, &c.

Page 96, 97

6. And such under Deputy may either act in his own Name, or else reciting his Authority, act in the Name of the Deputy or Principal 97

7. Except an Under Sheriff, who must act in the High Sheriff's Name, because the Writs are so directed, &c. *ibid.*

8. The Acts of a Steward *de facto* are sufficient among Tenants of a Manor *ibid.*

9. Authority given by Letter of Attorney may be either general or special *ibid.*

10. And tho' particular Authorities cannot be varied from in Matter of Substance, *ibid.* and 658

11. Yet if only a Variance in Circumstance it may be good 97

12. Principal Officer answerable both for himself and Deputy 18, 19

13. Yet the Deputy is also chargeable as a Wrong-doer *ibid.*

14. Where an Authority is given to Justices of Peace it must be exactly pursued 475

15. See other kinds of Authorities 362, 419, 442, 454, 467, &c. See also *Power.*

Award, vide Arbitrament.

B.

Bail in Civil Cases.

1. SEE the Rules of Practice about putting in Bail and excepting thereto 98

2. In Debt on Bond, tho' the Defendant says it was usurious, or *per duress*, it shall not excuse from special Bail 100

3. Special Bail in *Indebit' Assump'*, so for Money won at Play, *per Holt* *ibid.*

4. But

The T A B L E.

4. But not in Debt on Bond to perform Covenants, yet with respect to the Breaches and the Damage there by (the measure of which shall be taken from the Plaintiff's Oath) it may

Page 100

5. In *B. R.* if the Sum recovered exceed the Sum in the *Ac etiam Billa*, the Bail is not liable 102

6. On Removal by *Habeas Corpus* special Bail must be given in here, except in Cases of Executors 98, 101, 102

7. But on such Removal the Court will examine the Cause of Action, and take Bail accordingly *ibid.*

8. And on Removal out of an inferior Court, the Plaintiff is bound to accept the Bail below, except in *London* 97

9. For the Sufficiency of Bail in *London* is at the Peril of the Clerk who is responsible, and the Plaintiff can't except there *ibid.*

10. Yet in Debt against Executor on a Judgment suggesting a *Devastavit*, he shall give Bail; for there the Action is in the *Debet & Detinet* 98

11. And on Removal by *Habeas Corpus* where special Bail was below, he shall give Bail to appear within two Terms, but not to pay the Condemnation *ibid.*

12. On Error in Parliament of a Judgment affirmed in *B. R.* new Bail is required 97

13. For the first Bail does not extend to Costs assess'd in the House of Lords, therefore a new Recognizance is to be *ibid.*

14. One charged in Custody of the Sheriffs of *L.* was discharged on common Bail for want of proceeding in two Terms by 4, 5 *W.* 3. 98, 99

15. In an Action on a Replevin-Bond common Bail shall be admitted 99

16. The Merits of the Case not to be examin'd into upon Bailing (*vide supra*, 7.) Page 99, 100

17. If the Sheriff takes insufficient Bail for Appearance, and the Plaintiff refuse it, he is liable to an Action and may be also amerced 99

18. The Sheriff may take Bail-Bond on Attachment for Contempt, but the Prosecutor may refuse to accept it 608

19. But if in either Case the Plaintiff take an Assignment of the Bail-Bond (tho' insufficient) the Court will not amerce 99

20. *A.* recovers in three Actions where were three Bails, the Defendant render'd himself, and one of the Bails enter'd an *Exoneratur* on the Bail-Piece; this does not discharge the rest till *Exoneratur* enter'd for them also 98

21. So *A.* on Judgment against him renders himself, but gives the Plaintiff no Notice, nor discharges the Bail-Piece; and the Plaintiff on *Sci' Fa'* gets Judgment against the Bail, and the Court would not relieve because no *Exoneratur* enter'd 101

22. Tho' a Render before Return of the *Latitat* is not pleadable to an Action on a Recognizance of Bail *ibid.*

23. Yet the Court *ex Officio* allowed it on the *Latitat*, as well as on a *Sci' Fa'*, and denied the Case of *Miles* and *Bateman*, 3 *Keb.* *ibid.*

24. And note, Render in Discharge of Bail in an Action will not discharge the Bail on an Indictment 105

Bail in Criminal Cases.

1. One Committed for Treason or Felony is to enter his Prayer on the *Habeas Corpus* Act, to be tried the first Week of the Term or Day of Sessions after his Commitment 103

2. But if an Act suspends the Power of Bailing for a Time, there he need not

The T A B L E.

not enter his Prayer till the first Week
in Term or Day of Sessions after the
Expiration of such Act Page 103

3. Yet Lord *Aylesbury* was bailed
tho' no Prayer entred in Time, be-
cause long imprisoned, Trial delayed,
and Life in Danger 104

4. One committed for aiding an E-
scape of *D.* committed for Treason,
was bailed for Default of Prosecution
103

5. One indicted of Murder ought
not to be bailed upon Affidavits of
the Evidence 104

6. But one found guilty of Murder
by the Coroner's Inquest only is bail-
able, *contra* if indicted *ibid.*

7. One indicted of Murder and
found guilty of Manslaughter, not
bailable before Clergy had 103

8. Yet *Lisle*, who was indicted of
Murder and found guilty of Man-
slaughter, was bailed before Clergy
had *ibid.*

9. So in Appeal of Murder and found
guilty of Manslaughter, one was bail-
ed before Clergy. 2. 61, 62

10. *M.* committed for forging In-
dorsements on Bank Bills, bailed, on a
Habeas Corpus, because only a great
Misdemeanor 104

11. But upon Error of a Conviction
for a forcible Detainer, the Defendant
was refused to be bailed 106

12. Because in Execution for the
Fine, *i. e.* 100 *l.* tho' the long Vaca-
tion coming on *ibid.*

13. Yet one taken on *Excom' Cap'*
is bailable, while the *Ret'* of the *Ha-
beas Corpus* is under Consideration
105

14. See there the Manner of the En-
try of such Bail, and Condition of the
Recognizance *ibid.*

15. But Bailing during Considera-
tion (as 13.) is discretionary, and the
Court will refuse it if he pleads a false
Plea 106

Bailiff.

1. A Corporation aggregate may
appoint a Bailiff to distrain without
Deed Page 191

2. In Replevin, if the Defendant
makes Conuzance, or justifies as Bai-
liff to *J. S.* a Traverse of the Com-
mand of *J. S.* is sufficient 107

3. So in Trespass for taking Cattle
or Goods, for in those Cases, tho' *J.
S.* may have Right to take the Cat-
tle, &c. yet a Stranger can't justify
the Taking but by his Command, &c.
ibid.

4. But *aliter* in Trespass *quare Clau-
sum fregit*; for there, tho' the Defen-
dant justifies as Bailiff, or by Com-
mand of *J. S.* the Plaintiff shall not
traverse the Command, because it
would admit the Truth of all the rest
of the Plea *ibid.*

5. In Trespass on a Justification as
Bailiff to a Court Leet for levying an
Amercement, some Estreat of the
Court or Warrant of the Steward
must be shewn *ibid.*

6. *Note*, in Replevin the Bailiff is an
Actor, and shall recover upon the
Merits 108

7. But in Trespass the Bailiff is only
to excuse the Wrong, and recovers
nothing *ibid.*

Bakers, vide *Weights and Mea- sures.*

Bankrupts.

1. An Innkeeper held not within
any of the Statutes about Bankrupts,
tho' also a Part-owner of a Ship 109

2. So a Buying and Selling under
a particular Restraint is not within
the Statute 110

The T A B L E.

3. But an *English* Subject trading from Foreign Parts may be a Bankrupt Page 110

4. If a Defendant renders himself in Discharge of Bail, and lies two Months, he is a Bankrupt from the Arrest 111

5. Yet adjudg'd tho' lying in Prison upon Arrest makes a Bankrupt, 'tis otherwise if he puts in Bail 110

6. Outlawry, after an Act of Bankruptcy committed, shall not defeat the Interest the Creditors have acquired in his Estate 108

7. Yet a Purchaser after, shall not be impeached by a Commission sued five Years after the Bankruptcy 109

8. A plain Act of Bankruptcy can't be purged by dealing afterwards; *aliter* if doubtful only 110

9. An Assignee has Property by Relation from the Time of the Bankruptcy, so as to avoid all Mesne Acts 111

10. See the Judges Resolutions on the Stat' 4 & 5 *Ann.* against Frauds committed by Bankrupts 111, 112

11. A Mortgagor or Purchaser precedent, tho' by a defective Conveyance, to be prefer'd before the Assignees of Bankruptcy 449

Bargain and Sale of Goods.

1. Earnest only binds the Bargain, and gives the Buyer a Right to demand the Goods 113

2. But notwithstanding Earnest, the Money is to be paid on fetching away the Goods; and a Demand *sans* Payment is void *ibid*

3. And if the Buyer does not come to pay, the Seller ought to go and request him, and then if he does not pay, &c. in convenient Time, the Agreement is dissolved *ibid.*

4. Where one Thing is to be the Consideration of the other, tho' there be mutual Promises, Performance must be averr'd 112

5. As if I sell you my Horse upon your paying me 10 *l.* I can't have the Money without a Delivery or Tender of the Horse, nor you my Horse without averring Payment or Tender and Refusal of the Money Page 113

6. So where mutual Promises are to transfer Stock on Payment of so much Money 112

7. But *aliter* where a Time is limited for the Performance on one Part 113, 171, 172

8. If *A.* and *B.* come to a Shop, and *A.* says to the Seller, let *B.* have such and such Goods, and I will see you paid, &c. the Law intends *A.* to be the Buyer, and *B.* to act but as *A.*'s Servant 23, 28

Barons, vide Peers.

Baron and Feme.

1. Action against a Feme Covert allow'd good, her Husband being Alien Enemy and in *France*: For a Divorce shall be intended 116

2. The Husband held liable to the Wife's Contract as a separate Trader, &c. because they cohabited, *per Holt* 113

3. Wife can't charge her Husband after notorious Separation, tho' by Consent and separate Allowance 116

4. Nor is he bound by her Contracts, or liable even for Necessaries, after a notorious Elopement, unless he take her again 116, 119

5. But if he turns her away he gives her Credit for Necessaries wherever she goes, *per Holt* 118

6. And while they cohabit he shall answer her Contracts, &c. for by cohabiting his Assent is presumed, *per eundem* *ibid.*

7. *Contra* where the Husband expressly dissents beforehand, by Notice, 10

The T A B L E.

to the Owner or his Servant, *per eundem*, Page 118

8. If she takes up Materials, as Silks, &c. and pawns them before made into Clothes, he is not liable; for they never came to his Use. *Contra* if made up and worn, *per eundem* *ibid.*

9. Action lies not on a Promise of Marriage, except the Contract is mutual, for otherwise it was only *Nudum Pactum* 24

10. A Contract of Marriage *per verba de presenti* is a Marriage *de facto* 437, 438

11. And whether *per verba de presenti* or *de futuro* is cognizable in the Spiritual Court, and their Sentence is binding 437

12. Yet held that Marriage by a mere Layman was void, and a Cohabitation thereupon did not entitle the Man to Administration of the Woman's Goods 119

13. For on his demanding a Right due to Husbands by the Ecclesiastical Law, he must prove himself a Husband by the same Law 120

14. *Quere* in Case of such Husband's Death, if it shall entitle the Feme and the Issue to a Distribution *ibid.*

15. Note the Form of pleading a Marriage is, That it was *per Presbyterum sacris Ordinibus Constitut'* *ibid.*

16. Yet in Debt by Baron and Feme *Nunques accouple, &c.* is no Plea, for a Marriage *de facto* is sufficient 437

17. Nor can the Spiritual Court annul a Marriage after the Parties are dead, because they proceed *pro salute Animæ* 121

18. Yet Evidence at Common Law was admitted to Bastardize a Peer, even after the Death of himself and Parents (*durum*) 120, 121

19. The Husband may release Costs adjudg'd to the Wife in the Spiritual Court, unless a Separation be, and Alimony allow'd 115

20. He alone must bring the Action for Work done by her during Coverture, unless an express Promise be made to her Page 114

21. And the Advantage of such Work shall not survive to her, but go to the Husband's Executors *ibid.*

22. But Money earn'd by her living separate shall go towards her own Maintenance 118

23. Trover by Baron and Feme, *ad dampnum* of both, held naught after Verdict; for the Possession and Property of the Wife is vested in the Husband 114

24. But Trespas by him for Imprisonment of the Wife, *per quod Negotia viri infecta reman'* *ad damp'* of both, held well after Verdict 119

25. For Matter may be alledg'd in Aggravation of Damages, for which no Action will lie *ibid.*

26. Husband of a Feme Executrix, gives a new Day to the Testator's Debtor, who then makes a new Promise, &c. he may bring *Assumpsit* thereon without joining the Wife 117

27. But if he dies before Recovery she is restored to her former Right, for the Duty was not extinguished by the new Promise *ibid.*

28. A *Sci' Fa'* by Baron and Feme on a Judgment recovered by her while Sole, if after Execution awarded she dies, it survives to the Husband 116

29. In an Action against Baron and Feme he shall give Bail for Appearance both for him and his Wife 115

30. But where one brings an Action against the Husband only, he can't declare against him and his Wife *ibid.*

31. In an Action against both for a Battery by the Wife while he was in Prison, a Declaration can't be deliver'd at the Prison against him & *Ux'*, but Process must be sued against the Wife, and she arrested 114

The T A B L E.

32. The Wife may justify an Assault in Defence of her Husband Page 407, Page 437

33. Husband and Wife covenant to levy a Fine of the Wife's Land to the Use of the Heirs of the Body of the Husband on the Wife begotten, is void 675

34. A. marries B. living a former Wife, and receives her Rents, &c. B. may have *Indeb' Assump'* as for Money receiv'd to her Use, the Husband having no Right to receive it, &c. 28

35. A Covenant before Marriage to release the Wife's Guardian after, set aside in Equity 158

36. If Baron and Feme declare on *Indeb' Assump'* to them as Executors on a Nonsuit, they shall pay Costs 207

37. A Warrant, &c. by a Feme Sole is revoked by her Marriage after 399

Barretry, vide Usury.

Barristers at Law.

1. If a Defendant be a Barrister or Attorney, &c. attending the Court, he may have the Venue changed to *Middlesex* from any other County 668

2. Also a Trial at Bar is seldom denied to any Gentleman at the Bar or Officer of the Court 651

Bastard.

1. The Rule that none shall be Bastardized after his Death holds only in Case of *Bastard eigne* and *Mulier puisne* (vide *Baron* and *Feme* 17, 18) 120, 121

2. But the Spiritual Court can't annul a Marriage, or bastardize Issue after the Party's Death 548

3. A Child begotten after a Divorce a *Mensa & Thoro* only shall be taken to be a Bastard 123

4. *Aliter* after a voluntary Separation, unless found that the Husband had no Access 123

5. So if the Husband be beyond Sea during the whole time of her going with Child, 'tis a Bastard Page 122, 534

6. *Contra* if he were here at all during that Time, for then Access would be presumed 122

7. A Bastard Child is generally to be settled where it is born 485

8. But if Born in B. pending an illegal Order for removing the Mother thither, 'tis no Settlement there 121, 474, 532

9. Nor does the Justices Order for Maintenance determine the Bastard's Settlement 123

10. Money may be ordered to be paid to the Overseers for Maintenance of a Bastard Child 122

11. Justices may order Payment of a Sum in gross for that purpose 124

12. Order to pay so much *per Week* till it be fourteen Years old, is ill 121, 478

13. Order for Maintenance quash'd because the Words of Adjudication were in the Singular Number for the Plural 122

14. An Order of Bastardy under the Hands of more than two Justices is good, if one of them be of the *Quorum* 477

15. From an Order of Bastardy the Appeal must be to the next Quarter-Sessions 482

16. *Viz.* To the next (Quarter) Sessions after Notice to the reputed Father of the first Order 480

17. On Motion to quash an Order of Bastardy, the reputed Father must be present in Court 475

18. By 28 *El. c. 3.* Sessions must proceed on the reputed Father's Recognizance 122

19. But by 3 *Car. 1. c.* they may commit him, &c. *ibid.*

20. Stat. 13, 14 *Car. 2. c. 12. sect. 21.* relates to the Maintenance of poor Children not Bastards 123

The T A B L E.

Bill of Exceptions, vide Trial.

Bills of Exchange.

1. At Common Law the Drawer was not chargeable unless he had Notice of the Drawee's Non-payment in convenient Time Page 127

2. And convenient Time is to be guided according to the Usage of Traders and particular Circumstances of Cases 133

3. Yet where A. indorses and delivers a Bill to B. who keeps it by him long after payable, if not paid he may have *Assumpsit* against A. (*vide infra*, 19.) 124

4. And the Indorsement, Delivery and Detainer is no Evidence that B. accepted it as so much Money, unless paid ibid.

5. Nor shall a Bill so received, &c. go in Discharge of a precedent Debt, except made Part of the Contract *ibid.*

6. As where a A. sells Goods to B. and agrees to take a Bill on C. in Satisfaction, there A. is discharged tho' it be never paid ibid. vide 442

7. A general *Indebit' Assump'* will not lie on a Bill of Exchange for want of a Consideration (as Value received, &c.) 125

8. But a special *Indebit' Assump'* must be against the Drawer, or a special Action of the Case on the Custom of Merchants ibid.

9. And drawing of a Bill makes a Merchant to support the Custom in that Case ibid. and 445

10. A Bill payable to A. or Bearer is not assignable to charge the Drawer, *contra* if to A. or Order 125

11. But such Indorsement charges the Indorfor, for the Indorsement is in Nature of a new Bill ibid.

12. Trover for a Bill payable to A. or Bearer, will lie against the Finder, &c. but not against his Assignee 130

13. The Words, *or to his Order*, in a Bill gives Authority to assign it by Indorsement, &c. Page 133

14. And the assigning of a Bill, Note, &c. not payable *to Order*, charges the Indorfor, but not the Drawer ibid.

15. A Blank Indorsement on a Bill does not transfer the Property without some further Act 126, 130

16. But such Indorsement may be filled up by the Indorsee so as to charge the Indorfor 128

17. And so, tho' the Bill be purchased at Discount, &c. And so may an Acquittance, &c. ibid.

18. Indorsee of Part of a Sum in a Bill, can't bring an Action *sans* shewing the other Part satisfied 65

19. A. indorses two Notes in Satisfaction of a Debt, but before Receipt the Drawer broke: *Quere* if the Indorfor could be charged (*vide supra*, 3.) 132

20. An Indorfor by Custom is only liable in Default of the first Drawer, 130, 132

21. Yet said, The Indorfor charges himself in the same manner as if he were the Drawer 133

22. See what is necessary to be proved to charge the Indorfor, in an Action by the Indorsee 128

23. Action lay not on a promissory Note before the Statute, and it can't be laid within the Custom of Merchants, *vide bis* 129

24. On a Promise (after Day of Payment) to pay *secundum Tenor' Billæ*, Action lies 127, 129

25. So Acceptance after Day of Payment is good, and amounts to a Promise to pay generally 129

26. Acceptance by one, where the Bill is drawn on two, binds both, if it concerns their Joint Trade 126

27. A Declaration against the Drawer is good *sans* laying an express Promise 130

The T A B L E.

mise, for by the Drawing 'tis implied
Page 182

28. In Declaration on a first Bill,
Want of averring the second and third
not paid is aided after Verdict 129

29. In Declaration on a Foreign Bill
drawn at two Usances, the Time of
which it consists must be averr'd 131

30. In Declaration on Inland Bills
against the Drawer, a Protest need not
be set forth, nor necessary at Common
Law *ibid.*

31. See the Form of a Bill of Ex-
change between two Persons only 130

32. An *Assumpsit* on a promissory
Note on the Custom of Merchants,
held ill 24, 129

33. And Goldsmiths Notes are on-
ly a conditional Payment without an
express Consent 442

Bonds, vide Obligations.

Bishops, Archbishops, &c.

1. The Archbishop has a Metropo-
litical Jurisdiction over Bishops by
the Common Law 135

2. Which was usurped by the Pope,
but restored by the Statutes of Henry
the 8th *ibid.*

3. A Bishop may be punished in
the Archbishop's Court for any Offence
against the Duty of his Office 134

4. A Bishop cited before the Arch-
bishop in Person for Simony, &c. *ibid.*

5. Archbishop or Bishop may judge
in Person or by their Vicar General *ib.*

6. Their Courts may punish a Tem-
poral Offence if committed in an
Ecclesiastical Matter, or Face of the
Court *ibid.*

7. All Bishops are Co-ordinate or
Pares Jure Divino, but not *Jure hu-*
mano 135

8. He that can visit can also de-
prive (2.) *ibid.*

2

9. On Issue whether a Parson be
deprived, the Court writes to the Bi-
shop Page 135

10. But on Issue whether a Bishop
be deprived, it writes to the Archbi-
shop *ibid.*

11. Antiently Bishopricks were do-
native by the King, and conferr'd by
Investiture 136

12. Translation of Bishops antiently
was by Postulation to the Pope 137

13. See the Manor of Creating and
Translating a Bishop at this Day 136

14. Four things requisite to com-
pleat a Bishop, Election, Confirmati-
on, Consecration and Installation 137

15. Note his former Preferments are
void by his Consecration and Confir-
mation not by his Election 136

16. A Bishop's Lease, if it exceed
the Statute, is void *in toto* as to the
Successor 189

17. See the Bishop's Power to com-
pel a Sequestration 320, 321

See also *Administrator* and *Presenta-*
tions.

Breach in Covenant, Debt, Case, &c.

1. *A. and B. Dimiserunt* Imports a
joint Covenant as to the Interest
granted 137

2. But it may be several with re-
spect to subsequent Acts 138

3. In Covenant where a Plaintiff
assigns several Breaches the Defendant
may traverse them severally *ibid.*

4. In Covenant, Breach that 3l. for
a Year at Lady-Day last was arrear, &c.
held well on general Demurrer 139

5. Covenant not to buy or sell
within two Years, Breach that *diversis*
Diebus & Vicibus between such a Day
and such a Day he sold to H. and
several others, held well after Ver-
dict *ibid.*

6. The

The T A B L E.

6. The Heir assigns a Breach that the Premises were out of Repair *tali Die & per 10 Annos ante*, which included his Ancestor's Time, yet held well

Page 141

7. Agreement to convey to H. or his Assigns, Breach that he did not convey to H. (only) is good 139

8. See the Diversity between Covenant to do an Act, to one or Assigns, and by one or Assigns *ibid.*

9. In Debt and Bond (except to perform an Award) where a Defendant pleads Matter of Excuse that admits a Nonperformance, the Plaintiff need not assign a Breach in his Replication 138

10. And see and note the Difference of Bonds to perform Awards from all others, and the Reason thereof *ibid.*

11. Also in Debt on Bond to perform Covenants, the Replication must shew a certain Breach: But in Action of Covenant 'tis enough to assign a general Breach 140

12. *Assumpsit* to deliver Corn on or before the 5th of January into a Barge, to be brought by the Plaintiff. Breach, That he did not deliver upon the 5th of January, is good *ibid.*

13. In a Lease, if special Days of Payment are limited by the *Redden-dum*, the Rent must be computed by that, and not the *Habendum* 141

See also *Condition, Covenant, 4, 5.*

Bridges, vide Highways.

Buildings, vide Houses.

By-Laws.

1. A Franchise granted, or Corporation erected, may be regulated by By-Laws, tho' no such Power express'd in their Charter 142

2. Members are compellable to undergo Offices, &c. imposed by a By-

Law, even in Cases where they may be indicted Page 142

3. He that is represented must take Notice of the Acts of the Body representative *ibid.*

4. A By-Law, That all Strangers shall imploy City-Porters, is ill 143

5. But a By-Law, That none but Freemen shall be City-Porters seems good. 2. *ibid.*

6. For the Freemen are represented by the Livery-Men, and bound by their Acts and By-Laws 142

7. Note, Wager of Law does not lie in Debt for Breach of a By-Law 682, 683, 684

See also *Corporations and London.*

C.

Canon-Law, vide Laws.

Capias Utlagatum, V. Outlawry.

Carrier.

1. **H**E is liable in respect of his Reward, and not of the Hundred's being answerable over to him 143

2. For the Hundred is made liable by Statute, but he was so at Common Law *ibid.*

3. And the being robbed does not excuse him, because it may be by such Combination and Consent as cannot be prov'd *ibid.*

4. A. undertaking to carry Goods of all Persons indifferently for Hire, is a common Carrier, &c. 249, 250

5. In what Cases Trover will lie against him or not, *vide* 655

6. And where the Master of a Stage-Coach is liable for Goods lost by the Driver, *vide* 282

Certiorari

The T A B L E.

mise, for by the Drawing 'tis implied

Page 182

28. In Declaration on a first Bill, Want of averring the second and third not paid is aided after Verdict 129

29. In Declaration on a Foreign Bill drawn at two Usances, the Time of which it consists must be averr'd 131

30. In Declaration on Inland Bills against the Drawer, a Protest need not be set forth, nor necessary at Common Law *ibid.*

31. See the Form of a Bill of Exchange between two Persons only 130

32. An *Assumpsit* on a promissory Note on the Custom of Merchants, held ill 24, 129

33. And Goldsmiths Notes are only a conditional Payment without an express Consent 442

Bonds, vide Obligations.

Bishops, Archbishops, &c.

1. The Archbishop has a Metropolitcal Jurisdiction over Bishops by the Common Law 135

2. Which was usurped by the Pope, but restored by the Statutes of Henry the 8th *ibid.*

3. A Bishop may be punished in the Archbishop's Court for any Offence against the Duty of his Office 134

4. A Bishop cited before the Archbishop in Person for Simony, &c. *ibid.*

5. Archbishop or Bishop may judge in Person or by their Vicar General *ib.*

6. Their Courts may punish a Temporal Offence if committed in an Ecclesiastical Matter, or Face of the Court *ibid.*

7. All Bishops are Co-ordinate or *Pares Jure Divino*, but not *Jure humano* 135

8. He that can visit can also deprive (2) *ibid.*

2

9. On Issue whether a Parson be deprived, the Court writes to the Bishop *Page 135*

10. But on Issue whether a Bishop be deprived, it writes to the Archbishop *ibid.*

11. Antiently Bishopricks were donative by the King, and conferr'd by Investiture 136

12. Translation of Bishops antiently was by Postulation to the Pope 137

13. See the Manor of Creating and Translating a Bishop at this Day 136

14. Four things requisite to compleat a Bishop, Election, Confirmation, Consecration and Installation 137

15. Note his former Preferments are void by his Consecration and Confirmation not by his Election 136

16. A Bishop's Lease, if it exceed the Statute, is void *in toto* as to the Successor 189

17. See the Bishop's Power to compel a Sequestration 320, 321

See also *Administrator* and *Presentations*.

Breach in Covenant, Debt, Case, &c.

1. *A. and B. Dimiserunt* Imports a joint Covenant as to the Interest granted 137

2. But it may be several with respect to subsequent Acts 138

3. In Covenant where a Plaintiff assigns several Breaches the Defendant may traverse them severally *ibid.*

4. In Covenant, Breach that 3 l. for a Year at Lady-Day last was arrears, &c. held well on general Demurrer 139

5. Covenant not to buy or sell within two Years, Breach that *diversis Diebus & Vicibus* between such a Day and such a Day he sold to H. and several others, held well after Verdict *ibid.*

6. The

The T A B L E.

6. The Heir assigns a Breach that the Premises were out of Repair *tali Die & per 10 Annos ante*, which included his Ancestor's Time, yet held well

Page 141

7. Agreement to convey to H. or his Assigns. Breach that he did not convey to H. (only) is good 139

8. See the Diversity between Covenant to do an Act, *to one or Assigns*, and *by one or Assigns* *ibid.*

9. In Debt and Bond (except to perform an Award) where a Defendant pleads Matter of Excuse that admits a Nonperformance, the Plaintiff need not assign a Breach in his Replication 138

10. And see and note the Difference of Bonds to perform Awards from all others, and the Reason thereof *ibid.*

11. Also in Debt on Bond to perform Covenants, the Replication must shew a certain Breach: But in Action of Covenant 'tis enough to assign a general Breach 140

12. *Assumpsit* to deliver Corn on or before the 5th of January into a Barge, to be brought by the Plaintiff. Breach, That he did not deliver upon the 5th of January, is good *ibid.*

13. In a Lease, if special Days of Payment are limited by the *Redden-dum*, the Rent must be computed by that, and not the *Habendum* 141

See also *Condition, Covenant, 4, 5.*

Bridges, vide Highways.

Buildings, vide Houses.

By-Laws.

1. A Franchise granted, or Corporation erected, may be regulated by By-Laws, tho' no such Power express'd in their Charter 142

2. Members are compellable to undergo Offices, &c. imposed by a By-

Law, even in Cases where they may be indicted Page 142

3. He that is represented must take Notice of the Acts of the Body representative *ibid.*

4. A By-Law, That all Strangers shall imploy City-Porters, is ill 143

5. But a By-Law, That none but Freemen shall be City-Porters seems good. 2. *ibid.*

6. For the Freemen are represented by the Livery-Men, and bound by their Acts and By-Laws 142

7. Note, Wager of Law does not lie in Debt for Breach of a By-Law 682, 683, 684

See also *Corporations and London.*

C.

Canon-Law, vide Laws.

Capias Utlagatum, V. Outlawry.

Carrier.

1. **H**E is liable in respect of his Reward, and not of the Hundred's being answerable over to him 143

2. For the Hundred is made liable by Statute, but he was so at Common Law *ibid.*

3. And the being robbed does not excuse him, because it may be by such Combination and Consent as cannot be prov'd *ibid.*

4. A. undertaking to carry Goods of all Persons indifferently for Hire, is a common Carrier, &c. 249, 250

5. In what Cases Trover will lie against him or not, *vide* 655

6. And where the Master of a Stage-Coach is liable for Goods lost by the Driver, *vide* 282

Certiorari

The T A B L E.

Certiorari, Recordari.

1. Where the *Certiorari* is to remove Orders, the *Fiat* only is sign'd by the Judge Page 150
2. But where it is to remove Indictments, both the *Writ* and the *Fiat* must be signed by him ibid.
3. A *Certiorari* generally lies to all inferior Jurisdictions, as the Court of *Ely*, and all Franchises, &c. 148, 149
4. So it lies to the Justices of Peace in *Wales*, and Counties Palatine, and Commissioners of Sewers, &c. 145, 146
5. But not granted to the *Old Bailly*, nor to any Justices of Gaol-Delivery unless for special Cause 144, 150, 151
6. A *Certiorari* is like a *Recordari*, which removes all things pending at any Time between the *Teste* and *Retorn* 149
7. And it is a *Supersedeas* to the Proceedings *infra*, for the same Reason that a *Habeas Corpus* is 148
8. But for removing Indictments, &c. it is not to be allowed without Bail; *vide* the late Act 149
9. Nor is it to be served after the Jury are sworn 144
10. Nor is it a *Supersedeas* to an Execution begun before the *Certiorari* issued 147
11. It ought to be to remove both the Indictment and Conviction, where the Defendant is convicted 150
12. But 'tis not proper after Conviction, unless where Error lies not, or a Fine is to be set in *B. R.* 149
13. It lies on a Judgment given by the Censors of the College of Physicians for male Practice, because Error does not lie 144
14. But a *Certiorari* to remove a Conviction of Recusancy was denied 145
15. Exceptions are usually taken to Orders of Sewers removed by *Certiorari* before they are filed Page 145
16. Note, The whole Body of Commissioners of Sewers were laid by the Heels for refusing to obey a *Certiorari* ibid.
17. Where it issued to remove a Conviction of Deer-steeling, the Return was quash'd, being imperfect 146
18. On Removal of a Conviction for not paying the Duty on Cyder, the Return in *English* was allow'd 149
19. After *Certiorari* to remove Inquisition of forcible Detainer, the Justices can't award Restitution 151
20. A *Certiorari* to remove an Indictment against *A.* will not remove one against *A.* and *B.* 146
21. So if to remove all Orders against *A.* and *B.* omitting (or either of them) it will not remove an Order against *A.* only 151
22. So if to remove an Order touching *Foreign Salt*, where the Order is touching Salt, omitting *Foreign*, 'tis not removed 145
23. Also the Name of the Parish in the Writ and that in the Order removed must appear to be the same 252
24. For there ought to be no Variance between the Writ and the Order remov'd 145, 146, 151
25. Also the Order it self must be return'd *in hæc verba*, and not with *cujus quidem Tenor sequitur*, &c. 147, 493
26. And the Return must be made by the Justices (to whom the Writ is directed) and not by the Clerk of the Peace 470
27. Note, Orders of Justices are not to be remov'd before the Time of Appeal expired 147

See also *Error*, *Habeas Corpus*, and *Sessions*.
Challenge

The T A B L E.

Challenge.

1. On a Challenge to a Juror for Favour, two of the Jury were sworn as Triers. See their Oath Page 152
2. Where two Persons are Sheriffs, and one is challenged, the *Venire* shall be directed to the other *ibid.*
3. So where two Coroners are, if one be challanged the other must act *ibid.*
4. A Juror may be challenged in Criminal Cases, if one of those that found the Bill of Indictment 153, 634
5. So if the Prisoner can prove by others that he said he was Guilty or would be hang'd, &c. 153
6. So a Juror may on a *Voire dire* be ask'd whether he hath any Interest in the Cause, &c. *ibid.*
7. And in a Civil Cause, whether he has given his Opinion beforehand upon the Right, &c. *ibid.*
8. But he can't be examin'd to any Matter Criminal or Infamous to himself in order to a Challenge *ibid.*
9. 'Tis a good Challenge to a Juror, that he hath been a Juror before in the same Cause 648

Chancery.

1. In Equity, Land agreed to be sold shall go as Money; and Money agreed to be laid out in Land, as Land 154
2. Land settled in Trust to pay Debts is discharged as soon as the Money is rais'd, tho' misapply'd by the Trustees 153
3. Where Lands are devised to pay Debts, even Debts barr'd by Statute of Limitations shall be paid therewith 154
4. For they remain Debts in Equity, and the Statute has not extin-

guish'd the Duty tho' it takes away the Remedy at Law Page 154

5. A Trustee buying in Debts for less than is due, shall not be allowed for the whole; *aliter* of one purchasing in his own Right *ibid.*
6. A Term created for a special Purpose, after that is determin'd is attendant on the Inheritance *ibid.*
7. Remainder of a Term limited to Daughters after a Limitation in Tail, if the Estate-Tail was contingent and never took Effect, the Daughters shall take, otherwise not 156, 157
8. A Term limited in Remainder after the Father's Death in Trust for raising Daughters Portions at Age or Marriage: When either happens, the Portions are to be raised in the Father's Life-time 159
9. So where limited to take Effect in case the Father dies without Issue Male by his then Wife, and she dies without such Issue in his Life-time, the Portions are to be then raised *ibid.*
10. But in no Case shall the Portions be raised before the Contingency on which 'tis founded 160
11. A Bond given to refund Part of the Portion without the Father's (Husband's) Privity is void 156
12. So a Covenant before Marriage to release the Wife's Guardian of all Mesne Profits set aside within two Days after 158
13. Lands were devised to J. S. paying the Heir 2000*l.* within twenty Years by 1000*l.* per Annum. The Heir entred for Nonpayment as a Forfeiture, and the Devisee relieved 156
14. For where ever Equity can give Satisfaction for Breach of a Condition they can relieve against a Forfeiture *ibid.*
15. And that for every 1000*l.* not paid, the Heir or Legatee shall have Interest from the Time 'twas payable *ibid.*
16. And

The TABLE.

16. And no Deduction for any Taxes, because it is not to issue or arise out of the Lands, but 1000 *l. per Annum* is given as a Sum in gross
Page 156

17. A Legacy is generally to be taken as a free Gift, and not as a Payment of a Debt 155, 508

18. A Legacy to a Creditor greater or less than his Debt, how to be taken
ibid.

19. Payment of Interest to a Scrivener on a Mortgage is good, if he has the Bond or Mortgage-Deed 157

20. And so is Payment of the Principal on a Bond, if he deliver up the Bond
ibid.

21. But 'tis otherwise of a Mortgage, for there he has Authority only to receive the Interest
ibid.

22. And if the Mortgagee agree 'tis good during his Life, tho' the Scrivener has neither the Bond nor Deed
ibid.

23. And so 'tis after his Death if the Executor agrees either expressly or by Implication
ibid.

24. A Mortgage does not revoke a Will *in toto*, but severs the Jointenancy of the Trust of a Term 158

25. That a Jointenancy is an odious Thing in Equity
ibid.

26. Merchants Goods in the Hands of a Factor not liable to Debts of a superior Nature 160

27. Otherwise of Money in the Factor's Hands 161

28. Executor must pay Debts of a higher Nature after a Decree *quod Computet*, not after a final 1507

29. Injunction against pulling down a Castle granted against Tenant for Life punishable for Waste 161

30. Bill there to foreclose a Mortgage admitted, tho' the Lands lay out of the Jurisdiction of the Court 404

31. Non-Performance of a Condition precedent to the taking of an Estate not relievable there; *aliter* if forfeited 231, 232

32. Chancery *agit in personam*, tho' Lands be *extra Jurisdictionem* Page 404

See also Charitable Uses, Devises, Mortgages, Trusts, &c.

Chaplain.

1. The King's Chaplain Extraordinary not capable of a Plurality within 21 H. 8. c. 13, 14. 161

2. A Dispensation is not necessary where the King presents his Chaplain to a second Benefice
ibid.

3. A Chaplain Extraordinary has no waiting Time, &c. but only his Name enter'd in the Book of Chaplains 162

4. A Chaplain within 21 H. 8. must be retain'd under Seal, &c.
ibid.

And see Parson, Vicar and Curate.

Charitable Uses, &c.

1. To a Bill for Charitable Uses, all the Tertenants need not be made Parties 163

2. Devise of Lands to Charitable Uses not in Writing, or not having three Witnesses is void
ibid.

3. And the Statute 43 El. which favour'd Appointment to Charities, is repealed *pro tanto* by the Statute of Frauds
ibid.

4. Devise to superstitious Uses is void, but neither the King nor the Heir shall have it
ibid.

5. But the King may apply it to a proper Use, *i. e.* as a Charitable Devise
ibid.

6. No Averment can be admitted of a superstitious Use by the Statute of Frauds 162

7. But Devises in Trust for superstitious Uses are discoverable by Informations in the Exchequer
ibid.

8. The Statute of Frauds did not bind the King as to Appointment of superstitious Uses, &c. 162, 163
Churches

The T A B L E.

Churches, Chapels, Church-Wardens, &c.

1. One that only occupies Lands in a Parish is taxable in a Rate for Bells, &c. Page 164

2. Inhabitants of a Chapelry are liable to Repairs of the Mother-Church, unless exempt by Custom 164, 165

3. *Aliter* where it is a late Erection in Ease and Favour of those of the Chapelry 165

4. By the Common Law the Parishioners are to repair the Church, but by Canon Law the Parson 164

5. The Parishioners are bound to repair the Church, but the Chancel is to be by the Parson *ibid.*

6. But in *London* the Parishioners repair both Church and Chancel, tho' the Freehold is in the Parson 165

7. Church-Rates are to be assess'd by the Parishioners, and not by the Church Wardens (*Vid. Faresl. 69.*) *ibid.*

8. Union of Churches was at Common Law, i. e. by Concurrence of the Parson, Patron and Ordinary *ibid.*

9. But Union of Parishes is by Statute, *vide Stat' 22 Car. 2. c. 11. &c. ibid.*

10. By Union of Churches the ancient Church or Rectory, and the Incumbency seems to be extinct *ibid.*

11. Entire Neglect of going to Church is punishable in the Spiritual Court 166

12. But *quere* if one is suable there for not going to his own Parish-Church *ibid.*

13. Return to a *Mandamus* for swearing in a Church-Warden being insufficient, a peremptory *Mandamus* was granted *ibid.*

14. Parishioners cannot prescribe to dispose of Pews exclusive of the Ordinary 167

Church of England, Religion, Dissenters, &c.

1. On Information for refusing to take on him the Office of Sheriff, adjudg'd, Dissenters are not exempted by the Toleration from doing what is necessary to qualify themselves for Publick Offices Page 167

2. *Ergo* not exempted from taking the Oaths and Sacrament according to 13 Car. 2. &c. *ibid.*

3. For the King has an Interest in the Persons of his Subjects, and a Right to demand their Service 168

4. And none can be exempted from the Office of Sheriff unless by Statute or by Charter *ibid.*

5. The Toleration Act is a private Statute, and not taken Notice of by the Court unless pleaded *ibid.* & 674

6. A License to a Dissenting Minister inroll'd in one County does not extend to another 572, 673

Clerks of Assize, the Peace, &c. vide Offices.

Collation and Lapse, vide Advowson, Bishops, Presentation, &c.

Commission, vide Authority.

Commitment, vide Attachment, and Habeas Corpus.

Common.

1. A Farmer is to be taxed for Common appendant in the Parish where the Farm lies 163

2. One may prescribe for Common appendant to his Cottage *ibid.*

3. How a Copyholder shall make Title to Common within or without the Manor 170

4. Where it is out of the Manor, tho' he infranchise his Copyhold the Common remains 171

5. But

The T A B L E.

5. But where within the Manor, it belongs to his Estate, and Infranchisement extinguishes the Common (2)

Page 171, 366

Common Recovery, vide *Recovery*

Common Informer, vide *Indictments*, &c.

Computation, vide *Age*, *Day* and *Term-Time*.

Condition.

1. A Condition made impossible by the Act of God can't be broken 170

2. See the Nature and Effect of a Condition precedent 171, 231

3. An Agreement that A. shall do, and for the doing B. shall pay, the later is a Condition precedent *ibid.*

4. But where a Time is fixed for the Payment, it will vary the Construction, 113, 171

5. A Release of all Demands will not release a Promise unbroken, or a future Act 171

6. A Condition is to be construed as an Agreement according to the Intent of the Parties 113, 171, 172

7. Where a Condition is underwritten or indorsed, if it be void the Obligation remains single 172

8. But where it is incorporated, and made one with the *Lien* it self, if it be impossible the whole is void *ibid.*

9. Condition to exhibit an Inventory into the Spiritual Court before such a Day, the Defendant in excuse must not only plead that no Court was held, but also that he was there ready *ibid.*

10. Where a Condition is precedent to the taking of an Estate, *Non-performance* differs from a Forfeiture thereof in Equity 231, 232

See also *Obligation*.

Confession.

1. A Verdict for the Plaintiff set aside, and Judgment entred by Confession on the Matter of the Plea

Page 173

2. After a frivolous Plea Judgment may be entred as by Confession, *aliter* if only mispleaded *ibid.*

Confirmation.

A new Charter may be used as a new Grant, or as a Confirmation 168

Conquest, vide *Law*.

Consent, vide *Agreement*.

Consideration, vide *Assumpsit*, and p. 422.

Conspiracy.

1. A Conspiracy, tho' nothing done in Pursuance of it, is an Offence, and that whether it be to charge one with an Offence Temporal or Spiritual 174

2. And the bare meeting and consulting to charge falsely an innocent Person is indictable *ibid.*

3. And in the Indictment it need not be averr'd that the Party is innocent, for to charge falsely is *tantamount* *ibid.*

4. The *Venue* therein must be where the Conspiracy was, and not where put in Execution *ibid.*

5. And Confederacies, &c. are one of the Articles to be inquir'd in the Commission of *Oyer* and *Terminer* *ibid.*

Constable and Marshal, vide *Marshal*.

Constables.

The T A B L E.

Constables.

1. The High Constable was an Officer at Common Law before the Stat. of *Winton*, as well as the Petty Constable Page 175, 581

2. And are Officers to the Justices of Peace as the Sheriff is to the Court of B. R. (2) *ibid.*

2. Both high and Petty Constables are removeable, and the Justices in Sessions are the best Judges of that Matter 150

4. A Constable chosen at the Leet is bound to serve under a Penalty 175

5. But such Penalty can't be distress'd for, *sans* express Custom *ibid.*

6. Regularly he is to be chosen in the Leet or Turn, but may be in a Corporation by Custom 502

7. Sessions of the Peace may appoint a Constable *ibid.*

8. The Constable of A. takes an Oath (on Sale of a Distress) in B. and held well 247

9. If a Warrant be directed to a Constable by his Name, he may execute it out of his Precinct 176

10. He is indictable for neglecting his Duty required either by Common Law or Statute 380

Construction of Words, &c. *vid.*
Exposition.

Consultation, *vide* Prohibition.

Contempt, *vide* Attachment,
Page 429.

Contracts *vid.* Agreement, Bargain and Sale, Breach, &c.

Continuance and Discontinuance.

1. A wrong Conclusion of Prayer of Judgment in a Replication makes a Discontinuance Page 177

2. If a Plea to the Whole answers but to Part, the whole Plea is naught, and the Plaintiff may demur. *ibid.*

3. But if a Plea to Part answers only to Part, 'tis a Discontinuance, and the Plaintiff must take Judgment for the rest 94, 177

4. And where the Plea is only to Part, if the Plaintiff don't take Judgment for the rest, it makes a Discontinuance 180

5. See where Issue is joined to Part, and Demurrer to the Residue, after divers Continuances a Discontinuance recorded *ibid.*

6. The Plaintiff can't discontinue after a Rule for Judgment for the Defendant 179

7. Yet a Discontinuance may be by Leave of the Court after a Special Verdict, not after a General 178

8. Statute 32 H. 8. c. 30. extends to all Discontinuances, as well of Court as Process, both in inferior and superior Courts 177

9. Continuances are not entered in B. R. till the Plea-Roll is made up (*Vide* 420.) 179

10. Outlawry of the Plaintiff between Action brought and Plea pleaded, need not be pleaded *puis darrein Continuance* 178

11. The Plea *puis darrein Continuance* is a Waiver of the Plea in Bar *ibid.*

12. A *Venire* returnable 23 October, and the *Distringas* tested the 24th is a Discontinuance 51

13. If a Defendant makes a Discontinuance by his Demurrer, the Plaintiff may either take Judgment or join in Demurrer 4

The TABLE.

14. A Demurrer in Bar to a Plea in Abatement makes a Discontinuance
Page 194, 218

15. So does a Demurrer to a Demurrer (2.) 219

16. Several Bars may be pleaded to several Parcels of a Debt on Bond 180

17. Of Discontinuances in Replevin, vide 3, 93, 94

What may be laid with a *continuando*, vide Nuisance and Trespass.

See also Amendment, Departure, Joinders, Pleas, &c.

Convictions.

1. A Summons is necessary in all summary Convictions 181

2. Where the Time therein is impossible it is no Summons *ibid.*

3. In Convictions on 43 *El.* for cutting Trees in the Night, their Nature and Number must be shewn *ibid.*

4. A Plea of Title to such Conviction shall not be receiv'd, per 3 *contra Holt*, and *St. John's Case*, 5 *Co.* deny'd 182

5. On Conviction of Deer-stealing each Offender forfeits 30 *l.* the Statute being *respectively forfeit* *ibid.*

6. In Convictions before Justices what appears upon Evidence will not supply a Defect in the Charge 385, 686

7. 'Tis the Conviction of a Crime, and not the Punishment, that makes the Infamy 689, 690

8. On Conviction of *Forcible Detainer* the Defendant refus'd to be bail'd 106

See also Indictments.

Conuzance of Pleas.

1. See the Manner of demanding Conuzance of Pleas, and the Method of Entry thereof 148, 183

2. An immemorial Usage ought to be shewn, and then an Allowance in *B. R.* or *Eyre* 184

3. And the Record of such Allowance must be produced Page 184

4. In Ejectment for Lands in the Isle of *Ely*, after *Non culp'* pleaded, a Suggestion of Conuzance was entered on the Roll without any *nient dedire* or Confession of the other Party, yet held well 183

See also Courts Inferior, Franchises, &c.

Coparceners, vide *Jointenants*.

Copyholds and Copyholder.

1. A Writ of Right lies not of Copyhold Lands 186

2. Steward of a Copyhold Manor may take Surrenders out of the Manor 184

3. Surrenderee of a Copyhold is within the Equity of the Statute 31 *H. 8. c. 3.* 185

4. An Admittance relates to a Surrender, and the Surrenderee's Title begins from thence *ibid.*

5. Equity ought only to supply a Surrender against the Heir in Favour of a Son or a Daughter, &c. 187

6. Causes of Forfeiture of Copyhold Lands do not descend to the Heir 186

7. Tenant for Years makes a Feoffment, 'tis a Forfeiture; not so where he makes a Lease for a longer Term 187

8. Copyhold Lands are *Parcel Manerii*, Freehold Lands are held *ut de Manerio*. *Nota* 186

9. Custom in a Manor to grant Lands by Copy to two or three for their Lives, *Habent Successivis*, &c. a Grant to *A. Habent* to him for the Lives of *A. B.* and *C.* is warranted by the Custom 188

10. If a Copyhold Tenant *per antor vie*, die, the Lord shall enter, and there is no Occupancy *ibid.*

11. For

The TABLE.

11. For Occupancy is only to supply a Freehold. Page 189

12. Also Rent to A. *pur auter vie* ceases by A.'s Death *ibid.*

13. The Act of a Copyholder can't alter his Estate in Prejudice of the Lord. *ibid.*

14. Copyholds are included within an Exception of Demeans of the Manor 373

Coroner.

1. Where there is but one Sheriff, and he challenged for Favour, the *Venire, &c.* must go to the Coroners 152

2. But if two Sheriffs are, and but one only challenged, it must issue to the other Sheriff *ibid.*

3. So if two Coroners are, and one is challenged, the other must act *ibid.*

4. If a Coroner's Inquest be quash'd he must make a new one, *super visum Corporis* 190

5. But if a *Melius Inquirendum* be on a *Male se gessit* of the Coroner, the Inquiry must be before the Sheriff, or Commissioners upon Affidavits; for none but the Coroner can inquire *super visum Corporis* *ibid.*

6. He may cause the Body to be dug up soon after the Burial, but not a long time after 377

7. See a Coroner's Inquest quash'd because the Wound not set forth, nor that the Party died of it *ibid.*

See also *Indictments*.

Corporation.

1. Elections, &c. to be made originally by the Body at large, may by Usage and By-Laws be restrain'd to a select Number 190

Also the Election may be in one Body, and Approbation in another 436

2. The Surrender of a Charter of Incorporation is void without Inrolment 191

3. Where Members under a good old Charter join with Members under a new bad one, their Acts are void Page 191

4. A Corporation must have a Name either express'd in the Grant, or implied in the Nature of the Thing *ibid.*

5. They may do an Act upon Record without their Common Seal, but not in *Pais* 192

6. At Common Law no Officer was bound to sign a Return *ibid.*

7. They may make a Fraternity, and also By-Laws to bind Strangers, for publick Convenience *ibid.*

8. See the Difference between a Corporation and a Fraternity 193

9. They may sue by their Name of Incorporation, notwithstanding an express Power to sue by another 434, 435

10. A Corporation aggregate may appoint a Bailiff to distrain, &c. without Deed 191, 467

See also *By-Laws, Customs, Franchises, London and Mandamus.*

Costs.

1. Full Costs are allow'd in Trespas where done *clamando Titulum*, or the Freehold be in Question 193

2. The King pays Costs for Amendments, but not for going on to Trial *ib.*

3. But where there is a Prosecutor, he shall pay it in both Cases *ibid.*

4. No Costs are allow'd on Demurrers to Pleas in Abatement to either Plaintiff or Defendant 194

5. Information against three, and one only acquitted, he shall not have Costs on 4; 5 *W. M. c.* 18. *ibid.*

6. Costs taxed upon a *Certiorari*, are to be only the Costs in B. R. 55

7. Prosecutor can't move to aggravate the Fine after his accepting Costs *ibid.*

8. See

The T A B L E.

8. See an Exposition of the Rule for putting off Trial upon Payment of Costs Page 11

9. *Note*, Issues are never estreated by special Rule, unless in extraordinary Cases 55

10. See exemplary Issues order'd to be return'd against the *E. I. Company* 191

See also *Damages*, and *Fines* and *Amerciaments*.

Cottages and Inmates.

1. See the Description of a Cottage, Curtilage, Messuage, &c. 169

2. And that the Stat' *De Extenta Manerii* says a Cottage contains a Curtilage, &c. ibid.

3. The Ordinance *de Terris Mensurandis* is an Act of Parliament 195

Covenant.

1. *A.* lets a House excepting two Rooms, and is disturbed therein, Covenant lies not 196

2. *Aliter* if excepting a Passage thereto, and he be disturbed in that ibid.

3. Where a Bond or Covenant to indemnify is made before the first Condition, 'tis forfeited by the Breach 197

4. *Aliter* if given afterwards, or to indemnify against a single Bill ibid.

5. Assignee is not liable to a Breach incurr'd before Assignment 199

6. Tho' Covenant may be brought on a Deed-Poll, yet none can bring it unless named in the Deed 197

7. Covenant to discharge from Taxes extends only to subsequent Taxes of the same Nature, not of different Natures 198

8. Grantee of a Rent Charge can't bring Covenant against Assignee of the same Land. ibid.

9. Diversity where a Covenant is avoided by subsequent Statute, and where not Page 198

10. Where a Conveyance of Land is void, so as no Estate passes, all dependant Covenants are void also 199

11. *Aliter* of Independant Covenants ibid.

12. That a Covenant to repair runs with the Lands, and the Reason thereof vide 317

13. What Words will make a Covenant joint or several 393

14. See the Case of a Mortgagee's covenanting that the Mortgagor shall quietly enjoy till Default of Payment, &c. 245

See also *Assignment* and *Breach*.

Counties Palatine, vide Palatine Counties.

Courts, and Inferior Jurisdictions.

1. Power to examine, hear and punish is a Judicial Power 200

2. A Court that has Power to fine or imprison is a Court of Record ibid.

3. All Misdemeanors of Judicial Officers are Contempts of *B. R.* 201

4. See the Proceedings and Process of Inferior Courts, and of punishing Jurors there ibid.

5. See an Attachment against a Judge of a Corporation-Court for granting a new Trial 201, 650

6. In a Presentment in a Court-Leet, 'tis not necessary to shew how nor *quo Jure* the Court is held 200

7. Where the Jurisdiction of a Court is limited in Things, and they hold Plea of other Matters, all is void 202

8. *Aliter* where limited to Persons. For there if the Defendant does not plead to it, he is estopp'd 201

9. 80

The T A B L E.

9. So where a Matter is averr'd to be within the Jurisdiction, if the Defendant does not plead to the Jurisdiction he is estopped Page 202

10. An Officer executing Process of Inferior Courts is justified tho' the Cause be out of the Jurisdiction, except it appear to be so 201

11. A Judgment of a superior Court is only voidable, but of an inferior Court if they exceed 'tis void 674

12. No new Trial in inferior Courts 650

See also *Jurisdiction, Marshal, Universities.*

Customs.

1. A Custom tho' apparently subsequent to another may be also laid Time out of mind; for Customs are not coeval 293

2. A Custom that none shall Trade in a Town besides Persons free of the *Gilda Mercatoria* there. 2. If good in any except *London* 203

3. See the Difference as to such a Custom between *London* and other Cities 204

4. Antiently the King's Grant to have *Gildam Mercatoriam* made the Town a Corporation ibid.

5. This Action ought to have been brought by the Guild or Corporation ibid.

6. See the Difference between general Customs whereof the Law takes Notice, and special Customs which must be pleaded, *vide* 184, 243

7. For Customs of Copyhold Manors, *vide Copyhold.*

See also *By-Laws, Corporation, London and Universities.*

Custos Rotulorum, *vide Offi-*
ces, &c.

D.

Damages.

1. Statutes that give Costs are to be taken strictly Page 205

2. By 2 *W. M. ff. 1. c. 5.* The Plaintiff shall recover treble Costs as well as treble Damages ibid.

3. Where a Statute gives a Penalty to the Party grieved he shall have Costs; *contra* if to the Informer 206

4. In Case for Slander with special Damage, the Plaintiff shall have full Costs; tho' the Damages under 40 s. ibid.

5. The Court are bound by the Clause in 21 *Jac. c. 16.* for increasing Costs, but the Jury not 207

6. In Trespas for taking, driving and wounding his Sheep, the Plaintiff shall have full Costs 208

7. See the Construction of 22, 23 *Car. 2. c. 9.* of certifying for Costs, 206, 208

8. Upon a Recognizance of Bail no Damages can be given *occasione dilationis Executionis* 208

9. Husband and Wife declare upon an *Indeb' Assump'* to them as Executors, on Nonsuit they shall pay Costs 207

10. Upon a Nonsuit in Replevin for a Distress for a Poors Rate, if the Jury omit to inquire of Damages, it may be supplied by Writ afterwards 205

11. Trespas for entring an House and assaulting, &c. the Assault, &c. may be laid by way of Aggravation of Damages 642

See also *Costs.*

Day, Date, and Delivery.

1. The Day of the Delivery of a Deed is the Day of the Date, tho' there is no Date set forth 76

K

2. If

The TABLE.

2. If a Deed bear Date one Day, and be deliver'd another, it was really dated when deliver'd Page 76

3. But as to the Clause *geren' Dat' &c.* it seems otherwise, and differs from a *Datu* or *enjus Dat' &c.* *ibid.* Et vide p. 463

4. If an Award be pleaded without Date, it must be computed from the Delivery 76

5. An impossible Date is no Date, and a Plaintiff must declare of the Time of making, &c. 463

6. A Lease to Commence a *Datu* includes the Day of the Date, per 3 *contra Treby* 413

7. A Deed dated in Figures without *Anno Domini, &c.* good, &c. 658

8. Lessor dying on the Day the Rent became payable (*i. e.* before Sunset) it shall go to the Heir, not the Executor 57

9. Insurance of A.'s Life for a Year, A. dies on the last Day thereof, the Insurer is liable 625

See also *Age and Term-Time, &c.*

Debt.

1. Debt lies for Sheriff's Fees of executing an *Elegit* 209

2. It lies in the *Marshalsea* on a Judgment had in B. R. *ibid.*

3. In Debt for Rent on a Lease at Will Occupation must be shewn *ibid.*

4. In all Cases where Debt lies an *Indebitatus assumpsit* will lie, *sed non econtra* 23

5. Where the same Hand is to receive that ought to pay, is an Extinguishment of the Debt, *vide* 305

Deceit.

1. It lies on Warranty of a Horse to be sound that wants an Eye, &c. 210

2. Where the Seller has the Possession of Chattels, the bare affirming them to be his makes a Warranty Page 210

3. *Aliter* where he has not Possession, for there is room to question his Title, and *Caveat Emptor* 211

4. But such Affirmance is no Warranty in Sale of Lands, whether the Seller be in or ought of Possession *ibid.*

5. Deceit lies for affirming to a Purchaser that the Rent is more than it is *ibid.*

6. Deceit for a Fine levied of Antient Demesne lies against the Heirs of the Conuzor and Conuzee after five Years, because it was merely void 210

Declaration, p. 22.

1. See the Diversity between charging a Prisoner with the Declaration in Term-Time and in the Vacation 213, 214

2. In Case for maliciously holding to Bail, the Declaration is to recite the Sum due and the Process specially, &c. 15

3. In *Consideratione inde super se assumpsit*, not saying the Defendant *Assumpsit*, held well after Verdict 26

4. Mistakes in a Declaration can't be taken Advantage of on a Plea in Abatement 212

5. In Narr by Administrator, want of alledging by whom committed cured by pleading *Non est factum* 37

6. On Administration granted during the Absence of J. S. the Narr must aver that J. S. is absent 42

7. Declaration for keeping a Bull used to run at Men, is naught after Verdict, without *sciens* or *scienter* 662

8. On the Statute of Hue and Cry, the Declaration need not set forth the Oath to be taken before a Justice of Peace of the Hundred 614

9. Declara-

The T A B L E.

9. Declaration concluded *contra formam Statuti* well, tho' some of the Matters are not within the Statute

Page 212

10. Two Counts in a Narr' for Things of the same Kind not averr'd to be different, well after Verdict

213

11. What Declarations are cured by Verdict, *vide Verdicts* and

364

12. Declarations in *Quare impedit* upon Agreement by Indenture between Jointenants to present by Turns

43

13. Declarations on Bills of Exchange, *vide*

128, 131

14. How to declare on a Bond dated beyond Sea

660

15. See the Difference of declaring on Words Spoken and Words written

661

16. How to declare in *Indeb' assump'* for a Negro sold

666

17. How to declare in Trespass *Vi & Armis*, *vide*

636

18. A Declaration in Ejectment must shew the Quantity of each Sort of Land

254

19. Where the Count shall abate for Repugnancy

213

20. See a Declaration on Copyhold Land, not said *ad voluntatem Domini*, held well after Verdict

364

See also *Recognizances*, 1, and 2.

Deeds and Charters.

1. Where a Deed runs in the first Person, signing and sealing makes A. a Party tho' not named therein

214

2. Where one is bound by Deed to do an Act, of which he is to give Notice, tho' the Notice is dispensed with by becoming impossible, yet the Act must be done

ibid.

3. Upon *Non est factum* found against a Deed it may be kept in Court, *aliter* if on a collateral Issue

215

4. Where the Deed or Writing is only produced as Evidence, and the Action not founded on it, the Defendant can't have a Copy

Page 215

5. An Obligation deliver'd by A. to C. to the Use of B. is a Deed till B. refuses.

301

See also *Obligations*, *Oyer* and *Releases*.

Deer-stealing, *vide Convictions*, *Indictments* and *Principal*.

Default.

1. Where a Defendant makes Default at *Nisi prius*, no Judgment can be given for him, nor Repleader awarded

216

2. In personal Actions the first Default before, and the second after Issue join'd is peremptory

ibid.

3. Where upon Default after Issue join'd the Inquest shall be taken by Default, and where Judgment may be given

ibid. & 217

4. But in Appeal of Rape, if after Issue join'd the Defendant makes Default, there shall be neither

217

5. Default may be waved in real Actions. not in Personal

ibid.

6. The Day of *Nisi prius* not being the same with the Day in Bank, a Default at *Nisi prius* can't be waved at the Day in Bank

ibid.

Defeasance, *vide Releases*.

Defence.

A Plea without Defence may be refused, but is made good by Acceptance

217

See also *Pleas*, &c.

Demand, &c. *vide Request*.

Demurrer.

The T A B L E.

Demurrer.

1. Demurrer to a Declaration in *Trover de duobus fulcris, &c.* Plaintiff may release Damages as to that Page 218.
 2. Demurrer in Bar to a Plea in Abatement is a Discontinuance 218, 220. *Vide* 93, 94
 3. But Issue being join'd on another Promise, the Demurrer was stayed and may be cured by Verdict 218
 4. Demurrer to a Demurrer makes a Discontinuance (*sed* 2.) 219
 5. A Demurrer for Duplicity must shew wherein *ibid.*
 6. A Demurrer may be to Part, and Issue to the other Part 218, 219
 7. No Demurrer can be in Abatement, and if there be, the Court will give a final Judgment 220
 8. If a Defendant makes a Discontinuance by his Demurrer, the Plaintiff may either take Judgment or join in Demurrer. 4
 9. See Judgments on Demurrers 402, 403
- See also *Estoppel, Pleas, &c.*

Denizens, vide Aliens.

Deodands.

1. Where several things move *ad Mortem*, they are all *Deodands* 220
2. As where a Cart overturned and threw a Person from it before the Wheels of a Waggon which ran over the Man, and kill'd him, both the Cart and the Waggon and all the Horses of both are *Deodands* *ibid.*
3. So if a Tree fall on the Branch of another Tree which breaks and kills a Man, both are forfeited *ibid.*
4. So if a Horse throws a Man in a River which carries him down to

I

a Mill where he is kill'd with the Wheel Page 220

Departure.

1. In Trespass to a Justification by Distress, if the Plaintiff replies an Abuse, 'tis no Departure 221
2. So in Trespass, if the Defendant justifies on the Day in the *Narr*, the Plaintiff may alledge another Day in his Replication 222
3. And so he may in *Assumpsit*; For the Time is but Circumstance, and if the Defendant force him to vary 'tis no Departure. 223
4. Nor is a varying from that which is not materially alledg'd any Departure 222
5. But where Performance is pleaded, and Matter of Excuse is afterwards set forth in the Rejoinder, 'tis a Departure 221

Deputy, vide Authority.

Detinue.

1. An Action of *Detinue* will lie for Goods forfeited, see the Reason 223
 2. *Detinue* of Charters no Plea in Dower after Imparlance 252
- See also *Actions in General.*

Devastavit, vide Executor.

Devise.

1. No Construction or Implication to be admitted against the express Words of a Devise 226, 227
2. And where a particular Estate is expressly devis'd, a contrary Intent is not to be implied from subsequent Words 236
3. *Expres-*

The T A B L E.

3. *Expressio eorum quæ tacite insunt nihil operatur (in Margine)* Page 233

4. The Testator's Intent is to be collected from the Words of the Will, and not extrinſick Circumstances 235

5. And Words in a Will that are good Sense in themselves, are not to be transposed 236

6. And the legal Sense of the Words is to be taken, if a contrary Sense be not manifestly implied 238

7. The Word (*Granted*) in a Will construed as if it had been, *agreed to be granted* 225

8. *Note* ; Matter that cannot appear till found, when found is not to be regarded in the Exposition of Wills 235

9. What Words in a Will give only an Estate for Life, and what a Fee, without *Heirs* *ibid.*

10. The Words *all my Estate*, in a Will, pass both the Thing and all the Testator's Interest therein 236

11. So, *I give all my Estate, Right, Title and Interest in, &c. and also the House called, &c.* gives a Fee in the House 234

12. So the Words, *whatever else I have not disposed of*, will carry a Fee in a Will 239

13. So a Devise to *A.* for Life, and then to be at her Disposol, *&c.* gives an Estate for Life with Power to dispose in Fee *ibid.*

14. See the Difference between a Power appendant to the Estate, and where it is collateral 240

15. Yet a Devise of all the Lands I shall have at my Decease will not pass Lands purchased after the Devise 227

16. But it seems otherwise in Devises of Chattels and Personal Estate *vide ibid.* and 238

17. A Devise of a Term of Years to several successively for Life, after all are dead, the Devisor's Executor shall have the Residue 231

18. A Devise to the first Son of *A.* (*A.* having none at that Time) is void Page 229

19. But a Devise to an Infant *in Ventre ſa Mere*, is good, because *in eſſe* 230

20. Devise of the Rents and Profits of Lands to *A.* to be paid by the Executors, is a Devise of the Lands to *A.* 228

21. Limitation of a Term to *A.* and the Heirs of his Body, and if he dies *ſans Iſſue*, living *B.* then to *B.* is good 225

22. Devise to *A.* and *B.* and their Heirs, and the longer Liver of them, equally to be divided between them and their Heirs, makes a Tenancy in Common 226, *vide* 391

23. A contingent Remainder must vest during the particular Estate, or *eo inſtante* that it determines 228, 238

24. *Ergo* a Remainder to the right Heirs of *J. S.* is void, if the particular Estate determines in the Life of *J. S.* 238

25. A Devise to *A.* for fifty Years if he ſo long live, Remainder to the Heirs Males of *A.* Remainder to *B.* the laſt Remainder takes Effect preſently, because the firſt Remainder was void 226

26. A Devise to *A.* for Life, and if he have Iſſue Male, then to ſuch Iſſue Male and his Heirs; and if he die without Iſſue Male, to *B.* and his Heirs; *A.* has but an Estate for Life, and both Remainders are contingent 224

27. *Note* ; There may be a Poſſibility of Reverter where no Remainder can be limited (*vide ſupra* N^o 17.) 231

28. A Devise by the Father to the Son and his Heirs for ever, and for want of ſuch Heirs then to the Right Heirs of the Father, is an Estate-Tail in the Son 233

The T A B L E.

29. *A.* having a Remainder in Tail with a Reversion in Fee, devises to one Son in Tail, Remainder to the other in Fee, is good ; because it alters the Tenure Page 233

30. Devise to *A.* if *B.* a Stranger dies without Issue, is an Executory Devise *ibid.*

31. *Aliter* if *B.* were Tenant in Tail with Remainder to the Divisor *ibid.*

32. See the three Kinds of Executory Estates, and within what Time an Executory Estate ought to arise 229

33. A Limitation *per verba de presenti* will make an Executory Devise ; *Aliter*, if *per verba de futuro* 226

34. *A.* having two Daughters, one has a Son and dies, *A.* devises Lands to the Son, he takes by the Devise, and not by Discent 242

See also *Executors, Legacies, Uses, 9. and Wills.*

Diocese, vide Administrations, Bishops, &c.

Discent.

1. A Discent which tolls Entry must be immediate 241

2. And Coverture to avoid such Discent must be continual *ibid.*

3. Where the same Estate is divided to one which he would have taken by Discent, he is in by the Discent notwithstanding the Possibility of a Charge 241

4. Yet *A.* having two Daughters, one has a Son and dies, and then *A.* devises to the Son, the Son takes the whole by the Devise 242

5. And there cannot be a Discent of a Moiety to one Coparcener as Heir 242

6. *Borough English* Lands descend to the Representative of the youngest Son Page 243

7. For where Custom makes an Heir, the Law implies all Incidents in Course of Discents *ibid.*

8. But a Difference is between general Customs of which the Law takes Notice, and special Customs *ibid.*

See also *Heir.*

Discontinuance of Estates.

1. *A.* Tenant in Tail levies a Fine to *B.* for *B.*'s Life with Warranty, and after levies a Fine to the Use of *A.* and his Heirs with Warranty 244

2. The first Fine was a Discontinuance, but it was only a Discontinuance during the Life of *B.* *ibid.*

3. For a Discontinuance remains no longer than the wrongful Estate that causes it *ibid.*

4. Nor could the second Fine enlarge the Discontinuance, because thereby the Estate return'd back to the Conuzor *ibid.*

5. And if the second Fine had been to a Stranger, yet during the Life of the first Conuzee, it made no Discontinuance *ibid.*

6. There may be a Discontinuance which turns the Estate to a Right, and not take away Entry 245

Discontinuance of Actions and Process, vid. Continuance, &c. and p. 218, 219, 329.

Disseisin and Seisin.

1. Mortgagee Covenants that Mortgagor shall quietly enjoy till Default of Payment, and then assigns 245

2. After such Assignment Mortgagor is only Tenant at Sufferance, but his

The T A B L E.

his continuing in Possession does not turn the Term to a Right, nor make a Disseisin Page 245, 246

3. An Entry in Ejectment is not a real Entry, nor shall it avoid a Fine or make a Seisin 246

4. A bare Entry on another without an Expulsion makes only such a Seisin, that the Law will adjudge him in Possession only that has the Right, but not work a Disseisin 246

5. Where an Office is a Freehold the Denial of Fees is a Disseisin 333

See also *Entry forcible*.

Dissenters, vide *Church of England* and *Universities*.

Distress.

1. What Things are distrainable or not, vide 249

2. An Anchor and Sails of a Ship are distrainable for Port-Duties 248, 249

3. Yet Goods deliver'd to a Tradesman to be manufactured are not for Rent 250

4. Nor Goods deliver'd to a common Carrier that carries them for Hire 249

5. Where Distress is without Cause, the Owner may rescue before Impounding, not after 247

6. Where a Distress escapes, the Distrainer can't bring Trespass, unless shewn to be without his Default 248

7. But if the Distress dies after taken, he may have Trespass for Damage feasant ibid.

8. Where the Law gives a Distress for publick Benefit the Officer may sell 379

9. On a Distress in two Hundreds in different Counties, the Oath on Sale

may be administred by the Constable of either Page 247

See also *Damages*, *Replevin* and *Trespass*.

Distribution.

1. Brothers Grandchildren cannot share with Brothers Children 250

2. Aunt not entitled to share with Grandmother, the later being nearer of Kin 251

3. Any Person entitled to a Distribution may sue an Administrator in the Spiritual Court, to accompt, &c. ibid.

4. See the old Law of Distributions and Inheritances ibid.

5. And see how far Estates *pur autre vie* are Assets and distributable 464

See also *Administrations* and *Executors*.

Division, vide *Apportionment*,

Dower.

1. A Feme shall be endow'd of the Capital Messuage or *Caput Baronie*, except of a Feudal Barony 253

2. But at this Day there are no Feudal Baronies except *Arundel* ibid.

3. A Tenant for Life, Remainder for Years, Remainder to A. in Tail, A.'s Wife shall be endowed 254

4. But otherwise if the Mesne Remainder had been for Life ibid.

5. *Detinue* of Charters is no Plea in Dower after Imparlance 252

6. Tenant in Dower dies before Writ of Inquiry executed, Administrator can't bring a *Sci Fa* for the Damages and Mesne Profits 252

The T A B L E.

E.

Edifices, vide Houses, &c.

Ejectment.

1. **I**N Ejectment the Declaration must shew the *Quantum* of each Species of Land Page 254
2. Yet *De mineris Carbonum* without shewing the Number, held well in *Durham* 255
3. In Ejectment on Condition of Re entry, Proof of actual Entry and *Ouster* is not necessary 259
4. See the Proceedings against the Defendant, if he does not confess Lease, Entry and *Ouster* *ibid.*
5. In Ejectment for an empty House a Lease is to be sealed in the same, and Entry, &c. made 255
6. And a Judgment therein set aside, because no Affidavit of such Lease, Entry, &c. *ibid.*
7. A Service upon the Servant, if the Defendant acknowledges he receiv'd it, is sufficient *ibid.*
8. Landlord may be join'd a Defendant if he request it, but is not compellable 256
9. A Wife may be made a Defendant in Ejectment, where the Husband is Lessor of the Plaintiff 257
10. A Church is demandable therein by the Name of a Messuage 256
11. *Note*; A special Rule was to defend *quoad* a Right of Entry to perform Divine Service *ibid.*
12. The Plaintiff in Ejectment is merely nominal, and he is a Trustee for the Lessor, and his Release is a Contempt 260
13. The Term laid in the Declaration, if it expires pending the Suit,

can't be enlarg'd without Consent Page 257

14. After a whole Term elapsed the Plaintiff in Ejectment must give new Notice to plead, &c. *ibid.*

15. Execution on Judgment in Ejectment can't be sued out after the Year and Day without a *Sci' Fa'* 258

16. On a Judgment for the Plaintiff, if the Defendant brings Error, he ought not to bring a new Ejectment *ibid.*

17. Who may falsify a Recovery in Ejectment *ibid.*

Election of Actions, vid. Actions in General.

Elegit, vide Recognizance.

Entry forcible.

1. Tenant at Will not within any of the Statutes of forcible Entry 260
2. In Inquisitions and Indictments thereon, both Expulsion and Disseisin must be expressly alledged 261
3. And tho' *Disseisit* imports a Freehold, yet *Disseisivit* without *Expulit* is ill *ibid.*
4. On an Inquisition remov'd into B. R. no Restitution can be if the Defendant traverses the Force 260
5. So if he plead, That he has been in three Years quiet Possession before the Force supposed *ibid.*
6. A Conviction thereof shall not be quash'd on Motion, if a Fine be set; *aliter* if no Fine set 450
7. See a Commitment for a Fine upon Conviction of a forcible Detainer 353

Error.

1. A Writ of Error is a Writ of Right in all Cases but Felony and Trea-

The T A B L E.

Treason 504. And lies against the King Page 264

2. But a Writ of Error is not proper to remove Indictments &c. 266

3. Error lies not from the Exchequer Court to the House of Lords, for the Exchequer-Chamber intervenes 511

4. Upon Error in Parliament of a Judgment affirmed in B. R. new Bail is required 97

5. Error lies to a new-created Jurisdiction of Record acting by the Course of the Common Law *ibid.*

6. But lies not in *Cam' Scac'* on 27 *Eliz.* on an Award of Execution after the original Judgment affirmed there 263

7. Where a Writ of Error abates in *Cam' Scac'* no Judgment in B. R. without a *Remittitur* 261

8. After Award of Execution on a *Sci' Fa'* the Defendant can't have Advantage of Matter pleadable to the *Sci' Fa'* 264

9. But where 'tis awarded on two *Nichils* return'd, he may be relieved by *Audita Querela*, or on Motion *ibid.*

10. Also Matter contrary to the Surmise of the *Sci' Fa'* and pleadable thereto, is not assignable for Error 262

11. Where a Writ of Error abates by Motion, the Court must be mov'd for Execution; *Aliter* if for Variance 264, 265

12. A Writ of Error abates not by Death of the Defendant in Error 264

13. Where the Plaintiff brings Error, and the Court reverses, they give a new Judgment; *aliter* if the Defendant brings it 262

14. Variance between the Plaintiff and Declaration in Inferior Courts is Error 266

15. No Diminution can be alledg'd of Records out of Inferior Courts *ibid.*

16. Upon a Writ of Error the Court takes Notice of the Law or

Custom of Inferior Courts; *aliter* on an *Hab' Corp'* Page 269

17. An Inferior Court may be held *per Legem Mercatoriam*, and not a Court of Staple 265

18. An Original return'd by one not Sheriff is not assignable for Error *ibid.*

19. Irregularity in the Return thereof must be complain'd of the same Term *ibid.*

20. Where want of Original is assign'd, the Plaintiff in Error must sue a *Certiorari*, unless the Defendant confesses it 267

21. And where want of Original is assign'd, and a Release is mispleaded, the Court may award a *Certiorari ad informand' Conscientiam* 268

22. Also the Court may *ex Officio* award a *Certiorari* to supply a Defect in the Body of a Record, even after *in nullo est Errat'* pleaded 270

23. But the Party himself shall not have a *Certiorari*, nor alledge Diminution after *in nullo est Errat'* pleaded *ib.*

24. For the Defendant by such Plea admits the Record to be perfect, and shall not afterward alledge Diminution *ibid.*

25. Defendant in Error may sue out a second *Certiorari*, after a variant Original return'd on the first 266

26. Continuances can't be return'd upon the same *Certiorari* with the Original 269

27. *Note*; Error in Fact may be confess'd, but not Error in Law (*vide Numb. 20.*) 268 269

28. The Court cannot depart from the Point put in Judgment, if they do 'tis Error 268

29. Error *coram Vobis* lies on an Affirmance in B. R. of a Fine levied in C. B. 337. *vide Fines.*

See also Amendment 8, 9, 30. and Averment, 13.

M

Escape

The T A B L E.

Escape.

1. A precedent Assent of the Plaintiff will excuse an Escape, but not a subsequent 271
2. The Marshal not chargeable in Escape till Notice of the Commitment 272, 273
3. If one taken on an erroneous *Ca' Sa'* escapes, yet the Sheriff is liable; *aliter* if on a *Cap' ad respond'* 273
4. Discharge by a Court not having Jurisdiction is void, and therefore an Escape *ibid.*
5. *A.* levies a Plaintiff in the Sheriffs Court of *London* against *B.* being in Custody in a former Plaintiff by *C.* if *B.* escapes, *A.* may bring Escape 273, 274
6. See an Indictment against a Gaoler for negligent Escape of one committed to Prison, and charged with High Treason, held ill 272, 347
7. For 'tis not enough to say he was charged with High Treason, but he must be committed for it also *ibid.*
8. If one be committed to the Sheriff for a Crime, and the Gaoler suffer him to escape, the Gaoler is liable, and not the Sheriff *ibid.*
9. For the Sheriff shall answer civilly for the Faults of his Gaoler, but not criminally *ibid.*

Escrow.

A Plea, deliver'd as an Escrow ought to conclude to the Country. 274

Estates, vide *Devifes*, *Discontinuance*, *Que Estate*, *Fines*, *Recoveries* and *Tail*.

Estoppel.

1. A Lease for Years may operate as to Part by Estoppel, and as to

4

the Residue by passing an Interest

Page 275

2. Where an Estoppel works on the Interest of the Land, it runs with it, and is a Title 276
3. A Jury is bound by Estoppel unless the Party leaves the Fact at large by Pleading 3, 276
4. Where the Estoppel appears on Record the other Side may demur 277
5. A *Sci' Fa'* against Tertenants reciting a Judgment of a wrong Term, and on *Nul tiel Record*, Judgment for the Plaintiff, and *Elegit* thereon. In Ejectment the Defendant is estopp'd to take Advantage of the Variance 267
6. A Judgment against an Executor by Confession or Default is an Admission of Assets, and he is estopped to say the contrary on a *Devastavit* return'd, and so is a Jury 310

Estrays, vide *Waifs*.

Estreats, vide *Fines* and *Amerciaments*.

Evidence.

1. In Debt for Rent on Issue *Nil debet*, the Statute of Limitations may be given in Evidence; so in Case on *Non Assumpsit* 278
2. So in *Indebitatus Assumpsit*, on Issue *Non Assumpsit*, Infancy may be given in Evidence 279
3. In Ejectment, what Possession or Entry will prevent the Statute of Limitations (*vide Entry* and *Disseisin*) 285
4. Depositions in Chancery *de bene esse* are good Evidence at Law where the Witnesses die before Answer 278
5. But no Depositions *in perpetuam Rei memoriam*, &c. are Evidence in any

The T A B L E.

any Case as long as the Witnesses live

Page 286, 555

6. If one makes an Answer in Chancery which prejudices his Estate, it may be given in Evidence against him, but not against his Alience, &c. 286

7. Depositions before a Justice, if the Deponent die, may be Evidence in Felony, but in no Case else 281

8. A general History is Evidence to prove a Matter relating to the Kingdom in general, but not a particular Right or Custom *ibid.*

9. So a Year-Book to prove the Course of the Court, and Heralds Books to prove Pedigrees, &c. So Parish-Registers, *vide ibid.* & 690

10. A Record of Sessions may be Evidence to prove the Plaintiff had not taken the Oaths, and so his Office void 284

11. Also, the Matter of a Record lost may be proved by other Evidence 285

* A Printed Statute no Evidence upon *Nul tiel Record* 566

12. Recital of a Lease in a Release is Evidence against the Releasor and those claiming under him 286

13. But not against others without proving there was such a Deed, and that 'tis lost or destroyed *ibid.*

14. A Counterpart no Evidence of an Indenture, unless old; or in Case of a Fine 287

15. Sentence of the Spiritual Court in a Cause within their Jurisdiction is conclusive Evidence in the Point tried, otherwise of a Collateral Matter 290

16. In Trover, by Administrator on the Intestate's Possession, Defendant can't give in Evidence a Will, on the general Issue; *aliter* if on the Administrator's own Possession 289

17. A Brewer's Book sign'd by a Dray-man, that was dead, admitted to prove the Delivery of Beer *ibid.*

18. So a Shop-Book was admitted as Evidence on Proof of the Servant's Hand who made the Entries, he being dead *Page 690*

19. An Indenture of Bargain and Sale intoll'd may be given in Evidence without proving the Execution 280

20. Where upon *Non assump'* a Condemnation in Foreign Attachment may be given in Evidence or not, and how *ibid.*

21. And see a Condemnation after Original in Foreign Attachment brought before Original, held a good Discharge in Evidence on *Non assump'* 291

22. A Goldsmith's Note to pay is Evidence of his having received the Money 283

23. Payment of Money due to the Wife as Executrix is not Evidence to maintain an Action for Money receiv'd to the Husband's Use 282

24. In Debt for Rent, *Levy per Distress*, & *sic non Debet*, Payment or Release is good Evidence 284

25. But in Debt on Obligation, &c. if he pleads *Rasure*, & *sic non est factum*, nothing but *Rasure* is Evidence *ibid.*

26. What Evidence will be good or not to maintain Issues in Case, *Assumpsit*, &c. *vide* the several Titles

27. Declaration of a Trover in *Middlesex*, and Proof of one in *Ireland* is good 290

† Where Denial is not Evidence of a Conversion in Trover 655, 666

28. Deceit of a Factor beyond Sea is Evidence to charge the Merchant here in Action of Deceit 289

29. On Indictment for a Cheat in procuring a Note from *A. A.* can't be a Witness, *Quere* 283

30. Yet *A.* being cheated was admitted as a Witness to prove the Fact on the Indictment 286

31. The

The T A B L E.

31. The Pilot refus'd as a Witness in an Action for running over the Plaintiff's Barge with a Ship Page 287

32. The Son took the Father's Money and gave it to A: and the Son's Evidence admitted in *Trover* against A. 289

33. The Heir at Law may be a Witness of the Title, but the Remainder-Man not 283

34. Where a Plaintiff goes upon the Credit of divers Partners, the Act of one Partner is Evidence against the others, except they shew some Disclaimer 292

35. In Trespass on Not guilty, the Defendant can't give in Evidence that the Place was a Highway; *vide supra* 287

36. In Ejectment the Plaintiff makes Title by Recovery in Dower, the Defendant not admitted to prove a Term of Years prior to the Title of Dower 291

37. A Parol Promise to be performed on a Contingency not within the Statute of Frauds, tho' it happen not within the Year. 280

38. Refusing to give Evidence to the Grand Jury is a Contempt and fineable 278

39. Indictment for breaking the Chamber of S. in the House of James, Evidence that it was the House of Jameson does not maintain it 385

See also *Proof and Witnesses.*

Exchange, V. Bills of Exchange.

Exchequer, vide Privilege of Place.

Excommunicato Capiendo.

1. At Common Law the Writ *De Excom' Cap'* was always general, not containing any special Cause, and returnable in *Chancery* 293

4

2. But since the Stat. 5 *Eliz. c. 23.* the Cause must be express'd in the Writ, and it is returnable in *B. R.*

Page 293, 294

3. And since that Statute the Court of *B. R.* may discharge the Party on the Insufficiency of the Return 293

4. The Court of *B. R.* may either quash the Writ or award a *Superfedeas*, and a *Superfedeas* shall not go out of *Chancery* 293, 294

5. An *Excom' Cap' pro quibusdam Causis subtraction' decimar' sive al' Jur' Ecclesiast'* quash'd for Incertainty *ibid.*

6. So the Recital of the *Significavit* therein being *in quodam negotio puorum Educationis*, it was also quash'd for Incertainty 294

7. One taken on an *Excom' Cap'* can't come into Court but by *Habeas Corpus*, and that not before the Return of the Process *ibid.*

8. And if brought in before the *Excom' Cap'* is returnable, he can neither plead nor move to quash the Writ *ibid.*

9. See one taken on *Excom' Cap' pro jactitatione Maritagii*, and Exceptions to the Writ disallow'd 294, 295

Executors.

1. No Funeral Expences are allowed for the Pall or other Ornaments 296

2. Necessary Expences to be allowed are only the Coffin, Bell, Parson, Clerk and Bearers Fees *ibid.*

3. He is compleat Executor before Probate for all Purposes but bringing Actions (see his Power) 301, 306

4. But tho' by the Will he has a Right vested, yet he can't sue for it till Probate 299

5. He may commence an Action before Probate but not declare 302

6. The Ordinary can't refuse Probate to an Executor because he is *incapax* 299

7. Nor

The TABLE.

7. Nor can he insist on Security from him, because the Testator has allow'd him sufficient *Page 299*

8. None can prove a Will but he who is named Executor therein *309*

9. As were an Executor after administering and before Probate dies, his Executors can't prove it *ibid.*

10. And where the Executor dies, the Will not proved, the Spiritual Court grants an immediate Administration, and not *de bonis non* *304*

11. But if an administering Executor proves the Will, his Executor shall be Executor to the first Testator, and in that Case there needs no new Probate *309*

12. If an Executor becomes Bankrupt Administration can't be granted, *contra* if *Non compos*, because a natural Disability *36*

13. Where two are Executors and one proves the Will and dies, the Executorship survives to the other *311*

14. But if the other then renounces, the Testator is dead intestate *ibid.*

15. Yet where several are Executors and one only refuses, his Refusal is void *307*

16. And where the refusing Executor survives, Administration granted during his Life is void (2.) *307, 308, 311*

17. So where an Executor Administers, and after refuses, Administration can't be granted during his Life *308*

18. An Obligor made Co executor refuses and dies before the others who administered, the Debt is extinguished *ibid.*

19. The Executor of an Executor may renounce being Executor to the first Testator; but if he does not, he is Executor of Course *309*

20. If an Executor proves the Will, and after dies intestate, his Administrator cannot be Executor to the first Testator *ibid.*

21. But in that Case a Debtor being Executor, and the Debt thereby once extinguish'd, tho' his Administrator cannot continue the Executorship, that Inability will not revive the Debt *Page 309*

22. Yet where a Debtor is made Executor, the Debt is Assets *306*

23. For in that Case the Debt is extinguished not by way of Release, but as a Legacy *303*

24. An Obligor is made Executor and administers Part, but dies before Probate, the Debt is extinguish'd, and the Administrator *de bonis non* can have no Action for it (2. of Creditors) *299, 300*

25. So where several are jointly bound, if the Obligee makes one of them his Executor, either sole or jointly with a Stranger, the Debt is released tho' the Obligor never administers *300, 301*

26. But where the Executor of one of the Obligors having no Assets is made Executor to the Obligee, this is no Extinguishment *305*

27. The Executrix of the Obligee taking the Obligor to Husband does not extinguish the Debt *306*

28. *Contra* if the Obligee her self takes the Obligor to Husband *ibid.*

29. If the Obligee is made Executor to the Obligor, and there is not Assets, he may sue the Heir *304*

30. An Administration granted *durante Minoritate Executoris* ceases at the Executor's Age of Seventeen *29*

31. One taking the Intestate's Goods before Administration granted is an *Executor de son tort*; *contra* if after Administration granted *313*

32. An Executor is chargeable in the *Debet & Detinet* for Rent incurr'd after his Entry *317*

33. But if the Rent be more worth than the Land, he may plead it *297, 317*

N

34. Two

The T A B L E.

24. Two Executors join in an Acquittal, but only one receives the Money, both are chargeable to Creditors Page 318

35. But the actual Receiver is only chargeable to Legatees ibid.

36. Executor of a Master of a Ship can't sue in the Admiralty for Mariners Wages 33

37. An Executor may pay Debts of a higher Nature after a Decree *quod Computet*, but not after a Final 507

38. He may have an Action for a Right accrued in the Life of the Testator 12

39. As for false Return of a *Fieri Fa'* or other Execution; *contra* of Mesne Process ibid.

40. He may bring Error and reverse an Attainder of Treason of his Testator, *per 3 contra Holt* 295

41. in Debt for Rent of a Term he may plead no Assets, and that the Lands are of less Value than the Rent, &c. 297, 317

42. For he can't waive for the Term only, but must waive the Executorship *in toto*, or not at all 290

43. Action by two Executors, where the Probate was only by one, held well 3

44. In Debt against him, Plea that he is Administrator and not Executor is not in Bar but only in Abatement 296

45. In Case against him, and *plene Admin'* pleaded, the Plaintiff must prove his Debt, or shall recover but *id.* Damages, tho' there be Assets ibid.

46. To a *Sci' Fa'* on a Judgment against the Testator, *plene Admin'*, not shewing how, is ill, on special Demurrer ibid.

47. To Debt and Bond he pleads six Judgments; this confesses Assets for above five. And if the Replication takes Issue upon the *riens ultra* so much, 'tis ill 312

48. For his pleading of Judgments is a Concession of Assets to satisfy them, and the *riens ultra*, &c. is not material Page 312

49. An Executor in pleading Judgments with Penalties should shew how much is really due thereon 311

50. Where an Executor or Administrator is charged as Assignee, the Judgment is *De bonis propriis* 309

51. But where one is charged as Executor, the Judgment shall be *De bonis Testatoris*, tho' he might have been charged as Assignee 316

52. If one Executor appears on the *Capias*, and the other makes Default, Judgment shall be against both, *De bonis Test'* 312

53. And if Error be brought both must join ibid.

54. If the Husband of a Feme Executrix convert Goods or Money, they become his, and 'tis a *Devastavit* 306

55. A *Devastavit* may be return'd by the Sheriff on a *Fi Fa'* without a *Sci' Fa'* Inquiry 310

56. Judgment against Executor by Confession or Default is an Admission of Assets, and he is estopped to say the contrary on a *Devastavit* return'd: And so is a Jury ibid.

57. A *Devastavit* lies for the Executor of an Executor of him to whom the Wrong was done, tho' not against the Executor of him that did it 314

58. To a *Scire Fa'* upon an Interlocutory Judgment against an Executor, the Defendant can't plead a Judgment in Bar 42, 315

59. In *Assumpsit* by Executor for the Testator's Money received to the Plaintiff's Use, the Executor shall not pay Costs on Nonsuit 314

60. Where Executors may have *Assumpsit* for Money to the Testator, *vide Action on the Case in Assumpsit* 28

61. Where

The TABLE.

61. Where Action is brought within six Years, and the Plaintiff dies before Judgment and after the six Years, the Executor may pursue it *Page 425*
See also *Administrators, Assets, Devises, Legacies and Wills.*

Execution.

1. Execution on a *Fa' Fa'* in the Life of the Testator gives a Right to Executor *12*

2. Where it is necessary to return a *Fa' Fa'* and where not, *vide 3. 8*

3. Two *Fa' Fa's* deliver'd the same Day to the Sheriff who executes the last first, the Execution is good, but the Sheriff is liable to the Plaintiff in the first *320*

4. Judgment in Trespas against four, who bring Error, and one dies; The Plaintiff can't sue Execution *sans* suggesting the Death upon Record, but he need not sue a *Scire Fa'* *319*

5. Whereupon Death of the Party a *Sci' Fa'* is necessary or not, *319, 320*

6. Note; A *Fa' Fa'* abates not by the Plaintiff's Death *322*

7. Where Execution is stayed by Injunction till after the Year, Plaintiff may sue a *Sci' Fa'* *ibid.*

8. A Defendant taken on a *Cap' Ut-lag'* after Judgment after the Year is in Execution at the Party's Suit, *sans* Prayer *319*

9. On a Judgment of *B. R.* in *Ireland* affirmed here, Costs must be levied by Writ out of *B. R.* there *321*

10. What is a Disturbance of Execution on an *Hab' fac' Possessionem* *ibid.*

11. Writ of Error is a *Supersedeas* to Execution (not begun to be executed) as soon as allow'd, and without Notice *ibid.*

12. The Sheriff that begun the Execution shall end it, tho' his Office expires *323*

13. And a Seizure of Goods by the Sheriff in Execution, deveys the De-

fendant's Property, and discharges his Person *Page 323*

14. 2. The Bishop's Power to compel a Sequestration, on a Return of *Clericus beneficiatus, &c.* *320, 321*

15. To what Executions the Statute of 19 *El. c. 4.* extends, and what not, *vide bis 331*

16. Note; Execution may go on Affirmance of Convictions, either by *Levari Fa', Fa' Fa', or Ca' Sa'* *369. vide 379*
See also *Judgments.*

Exposition of Words and Sentences.

1. Where Words are capable of different Expositions, that Sense shall be taken which supports the Declaration, Deed or Agreement, and not that which defeats it *324, 325*

2. Where Words that are Nonsense, or contradictory to others, shall be rejected or not *69, 325*

3. See the Words *Pro defectu talis Exitus* explain'd *622*

4. For Expositions of Words in Wills and in Statutes, *vide Devises and vide Statutes.*

5. See also the particular Exposition of these Words, *viz.*

Affideo 328

Averia 349

Consuevit 459

Convenient Time 133

Cottage 169

De. Ex. and In 474. &c.

Effectus, vide Tenor

Et 640

Or Executors 61

Extorsive 681

Felonice ibid.

Feudal Barony 252

Furlinga, Hida, &c. 254

Granted 225

Hereditament 349

Hotchpot 426

Hypothecation 34, 35

Indorsavit 375, 376

Innuendo

The T A B L E.

<i>Innuendo</i>	Page 513
<i>Item</i>	239
<i>Juxta Tenorem</i>	324, 417, 660
<i>May for shall</i>	609
<i>Particeps criminis</i>	22
<i>Peculiars</i>	41
<i>Pons Pedalis, and Pons Pedestris</i>	359
<i>Prisage</i>	617
<i>Quare, and Quod cum</i>	636
<i>Salvage</i>	254
<i>Tenor and Effectus</i>	324, 417, 660
<i>Vadium</i>	552
<i>Videlicet</i>	681
<i>Villa</i>	501

See also *Trespass* and *Variance*.

Extent, vide Recognizance.

Extinguishment.

1. Bond to pay Money after Marriage between the Obligor and the Oblige, the Intermarriage only suspends but not extinguishes the Debt 325
 2. So a Feme Executrix of an Oblige marries the Obligor, 'tis no Extinguishment 326
 3. Husband may release a Duty which by Possibility may accrue to the Wife during the Coverture, otherwise not *ibid.*
 4. Administrator may retain a Bond-Debt against Rent, but he can't plead a Bond to another *ibid.*
- See also *Administrators* and *Executors*.

Extortion, vide Usury.

F.

Fairs, Markets and Tolls.

1. **I**N *Trespass* the Defendant justified as Clerk of the Market for a Distress for not using Sealed Measures 327
2. Whether the Clerk of the Market can distrain *ex Officio* for using unlawful Measures *ibid.*

3. *Per Holt*, He cannot have a Power to estreat Fines and Amerciaments otherwise than as a Franchise Page 327

4. And 'tis more reasonable he should bring the Standard thither, than that People should follow him out of the Market *ibid.*

5. See an Indictment for speaking Words to the Prejudice of a publick Market, quash'd 370

False Latin.

1. False Latin, i. e. *quidem* for *quidam*, abates not an Appeal 328
 2. And *Affident damna* for *assidunt*, held well in a Verdict *ibid.*
 3. Two Negatives in Grants may be taken as a Negative, *contra* in Pleadings because in Latin *ibid.*
- And See *Indictment* and *Obligation*.

Failer of Record.

1. Upon *auter Action pendant* pleaded, a Discontinuance after *nul tiel Record* replied will not avoid it 329
 2. *Aliter* of a Reversal by Error; for there if *nul tiel Record* be pleaded, and before the Day to bring in the Record the Judgment is revers'd, it avoids the Record *ab initio*, and is a *Defecit de Recordo* *ibid.*
 3. See where *Variance* in the Record declared on, and that produced makes a *Failure* 659
- See also *Record*.

Fees.

1. A *Quantum meruit* lies for Fees for serving as a Commissioner on a Commission to examine Witnesses 330
2. If the Sheriff executes a Writ he shall have his Fees tho' the Writ be erroneous, &c. 332
3. Debt lies for Fees for executing an *Elegit*, as well as an *Extent* 323
4. And

The T A B L E.

4. And upon a *Capias ad satisfac'* the Sheriff shall have his Fees for the whole Debt. (*Quere of Elegits*) Page 331

5. But the Statute 29 *El. c. 4.* for Sheriffs Fees, does not extend to Executions on Statutes, Recognizances, &c. because the Judgment is not *invi-tum* 332

6. Nor does it extend to Executions out of Inferior Courts 331

7. Under-Sheriff can't refuse to execute Process till he has his Fee, if he does he may be indicted for Extortion 330, 331

8. No Rule to be for referring an Attorney's Bill deliver'd for Fees, except an Action be pending thereon 332

9. If an Office be a Freehold the Denial of just Fees is a Disseisin 333

10. Suits for Fees in the Ecclesiastical Court are to be prohibited 330
& *his* 333

11. The Register of a Spiritual Court cannot sue there for his Fees 333

12. And a Prohibition to a Suit there for Fees for swearing Churchwardens 330

13. No Fees due for Christenings or Burials unless by Custom; and then he must do the Duty 332, 234

Felony.

1. If several make a Riot, and a Man is kill'd, they are all Principals in the Murder 334, 335

2. How Murder has Relation to the Stroke 614

See also *Appeals, Indictments and Principal.*

Fences, Inclosures.

1. Action lies for suffering a Fence to be out of Repair, *per quod* the Defendant's Cattle entred the Plaintiff's Close, &c. 335

2. And in that Case either Trespass or Case lies, at Election. See the Reason of either Page 335

3. Where a Charge against Common Right is laid on the Owner of the Soil, the Plaintiff must make a Title, and a Prescription is sufficient *ibid.*

4. But where the Charge is on the Defendant of Common Right, the Plaintiff need not prescribe in his Declaration 22

5. A Prescription laid in *Tenentes & Occupatores* is well 336

Feoffments, vide Fines, Recoveries, &c.

Ferries, vide Page 12.

Fieri Facias, vide Execution.

Fines and Amerciaments.

1. Amercements *pro falso Clamore* at Common Law were affected by Jury on a Warrant to the Coroners 14

2. Amercements may be general *quod sit in Misericordia*, and afterwards affected to a certain Sum 56

3. Defendant may be amerced twice in the same Action where there are two final independent Judgments 54

4. In Trespass, &c. no Judgment is entred *pro Fine* in *B. R.* since the Stat. 5, 6 *W. 3. aliter* in *C. B.* *ibid.*

5. Judgment for a Fine may be given in the Defendant's Absence upon any Persons Undertaking to pay it 56

6. On confessing an Indictment and submitting to a Fine, Affidavits may be read to prove the Defendant assaulted the Plaintiff; *contra* after Conviction 55

7. Prosecutor cannot move to aggravate the Fine after his accepting of Costs *ibid.*

8. Issues are never estreated by special Rule unless in extraordinary Cases *ibid.*

O

9. Of

The T A B L E.

9. Of discharging Estreats upon Motion in the Exchequer, see Page 54, 55

10. The Course on Return of a Rescue is to set four Nobles Fine on each Offender 586

11. One outlawed for a Misdemeanor cannot thereon be fined for the Fact 494

12. A Fine on a Nuisance pardoned by a general Pardon, but the Abatement not excused 458

13. The Cause for which a Fine is set is never reverfable 397

See also *Entry Forcible, Indictments, &c.*

Fines and Feoffments.

1. Why a Fine is called a Feoffment of Record; see 340

2. A Fine with Grant and Render is tantamount to a Feoffment and Re-feoffment, and the Render creates a new Estate 337

3. A Fine *sur Conuza'*, &c. *come ceo*, &c. implies a Fee-Simple, but that may be qualified to a particular Estate 340

4. A Tenant in Tail with a Remainder in Fee makes a Lease, and dies before Commencement, and the Issue levies a Fine. The Lease is good against the Conuzee 338

5. Yet held that the Estate-Tail was extinct by the Fine. See the Reasons *ibid.*

6. A Fine may be levied in any real Action, as a Writ of Right, &c. but not in Personal 340

7. And the Writ of Covenant, on which a Fine is levied, is a real Action *ibid.*

8. A Fine of Lands in Antient Demesne works a Discontinuance, but no Bar *ibid.*

9. A Fine is of that Term the Concord was made, and the Writ of Covenant returnable 341

10. Error *coram Vobis* lies in B. R. on an Affirmance there of a Fine levied in C. B. Page 337

11. Yet a Writ of Error in B. R. to reverse a Fine in C. B. removes the Transcript only 341

12. On Error to reverse a Fine, a *Sci' Fa'* must go against the Terte-nants 339, 398

See also *Recoveries and Uses, &c.*

Forcible Entry, vide *Entry Forcible.*

Foreign Attachment, vide *Evidence*, N^o. 20, 21. and p. 273.

Forfeiture.

1. Non-Attendance is good Cause of Forfeiture of the Office of Recorder 435

2. Act of the Deputy may forfeit the Office of the Principal 19

Forgery.

1. The Statute 5 *El. c.* 14. mentions false Deeds as well as Writings, and therefore *Scriptum* or *Factum*, &c. is well 342

2. In Indictment for forging a Deed with the Mark of J. S. the Mark it self need not be set forth *ibid.*

3. *Fabricavit, seu Fabricari causavit*, is ill in an Indictment of Forgery 342, 371

4. No Forgery can be where none can be prejudiced by it but the Person doing it 375

See also *Indictments.*

Franchises and Liberties.

1. Where there is a Franchise of a Prison there must be a Gaol-Delivery 343

2. What

The TABLE

2. What Franchises were granted to the University of Oxford by Charter 14 H. 8. Page 343

3. A Franchise can't be allow'd upon Motion, unless it has been formerly pleaded, and is upon Record 450
See also *By-Laws, Courts Inferior.*

G.

Gaming.

1. A Wager concerning the right Manner of playing not within the Statute against Gaming 344

2. The Winner shall not recover on a Bill against the Acceptor for Money won at Play; *aliter* against the Indorsee 344

3. A. may lose 100 l. to one, and 100 l. to another upon Tick, because several Contracts; *aliter* if a joint Contract 345

4. So if he lose 200 l. in ready Money, and afterward 100 l. more for which he gives his Note, the Note is good *ibid.*

5. So in *Assumpsit* for 40 l. a Plea that it was won at Play, and that at the same Sitting he lost 66 l. to another, is ill *ibid.*

6. For when 166 l. is lost to several Persons 'tis not within the Statute, unless they join in the Stakes, or there be Fraud *ibid.*

Gaol.

1. Where there is a Franchise to have a Prison, a Gaol-Delivery is incident 343

2. If a Prisoner be at large or out of the Gaol he may be arrested 345

3. See the Course of charging a Prisoner when in actual Custody *ibid.*

4. How the Statute for Discharge of poor Prisoners is to be pleaded Pages 21

See also *Arrests, Bail, and Habeas Corpus.*

Grants.

1. Lessee for Years grants the Land, *Habendum* for the Residue after his Death, the Term vests presently, and the *Habendum* is void 346, 347

2. A Termor grants or devises generally. The Grantee is Tenant at Will, but the Devisee has the Term *ibid.*

3. See the Exposition of the Word *Granted* in a Will 325

See also *Fines, &c. and Jointenants, and Patents.*

Grants of the King, vide King and Patents.

H.

Habeas Corpus.

1. A Commitment for Treason in aiding the Escape of A. committed for Treason ought to specify the Treason for which A. was committed 272, 347

2. See a *Habeas Corpus* for one in Custody at the King's Suit, and turned over because the Action was precedent to the King's Extent 353

3. But one committed for a criminal Matter was on the like *Habeas Corpus* remanded 354

4. One committed to the Marshal by Warrant of the Chief Justice of B. R. ought to be brought up by *Habeas Corpus*, and not by Rule 349

5. A Commitment by Commissioners of Bankrupts till the Defendant conform to their Authority, is ill 348

6. And

The T A B L E.

6. And so is their Commitment till he shall be discharged by due Course of Law. For the Statute says, till he submit to be examined by the Commissioners Page 351

7. So a Commitment on 35 *El. c. 2.* till he should be delivered by due Course of Law, is ill *ibid.*

8. See a Commitment for a Fine upon a Conviction of forcible Detainer 353

9. One committed by the Admiralty in Execution is not removable into *B. R.* to answer an Action there 351

10. And one brought into *B. R.* shall not be brought into any other Court till he has answer'd there 350

11. If a Commitment in Execution by a Court of *Oyer and Terminer* be wrong in Form only, the Defendant can't be discharged on *Habeas Corpus*, but is put to his Writ of Error 348

12. Note; all Commitments in Execution by Courts of *Oyer and Terminer* ought to be to the Sheriff or his Gaoler, and the Word *Committitur* to be therein *ibid.*

13. Where the Commitment is by Warrant, the Officers must return the Warrant 349

14. *Aliter* of Commitments by a Court to a proper Officer in Execution *ibid.*

15. A *Habeas Corpus* quash'd, because directed to the Sheriff or Gaoler in the Disjunctive 250

16. An *alias Habeas Corpus* granted upon insufficient Return of the former *ibid.*

17. A *Habeas Corpus* lies not to the County Palatine of *Chester* 354

18. A *Habeas Corpus* after interlocutory Judgment, then the Defendant died, and a *Procedendo* awarded 352

19. A *Procedendo* may be awarded after filing the Return of a *Habeas Corpus* *ibid.*

20. For the Record it self is never removed by *Habeas Corpus*, as 'tis by a *Certiorari* Page 352

21. Therefore on Removal by *Habeas Corpus* the Plaintiff here must begin *de Novo*, and declare against the Defendant as *in Custod' Mar'* *ibid.*

22. The *Habeas Corpus* suspends the Power of the Court below, and while pending, Proceedings there are *coram non Judice* *ibid.*

23. On Commitments by House of Commons no Court can deliver on a *Habeas Corpus*, per 3 *contra Holt* 503
See also *Certiorari*, and p. 8.

Heir.

1. He can't plead a Term for Years rais'd by his Ancestor, in Delay of Execution; but should confess Affets 354

2. And if he so pleads, a general Judgment shall be given for his false Plea 355

3. Where a Defendant is sued as Heir, the Declaration need not shew how he is Heir *ibid.*

4. What Words give an Estate for Life or in Fee, without the Word *Heirs*.
See also *Discent* and *Executors*.

Heriot.

1. Heriot Custom or Heriot-Service may be seized any where, but not distrained for out of the Manor 356

2. Where a Heriot is due upon Death of the Copyholder it can't be altered by any Act of his 189

Highways, Rivers, Bridges.

1. Justices can't appoint six Days to work in Highways, between such a Day and such a Day in general, but must particularly express what Days 357

2. See

The TABLE.

2. See the Construction of 2W. & M. Stat. 2. c. 6. sect. 2. for repairing the Pavement of Streets Page 356

3. Inhabitants repairing before their own Doors not excused from Scavengers Rates *ibid.*

4. Where Inhabitants submit to a Fine, they must also repair the Way before discharged 358

5. Indictment for suffering a House on the Highway to be likely to fall, lies against Tenant at Will 357

6. The Subject has a Right to fish in all Navigable Rivers; and 2. if *solâ Piscaria* may be therein *ibid.* V. 637

7. The County is liable to repair a publick Bridge, unless they can charge a particular Person 359

8. A Manor held by Service of repairing a Bridge, a Tenant of any Part is liable to the whole Charge 358

9. And the Charge continues tho' the Manor come to the Crown *ibid.*

10. Indictment for not repairing a Foot-bridge (*Pons pedalis*) is ill, it should be *pedestris* 359

11. Indictment or Information lies for not keeping up a Ferry, *vide* 12

Homine Replegiando, *vide* *Replevin*.

Houses and Buildings.

1. In what Cases one Man may compel another to repair his own House, *vide* 22. 360

2. An Indictment lies against the Tenant for suffering a House near the Highway to be likely to fall 357

3. Where the Defendant's Privy is separated by a Partition Wall from the Plaintiff's Cellar, the Defendant is to repair the Wall 22, 360

4. One indicted and fined for maintaining a Glass-House at *Lambeth* 458

5. A *Quod permittat* lies, *prostertere quâdam Aedificia* Page 458, & *bis* 459

6. Concerning Houses and Buildings in London, *vide* 425

House of Correction.

1. Justices of Peace in Sessions may by 39 El. c. 4. encrease the Number of Workhouses if necessary 362

2. But it must be done at the Charge of the whole County *ibid.*

3. And the Sessions can't delegate their Authority to particular Justices 363

4. The Statute 39 El. continued by 3 Car. 1. *ibid.*

See also *Poor*, &c.

Hypothecation, *vide* *Admiralty*.

I.

Ideot, *vide* *Lunatick*,

Feofails.

1. **I**nsufficient Return of a *Devastavit* aided by Verdict 363

2. *Note*; After Verdict it may be intended no Damages were given for Matter insensible 129, 364

3. But it shall not be so intended for Matter sensible tho' insufficient in Law *ibid.*

4. In *Assumpsit* after Verdict, Judgment arrested because *nudum pactum* 364

5. Declaration of Copyhold Lands without saying *ad voluntat' Domini*, held well after Verdict, because alleged to be Parcel of the Manor 364

6. In pleading Copyhold 'tis sufficient to shew the Grant of the Lord 365

7. But in customary Freeholds the Estate of the Surrenderor must be shewn *ibid.*

P

8. A

The T A B L E.

8. A Termor for Years can't declare on a *Que Estate* Page 363

9. A Verdict will not aid a bad Title when shewn, tho' it need not be shewn 365

10. But it will aid a Title where defectively set forth *ibid.*

11. See the Diversity between Common belonging to the Estate, and to heL and 170, 366

12. An Information on a penal Statute by a common Informer not within the Statute of Jeofails 325

13. What are Statutes of Amendments, and what of Jeofails 51

See also *Amendments, Arrest of Judgment, and Declarations.*

Imparlance.

1. Clerks of B. R. order'd to make up Post-Rolls, and not to enter special Imparlances in Lieu thereof 367

2. Imparlances upon Informations in what Cases grantable and for how long, *vide bis* *ibid.*

3. No Imparlances to be allowed in Assizes without good Cause shewn 83

4. What may not be pleaded after Imparlance, *vide Abatement, &c.* and 622

See also *Pleas, &c.*

Incident, Appendant, and Appurtenant.

1. Things set up by Lessee for Years for Convenience of Trade are removeable during the Term 368

2. And are likewise seizable on a *Fi Fi* *ibid.*

3. But Fire-hearths, Chimney-pieces, &c. set up by a Termor to compleat the House, become Part thereof and are not removeable *ibid.*

1

Inclosures, vide Fences.

Indictments, Informations, Inquisitions, &c.

1. Indictment for a Riot and Assault, Acquittal of the Riot is so of the Assault Page 593

2. Indictment for Assaulting a Duke's eldest Son, styling him by his Father's second Title, as in common Parlance, is ill 451

3. See several Indictments quash'd for false *Latin, viz. presentant* for *presentat* 370, 371

4. So when the Charge is in the Disjunctive, as for making or causing to be made 342, 371

5. And the Charge in Indictments, Informations, &c. must be express, not argumentative, &c. 375, 631

6. As Indictment for indorsing Exchequer Bills, *as if* received for Customs, Judgment arrested 375

7. So two Indictments were quash'd because the Charge was laid only with a *Quod cum*, or Recital 371

8. An Indictment lies for not receiving, turning off, or not providing for a poor Apprentice 381

9. But Indictment lies not for enticing an Apprentice from his Master 380

10. An Indictment may be at a Borough-Sessions on 5 *El.* for exercising a Trade not serving as an Apprentice 370

11. But two Persons can't be jointly indicted thereon for exercising a Trade, &c. 382. *Contra* for Extortion *ibid.*

12. See the Judges Opinion in the Case of Informations on the said Stat' 5 *Eliz. c. 4.* 373

13. Indictment may be for keeping a Bawdy-House, but not for being *communis lena* 382. Also no Indictment lies for Adultery at Common Law 552

14. In-

The TABLE.

14. Indictment well lies against the Husband and Wife for keeping a Bawdy-House *Page 384*
15. A Cheat is not indictable unless he come with false Tokens 379
16. A Constable or other Officer is indictable for neglecting a Duty required by Common Law or Statute 380
17. An Indictment is only *Billa* before the finding, and after finding 'tis *Indictamentum* 376
18. If an Offence sufficient to maintain the Charge be well laid, 'tis sufficient, tho' other Facts be ill laid 385
19. As *Verberaverunt, Vulneraver, &c.* is good without *Insultum fecit*, because Battery implies an Assault 384
20. Indictment for Preaching not being licensed, quash'd, because not said *contra formam Statuti* 370
21. Indictments for any heinous Offences, and Informations filed by the Attorney General, not to be quash'd on Motion 372
22. Motion for an Information of Perjury denied: For *per Cur'*, you may indict him 374
23. Where two Indictments are for the same Fact, proper to try on both at once 382
24. When a Recognizance for trying an Indictment, on Removal, is forfeited or not 370
25. No Motion to quash Indictment remov'd by *Certiorari* after the Recognizance forfeited 380
26. Where the Defendant shall give Security to try it, on a Removal by the Prosecutor 652
27. An Indictment try'd in B. R. is entred on the Plea-Roll, but if at the *Old Baily* 'tis put in a Bag, &c. 371
28. Indictment for breaking the Chamber of S. in the House of James, Evidence it was the House of Jameson, ill 385
29. Where a Matter concerns the publick Government, and no partieu-
lar Person entitled to an Action, an Information will lie *Page 374*
30. An Information may be for false Return of a *Mandamus*, not for Perjury *ibid.*
31. No Process can issue on Informations before a Recognizance given by the Informer 376
32. Information or popular Action on penal Statutes made before 21 Jac. 1. c. 4. can't be brought into B. R. unless for Facts done in the County where the Court sits 372
33. Also Debt lies not in B. R. on any penal Statute made before 21 Jac. 1. *secus* of penal Acts made since 373
34. One outlawed by Process in Information, if he comes in and reverses the Outlawry, must plead *instanter* to the Information 371
35. See an Information, *Quo Warranto* they admit Persons not Inhabitants to be Freemen 374
36. And note the different Judgments on Writs of *Quo Warranto* and Informations *ibid.*
37. A Coroner's Inquisition quash'd because the Wound not set forth, nor that the Party died of it 377
38. A Coroner may cause the Body to be taken up soon after Burial, but not after a long Time *ibid.*
See more of *Inquisitions* and *Informations* in *Office for the King, Perjury, Riots, &c.* and p. 12, 325, 514, 587, 660.
39. For Deer-stealing, if Information be in due Time the Conviction may be at any Time afterwards 383
40. And in summary Convictions Appearance aids want of Summons *ibid.*
41. Also such Convictions are to be taken strictly against the Offender 378
42. Therefore need not be laid *contra Pacem*, and *juxta formam Statuti* is sufficient *ibid. &* 383
43. Also

The T A B L E.

43. Also *Consideratum quod Convictus est* is enough, without *forisfaciet*

Page 378, 383

44. And that between such a Day and such a Day he killed three Deer is well 378

45. And that Oath was made *De veritate præmissorum*, is enough 369

46. How Execution shall go on Affirmance of Convictions, *vide* 369, 378

47. And the Executor of the Owner may sue Execution 379

48. 2. If Conviction of Deer-stealing be pardoned by Act of General Pardon 383

49. On 3 & 4 W. & M. c. 5. a Seller of Deer-Skins may be convicted *ibid.* and see 542

50. Of Averments in Indictments *vide* 611

See also *Actions Popular, Convictions, Forgery, Nuisance, Perjury, Statutes, Usury, Words indictable, &c.*

Infants.

1. An Infant may buy Necessaries, but cannot borrow Money to buy Necessaries 279, 286, 387

2. Also a Bond given by an Infant or Idiot for any Purpose is void 675

3. In *Indebitatus Assumpsit*, Infancy may be given in Evidence on *Non Assumpsit* 279

4. Custom of a Manor, that if the Surrenderee appears not on three Proclamations, he forfeits, binds not an Infant 386

5. See divers Cases cited of Recoveries suffered by Infants on Privy Seals, but disallowed 567

6. In Appeal, &c. the Writ and Suit of an Infant is subject to the Direction of the *prochein Amie*, not of the Infant himself 176, 177

And see Page 95.

I

Inns and Inn-keepers.

1. One takes Lodgers to lodge and diet, and lets Stables for their Horses, not an Inn-keeper within 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 13. for Quartering Soldiers Page 387

2. Note, A Lodger is upon express Contract, a Guest not; if a Guest steal 'tis Felony, if a Lodger, not 388

3. One by leaving his Horse in an Inn becomes a Guest. *Secus* of a dead Thing *ibid.*

4. An Inn-keeper (tho' Part-owner of a Ship) held not within the Statutes of Bankrupts 109

See also *Alehouses*, and p. 18, &c.

Innuendo, *vide Exposition of Words.*

Inquisition, V. *Indictments*, &c.

Inrollment.

1. A Deed may be inrolled without the Examination of the Party, upon Proof by Witnesses of its Delivery 389

2. The Party died before Acknowledgment, yet the Deed was inrolled *ibid.*

3. And where two are Parties, Acknowledgment by one binds the other *ibid.*

4. A Rule that all Deeds be acknowledged on the Plea-side and in open Court *ibid.*

Institution and Induction, *vide Bishops and Presentation.*

Jointenants, Tenants in Common, &c.

1. Where the Words, *equally to be divided*, make a Jointenancy, or Tenancy in Common 226, 391, 392

2. Grant

The T A B L E.

2. Grant of 100*l.* Rent to five, equally to be divided, to hold to them, viz. 20*l.* to each, &c. they are Jointenants Page 390

3. So a Grant to *A.* and *B.* habend' one Acre to *A.* and the other to *B.* they are Jointenants 391

4. One Tenant in Common may disseise his Companion, i. e. by an actual Ouster 392

5. Tenants in Common may be by Prescription, but not by Wrong 423

6. They cannot join in an Ejectment, 423. Nor in an Avowry 390

7. But Coparceners must join in an Avowry, 390. vide 187

8. See the Difference between Coparceners and Tenants in Common, as to pleading a sole Seisin 629, 630

9. The Possession of one Jointenant is Possession of the other, so as to prevent the Statute of Limitations 285

10. And if one Jointenant levies a Fine, tho' it serves the Jointure, it does not oust his Companion 286

11. One Jointenant of Chattels can't bring Trover against his Companion, but may against a Stranger 290

12. Where one Coparcener will take Advantage of a Forfeiture, and the other not, 2. if an Apportionment shall be 187

Joint and Several.

1. Two Coparceners, Execution against one, the Sheriff must seize all the Goods, and sell an undivided Moiety 392

2. What Words make a Covenant or Obligation joint or several, vide 393

See also *Apportionment* and *Jointenants*.

Journeys Accounts.

Where one not Party to the first Writ may have a Writ by *Journeys Accounts* 393

Issue general.

1. In Debt the Defendant may plead a Release, or give it in Evidence on the general Issue of *Nil Debet* Page 394

2. So in *Assumpsit* he may plead Payment or give it in Evidence on *Non Assump'* ibid.

3. Yet held, That Performance in *Assumpsit* amounts to the general Issue ibid.

4. In Trespass, Plea, That it was the Horse of *J. S.* and the Plaintiff took and impounded it, and that the Defendant took him by Replevin, amounts to the general Issue ibid.

See also *Pleas* and *Traverse*.

Issues and Profits.

1. Issues of Lands not forfeited by Outlawry till Inquisition taken; and Alienation before Inquisition is a Bar 395

2. Issues of a Jointenant for Life are leviable on him in Reversion ibid.

3. Beasts of a Stranger Levant and Couchant are seizable on a *Levari Facias* ibid.

4. So of a Jointenant and Commoner, unless the Title found by the Inquisition ibid.

Judge.

1. The Mayor of *H.* committed for sitting in Judgment where he was Party, tho' by the Charter the only Judge there 396

2. Where one acts as a Judge, his Act is not traversable, *aliter* of an Officer, as a Constable, &c. ibid.

3. A Judge not answerable for Error of Judgment either by Action or Indictment 397

4. Mayor and Commonalty of *L.* may limit Penalties of By-Laws to themselves 397

Q

5. But

The T A B L E.

5. But such Penalties can't be sued for in the Mayor's Court; *aliter* if the Mayor could be severed Page 397, 398

6. Mayor is Head of the Corporation, and an Action by them abates by his Death *ibid.*

Judgments.

1. Judgment for a Fine may be given in the Defendant's Absence, but not for any Corporal Punishment 56, 400

2. Judgment may be given after the Plaintiff's Death, if it be within two Terms after Verdict 401

3. There must be four Days exclusive between the Day in Bank and the signing of Judgment 399

4. In what Cases Judgment may be enter'd on the peremptory Rule without Motion *ibid.*

5. Judgment on Demurrer to a Plea must be enter'd with *Et quia videtur Curie quod placit' prædict', &c.* 402

6. If the Plaintiff in a *Monstrans de Droit* fails in his Title, no Judgment need be given for the King 488

7. See the Forms of entering Judgments on *Non prof. &c.* 455, 456

8. Judgments ought to be compleat and formal; and a Dismission is no Judgment, 511, 512

9. A Warrant to confess Judgment may be given in Custody, and how 402

10. Warrant to confess Judgment by a *Feme*, who afterwards marries, is thereby revok'd. 399

11. Yet Judgment confess'd by a *Feme Covert* refused to be set aside on Motion 400

12. Where a Judgment is confess'd on Terms, the Court will take Notice of them; *aliter* if the Agreement is subsequent 40

13. Judgment for a scandalous Libel altered the same Term, and the Punishment encreased Page 401

14. Upon Writ of Inquiry after Interlocutory Judgment, where the final Judgment must be against the Administrator 42

15. Bringing Debt upon a Judgment is no Waiver of the *Lien* created by that Judgment 80

16. And Debt will lie in an Inferior Court on a Judgment in *B.R. (Q.)* 439

17. Judgment of a Superior Court is only voidable, tho' a private Statute says it shall be void 674

18. On Payment of Costs, Judgment will be set aside tho' regularly entred, if the Plaintiff has not lost a Trial 402

19. No Reference for Irregularity after Writ of Error brought *ibid.*

20. What Judgment shall be given on a Writ of Error, *vide* 401

21. A Judgment can't be reversed in part and affirmed in part, unless part is by Common Law and part by Statute 24

22. If Judgment for Defendant on special Verdict be reversed in Exchequer-Chamber, that Court shall give the new Judgment; *aliter* if on a Demurrer 403

23. If a Judgment of *B. R.* be reversed in Parliament, the new Judgment must be entred in Parliament 403

See also *Attornies, Arrest of Judgment, Confession, and Convictions.*

Jurisdiction.

1. All Jurisdiction is deriv'd from the Crown 510

2. In Inferior Courts every thing that makes the Gift of the Action must be laid within the Jurisdiction 404

3. *Aliter*, if only Matter of Aggravation *ibid.*

4. Bill

The TABLE.

4. Bill may be in *Chancery* to foreclose a Mortgage on Lands out of its Jurisdiction, if the Person be within it

Page 404

5. See a Diversity where two Courts have Jurisdiction of the same Thing, and where not

195

6. And see the Jurisdiction of Justices and the Sessions, touching Apprentices

67

See also *Courts Inferior, Justices, Sessions, &c.*

Fury and Furor.

1. See the Rules of striking Juries by the Master, and the Practice therein

405

2. Jurors ought to acquaint the Court that they can give Evidence before they are sworn

ibid.

See also *Challenge, Trials, and Verdicts.*

Justices of Peace.

1. Authority given to Justices of Peace must be exactly pursued

475

2. Indictment for Forgery lies not before Justices of Peace (*vide Convictions and Indictments*)

406

3. See their proper Method of proceeding on 14 *Car. 2.* for Removals of Poor

ibid.

4. They have no Jurisdiction upon the Statute of Usury

680

5. See, where their Orders are not void, but voidable

674

See also *Alehouses, Apprentices, Bastards, Convictions, Poor, Orders, and Sessions.*

Justification.

1. A Wife may justify an Assault in Defence of her Husband; so may a Servant in Defence of his Master, but not *vice versa*

407

2. Nor can one justify in Defence of his House or Close, &c. but must plead *moliter Mannus*

Page 407

3. He that commands, or even requests another to take Goods, &c. is a Trespasser

409

4. And in Trespas such Command, &c. is traversable; *contra* in Replevin

ibid.

5. In Trespas for taking Goods the Officer need only shew a Writ of Execution

ibid.

6. *Secus* of a common Person, unless in Aid of the Officer by his Command

ibid.

7. Where a principal Officer justifies under a returnable Writ, he must shew it was return'd; *secus* of subordinate Officers

ibid.

8. A Serjeant at Mace justified under a Precept on a Plaint in Replevin, and held ill because not shewn it was return'd

ibid.

9. In Imprisonment Justification by Order of Court of Conscience to carry the Plaintiff to the *Compter*, held ill, because the Imprisonment was confess'd, but not shewn to be in the *Compter*

408

10. Justification by 1 *El. c. 17.* held ill for want of shewing a Warrant

407

11. In justifying under a Writ 'tis not enough to shew where returnable, but must also shew whence it issu'd

517

See also *Bailiff, Replevin, and Trespas.*

K.

King.

1. THE King has a Right to the Service of his Subjects

168

2. The King can't discharge the Right of a Subject, or hinder him of a Remedy the Law gives him

19

3. The Kings of *England* have not the sole Legislative Power, and if the King

King

The T A B L E.

King and Clergy make a Canon, it does not bind Laymen without their Assent Page 412

4. The King can lay an Imbargo *pro bono publico* only 32

5. He can't grant an Annuity so as to charge his Person, but may charge his Revenues, as the Excise, &c. 58

6. He can't take Benefit of a Devise to superstitious Uses, but shall apply it to a charitable Use 163

7. In Grants by him, where it appears he intends to pass the Thing, it shall pass notwithstanding false Recitals 561

8. He need not bring a *Scire Facias* to revive a Judgment after the Year 603

9. He can't pardon Murder, except it be by express Words 499

10. He may pardon a Disability where it is only the Consequence of a Judgment; *aliter* where Part of the Judgment 689

11. He may create an *Irish* Peer under the Great Seal of *England* by express Words 510

12. After Institution and Induction a Presentation by the King is void, tho' it be *ad Corroborandum*, &c. 162

13. In conquered Countries such Laws are to take Place as the King pleases 412, 666

14. The King's Chaplain Extraordinary is not capable of a Plurality 161

15. And see touching the King's Chaplains Ordinary and Extraordinary 161, 162

See also *Monstrans de Droit*, Offices and Office for the King; and Pardons, Parliament and Treason.

L.

Labourers, vide *Master and Servant*.

Laws, Common, Canon, Civil, &c.

1. **W**Here an uninhabited Country is found out and planted, all Laws in Force here are immediately so there Page 411

2. But where an inhabited Country is found and conquered, not so till declared by the Conqueror, 411, 412, 666

3. No Canons oblige the Laity without Consent of the Civil Legislature 412

4. The Islands *Guernsey*, *Jersey*, *Sarke*, &c. are govern'd by the Laws of *Normandy* 404

5. The Laws of *England* do not extend to *Virginia*, &c. being conquered Countries 411, 666

6. Where an Issue depends on Foreign Laws it may be tried in the next County, and such Laws given in Evidence 651

7. A Temporal Matter incident to a Spiritual Jurisdiction must be tryed according to the Rule of the Common Law 547

8. See the Difference between the Maritime Law and Common Law, as to Hypothecations 34

9. And of *Lex Mercatoria*, vide *Bills of Exchange*, and p. 443, 125.

And *Lex Parliamenti*, vide 512.

See also *Villeins*, and p. 18.

Law-Cases denied, vide in *Fine Tabula*.

Leases.

1. A Lease for a Year, and so from Year to Year, *quamdin*, &c. is a Lease for two Years certain, and after at Will. 413

2. When a Lessor or Lessee at Will may determine his Will, *vide bis* 413

3. Termor

The T A B L E.

3. Termor for Years grants for a less Term to commence after his Death, 'tis good Page 413

4. A Parol Demise to hold from Year to Year, & sic ultra quamdiu, &c. is a Lease for two Years 414

5. And after, every subsequent Year begun is not determinable till that be ended *ibid.*

6. And is not void by the Statute of Frauds *ibid.*

7. Leases of Copyhold-Lands are void, and a Forfeiture 187, 537

8. *Quere* of Leases in Possession, and Reversion 537

9. For Bishop's Leases, *vide* Bishops, &c. 189

See also *Breach* 13. *Grants* 1, 2. and P. 244, 346, 588.

Legacy.

1. Where a Real Estate shall be charged with Legacies in Equity, *vide* 416

2. A Legacy to a Creditor greater or lesser than his Debt, how to be taken 155, 508

3. An Action lies for a Legacy devised out of Lands 415

4. Estates *pur auter vie*, how far subject to the Payment of Legacies 464

5. Where a Time is annex'd to the Legacy and not to the Payment, and the Legatee dies before the Time, 'tis a lapsed Legacy 415

6. See a Prohibition to Spiritual Court on a Suit for a Legacy for refusing Proof of Payment, by one Witness 547

See also *Assets*, *Executors* and *Devises*.

Libellus Famosus.

1. The whole Libel need not be set forth in the Indictment, but if any

part qualifies the rest, it may be given in Evidence Page 417

2. Copying a Libel is criminal, and writing a Copy without Authority is writing a Libel 417, 419

3. He that writes a Libel is the Contriver, and having a written Copy of a known Libel is Evidence of a Publication 418

4. Where a Matter is unlawful in general, a general Allegation shall be so taken *ibid.*

Liberties, vide Franchises.

Limitations.

1. Defendant being beyond Sea, does not avoid the Statute of Limitations; *contra* of the Plaintiff 420

2. And in Replication, to avoid that Statute, Continuances must be shewn *ibid.*

3. In Imprisonment, that Statute being pleaded to Part, the Plaintiff must reply, It was one continual Duress *ibid.*

4. Action removed by *Habeas Corpus*, and that Statute pleaded above, the Plaintiff may reply, the Suit below was within six Years 424

5. Pleas of the Statute of Limitations are to be favoured 421

6. Yet *Quere*, if the Statute be pleadable to Suit in the Admiralty for Mariners Wages 424

7. Not guilty within six Years is ill in Trespass (being limited to four) 423, 424

8. Where the Duty arises on Consideration Executory, the Defendant must plead, *quod Actio non accrevit*, &c. 422

9. A. receives the Intestate's Money, and afterwards Administration is committed to B. the Cause of Action accrues by the Administration 421

R

10. Twenty

The T A B L E.

10. Twenty Years Possession is a good Title in Ejectment, for the Plaintiff as well as the Defendant Page 421

11. The Statute of Limitations runs not against *A.* unless actually ousted or disseised 423

12. *A.* barr'd in his Formedon may take Advantage of a Right of Entry 422

See also *Evidence*, 1, 2, 3.

London and its Customs.

1. Court of Aldermen's Power concerning new Lights was only during rebuilding of the City 425

2. Custom to punish by Information in the Court of Aldermen for Assault and contemptuous Words of an Alderman in Execution of his Office, is good; *aliter* if to disfranchise *ibid.*

3. By Custom of *London* an Executor shall place the Testator's Apprentice with another Master, &c. 66

4. The Custom there concerning Freemen's Estates extends only to Children, not to Grandchildren 426

5. So the Custom of *Hotchpot* there; and where it appears under the Father's own Hand how much a Child has received, the Court will judge if a full Advancement or not *ibid.*

6. Mayor and Commonalty of *London* may limit Penalties of By-Laws to themselves, but cannot be sued for in the Mayor's Court 397, 378

7. See the Difference between *London* and other Cities, as to the Custom *Gilda Mercatoria* 204

See also *By Laws, Corporations, &c.*

Lunatick and Ideot.

1. An Ideot is maintainable by the Parish where his Father is settled, and not where born 427

2. The Bond or other Deed of one *Non compos* is void 427, 675

3. A Prohibition to Probate of Will, on Suggestion of being *Non compos*, denied Page 552

4. Surrender of Tenant for Life being *Non compos*, to a Remainder-Man is void, &c. 576

See also *Infants.*

M.

Maihem, vide Trespass.

Mandamus.

1. **A** *Mandamus* to one, to command another to do the Act, is ill 436, *vide* 7c1

2. Several Persons can't join in a *Mandamus* to restore 433, 436, 437

3. The Writ not to be tested before granted; but the *Alias* and *Pluries* may be returnable *immediate* 434

4. And fifteen Days between the *Teste* and Return if above forty Miles from *London*, and eight Days if under *ibid.*

5. And if the first Writ be not return'd, a peremptory Rule shall go, and next an Attachment, &c. 429

6. Also after the Return falsified, a peremptory *Mandamus* is of Right 430

7. Yet if Action be brought for such false Return in *C. B.* no peremptory *Mandamus* shall go 428

8. Where an Officer at Will is remov'd, and the Corporation does not rely on their Power, but return a Misdemeanor, and that is insufficient, a peremptory *Mandamus* shall go 428, 435

9. Want of Summons is no Objection for Removal, if the Party appeared and was heard 428, 435

10. A Custom to remove *ad libitum* is good, but that must be return'd positively 430

11. A

The T A B L E.

11. A Return of a *Mandamus* must be certain to every Intent Page 432

12. Several Matters may be returned on the same Writ, but they must be consistent 436

13. A Variance between the Writ and Return in the Name of the Corporation is ill 434

14. A *Mandamus* directed to the Mayor, Bailiffs, &c. the Mayor alone may make the Return, and the others can't disavow 431, vide 701

15. But the Mayor is punishable if he does it against the Consent of the Majority 431, 432

16. Where the Return speaks of a Constitution different from the Writ, it ought to deny the Supposal of the Writ 431

17. Return of a Resignation in the corporate Assembly, and Election of another into the Office, is good 433

18. But *non fuit debito modo Electus* is not good, unless the Writ suggests a *Debito Modo* 433, 434

19. An Amotion or Removal must be for the Matter charg'd 435

20. Non-attendance is a good Cause of Forfeiture of the Office of Recorder *ibid.*

21. A Rule to inspect the Charter in order to make a Return, denied 430

22. Concerning *Mandamus's* for Overseers of the Poor, to make Rates or come to Account, vide 525, 531

See also *Distribution, Motion, 2. and Writs.*

Manor, vide Copyhold.

Markets, vide Fairs.

Marriages, vide Baron and Feme, and Page 437.

Mariners, vide Admiralty.

Marshal and Marshalsea.

1. A Bond to the Marshal to be a true Prisoner is good Page 438

2. The Earl Marshal of England formerly Marshal of B. R. 439

3. See the Jurisdiction of the Palace-Court *ibid.*

4. Debt lies not in the Marshalsea on a Judgment in B. R. *ibid.*

5. The Office of Chamberlain of the King's Bench Prison inseparably incident to that of Marshal *ibid.*

6. All other Marshalseas originally deriv'd from that of the Earl Marshal of England 439, 602

See also *Escape 2.*

Master and Servant.

1. Master is liable for Neglect of his Servant, not the wilful Wrong 441

2. And he that employs a Servant undertakes for him 440

3. Yet the Servant's Act binds not the Master, unless he acts by his Authority, or he consents 442

4. Goods are spoiled by Default of a Master of a Ship employ'd by the Owners, the Owners are liable 440

5. But the Action must be brought against all the Part-Owners, who make but one Master *ibid.*

6. The Servant may justify Battery in Defence of his Master, but not *è converso* 407

7. If a Servant be robbed of his Master's Money or Goods, he or the Master may sue the Hundred 613, 614

8. A Master of a Stage-Coach is liable for Goods lost by his Driver, if he takes a Price for Carriage; *aliter* not 282

9. A Covenant between the Master and a third Person, the Servant not being Party (*vide Apprentices*) 479

10. Order by Justices for Payment of Servants Wages, if it does not appear

The T A B L E.

pear what Wages, shall be intended Husbandry Page 442, 484

11. So Order to pay 42s. for Labour in Husbandry held good, tho' it does not appear such Wages as the Statute directs 441

12. For Remedies for Wages are favoured in the Superior Courts 441, 479, 484

13. See the Distinction between *Servus prædialis* and *personalis* 667
See also *Apprentices*, and *Authority*.

Maxims.

1. *Omnis innovatio plus novitate perturbat quam utilitate prodest* 20

2. *Sic utere tuo ut alienum non lædas* 22

† *Communis error facit jus* 33

3. *Volenti non fit injuria* *ibid.*

4. *Quicumq; habet ordinariam jurisdictionem est loci illius ordinarius* 41

5. *Annua nec debitum judex non separat ipsum* 65

6. *Id certum est quod certum reddi potest* 75

7. *Non est justum aliquem post mortem facere bastardum* 121

8. *Ex nudo pacto non oritur actio* (vid. 24.) 129

9. *Par in parem imperium non habet* 135

10. *Nulla curia quæ recordum non habet potest mandare carceri* 200

11. *Actio pro injuria personali moritur cum persona*, (vid. 12.) 210, 314

12. *Placitum est nomen collectivum*, 219

13. *Expressio eorum quæ tacite insunt nihil operatur* 233

14. *Nemo bis punietur pro uno delicto* 253

15. *Qui sentit onus sentire debet & commodum* 302

16. *Utile per inutile non vitiatur* 417

17. *Utlagatus non convictus est de Facto, &c.* 494

18. *Res est misera ubi jus est vagum* Page 512

19. *Qui facit per alium facit per se* 589

Measures, vide Weights.

Melius Inquirendum, vid. Coroner and Office for the King.

Merchants and Merchandize.

1. The Word *Merchant* includes all sorts of Traders, as well as Merchant-Adventurers 445

2. And the drawing a Bill of Exchange makes a Merchant to that Purpose 125

3. Between joint Merchants the Remedy survives, but not the Duty 444

4. Therefore the Survivor and the Executor can't join in an Action *ibid.*

5. In Policies warranted to depart with Convoy, is intended without wilful Default of the Master 443

6. And intended only from the Place of having Convoy *ibid.*

7. And Deviation discharges the Policy for that Time, &c. 444, 445

8. *Quære* if a Ship seized by the Government be within the Word *Detention* in the Policy 444

9. A Policy altered by Consent after it was underwritten, yet good *ib.*

10. Goods spoiled by Default of the Master, the Owners liable 440

See also *Admiralty*.

Misfeasance, &c. vide Actions on the Case, 2. &c.

Misnomer, vid. Abatement, Addition, Names, and Variance.

Modus Decimandi, vid. Tithes.

Money.

1. Any Piece of Money coin'd at the Mint is of Value as it bears Proportion

The T A B L E.

proportion to other current Money, and that without the King's Proclamation Page 446

2. The *Unite* being raised 16 d. by King *James* the I. was the Occasion of coining Guineas, viz. at 20 s. *ibid.*

3. In *Indeb' Assump'* to pay 13 l. 10 s. for Nine Guineas receiv'd, not necessary to shew the Number of Guineas (*vide*, 9, 22.) *ibid.*

4. Money paid on a void Award may be pleaded as Accord and Satisfaction 71

5. A. receives Money of B. by the Hands of C. 'tis A.'s Money and he must abide by the Loss 507

6. A Power to charge Lands with a Sum of Money imports the Interest thereof also 538

7. Touching bringing Money into Court, *vide* 583, 596, 597

See also *Assumpsit*, *Rules of Court*, and *Tender*, &c.

Monopolies.

See the Construction of the Statute 21 *Jac.* 1. c. 3. of Monopolies and of new Inventions 447

Monstrans de Droit.

1. If the Plaintiff therein fails in his own Title, he can't take Advantage of another's, or want of Title in the Crown 447

2. The Record of the Inquisition thereon is before the Court with respect to the Plaintiff only 448

3. And see the Judgment therein *ibid.*

See also *Page* 395

Mortgages.

1. A Mortgage with Proviso, That future Interest if not paid shall be taken as Principal and bear Interest, the Proviso is void 449

2. A Mortgagee or Purchaser precedent, tho' by defective Conveyance, shall be preferr'd before Assignees of Commissioners of Bankrupts Page 449

3. Where personal Estate shall be applied to pay off a Mortgage in Discharge of the Lands 449, 450

4. See also *Chancery*, 19, 20, &c. and *Disseisin*, 1 and 2.

Motion.

1. No Motion to quash Indictments removed by *Certiorari* after the Recognizance for Trying is forfeited 380

2. No Motion for peremptory *Mandamus* after Verdict for false Return, till 4 Days after the Ret' of the Postea 431

3. If a Plaintiff moves to amend his Declaration the same Term the Plea comes in, he need not give new Rules to plead 520

4. No Motion for a new Trial after Motion in Arrest of Judgment 647

5. Where a *Sci' Fa'* shall issue on Motion, and where without, *vide* 598

6. A Franchise not to be allow'd on Motion, unless formerly pleaded, and is upon Record 450

7. Where a Fine is set for forcible Entry, the Conviction not to be quashed on Motion *ibid.*

8. See where a *Venue* may be changed on Motion, in *Barrister* and *Visne*.

9. How to move to make a Record a *Concilium* 565

Murder, *vide Appeal*, *Felony*, and *Page* 614.

N.

Names of Purchase and Dignity.

1. A Duke's eldest Son is not to be styled by his Father's second Title in legal Proceedings, tho' allowed him in common Parlane 451

S

2. A.

The T A B L E.

2. A Corporation may sue by their Name of Incorporation, tho' they have exprefs Power to sue by another
Page 451

3. A Variance between the Writ and Return of a *Mandamus* in the Name of the Corporation is ill 434.
vide 433

4. A Bond made by the Name of *Erlin*, but subscribed *Erlwin*, is no material Variance 462

5. On *Certiorari* to remove Orders, the Name of the Parish in the Writ and that in the Order must be the same 452

6. If a Writ or Declaration be against one as Knight only, when he is a Knight and Baronet, 'tis a Misnomer 50

See also *Abatement*, *Additions* and *Variance*.

Ne exeat Regnum, vide *Writs*.

Negroes, vide *Villeins*.

Nisi Prius.

1. The Authority of a Judge of *Nisi prius* is by Commission of Assize 454

2. A Judge of *Nisi prius* may receive a Nonsuit at the Assizes 456

See also *Abatement*, 17. *Amendment*, 14, 16, &c. and *Arrest of Judgment*.

Nobility, vide *Peers*.

Nolle Prosequi, vide *Nonsuit*.

Non Compos, vide *Lunatick*.

Nonsuit.

1. In Trespas against four there can be but one Nonsuit for want of declaring 455

2. A *Non pros'* may be entred as to one Defendant after Interlocutory Judgment, not after Final Page 455

3. Where a Defendant files Bail without an Arrest, the Plaintiff may be *Non pros'd* *ibid.*

4. In a *Quare Impedit* Nonsuit after Appearance is peremptory 559

5. So it seems in Appeal: But Nonsuit therein before Appearance is not peremptory 64

6. In Ejectment against several, if some confess *Lease*, &c. and others not, the Plaintiff may proceed as to the former, and be Nonsuit as to the later 456

7. Where several Defendants sever in Plea, Plaintiffs may enter *Non pros'* against one, any Time before the Record sent down 457

8. A Discharge by *Nolle prosequi* on Indictment, will not maintain an Action for a malicious Prosecution 456

9. See the Forms of entring *Non pros'* *ibid.*

Notice.

1. Notice is not necessary to be alledged where the Matter is presumed to be known without it 457

2. As where *A.* in Consideration *B.* would deliver up a Bond to *C.* assumes to pay *B.* 30 *l.* Action lies on *B.*'s delivering up the Bond to *C.* *sans* alledging Notice to *A.* of such Delivery; because intended *ibid.*

3. Where and what Notice is necessary or not, in order to make a Settlement 472, 473, 476

4. Where one is bound by Deed to do an Act of which he is to give Notice, tho' such Notice is dispensed with by becoming impossible, yet the Act must be done 214

5. If there be no actual Proceeding within four Terms, there must be a Term's Notice of Trial 557

6. See

The T A B L E.

6. See more concerning Notice of Trial, &c. Page 257, 645, 650, 653

See also Request.

Novel Assignment.

1. Where the Defendant by Plea makes a transitory Trespass local, the Plaintiff may make a new Assignment 453

2. Where the Place is made material by the Defendant's Plea, he must shew it with Certainty *ibid.*

3. If in Trespass, *quare Clansum fre-git*, he pleads *Liberum Tenementum*, and Issue thereon, he may shew any Close that is his Freehold *ibid.*

4. But if the Plaintiff gives the Close a Name, the Defendant must prove a Freehold in the Close so named *ibid.*

Nudum Pactum, vide *Assumpsit*.

Nuisance.

1. If one erects a House which stops my Lights I may pull it down 459

2. In Case for stopping Lights it must appear that the Lights were ancient *ibid.*

3. And *Consuevit* after Verdict therein imports Time out of mind *ibid.*

4. Tenant for Years erects a Nuisance, and makes an Under-Lease to B. Action against either 460

5. A *Quod permittat prosternere quendam Edificia ad nocumentum*, &c. lies 458

6. In Justification of abating a Nuisance it need not be shewn, That he did it doing as little Hurt as could be 458, 459

7. Keeping Swine in a City, a Nuisance at Common Law, and indictable 460

O.

Oaths and Affidavits.

1. SEE the Oath of the Triers of Jurors on a Challenge for Favour, &c. Page 152

2. Oath made *de Veritate premissorum* is insufficient in Convictions 369

3. Concerning the taking of Oaths to qualify for Offices in Corporations 428, 429

4. Where a Peer shall dispose on his Oath or his Honour 512, 513

5. One robb'd, if he refuses to take the Oath, can't sue the Hundred 613

6. Affidavit of the Time when a Declaration was delivered 670

7. On Submission to a Fine after confessing an Indictment, Affidavits may be read to prove the Prosecutor assaulted first; *contra* after a Conviction 55

8. Affidavits taken before Commissioners, by 29 Car. 2. c. 5. must be in a Cause in Court 461

9. Where Affidavits of Persons pilory'd may be read *ibid.*

10. Where on shewing Cause new Affidavits may be read to support a Rule *ibid.*

Obligation.

1. Insensible Words in the Sum of an Obligation may be explain'd by the Condition 462

2. As *Sex triginta Libris*, or *Quadrans Libris*, &c. *ibid.*

3. Condition of a Bond (reciting a Debt) *not to pay*, is repugnant, and the Bond single 463

4. Bond made by *Erlin* and subscribed *Erlwin*, is no material Variance 462

5. Bond from A. and B. to H. joint and several, a Covenant from H. not to sue is no Defeasance 575

6. A

The T A B L E.

6. A Bond by a Deputy to pay a certain Sum out of his Salary or Profits is good Page 466, 468

7. But where it is without Relation to the Salary or Profits, 'tis void *ibid.*
See also *Day, Date and Chancery.*

Occupant and Occupancy.

1. The Heir shall be charged in the Case of a special Occupancy with Payment of Debts, not Legacies 464

2. An Administrator shall be charged in like manner where he is a special Occupant 465

Offices and Officers.

1. A Judicial Office may be granted to two, but if one dies it does not survive, unless said, *To the Survivor* 465

2. The King grants an Office to *A.* *durante beneplacito*, and after grants it to *B.* to commence after the Death, Surrender or Forfeiture of *A.* the latter Grant is good *ibid.*

3. Office granted at Will is at the Will of the King, and not of the Party, and may be surrendered 466

5. King's Tenant at Will may forfeit; but there must be an Inquisition *ibid.*

5. Non-attendance a good Cause of Forfeiture of the Office of Recorder 435

6. Of removing Officers at Will in Corporations, *vide Mandamus* 8. and p. 428.

7. A Freehold to commence in *future* may be in a Rent *de novo*, or in an Office 466

8. Bond by a Deputy to pay half the Profits of the Office, or a certain Sum out of the Salary, &c. is good *ibid.* and 468

9. Earl Marshal of England formerly Marshal of *B. R.* and the Office of *Chamberlain* of the Prison incident to that of Marshal 439

10. The *Custos Rotulorum* may appoint the Clerk of the Peace without Deed Page 467, *vide* 479

11. Concerning the Office of Clerk of Assize, *vide* 670, 571. and Clerk of the Market 327

12. A Parish-Clerk nominated by the Parson is in for Life, &c. 536

13. And Case lies for disturbing him in the Execution of his Office 468

14. The Mayor is the Head of the Corporation 398, 432

See also *Bailiff, Constable, Coroner, Fees, Mandamus, Marshal, Judge, Sheriff, &c.*

Office for the King.

1. An Inquisition finding some Points well, and nothing as to others, may be supplied by a *Melius Inquirendum* 469

2. *Contra* where it is defective in the Points found *ibid.*

3. *A.* Tenant for Life, Remainder to *B.* in Fee; *A.* is attainted, the King seizes, *B.* may enter on the King *ibid.*

4. *Aliter* if an Office had found *A.* seized in Fee, &c. *ibid.*

See *Monstrans de Droit.*

Orders of Justices of the Peace.

1. Order by Justices *residing in the County*, not enough, *sans* saying of or for the County 474

2. Order for Removal need not be by Justices of the Division, but must be *Quorum unus*, *vide bis* 473, 480

3. Justices can't command the Officers of the Parish whither *H.* is sent, to remove him 493

4. On Orders of Removal the Examination must be by both Justices, *Quorum unus* 488, 489

5. Order to remove one to *B.* because the Father last settled there, is ill 470

6. So

The T A B L E.

6. So to remove *A. and his Family*, or *Wife and Family*, because too general Page 482, 485, 488

7. So an Order reciting, *We are informed B. is the Place of legal Settlement*, is ill 473

8. Also there must be a direct Adjudication of the Place of legal Settlement 478, 479

9. Order made on *Complaint that A. is likely to be chargeable*, is not good without an Adjudication 491

10. And such Order must be made on Complaint of the Churchwardens (2.) 492, 493

11. But need not shew that he did not rent a Tenement of 10*l.* per Ann. *ibid.*

12. Order of two Justices not appealed from binds the Parish upon which 'tis made, till a new Settlement is gained 488, 489

13. And *held*, an Order of two Justices binds against all Parishes till repealed 481, *vide* 524, 527

14. Yet an Order of Reversal on Appeal binds not a 3d Parish nor Party 486

15. Where Justices may remove to or from Extraparochial Places, *vide* 486, 487

16. The Parish on whom an original Order is made can't remove till it be reversed 488

17. And the Sessions on Appeal can't send to a third Place not Party 475

18. Nor can the Sessions after their first Order make an Order of Review (*vide Sessions*) 477

19. And an Appeal from Order of Corporation Justices must be to the Sessions of the County, not of the Corporation 490

20. The first Order failing all subsequent Orders fall to the Ground 482

21. After Order confirm'd on Appeal, if a Person goes to a Parish not Party, he must be remov'd by original Order 481

22. *Note*; An Order reversed is final only between the Parties; but if confirm'd, or not appeal'd from, 'tis final to all the World Page 492, 524, 527

23. Justices may order the last Overseers to pay their Successors what Money is in their Hands 484

24. They may order Wages to be paid generally, and the Court will intend it for Husbandry 442, 477, 484

25. Order for Maintenance of a poor Child dropp'd in *Christ-Church Hospital*, quash'd 485

26. Payment of Parish-Rates, either for House or Person, makes a Settlement 478

27. Where and what Notice on other Accounts is necessary to make a Settlement 472, 473, 476, and *vide* Poor

28. On *Certiorari* the Justices can only return the Order *in hac verba* 493

29. Defect of an Order can't be made good by Matter alledged in the Return *ibid.*

30. See an Order by two Justices to execute another Order made by others, not good 489

See also *Apprentices, Bastards, Certiorari, Justices of the Peace, Poor, and Sessions.*

Ordinary, vide Administration, and Presentation.

Outlawry.

1. *A.* indebted to *B.* on a Judgment, and to *C.* on Bond, is outlawed at the Suit of *C.* and his Lands seized, the Outlawry shall be preferr'd before the Judgment (except Fraud shewn) 495

2. One outlaw'd for a Misdemeanor only, can't be thereon fined for the Fact 494

3. Where the Plaintiff is allowed to reverse it at his own Charge, and the Difference *inter B. R. and C. B.* herein 495

4. Defendant need not appear in Person to reverse it, except in Treason or Felony 496

T

5. If

The T A B L E.

5. If one comes in or appears *gratis*, he may reverse it without Bail, *aliter* if by *Cepi Corpus* Page 496

6. If two are outlaw'd, Error to reverse it must be in the Name of both *ibid.*

7. But one of them may be summoned and severed, and then it shall be only for his Benefit that appeared *ibid.*

8. On Error to reverse Outlawry for Felony, if there be Lands a *Sci' Fa'* must issue 495

9. But if the Attorney General confess on Record, there are none, no *Sci' Fa'* is necessary *ibid.*

10. See Outlawry on Mesne Process does not make the Debt *Lien* on the Land 80

Orphans, vide London.

Overseers, vide Poor.

Oyer and Shewing of Deeds, &c.

1. Want of *Profert, &c.* is only Form, and cured by Verdict 497

2. And upon *Profert* made unnecessary *Oyer* shall not be given *ibid.*

3. Variance between the Writ and Count not pleadable without praying *Oyer* of the Writ 658

4. A negative Plea need not conclude *prout patet, &c.* (*vide p. 1.*) 520

5. Where Letters Patents must be pleaded with a *Profert* or not 497

6. A Deed remains in Court all the Term 'tis produced; *aliter* of Letters Testamentary or Administrations *ib.*

7. Where one is bound to make a Deed, he must set it forth particularly 498

8. Defendant demands *Oyer* of a Deed indented, which he himself ought to set forth, and the Plaintiff gives *Oyer* but imperfectly; 'tis at the Defendant's Peril *ibid.*

4

9. Denial of *Oyer* where it ought to be granted is Error Page 498

10. *Aliter*, of granting it where it ought not *ibid.*

P.

Palatine Counties of Chester, &c.

1. **N**O Chief Justice of *Chester* till *Q. Elizabeth's* Time, because only one Justice 501

2. No Outlawries in *Chester* till 33 *H. 8. c. 13.* For Coroners there were introduced by that Statute *ibid.*

3. Error lies to reverse a Fine there 500

4. A *Habeas Corpus* lies not to the County Palatine of *Chester* 354

Parceners, V. Jointenants, &c.

Pardon.

1. The King may pardon Murder by express Words 499

2. A Pardon for Murder not to be allowed without Writ of Allowance certifying Sureties taken for the Peace *ibid.*

3. One pardoned shall not be charged in Custody with Civil Actions 500

4. Tho' Fine for a Nuisance is pardoned by general Pardon, yet the Abatement not excused 458

5. What Disabilities the King may pardon, &c. *vide King and Perjury.*

Parish, Town, Vill, &c.

1. A Parish *prima facie* intends a Vill; and so if a Place he nam'd generally 501

2. What will bring a reputed Parish within 43 *Eliz.* for Poor's Rates, &c. *vide Poor* and 501

3. A

The T A B L E.

3. A Constable may be chosen in a Town or Corporation by Custom

Page 501

See also *Visne*.

Parish-Clerk, vide *Officers*.

Parliament.

1. An Act of Parliament does not extend to *Ireland* unless expressly named 510

2. The Judicial Power of Parliament is in the Peers, but the Judgment is virtually the King's *ibid.*

3. The House of Peers have a double Authority, but no original Jurisdiction 511

4. They can't deprive of Peerage, and their Judgment must be formal, &c. 510, 511

5. See touching the *Lex Parliamenti*; and that Inheritances not originally determinable there 512

6. See the several Kinds of Right of electing Members of Parliament 20, 21

7. Action lies not for refusing to receive his Vote in the Election of Members, *per 3 contra Holt* 19

8. And no Action lies at Common Law for a false Return, unless where the Right is determined, or cannot be determined in Parliament 502

9. But an Action for false Return lies on the Statute 7 & 8 W. 3 504

10. The King's Courts may judge of Parliamentary Matters incidently 503

11. On Commitments by the House of Commons for Privilege, no Court can deliver on a *Habeas Corpus*, *per 3 contra Holt* 503

12. Filing and continuing an Original, no Breach of Privilege 504

13. And the Authority of the House of Commons is circumscribed by Law *ibid.*

See also *Peers*.

Parson, Vicar, and Curate.

A Curate is removeable at the Will of the Parson Page 506

See also *Chaplain* and *Presentation*.

Partition, vide *Apportionment*.

Patents.

1. A new Charter may be used as a new Grant, or as a Confirmation 168

2. Where Patents must be shewn with a *Profert*, &c. or their Exemplification 497

3. Where the thing intended shall pass by the Patent notwithstanding false Recitals, &c. 561

4. A Grant to a Knight by the Name of Esquire is void 560, 561

5. A Peer of *Ireland* may be created under the Great Seal of *England* 510

6. When a Patentee is disturbed of his Dignity, how to proceed 511

7. Of Dignities created by Patent, *vide*. 509

See also *Surrender* 5.

Pauper.

1. A *Pauper* shall pay Costs of Non-suit or be whipp'd (*sed Q.*) *per Holt* 506

2. If he gives Notice of a Trial, and does not proceed, he shall be dispaupered *ibid.*

3. *Quere*, If one, whose Estate is mortgaged for more than its Value, may be dispaupered 507

Pawns, vide *Pledges*.

Payment and Satisfaction.

1. An Executor may pay Debts of a higher Nature after a Decree *Quod computet*. 507

2. But

The T A B L E.

2. But *aliter* after a final Decree, for such Decree is in Nature of a Judgment Page 507

3. *A.* receives Money of *B.* by the Hands of *C.* 'tis *A.*'s Money, and he must bear the Loss by *C.* *ibid.* 508

4. So if *A.* receives Part of a Sum and puts it into a Bag, and while counting the Residue the Bag is stolen, &c. *ibid.*

5. Payment to a Bond with Condition indorsed is a good Plea before Breach *ibid.*

6. But not after Breach, for the Benefit of the Condition is lost by the Breach *ibid.*

7. Payment of Part and Acquittance pleaded *puis darrein Continuance* is in Bar 519

8. Money paid on a void Award may be pleaded as Accord and Satisfaction 71

See also *Debt, Executors, Legacy, Money, and Tender.*

Peculiars, vide Administrator.

Peers of the Realm.

1. An Earl's Title allow'd at Law, tho' it had been disallow'd by the Lords in Parliament 509, 512

2. Of the Creation, Office, and Possessions of Earls and Barons 509

3. An *Irish* Peer may be created under the Great Seal of *England* 509, 510

4. The Place of an Earl's Title is not material nor necessary to be in *England*, or any where 510

5. See the Difference of pleading and trying Peerage by Writ, from Peerage by Patent *ibid.*

6. House of Lords can't deprive of Peerage by Patent *ibid.*

7. The Judicial Power of Parliament is in the Peers, but 'tis virtually the Judgment of the King *ibid.*

8. House of Peers has a double Authority (Legislative and Judicial) Page 511

9. But they have no original Jurisdiction, for all Jurisdiction is from the Crown 510, 511

10. Error lies not from the Exchequer-Court to the House of Peers 511

11. Their Judgments must be complete and formal, and a Dismission is no Judgment 511, 512

12. How to proceed where a Patentee is disturbed of Dignity, *vide* 511

13. Inheritances not originally triable in Parliament 512

14. One arrested by the Name of a Commoner, the Court won't on Motion try whether Peer or not *ibid.*

15. Where a Peer shall depose on his Oath or Honour *ibid.*

See also *Names and Parliament.*

Pension, vide Annuity.

Perjury.

1. Perjury may be given in Evidence to the Credit of a Witness 514

2. But a Man ought not to be drawn into a constructive Perjury *ibid.*

3. The Charge thereof ought to be equally certain, whether at Common Law or on the Statute *ibid.*

4. Yet on Conviction thereof on the Statute, Disability is Part of the Judgment *ibid.*

5. But on a Conviction at Common Law, Disability is only the Consequence of the Judgment *ibid.*

6. And the King may pardon a Disability where only the Consequence of a Judgment 687

7. But not where Part of the Judgment; yet a Statute will pardon both *ibid.*

See also *Indictments.*

Pleas

The T A B L E.

Pleas and Pleadings.

1. *Placitum est nomen collectivum* Page 219
2. On a *Habeas Corpus* returnable in *Mich.* Term, if the Declaration be delivered before *Craf. Animar.* the Defendant must plead to try, but on a *Cepi Corp.* he is only to plead to enter 515
3. And so in *Easter* Term, if the Declaration be delivered before *Mens. Pasc. &c.* *ibid. vide* 518
4. On Informations in *Middlesex* the Defendant has the whole Term to plead 514
5. But if laid in the Country, he shall have Time till the following Term *ibid.*
6. To a Declaration delivered against one in Custody, he shall have the whole Term to plead in Abatement 515
7. But upon filing Bills against Officers and Clerks, 'tis sufficient if there be four Days within Term to plead 517
8. And note, *Sundays* and *Holy-days* are to be reckon'd as well as the Day of filing the Bill *ibid.*
9. No new Rules to plead after an Amendment, unless an Imparlance be given 517
10. Pleas in Abatement, as *Misnomer, &c.* not pleadable after Imparlance 518
11. After the Bail-Bond forfeited, the Defendant can't plead in Abatement of the original Action 519
12. When and how a Defendant shall plead after a voluntary Appearance on a *Cepi* return'd 518
13. If Judgment in Ejectment be sign'd for want of a Plea, in a Country-Cause, but no Possession deliver'd,

the Plaintiff may be compelled to accept of a Plea, *contra* if Possession delivered Page 516

14. A Judgment may be set aside, tho' strictly regular, in order to try the Merits 518
15. Before Joinder in Demurrer, the Defendant may wave his special Plea, and plead the general Issue 515
16. *Contra* where a Rule is to plead, so as to stand by it, and he pleads specially, and the Plaintiff demurs *ibid.*
17. The Court will never order a Man to plead peremptorily, till the Rules for pleading are out 516
18. Nothing can be taken Advantage of on a Plea over, which could not on a general Demurrer 519
19. 'Tis against the Duty of Counsel, and the *St. West. 1. c. 29.* to sign frivolous sham Pleas 517
20. An Attorney can't be compelled to swear his Plea, but where 'tis a Foreign Plea 515
21. But if he put in a false or frivolous Plea in Deceit of the Court, he may be fined 515, 516
22. A Parol-Award may be pleaded, *ready to be delivered*, and Parol-Uses averred, 75, 676
23. Several Bars may be pleaded to several Parcels of a Debt on Bond 180
24. But one Defendant can't plead two Pleas that go to the whole 218
25. A Plea to the Jurisdiction is well in the Negative, and *venit & dicit*, or *dicit* only, a good Defence 30, 543, 544
26. Tho' the Bond of an Infant, or *non Compos* is void, yet they can't plead *non est factum* : 427, 675
27. *Testatum existit* is no Averment, and only Recital 515
28. *Onerari non debet* is proper only where there never was a Charge 516
29. In

The T A B L E.

29. In Debt on a Judgment the Declaration may be *sans prout patet per Recordum* Page 565

30. A negative Plea need not conclude *prout patet per Recordum* 520

31. And if a Record be pleaded, tho' no such Conclusion, yet the other may reply *Nul tiel Record* *ibid.*

32. Where the Plea is in Abatement, the Replication must conclude to the Country 2

33. But to a Plea in Bar, it must conclude & *hoc paratus est verificare* 2, *vide* 629

34. In Replevin, *Prisel in auter lieu*, must not conclude *petit Judicium* & *retorn*, &c. 3

35. Plea of taking by Distress does non confes Property 640

36. In Trespass, &c. justifying under a Writ, is not enough to shew where returnable *sans* shewing whence it issued 517, *vide* 545

37. *Performance* must be pleaded in the very Words of the Condition; *aliter* of an Excuse 520

38. A Plea on the Statute for Discharge of poor Prisoners ought to shew all the Qualifications and Circumstances to bring a Defendant within the Act 521

39. Where a Statute creates a new thing in Writing, it must be so pleaded; but where it only adds it to a Common Law Matter, it need not 510

40. Where a Plea shall conclude with a *Profert*, &c. 73 *bis* 545, 584

41. A Plea delivered as an *Escrow* must conclude to the Country 274

42. Where Tertenants may not join in a Plea to *Sci' Fa'* 601

43. Difference in pleading *Seisin* between Tenants in common and Parceners 630

See also *Abatement*, *Amendment*, *Continuance*, *Estoppel*, *Demurrer*, *Departure*, *Jeofails*, *Imparlance*,

Limitations, *Misnomer*, *Payment*, *Privilege*, *Records*, *Repleader*, *Tender*, *Traverse* and *Variance*.

Pledge and Bailment.

See of *Pawnbrokers* and *Pawns*, and how *Pawns* are to be demeaned Page 522, 523

Policies of Insurance, vide Merchants.

Poor, Poor's Rates, Vagrants, &c.

1. There are two Ways, by 43 *E. liz.* to make one Parish contributory to the Poor of another 481

2. And touching such Orders for such Contribution *vide* 480

3. Where and what Notice is necessary or not, to make a Settlement, *vide* 472, 473, 476, 523, 524

4. What Payment of Parish-Rates make a Settlement 478

5. Renting a Mill of 10 *l.* per *Ann.* makes a Settlement 536

6. So a Parish-Clerk nominated by the Parson 536

7. Having Lands in a Parish does not, but living there and having Lands does, without Notice 524

8. Taxation without Payment does not 523, *vide* 534

9. For nothing makes a Settlement within 3 & 4 *W. & M.* that is not within the very Words 534

10. An Apprentice may gain a Settlement, tho' the Master has none 533

11. A Lunatick is to be settled with the Father, not where born 427

12. A Bastard born at *A.* pending an illegal Order of Removal from *B.* is to be settled at *B.* 121, 474, 532

The T A B L E.

13. A Vagrant is to be sent to the Place of Birth, and thence by Order to his Place of Settlement Page 526

14. An unmarried Person hired for a Year, and marrying before 'tis expired, can't be remov'd 527

15. And if he performs his Service, gains a Settlement, notwithstanding his Marriage 527, 529

16. Two several Hirings for half a Year, tho' Service for a whole Year, makes no Settlement 535

17. The Father settled at A. removes to B. with his Children, and gains a new Settlement there; the Children thereby gain the same, tho' under the Age of seven Years 528

18. A Certificate-Man is not removeable till actually chargeable, and the Order of Removal must so adjudge him 532

19. And a Certificate concludes the Parish giving it, as to all the World 535. *sed vide contra* 530

20. A standing Rate for the Poor can't be made, for 'tis variable by Circumstances 526, 531

21. And Justices may quash the whole Rate, where unequal, and make or order a new one 524

22. Rates and Assessments for the Poor ought to be raised Monthly, &c. 531, 532

23. Hospital Lands are chargeable to the Poor 527

24. No *Mandamus* lies to the Overseers, to make a Rate to reimburse the former Overseers 531

25. A *Mandamus* to compel precedent Overseers, to account with the present, quash'd 525

26. Upon Appeal from Allowance of Overseer's Accounts, Sessions must execute their Judgment, in the same manner as two Justices ought to do 533

See also *Apprentices, Bastards, Orders of Justices and Sessions.*

Powers, &c.

1. Power to demise Lands, except the Demefne Lands of the Manor; Copyholds are within the Exception Page 537

2. Power to charge Lands with a Sum of Money, imports the Interest thereof also 538

3. See the Difference of a Power appendant to the Estate, and collateral to it 239, 240

4. Power to examine, hear and punish is a judicial Power 200

Court having Power to fine and imprison is a Court of Record *ibid.*

5. Power of Election in one Body Corporate, and Approbation in another 436

6. Justices Power to discharge Apprentices, extends only to such Trades as are mention'd in the Statute 471

7. Whatever is to take Effect out of an Authority, or by way of Appointment, is good without Deed 467

See also *Authority.*

Prerogative, vide King and Presentation.

Prescription.

1. How a Prescription may be laid in *Tenentes & occupatores* 316

2. Where the Charge is on the Defendant of common Right, the Plaintiff need not prescribe in his Declaration 22

3. But where a Charge against common Right is laid on the Owner of the Soil, the Plaintiff must make a Title, and a Prescription is sufficient 335
vide 538

4. Where and how one that has a prescriptive Right may sue in the Spiritual Court 551

See also *Tithes,*

Presentation

The T A B L E.

Presentation, &c.

1. Presentation, Admission, Institution and Induction, are requisite to compleat a Parson Page 137
2. After Institution and Induction, a Presentation by the King is void 162
3. The Ordinary may examine and refuse, but it must be in convenient Time 539
4. And where he refuses, *quia insufficiens in Literatura*, he must shew in what Particular; else 'tis too general ibid. 540
5. Also he must give Notice of his Refusal, if it be *quia illiteratus*, *aliter* if *quia Criminosus* ibid.
6. The Ordinary may refuse as unfit for this, tho' he had allowed him fit for a former Cure ibid.
7. A Presentation may destroy an Impropriation, but not a Donative 541
8. Before the Reformation the Pope presented on Promotion of the Incumbent, and now the King 504
9. Where a new Advowson is created by Statute, 'tis subject to the same Rules of Law and Prerogative of the Crown as an old one 504
10. In Case of a Prerogative Turn to present the Writ of *Quare Impedit* is general 559
11. In a *Quare Impedit* Nonsuit after Appearance is peremptory ibid.
12. 'Tis sufficient to lay a Seisin *Tempore pacis tempore Domini Regis* 561
13. In a *Quare Impedit*, Plaintiff declared upon an Agreement by Indenture to present by Turns 43
14. Such Compositions or Agreements may be made by Record, by Deed, or by Parol, and how each may be ibid. 44

See also *Præses*, &c.

Principal, Interest, &c. Vide Money.

Principal and Accessary.

1. In the highest and lowest Offences there are no Accessaries, for all are Principals Page 418
2. *Ergo* in Treason, the Writer or Concealer is as guilty as the Contriver or Actor ibid.
3. So in Battery, If *A.* only holds the Door while *B.* beats *C.* *A.* is as guilty as *B.* (*vide*, &c.) ibid.
4. If several make a Riot, and a Man is killed, they are all Principals in the Murder 334
5. Where a Stat. makes an Offence Felony, Accessaries (properly) tho' not named, are within it 542
6. *Aliter* where it makes a particular Fact more penal ibid.

See also *Felony* and *Libels*.

Prisage, vide *Subsidies*.

Privilege of Persons.

1. Privilege pleaded in the Negative is well, and *venit & dicit*, or *dicit* only, a good Defence 543, 544
2. A Philizer f *B. R.* arrested *per breve*, was discharged on common Bail, for he ought to be sued by Bill 544
3. But an Attorney of *C. B.* sued by Bill in *B. R.* must plead his Privilege, and can't be discharged on Motion ibid.
4. Where Privilege of Attorney is pleaded with a Writ, his being an Attorney can't be denied 545
5. *Aliter* if he pleads his Privilege without Writ ibid.
6. A

The T A B L E.

6. A Plea of Privilege as Attorney of C. B. need not shew the Writ under Seal, not a *Venue*, nor where C. B. fits Page 545

7. For a joint Cause of Action against an Attorney and another Man, both must be arrested 544

8. A Bill can't be filed against a privileg'd Person in the Vacation, which begins as soon as the Court rises ibid.

9. On a voluntary Coming in to confess an Indictment, &c. no Privilege *eundo & redeundo*, allowed ibid.

10. For Pleas of Privilege in Abatement, *vide* Abatement, &c.

Privilege of Place.

1. There are 4 Causes of Privilege of the *Exchequer*, viz. 1. Informer for the King. 2. Accountant to the King. 3. Debtor to the King. 4. Officer or Attendant on the Court 546

2. Where Plaintiff is privileged the Suit is by *Quo minus*, where the Defendant, 'tis by Bill 546

3. *Supersedeas* to a Suit in the *Exchequer*, *dummodo non tangit nos vel &c.* disallowed, because the Matter was pleadable ibid.

4. See the Difference of allowing Privilege of the *Exchequer* in C. B. and in B. R. ibid.

5. Motion and Argument in B. R. for an Attachment 551

Privies and Privities.

1. If Lessee for Years assigns, &c. there is no Privity of Estate between

him and the Assignee, but only of Contract Page 317

Note; A Composition (or Contract) to present by Turns may be 3 Ways, viz.

First, *By Record*, either between Privies in Blood or Strangers, and there the Patron is not put to a *Quare Impedit*, but may sue a *Scire Facias* 43

Secondly, *By Deed*, either between Privies in Blood or Strangers, which if executed, the Plaintiff in a *Quare Impedit* need not mention the Composition 44

Thirdly, *By Parol*, between Privies in Blood only; For between Strangers Composition can't be *Sans Deed* 44

Prohibition and Consultation.

1. Prohibition to a Suit for a Legacy for refusing Proof of Payment by one Witness; *aliter* in Probate of Wills 547

2. Prohibition to a Probate of a Will of Lands and Goods upon Suggestion of *Non Compos* denied 552

3. Where Matter of the Suggestion does not appear on the Libel, Affidavit is necessary 549

4. And the Suggestion in the Case of Tithes, must be proved within 6 *Kalendar* Months from the *Teste* of the Prohibition 554

5. Prohibition shall not go for a *Modus* or other Foreign Matter unless pleaded below 551

6. A. subtracts Tithes in one Diocese and removes into another, and being afterward found in the first, is there cited, &c. and Consultation awarded; For Subtraction of Tithes is Local 549

7. A Prohibition may be granted after Sentence, where the Cause is not

The TABLE.

not of Spiritual Conuzance; *aliter* of citing one out of the Dioceſe Pag. 548

8. One having a preſcriptive Right to a Seat in a Church, may, if diſturbed, ſue in the Spiritual Court to have his Poſſeſſion quieted 551

9. And on Suit there againſt the vicar of S. for not performing Divine Service in the Chapel of C. as bound by Cuſtom, a Prohibition denied 550

10. Prohibition to a Suit there, for Solicitation of Chaſtity, he having been convicted on Indictment of an Affault with Intent to raviſh, &c. 552

11. Prohibition lies to the Spiritual Court in any Suit, for denying a Copy of the Libel; but not to the Admiralty 553

12. And ſee a Prohibition to the Admiralty denied, unleſs the Defendant would appear and give Bail 548

13. Several Prohibitions to the Spiritual Court, for taking Bells, for calling Knave, againſt annulling Marriage, and Baſtardizing 547, 548

14. So to the Court of Honour, on Suit there for Words 553

15. See a Prohibition granted to a Suit in Equity for Diſcovery of Matters to make the Defendant forfeit his Freehold 550

16. And ſee a Motion and Argument for a Prohibition to the Court of Exchequer on an Attachment iſſued thence for proceeding in B. R. 551

See alſo Admiralty and Tithes.

Proof, vide Evidence and Witneſſes.

Property.

1. A Man has the Property of Things *feræ Naturæ*, that are kill'd in his own Ground 559

2. So one that has *Libera Piſcaria*, has Property in the Fiſh, (& Co. Lit. 122 denied) Page 637. 2. 357

3. What Property a Man has in Villeins and Negroes. 666, 667

4. A Difference in Property of Things having a Natural Exiſtence, and a Civil Exiſtence only 667

5. Plea of taking by Diſtreſs for Rent, does not confeſs Property, &c. *vide* 640

Q.

Quantum Meruit.

1. *A Quantum meruit* for Meat, Drink, &c. laid uncertain as to Time, yet well 557

2. So the Word *Quantum* omitted in the Count, yet well, for *Tantum* ſupplies it *ibid.*

3. A *Quantum meruit* is to be taken according to the Intent of the Parties 558

4. In *Quantum meruit* Motion for bringing Money into Court, ſometimes granted and ſometimes denied 597

5. *Quantum meruit* lies for ſerving as a Commiſſioner on a Commiſſion to examine Witneſſes 330

See alſo *Assumpsits* in *Actions* on the *Caſe.*

Quare Impedit.

1. In *Quare Impedit* the Plaintiff, declares on Agreement by Indenture between Jointenants to preſent by Turns 43

2. And ſee how a Compoſition or Agreement to preſent by Turns may be made *ibid.* 44

a

3. In

The TABLE.

3. In Case of a Prerogative Turn the Writ is general, *quæ ad nostram spectat Donationem* Page 556

4. 'Tis sufficient to lay a Seisin *Tempore pacis tempore Domini Regis* 561

5. In a *Quare Impedit* Nonsuit after Appearance, is peremptory 559
See also *Presentation, &c.*

Que Estate.

1. A Termor for Years cannot declare on a *Que Estate* 363

2. In making Title, the Commencement of particular Estates must be shewn 562

3. A Diversity therein between Counts and Avowries *ibid.*

Quod Permittat, vide Nufance.

Quod cum and Quare, vide 636.

R.

Recitals, vide Evidence 12, 13.

See also *Pleas, &c.*

Recognizances, Statutes, Elegits, &c.

1. **R**ecognizances in B. R. tho' taken at a Judge's Chamber, must be declared on as if taken in Court 564, 659

2. But *aliter* in C. B. for there it is to be declared on as taken at his Chamber *ibid. vide 600, 659*

3. Recognizance of Bail in C. B. binds from the Caption, but in B. R. from the Entry only 600, 659

4. No *Supersedeas* to a *Certiorari* for removing an Indictment, unless Recognizance entered into of 20 l. *ibid.*

5. The Conuzee of a Statute can't assign his Interest after Extent and

Liberate, if the Conuzor continues in Possession Page 563

6. On *Elegits*, if the Sheriff deliver more than a Moiety, the Execution is void *ibid.*

See also *Judgments, &c.*

Records.

1. In Escape the Plaintiff did not alledge the Commitment, *prout patet per Recordum*, but well on a general Demurrer 565

2. On a *Certiorari* the very Record is return'd (*vide Certiorari*) 565

3. On *Nul tiel Record* pleaded of a Record of the same Court, Day may be given for the Party to bring it in, or for the Justices to inspect it, but the Defendant cannot demur 566

4. A printed Statute not Evidence upon *Nul tiel Record* pleaded *ibid.*

5. Act of the Court upon Record may be altered the same Term, but of the Party not 566, 567

6. Roll of a precedent Term, ought to be filed, before Motion to make it a *Consilium* 565

See also *Failure of Record, Plea and Restitution.*

Recoveries Common.

1. See several Precedents of Recoveries suffered by Infants, but that disallowed 567

2. To A. and Ux. for Life, Remainder to the Heirs Males of A. on the Wife begotten, A. can't dock this Remainder during his Wife's Life 568

3. In a Recovery the Tenant to the *Præcipe* was made by a Fine, which was after reversed, yet good 568

4. And if the Tenant gains the Freehold at any Time before Judgment, 'tis good *ibid.*

5. Also

The T A B L E.

5. Also Non-Tenure is cured by a subsequent Purchase Page 569

6. To *A.* and the Heirs of her Body, by one of the Name of *Searle*, is an Estate-Tail 570

7. A Condition that runs with the Land can't be barr'd by Recovery; *aliter* of a Condition collateral *ibid.*

8. Tenant in Tail and he in Remainder may be vouched jointly 571

9. If the Tenant vouches a Stranger, who vouches Tenant in Tail, and he enters into Warranty, 'tis good 571

10. And Tenant in Tail coming in as Vouchee, comes in in Privy of all Estates he ever had *ibid.*

See also *Fines, Uses and Trusts.*

Recusants, vide *Church of England.*

Refusal, vide *Tender, &c.*

Release and Defeazance.

1. A Defeazance must contain proper Words of Defeazance, &c. 575

2. Covenant not to sue for a certain Time, is not a Release or Defeazance 573

3. Bond from *A.* and *B.* to *C.* joint and several, a Covenant from *C.* not to sue *A.* is not a Defeazance 575

4. One Deed not to be construed as a Defeazance of another, without Necessity *ibid.*

5. A Release of all Demands to the Personal Estate of the Intestate, Releases not a Bond before Judgment and Execution 575

6. Where one releases his Right he can't pursue his Action or Remedy 422

7. But if he has a Right and several Remedies, the Discharge of one Remedy discharges not the other *ibid.*

8. Where a *Proviso* goes by Way of Defeazance of a Covenant, it must be pleaded on the other Side Page 574

9. But otherwise, where it is by Way of Explanation, or Restriction of the Covenant *ibid.*

10. A growing Rent is not released by a Release of all Demands 578

11. One bound to release on Payment of Money, is bound to release on Tender and Refusal 75

Remainder, vide Page 591.

1. Every Remainder is to vest during the particular Estate, or *eo instanti* it determines 228

2. And a Right of Entry must be presently upon the happening of a contingent Remainder 577

3. A Right of Entry will support a contingent Remainder, but Right of Action not 576

4. Surrender of Tenant for Life, being *non compos*, to a Remainder-Man, is void, and can't bar a contingent Remainder *ibid.*

5. *A.* Tenant for Life, Remainder to his Wife for Life, Remainder to his 1st, 2d, Sons in Tail, Remainder to *A.*'s right Heirs; *A.* commits Treason, and then has a Son, and is then attainted, the contingent Remainder to him not discharged by vesting in the Crown during his Life, because the Wife's Estate is sufficient to support it *ibid.*

6. A Remainder may be of a Rent *de novo* 577

See also *Devises, Grants and Reversions.*

Remedies, vide *Actions in General, Release, Rights, &c.*

Render

The T A B L E.

Render, vide Bail.

Rents, vide Day, &c. Release
10. and Page 466, 577, 578.

Repleader.

1. Repleader not allowed before Trial, not after a Default Page 579
2. When awarded, the Parties are to begin *de novo* at the first Fault *ibid.*
3. If denied where grantable or granted where it should be denied, 'tis Error *ibid.*
4. Where a Defendant makes Default at *Nisi Prius*, no Judgment can be for him, nor Repleader awarded 216
5. Repleader can't be where a Trespass is confess'd 173

Replevins, Avowries, and Homine Replegiando.

1. A Replevin may be by Plaint in the Country, as well as by Original here 701
2. Bailiff of a Hundred can't grant Replevins out of Court 580
3. Clerk of County Court granted a Replevin without Bond or Surety to prosecute 467
4. The Sheriff in Replevin can't return that the Cattle, &c. were not taken 581
5. But after *Elongata* return'd and *Withernam* awarded, the Defendant may plead *Non Cepit* 581
6. Upon pleading *Non Cepit* or claiming Property, the Defendant shall have his Goods again *ibid.*
7. So in a *Homine Replegiando* he shall be bail'd on a *Non Cepit* *ibid.*
8. But on *Elongata* return'd therein, if he pleads *Non Cepit*, no *Withernam* shall issue 582

9. And in *Homine Replegiando*, the Defendant can't be bail'd before Return of the *Withernam* Page 582

10. Also the Plaintiff is demandable on Return of the *Withernam*, and may be Nonsuit for not appearing *ibid.*

11. And there may be a new *Capias* in *Withernam*, after the Defendant had been bail'd on the first 582

12. For a *Withernam* is only mesne Process, and not an Execution *ibid.*

13. Want of Addition not pleadable to a *Homine Replegiando* or Replevin 5

14. In Replevin Property in a Stranger is pleadable in Bar or Abatement, (*vide* Abatement.) 3, 5

15. How *Prisel in auter Lieu* must conclude, and must make Suggestion for a Return 3, 94

16. And all Pleas in Abatement in Replevin (except Property) must suggest Matter for a Return 93, 94

17. Second Deliverance is a *Superseas* to the *Retorno habendo*, but not to the Writ of Inquiry 95

18. In a Plea in Bar of Tender of Rent in Replevin, *Q.* If the Money is to be brought into Court, *vide* 583, 597

19. But see the Reason why it shall 58

20. And therein *De injuria sua absque hoc quod fuit in aretro*, amounts to the general Issue 583

21. Note; The Command or Authority in Replevin is not traversable 409

22. Avowry for Rent where Part is not yet due is Error, but may be cured before Judgment by abating the Avowry as to that 580

Request.

1. If *A.* requests *B.* to take Goods, and *B.* does it, &c. *A.* is a Trespasser 409

The T A B L E.

2. Condition to do an A&t at the End of 7 Years on Request, Request must be made on the last Day Pag. 585

3. Where a Request of Departure, &c. is necessary to make one a Trespasser 641

See also Notice.

Rescue.

1. Attachment for a Rescue is never granted upon Affidavits 586

2. But a Rescuer may be discharged on Affidavits *ibid.*

3. The Fine for a Rescue is 4 Nobles on each Offender *ibid.*

4. A Return, That the Bailiffs had him in Custody of the Sheriff, and that A. rescued him out of the Custody of the Bailiffs is ill, for Repugnancy *ibid.*

See also Arrest of Body.

Restitution.

1. Writ of Restitution lies not against any that are not Parties to the Record 589

2. Where Money recovered in a Judgment appears by Record to be paid, Restitution shall be *Sans Scire Facias* 588

3. But otherwise where it appears to be only levied *ibid.*

4. So where Judgment is set aside after Execution for Irregularity, there needs no *Scire Facias* for Restitution *ibid.*

5. Restitution denied upon quashing an Inquisition of Forcible Entry, a Lease for Years standing out *ibid.*

6. Traverse to an Inquisition of Forcible Entry is a *Superfedeas* to the Restitution 588

Return of Writs.

1. *Attach. feci* is good in a Return, and Surplusage in a Return rejected 589

2. Process whereon to ground a *Testatum* is return'd by the Attorney of Course *ibid.*

3. A Return of a *Certiorari* by Clerk of the Peace is ill 479

4. 8 Days to be between the *Teste* and Return of a *Ca. Sa.* against the Principal, in order to charge the Bail 599, 602

See also *Certiorari*, *Mandamus*, *Scire Facias*, and *Writs*.

Reversion.

1. A. seiz'd of Lands à *parte Materna* limits several Estates with Remainder to the Use of his Right Heirs, the Heir à *parte Materna* shall have it 590

2. For it is the antient Use, and therein no Difference between an express and implied Use *ibid.*

3. Note; There may be a Possibility of Reverter where no Remainder can be limited 231

4. Of Grants of Offices in Reversion, *vide* Offices, &c.

Rights and Remedies, vide Actions in general, 2, 13, 19, 22, 27, 29, *Release* and *Pag.* 415, 444, 460, 576, 577, 686.

Revocation, vide Wills.

Riots,

The TABLE.

Riots, Routs and unlawful Assemblies.

1. Both an unlawful Assembly, and an unlawful Act done, are necessary to make a Riot, *vide bis* Page 594
2. Ergo, If three or more assemble lawfully, tho' they quarrel and fall upon one of their Company, 'tis no Riot 595
3. Yet in that Case, if they fall upon a Stranger, 'tis a Riot; but in those only who concur *ibid.*
4. Indictment for a Riot and Assault, Acquittal of the Riot, is also of the Assault 593
5. To an Inquisition of a Riot upon View, the Sheriff must be Party *ibid.*
6. But if the Rioters disperse before the View, the Justices may make the Inquisition without him *ibid.*
7. And such Inquisition by two Justices *Capt. pro Domino Rege* is well, and need not be *pro Domino Rege & Corpore Com.* *ibid.*
8. Yet all Inquisitions by Grand Jury are to be *pro Corpore Com'* as well as *pro Domino Rege* *ibid.*
9. Justices not inquiring within the Month, are punishable; yet they may inquire after *ibid.*
10. If several make a Riot and a Man is kill'd, they are all Principals in the Murder, *vide* 334, 335.

Rivers, vide Highways.

Rules of Court.

1. Defeating a Rule of Court, tho' by a Stranger, is a Contempt, *vide* 176, 177, 596
2. A Rule of Court is to be construed largely for his Benefit for whom made 596

3. Yet see the Exposition of a Rule to put off a Trial *super Solutione Cusstag.* Page 83

4. See Proceedings against the Defendant on Breach of the Rule for confessing Lease, Entry, &c. 259

5. And see Breach, &c. of Rules of Reference to three Foremen, *bis* 73 and 84

6. Also the Practice of striking Juries on Rules of Court 405

7. And in what Cases Rules shall be to bring Money into Court 583, 597

8. Where Executor or Administrator sues, Money is not to be brought into Court, because they are not liable to Costs 596

9. Tho' the Plaintiff (in other Cases) is Nonsuit, yet he shall have Money brought in, on striking it out of the Declaration 597

See also *Trial, View and Visne.*

Rules of Law, vide Maxims.

S.

Scire Facias.

1. **I**N the Case of the King, there needs not any *Scire Facias* after the Year 602

2. See the Rule for Sueing out and Returning *Scire facias* in B. R. and how it differs from C. B. 599

3. Fifteen Days inclusive to be between the *Teste* of the first, and Return of the last *ibid.*

4. And eight Days between the *Teste* and Return, when against the Principal, in Order to charge the Bail 602

5. If

The TABLE.

5. If against the Principal, the Writ is *in hac parte*, if against the Bail, in *ea parte* Page 599

6. A *Scire facias* against Bail in B. R. must be brought in *Middlesex* only, *aliter* in C. B. 564, 600

7. A *Scire facias* against Bail must lie in the Sheriff's Hands a convenient Time 599

8. *Scire facias* on Recognizance of Bail assigning Breach that the Defendant did not pay nor render *Prisonæ Mar. Marefch. nostræ* 602

9. Whether a *Scire facias* lay in Personal Actions before *West. 2.* and Lord Coke's Opinion doubted 600

10. In Error to reverse a Fine, why 'tis needful to have a *Scire Facias* against the Tertenants, as well as the Conuzees 598

11. A Return of *Scire Feci* against Tertenants ought to be of all the Tertenants *in Balliva sua* *ibid.*

12. A *Scire facias* lies on a Judgment in Ejectment, and may be general against all the Tertenants, or particular, and name 'em 600

13. Tertenants return'd for several Parts, can't join in a Plea, which goes to one Part only 601

14. Where Non-tenure may be plead- ed generally, and where specially *ibid.*

15. Judgment on a *Scire facias* against Bail reversed for Want of War- rant of Attorney 603

16. See the Case of a *Scire Facias* upon a Recognizance of Bail in Error 520

See also *Judgments* and *Restitution*, 2, 3, 4.

Seizin, vide *Disseizin*.

Servants, vide *Masters*, &c.

Service and Suit.

1. Service by doing Suit to the Court of the Manor, twice a Year, held well Page 604

2. A Manor-Court to enquire into Rents and Services arrear, may be *bis in anno* *ibid.*

3. And the Suit to such Court shall be intended by Reservation, before the Statute, *Quia emptores*, &c. *ibid.*

4. Also one that is resiant may be bound to do Suit real *ibid.*

5. For it shall be intended a Suit- Service reserv'd on creating the Tenure *ibid.*

Sessions General and Quarter.

1. An Appeal may be adjourn'd from one Quarter-Sessions to another 2. 447, 605

2. Sessions can't be entred as sitting three Days together, but must be an Adjournment 494, 605

3. An Order of Sessions quash'd, because it concern'd one of the Justices nam'd in the Style of the Court 607

4. They can't make an Order to prosecute an Offender out of the County-Stock *ibid.*

5. They may alter and set aside their own Orders the same Sessions 494 606

6. So their Order for one to relieve his Father till Sessions order the contrary, is good 534

7. A Special Order of Sessions ought not to conclude to the Opinion of B. R. 486

8. They may affirm or quash, but not supersede an Original Order 472

9. They can't suppress an Ale-house licensed, unless for Disorder 470

10. They may make an original Order to discharge Apprentices 491

11. Their

The T A B L E.

11. Their Power extends only to such Trades as are named in the Statute (*vide* Apprentices) Page 471

12. They are proper Judges whether fit to oblige one to take an Apprentice or not 491

13. Orders on 43 *Eliz. c. 2.* must be said *ad quarterialem Sessionem, &c.* 474, 476

14. Orders on two different Statutes must be distinct 487

15. Sessions on Appeal can't send to a third Place nor Party 475

16. And one Sessions on Appeal can't refer a Matter to be determined by another Sessions, *bis* 477

17. If the first Order be naught, no subsequent Order on Appeal can make it good 482

18. And where they quash an Order, it must appear to be on Appeal 479

19. Appeal from an Order of Bastardy must be to the General Sessions 482

20. And to the first Sessions after Notice to the Father, of the first Order 480

21. Appeal from an Order of Corporation-Justices, must be to the Sessions of the County, not the Corporation 490

22. Sessions can't annex Parishes, but may order one Parish to contribute to the Poor of another 480, 481

23. On Appeal from Poors-Rate, the Sessions may quash the whole Rate 483

24. And they may make, or order the Church-wardens, &c. to make a new Rate *ibid.*

25. Sessions may appoint and remove High and Petty Constables, and are the best Judges of the Matter 150, 502

Vide Constables.

26. Upon Appeal from Allowance of Overseers Accounts, the Sessions must execute their Judgment in the

same Manner as two Justices ought to do Page 553

37. Sessions being but one Day in Law, may alter their Judgment and make a new Order, but must certify only the latter 494

28. And they need not set forth the Reasons of their Judgment, and how the Court shall judge thereon 607, 601

See also *Ale-houses, Bastardy, Justices, Poor and Statutes* 5

Sheriffs.

1. A Sheriff may take Bail-Bond on Attachment of Contempt, but the Prosecutor may refuse to accept it 608

2. A Sheriff fined and committed for delivering to an Infant a Writ of Appeal brought by his *Prochein Amie* 177

3. What Fees are due to the Sheriff on Execution of Writs, and his Duty therein, *vide Fees and Execution*, and for his Duty in taking Bail, see *Bail* 17, 18, 19, &c.

4. A Sheriff is the Officer of *B. R.* in the same Manner as Constables to Justices of the Peace, 2. 175
See also *Church of England.*

Solicitor, vide Attorney.

Statutes in General, and their Exposition.

1. Where a Statute gives or creates a *Right*, the Party of Consequence shall have an Action at Law to recover it 415

2. Where a Statute creates an Offence, no Remedy can be pursued, but what the Statute gives 460

3. Where a Statute introduces a new Law, by giving an Action where none was before, &c. the Plaintiff need not conclude *contra formam Stat.* 505

4. *Ali-*

Z

The T A B L E.

4. *Aliter* where it gives the same Action with a Difference of Circumstances, as double Damages, &c.

Page 505

5. Where a Statute gives a Penalty to be recovered before Justices, and prescribes no Method, it ought to be by Bill 606

6. And Orders of Sessions on two different Statutes must be distinct 487

7. Where a Statute gives a Penalty to a Stranger, and he sues, he is a *Common Informer*; *aliter* if to the Party grieved 30

8. Where a Statute directs things of a publick Nature, *may* is to be taken as *shall*.

9. Where it makes an Offence Felony, Accessaries (*properly*) are within it, tho' not nam'd 542, 543

10. *Aliter* where an Offence at Common Law is thereby only made more penal *ibid.*

11. Tho' the Title is no Part of the Statute, yet if set out wrong 'tis fatal 609

12. That the Statute 5 *Eliz.* is a hard Law 613

13. *Ergo*, the exercising a Trade by others is within that Statute 610

14. Yet following a Trade 7 Years is sufficient, *sans* binding, &c. (*vide* Apprentices) 613

15. Where a Trade is averr'd to be so at the Time of making the Act, the Court will intend it within the Act, *bis* 611

16. And that many Trades are within the Act, not mentioned therein *ibid.*

17. One may lett Coach-Horses and Coach-Man without Licence, on 5, 6 *W. & M. c.* 22. 612

18. Debt on the Statute 10 *W.* 3. c. 2. for making Buttons of Wood 612

19. See the Construction of the Stamp-Act, where a Bond and Warrant of Attorney were on the same Paper *ibid.*

See also *Actions Popular*, *Orders*, *Poor*, &c.

Statutes of Hue and Cry.

1. Where the Servant is robb'd of his Master's Goods, the Master may sue the Hundred 613

2. So where robb'd of his Master's Money, the Master may sue the Hundred *ibid.* 614

3. But one robb'd and refusing to take the Oath, cannot sue 613

4. The Declaration need not set forth the Oath to be taken before a Justice of the same Hundred 614

Statutes Particular, Q.

Subsidies, Taxes and Customs.

1. By *Taxes*, is meant in general, *Parliamentary* 615

2. See the different manner of Taxing, and when introduc'd *ibid.* 616

3. And of the Clause in Conveyances, to have no Deduction for Taxes *ib.*

4. Where Deduction for Taxes shall be out of Annuities, and where not *ib.*

5. One may be assess'd by the Land-Tax, either where he dwells, or carries on his Employment *ibid.*

6. *Prisage*, an ancient Duty in *Specie* on Goods imported, may be granted away by the Crown 617

7. But are chargeable with Duties charged on the said Goods, while in the Grantees Hands *ibid.*

Suit, vide Service.

Sunday, vide Term-Time.

Supersedeas, vide pag. 472, 587. & *Certiorari*, &c.

Surrender.

1. A Surrender, where to be construed as a Conveyance at Common Law 621

2. Surrender vests the Estate in the Surrendree, *sans* Notice or his express Acceptance 618

3

3. A Sur-

The T A B L E.

3. A Surrender vests a Fee in *A. & Ux.*, tho' an Estate for their Lives express'd, &c. *vide* Tail Page 620
4. A Surrender is to be construed as a Conveyance at Common Law 621
5. Surrender of a Patent void for want of Inrolment 191

T. Tail.

1. By what words an Estate-Tail may be created 621
2. The word *of* in *English*, equal to *de* or *ex* in *Latin* 622
3. In a Gift in Tail it must appear of what Body the Issue are to come 621
4. To *B.* and the Heirs Males of the said *B.* lawfully begotten, and for Default, &c. over, is a Tail *ibid.*
5. Fees are *Absolute*, *Base*, *Refrained*, *Conditional* *ibid.*
6. Bargainee of Tenant in Tail has a descendible Estate 619
7. What Conveyances by Tenant in Tail are defeasible by the Issue *ibid.*
8. Covenant by Tenant in Tail to stand seized to the Use of himself for Life, Remainder to *A.* in Tail, is void *ibid.*
9. Because the Remainder is to take Effect after his Death (2.) *ibid.*
10. Tenant in Tail covenants to stand seized to the Use of *A.* and his Heirs, or of *A.* for Life, with Remainders; it deverts the Estate-Tail 620
11. *Aliter* if the new Use be to take Effect after his Death *ibid.*
12. Surrender to *A.* for Life, Remainder to *A.* and his Wife for their Lives, and their Heirs and Assigns, and for Default of such Issue to *A.* and his Heirs, is a Fee in *A.* and his Wife 920

See also *Devises*, *Fines*, *Recoveries*, *Uses*, &c.

Tenants in Common, vide *Jointenants*.

Tender and Refusal, *Amends*, &c.

1. If one is oblig'd to release on Payment of Money, he is bound to release on Tender and Refusal, as if actually paid Page 75
2. When both Parties meet at the Time and Place, he that pleads Tender must also plead Refusal 623
3. And if the Defendant be absent, the Plaintiff must shew *That*, and that he was there at the Time, and Tendered *ibid.*
4. And the Pleader must shew when he came, and how long he staid, &c. 624
5. He must stay till Sun-set, unless special Circumstances shewn shall vary it *ibid.*
6. To a general *Assumpsit*, Tender, &c. must be pleaded with a *Tout temps prist*, which can't be after Impar lance 622
7. How *Tout temps prist* is pleadable in *Indebitatus Assumpsit*, &c. 623
8. In pleading Tender in Debt, the Defendant prays Judgment *de Dampnis*; but in Case, *de ulterioribus Dampnis* 623
9. Owner of a Stray may seize it, tending Satisfaction, and in pleading it, need not shew the Sum tendred 686
10. That the Defendant does not aver the Amends tendred was refused 687
11. *Assumpsit*, Satisfaction pleaded, and Issue upon the Acceptance, held good 627

See also *Payment*, &c.

Term-

The T A B L E.

Term-Time, and Computation.

1. A Bill can't be filed against a privileg'd Person in the Vacation
Page 544
2. And the Vacation begins the last Day of the Term, as soon as the Court rises
ibid.
3. No Matters of Law to be heard the last Day of a Term
624
4. But a Trial at Bar may be the last Paper-Day, where the King is Party
625
5. Sundays and Holidays are computed for Acts done out of Court
624
6. But *Sundays* are not included in the four Days to move in Arrest of Judgment
625
7. *Ergo* one may be taken on an Escape-Warrant on a *Sunday*
626
8. And a Citation may be serv'd by fixing it on the Church-Door on a *Sunday*
625
9. *Tres Trin.* on *Sunday*, Writ of Enquiry then returnable, if executed *Monday*, 'tis Error
626
10. The Court takes judicial Notice of the Computation of Time, the Calendar, &c.
626, 627
11. An Insurance of *A.*'s Life for a Year, *A.* died on the last Day, the Insurer is liable
625
12. A Condition to an Act at the End of 7 Years on Request; Request is to be made the last Day 585, *vide* 624

See also *Age*, and *Day*, and *Departure*.

Toll, *vide Fairs*, &c.

Town, *vide Parish*.

Traverse.

1. A Traverse that puts Matter of Record in issue to the Country is ill
Page 521
2. Where a Traverse ought to conclude to the Country, and where with an Averment
4
3. A Consideration Executory is traversable, *Ergo* a *Venue* must be laid
22
4. In Replevin Matter suggested for a Return in a Plea in Abatement, is not traversable
93
5. Where Traverse of the Command is sufficient in Trespass or Replevin, but not in *Clausum fregit*
107
6. And see a Difference in Traverses on Avowries, and Declarations in Debt, &c.
562
7. That which is immaterial is not admitted by not being travers'd
561
8. Where a Traverse goes to the Matter, all before is waved; *aliter* where to Time only
642
9. Traverse of an Inquisition of Forcible Entry is a *Superfedeas* to the Restitution
587
10. In *Assumpsit* Satisfaction pleaded, Issue on the Acceptance is good
627
11. *Non antea* is no Traverse, where further Matter must be disclos'd before he can conclude to the Country
628
12. *De injuria sua propria* is a good Replication to a Justification by the Common Law or general Statute
ibid.
13. *Absque hoc*, that he ousted him *de premissis*, goes to every Part
629
14. On pleading a Seisin generally, Traverse may be taken that he is Sole seized
ibid.

I

15. But

The TABLE.

15. But *Quere* the Difference of traversing a Sole Seisin, as to Tenants in Common and Parceners Page 630

See also *Pleadings* and *Trespases*.

Treason.

1. Attainder of Treason revers'd, for Want of *Allocutus* before Judgment 630

2. And Judgment therein revers'd, for want of *contra Ligeantie sue debitum*, in the Indictment *ibid.*

3. And another, for want of *ipso vivente*, or *in Conspectu suo*, as to burning the Bowels 632

4. Record of Judgment therein refused to be amended by the Minutes of the Court of *Old Baily* *ibid.*

5. Indictments of Treason, &c. can't be supplied by Intendment 631. *vide* 375

6. Copy of Indictment therein not granted, after pleading 634. *vide* 153

7. Indictment of a Subject *contra Ligeantie sue debitum*, is well; without *naturalis*, &c. 633

8. *Aliter*, where an Alien is indicted, if *naturalis*, &c. be therein *ibid.*

9. Indictment for compassing the King's Death, the Treason being first laid, the Overt-Act need not be laid *proditorie* 633

10. *Aliter* where the Treason consists in such Act *ibid.*

11. Words of Persuasion or of Consultation only, an Overt-Act of Treason in compassing the King's Death 631

12. Indictment for levying War, or adhering to the King's Enemies in general, *sans* shewing particular Instances, not good 634

13. Joining with Rebel Subjects of the King's Ally, fighting under the

Command of an Enemy Prince, is Treason, &c. Page 635

14. Altho' such fighting be directly (and only) against such Ally, for tis adhering to the King's Enemies *ibid.*

15. Cruising is an Overt-Act of adhering, &c. and so is lifting and marching *ibid.*

16. And there may be a levying War, without actual fighting *ibid.*

See also *Attainder*.

Trespases.

1. In a Declaration in Trespases, *contra pacem* is Substance 636

2. And Trespases laid in a former King's Time *contra pacem* only of the present, is ill on Demurrer, but cured by Verdict 640

3. Also Declaration in Trespases without *vi & armis*, held ill on general Demurrer 636

4. But *Quare vi & armis* is ill, for *quare* is not positive, but only interrogatory *ibid.*

5. Trespases, *Quare duos Equos apud D. &c. & Triticum de bonis propr. ipsius A. cepit* held ill, because the Property of the Horses not shewn, and the conditional Damages entire 640

6. And Plea of taking a Distress for Rent does not confess Property *ibid.*

7. Trespases for entring his House and taking *separat. Claves*, &c. good, *sans* shewing the Number 645

8. Trespases for entring the Plaintiff's House, and assaulting, &c. his Servants; the Assault, &c. may be by way of Aggravation of Damages 642

A a

9. Where

The T A B L E.

9. Where Matter may be laid as Aggravation, for which alone Trespafs lies not, *vide* Page 119

10. Disturbing one in the Use of his Franchise is a Trespafs 594

11. Trespafs lies for Fishing in *Libera Piscaria*, and taking his Fish 637

12. So one that has Free Warren, may have Trespafs against any but the Owner of the Soil, for hunting there *ibid.*

13. See Trespafs brought against the Sheriff's Officer, for hanging a Man *in privato suo solo* 648

14. *Son Assault demesne* a good Plea in *Mayhem* where the first Assault was violent 642

15. Where in entring *A's* Close, there is only Force in Law, *A.* can't lay Hands on the Trespasser before a Request to depart; *aliter* where actual Force 641

16. Taking Cattle from *H.* is a taking from his Person *ibid.*

17. Where the Trespafs is transitory, the Plaintiff can't pretend a Right to the Place 643

18. *Ergo* in such Case, the Defendant may justify by Possession only *ibid.*

19. *Note*; A Justification must confess the Trespafs (*vide Justification*) 637, 638

20. Trespafs for entring his Close and hunting, laid with a *Continuando*, and held well 628, 639

21. Acts that being once done cannot be repeated, cannot be laid with a *Continuando* 639

22. As Trespafs in cutting down such a Number of Trees cannot be continued *ibid.*

23. But a general *Continuando* shall be applied to what may be continued, after Verdict *ibid.*

24. In Trespafs where a Traverse goes to the Matter, all before is Inducement, and waved; *aliter*, if to Time only 2 642

25. Trespafs by Lessee of a Copyholder for Life for cutting down, &c. by the Lord, held maintainable in *B. R.* and affirmed in *Cam. Scacc.* but revers'd in the House of Lords Page 638

26. Trespafs *quare captivum suum cepit*, for taking a Negro 666

See also *Justification*, and *Novel Assignment*.

Trial.

1. When a Trial at Bar is to be moved for 649

2. A Cause can't be tried at Bar where the Action is laid in *London*, by reason of their Charter 644

3. A Trial at Bar not denied to the Officers of the Court, or Barristers 651

4. And in any Cause of Value or Difficulty, a Trial at Bar may be had of Common Right 648

5. Also, where the King is Party, a Trial at Bar may be the last Paper-Day in Term 625

6. A new Trial after Trial at Bar refus'd in Ejectment, because not conclusive 648. *vide* 650

7. A new Trial is rarely granted in hard Actions 644, 648

8. As, in Case for negligent keeping Fire, Actions for Words, &c. 644, 648, 653

9. No new Trial against the Equity of the Cause 644, 646. Nor for Absence of Counsel 645

10. Nor for Defect of Preparation 653. Or any Omission of the Party 273, 647

11. Nor for a Mistake in Point of Law; or want of Notice after Defence made 646

12. In-

The T A B L E.

12. Indictment for a Libel, Defendant acquitted, no new Trial Page 646

13. Yet a new Trial may be where the Judge of *Nisi prius* misdirects the Jury 649

14. No new Trial or Writ of Inquiry granted for too small Damages, unless there is some Trick, &c. 647

15. A new Trial for excessive Damages, and the same Damages being given, a third Trial denied 649

16. A new Trial, because the Foreman had declared the Plaintiff should never have a Verdict 645

17. Jury after gone from the Bar sent for an Act of Common Council given in Evidence, yet new Trial denied *ibid.*

18. In Trespas for Cutting Trees, Verdict for Defendant against Evidence, yet because the Plaintiff had Benefit by the Cutting, new Trial denied 647

19. And Note; No new Trial can be in an inferior Court 201, 650

20. Nor any Motion for a new Trial, after Motion in Arrest of Judgment 647

21. If a Cause has lain at Issue four Terms, there must be a full Term's Notice of Trial 650

22. And see a Verdict set aside for want of such Notice, and that Rule explain'd 645, 650

23. Suing out a *Venire Facias* tested the last Day of the Term, not a Proceeding within Term as to Notice of Trial 650

24. Two Days Notice is to be given after *ne recipiatur* at Sitzings, &c. 653

25. A Trial is not to be put off, for that a Suit is pending in the Spiritual Court for the same Matter 646, 649

26. If the Principal Cause be within the Jurisdiction, and an Issue arises which depends on Foreign Laws, it

may be tried in the next County, and the Foreign Laws given in Evidence Page 651

27. And how a Foreign Matter is to be laid in the next adjacent County in B. R. *ibid.*

28. In what Cases the Defendant may carry down a Cause to be tried by Proviso 652

29. Trial by Proviso can't be in the Case of the Crown, nor at *Nisi prius*, unless by Warrant from the Attorney General *ibid.*

30. When any *Indictment* is removed into B. R. the Defendant can't carry it down to Trial without Leave of the Court 653

31. And on such Removal by the Prosecutor, if the Defendant comes in by Process, he shall give Security to try it 652

32. A temporal Incident in a Cause in the Spiritual Court, must be tried by the Rule of the Common Law 547

33. A Judgment was set aside tho' strictly regular, in order to try the Merits of the Cause 518

34. *Bills of Exceptions* must be tendered at the Trial, and see the Nature thereof 288, 289

See also *Evidence* and *Verdict*.

Trover and Conversion.

1. Trover lies against a Carrier for Negligence, as for losing a Box, &c. 655

2. But not for an actual Wrong, as if he break it to take out the Goods, or sell it *ibid.*

3. *Ergo*, Denial is no Evidence of a Conversion, if the thing appears to be lost by Negligence *ibid.*

4. *Contra*, If that does not appear, or if he had it in his Custody when he denied to deliver it *ibid.*

5. Trover

The T A B L E.

5. *Trover* lies not for a Negroe,
vide Villeins Page 666

6. *Trover de scripto suo obligatorio*,
good 654

7. *Trover* for 20 Ounces of Cloves
and Mace, held well, tho' not shewn
how much of each, or that they were
mixed *ibid.*

8. A Goldsmith has Lottery Tick-
ets of A. and B. and delivers A.'s Tick-
ets to B. as his own, A. may have
Trover against B. 283

9. In *Trover* for a Horse, Bridle
and Saddle, Motion to bring the Bri-
dle and Saddle into Court, denied
597

10. *Trover* lies for Plate generally,
so for 260 *peciiis argenti* 219

11. Note; A Special Plea in *Tro-*
ver ought to confess a Conversion
654

12. See a *Demurrer* to a Declarati-
on in *Trover*, *inter alia*, *De duobus*
Fuleris 218

13. One Jointenant or Tenant in
Common, or Coparcener, can't bring
Trover against his Companion, but
may against a Stranger 290

See also *Evidence* 16, 32.

Trusts, vide Uses.

Tythes.

1. Tithes of Agistment of barren
Cattel are due of Common Right 655

2. A County or Hundred can't pre-
scribe in *Non decimando*, for Things
tithable of Common Right *ibid.*

3. *Aliter* of Things not tithable of
common Right *ibid.*

4. Payment of Tithes of one Spe-
cies, not a good *Modus* for all 657

5. *Modus* of 10 Fleeces of Wool,
and 2 Lambs for all Tithes, (*Court*
divided) if good or not 656

6. A *Modus* should be as certain as
the Duty destroyed by it Page 657

7. A *Modus* to pay 2 s. in the
Pound of the improved Rent is ill
ibid.

8. A *Modus* to pay Part of the very
thing that is Tithes, is not good, un-
less payable in another manner 656

9. *Ergo*, if to pay a whole Meal's
Milk such a Day, Night and Morning
till, &c. in Lieu of Tithe-Milk, is ill
ibid.

10. A Suggestion in the Case of
Tithes must be prov'd within 6 Ka-
lendar Months of the *Teste* of the Pro-
hibition 554

11. See the Case of a Composition
for Tithes *quamdiu ambabus partibus*
placuerit, &c. 144

See also *Prohibition.*

V.

Vacation, vide Term-Time, &c.

Vagabonds and Vagrants, vide
Poor.

Variance.

1. Variance between the Writ and
Count not pleadable *sans* praying
Oyer of the Writ 658

2. Variance between the *Scire Fa-*
cias and Judgment, not amendable,
tho' the Writ not faulty in *se* 52

3. Deed dated in the Year of our
Lord, set forth with the Year of the
King also; no Variance 658

4. So a Bond to A. in 40 l. *solvend.*
to his Attorney declar'd on as paya-
ble to A. no Variance 659

5. So in Debt on Bond to perform
Award, Omission in the Replication of
a void

The T A B L E.

a void Part of the Award, is no Variance Page 72

6. *Aliter* if the Omission be of any Part not void *ibid.*

7. In Debt where the Quantity of the Duty depends on the Deed, Variance is fatal, and can't be help'd by *Remittitur* 658

8. *Aliter* where the Matter is extrinſick, and does not depend on the Deed *ibid.*

9. Recognizance in C. B. taken at a Judge's Chamber pleaded as taken in Court, is a Variance, (*vide Recognizance*) 659

10. If Error abates by Motion, the Court must be mov'd for Execution, *contra* if for Variance 264, 265

11. Writ of Error was of a Record *per Billam*, the Record return'd was *per breve de Privilegio*, and quash'd 660

12. Information for a Libel, Variance in the Word *Nor* for *Not*, held fatal upon Evidence *ibid.*

13. In declaring for Words spoken, Variance in Omission or Addition not material if the Words prov'd are actionable 661

14. But otherwise in a Tenor of Words written *ibid.*

15. And in pleading a Libel may be set forth (*in hac Anglicana verba*) in *English*, or the Sense and Substance of it, (2) *ibid.*

16. See a Variance between a Writ of Error and a Record, and refus'd to be amended, tho' the Curſitor's Note was right 49

17. See also a Variance between the Writ and Return in the Name of a Corporation 434

18. And see a Variance in the Name of a Parish, *Waking* and *Working* 472

See also *Abatement*, *Amendment*, *Error*, and *Misnomer*.

Venue, vide *Viſne*.

Verdicts.

1. Declaration, for keeping a Bull which used to run at Men, not saying *ſciens* or *ſcienter*, held naught after Verdict Page 662

2. For keeping a Boar *ad mordend' animalia conſuet' ſcienter*, &c. held well after Verdict *ibid.*

3. And Incertainty in the Count or Declaration, is cured by Verdict 663

4. Where a Verdict will aid a Title defectively set forth, but not a defective Title 364 and 664

5. In Debt on ſingle Bill, and *Nil debet* pleaded, Jury find *Nil debet* to Part, and *Debet* the Reſidue, held well after Verdict *ibid.*

6. A Verdict may be taken upon any Part of the Declaration to which the Evidence is applicable 133

7. Judgment arreſted, becauſe more Damages given than ought 663

8. Damages given in Treſpaſs laid at a Time not come, it ſhall be intended another Time was proved 662

9. No finding of a Jury can diſcharge a Man againſt a Confeſſion, by *Nient dedire* 23

10. See a ſpecial Verdict found on a ſingle Point 249

See alſo *Evidence*, *Juror*, *Trial*.

View.

1. A View is grantable, but that is only where the Title is in Queſtion 665

2. Before the View is granted the *Venire* muſt be return'd, and then the

B b

Rule

The T A B L E.

Rule is, that so many of the Panel shall view it, &c. Page 665

3. See the Method of proceeding in Case of a View *ibid.*

Vill, vide Parish.

Villeins and Villenage.

1. *Indeb' Assump'* for a Negro sold. 2. whether Inheritance or not 666

2. Trover lies not for a Negro, for Men can't be the Subjects of Property 666, 667

3. But in Trespass, *quare Captivum sum cepit*, the Plaintiff may give in Evidence that he was his Negro 667

4. And see there the Difference of Properties in Things of a natural and civil Existence 667

5. And how *Servus predialis* differs from *Servus personalis* *ibid.*

Vifne.

1. At Common Law all Actions were laid in the proper County, that the Jury might be *de vicineto* 668

2. And the Practice of changing Venues in transitory Actions began in King James the First's Time 670

3. The Clerk of Assize may lay his Action in *Middlesex*, and the Venue shall not be chang'd 670, 671

4. And if the Defendant be a Barrister, Attorney, &c. he may change the Venue to *Middlesex* 668

5. Motion to change it ought to be within 8 Days after Delivery of the Declaration (2) *ibid.*

6. And heretofore was never granted after Rules for Pleading were out *ibid.*

7. And on such Motion, Affidavit must be of the Time of the Delivery of the Declaration 667, 670

8. The Venue refus'd to be chang'd in an Action of *Scand. Mag.* Page 668

9. So in Case for False Return, it can't be chang'd without Consent of the Plaintiff 669

10. And where Evidence necessary to support the Action arises in two Counties, he may lay it in either 669

11. Venue not chang'd in Action against a Lighterman for Goods lost 670

12. Yet in False Imprisonment against the Sheriffs of *London*, first chang'd to *London* and then back to *Middlesex* *ibid.*

13. And see the Rule, where after Venue chang'd, the Plaintiff would bring it back again 669

14. A Consideration Executory is traversable, *Ergo* a Venue must be laid 22

15. Plea of Privilege of *C. B.* need not be with a Venue, &c. 545

16. The Venue in Indictments of Conspiracy, &c. must be where the Conspiracy was, and not where the Result thereof was put in Execution 174

See also *Travers*, 3.

Universities and Schools.

1. Vice-Chancellor's Court can't hold Plea for the Penalty of a Statute 671

2. See the Case at large of teaching a School *sans* License, and a Construction of the Act of Toleration in that Particular 672, 673

3. See touching the Franchises of the University of *Oxford* 343

Void and Voidable.

1. Bond of an Infant or *Non Compos*, is void 427, 675

2. So

The T A B L E.

2. So the Surrender of Tenant for Life (being *Non Compos*) to him in Remainder is void Page 576

3. Erroneous Judgment of Inferior Court is void, but of Superior Court only voidable 674

4. Where Orders of Justices are void or only voidable *ibid.*

See also *Error, Judgments and Orders.*

Uses and Trusts.

1. Husband and Wife covenant to levy a Fine of the Wife's Land to the Use of the Heirs of the Body of the Husband on the Wife begotten, the Limitation is void 675

2. Fine and Recovery wherein the Conusee was Tenant, and no Uses of the Fine declared, intended to the Use of the Conusee in order to make a Tenant of the Freehold 676

3. Where Uses may be averr'd by Parol, and where not, *vide* 676

4. Where a Conveyance to Uses enures by way of Transmutation of Possession, the Uses may be either declared or revoked without Deed 677

5. Where the Uses of a Recovery are declared by a Deed subsequent, the Pleading should be general, that the Recovery was to such Uses 676

6. If a Lease and Release be pleaded to A. and his Heirs, and no Consideration appears, nor said to whose Use, it shall be intended to the Use of the Lessee and his Heirs 678

7. *Quere*, Whether a resulting Use can be upon a Conveyance by Lease and Release *ibid.*

8. Lease and Release by A. to Trustees and their Heirs, to the Use of A. for 99 Years, Remainder to the Use of the Trustees for 25 Years,

Remainder to the Heirs Males of A.'s Body, this last Remainder is void Page 679

9. Devise to Trustees and their Heirs, on Trust to permit A. to take the Profits for his Life, and then to stand seized to the Use of the Heirs of A.'s Body, is a Use in A. and he has a Tail *ibid.*

10. Whatever was or would have been a Trust at Common Law, is since the Statute a Use executed *ibid.*

11. If Trustees join to bar contingent Remainders, 'tis a Breach of Trust 680

12. *Cestuy que use* may take Advantage of a Warranty, annex'd to the Estate 685

13. No Difference between Express and Implied Uses 590

See also *Devises, Recoveries, Reversions and Tail.*

Usury and Extortion.

1. Justices of Peace have no Jurisdiction upon the Statute of Usury 680

2. Charge of Extortion ought to be particular, and that the Defendant took it *Extorsive* *ibid.*

3. And by *Stat. 1 W. & M. Sess. 1. c. 21. 3, 4.* the Justices have only a special Authority to remove the Clerk of the Peace for Extortion. *Ergo*, it must appear to be Extortion, in their Order 681

4. For in Convictions what appears upon Evidence will not supply the Defects of the Charge *vide* 485, 381

See also *Convictions and Indictments.*

Wager

The T A B L E.

W.

Wager of Law.

1. Lies not in Debt on a By-Law, nor in any Action where a Wrong is supposed Page 683
2. In Debt it lies only where the Contract is secret, and not where founded on any thing notorious ibid.
3. In Account it lies where the Receipt was by the Defendant of the Plaintiff, but not where by or of a third Person ibid.
4. But in Detinue it lies, whether the Receipt was of the Plaintiff or of a Stranger ibid.
5. In Debt on Arbitrament where the Submission was by Parol, the Defendant may wage his Law ibid.
6. So in Debt for an Amercement in a Court-Baron, but not on a Judgment in a Court-Baron ibid. 684
7. That it lies not in Debt for Rent, and the Reason thereof 684
8. Nor in Debt by a Gaoler for Meat and Drink ibid.
9. See the Method of performing Wager of Law 682

Wages, vide Master and Servant.

Warranty.

1. *Cestuy que use* may take Advantage of a Warranty annex'd to the Estate 685
2. Plaintiff in Ejectment may make Title by a collateral Warranty ibid.
3. Rights of Entry are bound by collateral Warranty 686
4. But tho' a Warranty binds or bars, it does not extinguish a Right 686

Waifs, Estrays, &c.

1. Owner of a Stray may seize it tending Satisfaction Page 686
2. And in Pleading need not shew the Sum tendred ibid.

Weights and Measures.

1. In Indictments for making light Bread, 'tis not enough to shew that it had not its due Weight, *sans* shewing what is due Weight 687
- See also *Fairs, &c.*

Wills.

1. The Attestation of a Will good within the Statute of Frauds, if the Testator might see the Witnesses sign, tho' he did not 688
2. A Will made by a *Feme* in pursuance of a Power reserv'd before Marriage, is not properly a Will, nor proveable by the Ordinary 313
3. Special Verdict finding a Will of Lands, and that afterwards the Testator made *aliud Testamentum*, imports not any Revocation of the former 592
4. Yet a Will of personal Estate was presum'd to be revoked by Alteration of the Testator's Circumstances ibid.
5. So a real Estate, purchased after the Will made, is not thereby devised 257
6. Legatee may be a Witness against a Will, but not for it 691 vide 547
7. Words sounding conditional are to be taken as Limitation in a Will 570
8. See a Prohibition denied as to Probate of a Will of Lands and Goods, tho' *non Compos* suggested, &c. 552
- vide 547
- See also *Age, Devises, Distribution, Executors and Legacies.*

Witness

The T A B L E.

Witnesses.

1. Where Perjury, &c. may be given in Evidence to the Credit of a Witness Page 514, 689
2. 'Tis the Nature of the Crime and the Conviction, not the Punishment, that makes a Witness infamous 690
3. Yet queried whether the Infamy arises from the Crime, or Judgment of the Pillory 689
4. For the King may pardon a Disability, where 'tis only the Consequence of the Judgment 514, 689
5. But not where 'tis Part of the Judgment; yet a Statute-Pardon will *ibid.*
6. A Prisoner having escaped, may be a Witness to prove the Escape voluntary on Traverse of an Inquisition against the Gaoler 690
7. Deposition of a Witness examined before a Judge, because going beyond Sea, can't be read, if he be in *England* 691
8. Nor can Commissioners of Appeals proceed upon Depositions taken before Commissioners of Excise, but must examine the Witnesses again *de novo*, unless dead 555
9. A Prohibition to a Suit for a Legacy, for refusing Proof of Payment by one Witness, *aliter* in Probate of Wills, &c. 547
10. Note; a Legatee may be a Witness against a Will, but not for it 691

See also Evidence and Proof.

Withernam, vide *Replevin*.

Words sueable in the Spiritual Court, &c. or not.

1. Words sueable in the Spiritual Court, *viz.* *Whore-master, Whore, Wital, Brandy-nos'd Whore* Page 692, 693
2. Words not sueable there, *viz.* *Impudent, Brazen-fac'd, Belzebub or Devil, or Prince of Darkness*, because no Discredit 692
3. Not for calling one *Knave, &c.* 548
4. Also Suit lies not in that Court for Words charging an Offence, not punishable there 691
5. As saying of a Parson, *He has no Sense, is a Dunce and a Blockhead, and deserves to have his Gown pulled over his Ears* *ibid.*
6. Nor where Words of Spiritual Conuzance are coupled with others of a Temporal Crime 552
7. As, *Thou art a Whore and a Thief, or keep'st a Bawdy-house; tho' Whore alone is sueable there* *ibid.*
Vide 404
8. Words importing any Charge of Incontinency, are sueable there 693
696
9. Court of Honour can't hold Plea of scandalous Words 553

Words actionable at Law, or not.

1. In Offices of Profit, Words importing Want of Ability are actionable 695
2. But not in Offices of Honour, as calling a Justice of the Peace, *Afs*, or *Beetle-headed Justice* *ibid.*
3. *There goes your rare Chancellor to suborn Witnesses to swear against the Parson, actionable per Holt, sed Cur' divid.* 696

The TABLE.

4. Words subjecting a Man to Punishment, may not be actionable, unless scandalous Page 696

5. As, *Thou art one that stole my Lord S.'s Deer*, are not; nor *Papist*, but with Respect to the Times *ibid.*

6. A Charge of *Fornication*, &c. is not actionable, unless special Damage 693

7. Nor, *She is a Whore, and had a Bastard by her Father's Apprentice* 696

8. Nor, *She had a Bastard*; because it don't appear to be chargeable to the Parish 694

9. Nor, *You are a Cheat*, spoke of a Tradesman, without laying a *Colloquium* of his Trade 694

10. Nor of a Butcher, *That the Cow died of Calving*, tho' laid *per quod* he lost his Customers 693

11. But to say, *You stole my Box-Wood, and I will prove it*, is actionable 695, 696

12. So to say of a Justice and Deputy Lieutenant, *Don't vote for him, for he is a Jacobite, and for bringing in the Pretender*, &c. 694, 695

13. To say, *In Black-Bull Yard you could procure broad Money for Gold, and clip it*, because it imports an Act done 697

Words indictable, or not.

1. Words of Slander spoken of a Mayor, not indictable 697

2. As, *You are a Rogue and a Rascal*, &c. *aliter* if written Page 697

3. So spoken of a Justice of the Peace, *He is a Fool, an Ass, a Coxcomb*, &c. not indictable 698

4. But are good Cause to bind to the Good Behaviour *ibid.*

See also *Libels* and *Variance*.

Writs.

1. In all continued Writs, the *alias* must be tested the Day the former Writ was returnable 699

2. A Writ bearing *Teste* out of Term is void, but the Sheriff is justifiable 700

3. A Writ of Execution returnable two Terms from the *Teste* is well, but *Mesne Process* is void *ibid.*

4. Defendant can't take Advantage of an ill Original by the Recital, but upon Oyer, or a *Certiorari* 701

5. A Writ of *Mandamus* ought to be directed to the Persons who are to do the Act, *vide Mandamus* 699, 701

6. See a *Ne exeat Regnum* to stay a Man's going to Scotland since the Union 702

See also *Certiorari*, *Habeas Corpus*, *Homine Replegiando*, *Returns of Writs*, and *Scire Facias*.

FH

MVSEVM
BRITANNICVM

F I N I S.





